Inhibition of Histamine H1 Receptor Activity Modulates Proinflammatory Cytokine Production of Dendritic Cells through c-Rel Activity

Chin-Lai Lee\textsuperscript{a} Shih-Hsien Hsu\textsuperscript{a} Yuh-Jyh Jong\textsuperscript{a,b,d} Chih-Hsing Hung\textsuperscript{a,b,d,e} Jau-Ling Suen\textsuperscript{a,c}

\textsuperscript{a}Graduate Institute of Medicine, \textsuperscript{b}Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Pediatrics, and \textsuperscript{c}Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, \textsuperscript{d}Department of Pediatrics, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, and \textsuperscript{e}Department of Pediatrics, Kaohsiung Municipal Ta-Tung Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, ROC

\textbf{Key Words}

c-Rel \cdot Dendritic cells \cdot Histamine H1 receptor \cdot Tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\)

\textbf{Abstract}

\textbf{Background:} Histamine exerts diverse effects on immune regulation through four types of histamine receptors (HRs). Among them, type 1 receptor (H1R) plays an important role in allergic inflammation. Dendritic cells (DCs), which express at least three types of HRs, are professional antigen-presenting cells controlling the development of allergic inflammation. However, the molecular mechanisms involved in H1R-mediated NF-\(\kappa\)B signaling of DCs remain poorly defined. 

\textbf{Methods:} Bone-marrow (BM)-derived DCs (BM-DCs) were treated with H1R inverse agonists to interrupt basal H1R-mediated signaling. The crosstalk of H1R-mediated signaling and the NF-\(\kappa\)B pathway was examined by NF-\(\kappa\)B cellular activity using a luciferase reporter assay, NF-\(\kappa\)B subunit analysis using Western blotting and TNF-\(\alpha\) promoter activity using chromatin immunoprecipitation.

\textbf{Results:} Blockage of H1R signaling by inverse agonists significantly inhibited TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-6 production of BM-DCs. H1R-specific agonists were able to enhance TNF-\(\alpha\) production, but this overexpression was significantly inhibited by NF-\(\kappa\)B inhibitor. The H1R inverse agonist ketotifen also suppressed cellular NF-\(\kappa\)B activity, suggesting crosstalk between H1R and NF-\(\kappa\)B signaling in DCs. After comprehensive analysis of NF-\(\kappa\)B sub-units, c-Rel protein expression was significantly down-regulated in ketotifen-treated BM-DCs, which led to inhibition of the promoter activity of TNF-\(\alpha\). Finally, adoptive transfer of the ketotifen-treated BM-DCs did not induce significant allergic airway inflammation compared to that of control cells in vivo.

\textbf{Conclusions:} Our results suggest that c-Rel controls H1R-mediated proinflammatory cytokine production in DCs. This study provides a potential mechanism of H1R-mediated signaling and NF-\(\kappa\)B pathway crosstalk in allergic inflammation.

\textit{Copyright © 2012 S. Karger AG, Basel}

\textbf{Introduction}

Histamine exerts diverse effects on many physiological and pathological conditions through four different types of histamine receptors (HRs) – H1R, H2R, H3R and H4R [1]. Each HR is characterized by a specific expression...
Histamine can influence DCs to determine the types of inflammation produced by its interaction with different HRs, as these cells can express H1R, H2R and H4R [14, 15]. It has been demonstrated that histamine inhibits IL-12 production but enhances IL-10 and IL-6 expression of DCs [15]. Histamine-treated DCs drive T-helper 2 (Th2) polarization in both human and mouse DCs, which may be mediated by H1R and H4R [4, 15, 16]. These studies imply that histamine can regulate immune responses by affecting the maturation of DCs and altering their T-cell-polarizing capacity [16, 17]. It also has been demonstrated that DCs can actively synthesize histamine during the differentiation period and that blocking histamine synthesis disturbs DC differentiation [18]. Consequently, the interaction of histamine with different HRs expressed by DCs affects the differentiation and effector functions of these cells.

As each HR has a different pattern of cellular expression, affinity for histamine and specifics of signaling transduction, the effects of histamine on DCs are rather complex. In order to study the molecules involved in the histamine-H1R axis of DCs, we utilized H1R inverse agonists to block H1R-mediated signaling in BM-DCs without exogenous histamine. It has been demonstrated that granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF)-differentiated BM-DCs can produce histamine and secrete it after synthesis [18]. Given that H1R consists of an inactive form and an active form, the inactive state of H1R can be in equilibrium with the active form in an agonist-dependent or independent manner [5]. Also, an inverse agonist preferentially binds the inactive form of H1R and finally causes a shift in the equilibrium toward the inactive state, which is characterized by blocked signaling via H1R [19].

Here, we demonstrate that inhibition of basal H1R activity of BM-DCs by H1R inverse agonists significantly decreases proinflammatory cytokine production, especially that of TNF-α and IL-6. Blockage of H1R-mediated signaling of BM-DCs decreased their ability to induce allergic airway responses in vivo. We also provide evidence that c-Rel directly binds to TNF-α promoter and controls its activity in H1R-mediated signaling.

**Material and Methods**

**Mice**

BALB/c were obtained from the National Taiwan University and maintained by the Animal Center of the Kaohsiung Medical University in a pathogen-free environment. Female BALB/c mice, 6–8 weeks of age, were used as the source of BM-DCs. All animal
DC Generation from BM Cultures

BM-DCs were prepared as described previously [20, 21]. Briefly, BM cells were placed in 24-well plates in 1 ml of medium supplemented with recombinant murine GM-CSF (500 U/ml) and IL-4 (1,000 U/ml; PrePro Tech, Rocky Hill, N.J., USA) for 4 or 6 days. Every other day, fresh medium containing GM-CSF and IL-4 was added. The phenotype and purity of BM-DCs were analyzed by flow cytometry (LSR II; BD Biosciences, San Diego, Calif., USA), examining the expression of CD11c (HL3), IAα (AMS-1A) and IAβ (AMS-1D) and by cytometric bead array (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using cytometric bead array software according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 

Cell Treatment

The purified BM-DCs (purity >95%) were treated with different concentrations of H1R inverse agonists (ketotifen or cyproheptadine), selective HR agonists [2-pyridylethylamine dihydrochloride (2-PEA) for H1R, dimaprit for H2R, (R)-methylhistamine for H3R, 4-methylhistamine for H4R; all from Tocris Bioscience, Missouri, Mo., USA] or recombinant murine GM-CSF (500 U/ml) and IL-4 (10 ng/ml; PrePro Tech, Rocky Hill, N.J., USA) for 24 h. Cytokine levels in the culture supernatant were assessed by multiplex immunoassay. Data represent means ± SD (pg/ml) of 3 experiments. * p < 0.05 vs. vehicle-treated cells (Mann-Whitney U test).

Western Blotting

The treated BM-DCs were lysed in the sample buffer [3% SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate), 1.67 M urea and 2.7% β-mercaptoethanol], resolved in a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and electrotransferred onto Hybond-C extra membranes (Amersham, Piscataway, N.J., USA). The blots were blocked with TBST (50 mM Tris-HCl, nol), resolved in a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and electrotransferred onto Hybond-C extra membranes (Amersham, Piscataway, N.J., USA). The blots were blocked with TBST (50 mM Tris-HCl, nol), resolved in a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and electrotransferred onto Hybond-C extra membranes (Amersham, Piscataway, N.J., USA). The blots were blocked with TBST (50 mM Tris-HCl, nol), resolved in a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and electrotransferred onto Hybond-C extra membranes (Amersham, Piscataway, N.J., USA). Then the blots were incubated with primary antibodies at 4 °C overnight, followed by addition of protein-G agarose beads (Miltenyi Biotec, Sunnyvale, Calif., USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay

The treated BM-DCs were fixed with 1% formaldehyde at 37°C for 10 min, washed with phosphate-buffered saline and then lysed in a lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA and 50 mM Tris-HCl with protease inhibitors). The lysed cells were sonicated and incubated with an anti-c-Rel antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, Calif., USA), anti-p-p65 (Abcam, Cambridge, Mass., USA), anti-p-p52 and anti-p100 (all from Millipore, Billerica, Mass., USA), anti-p-p50 and anti-p105 (all from eBioscience, Hatfield, UK). 

Table 1. Cytokine production by BM-DCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Day 4 BM-DCs</th>
<th>Day 6 BM-DCs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPS</td>
<td>poly I:C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>4,903 ± 1,739</td>
<td>5,037 ± 884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>635 ± 121</td>
<td>4,750 ± 1,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>9 ± 4</td>
<td>54 ± 9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12</td>
<td>15 ± 4</td>
<td>25 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purified CD11c+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Purified CD11c+ BM-DCs were treated with or without LPS (10 ng/ml) or poly I:C (10 µg/ml) for 24 h. Cytokine levels in the culture supernatant were assessed by multiplex immunoassay. Data represent means ± SD (pg/ml) of 3 experiments. * p < 0.05 vs. vehicle-treated cells (Mann-Whitney U test).
Luciferase Reporter Assay

The two reporter constructs, pRL-SV40 (Renilla luciferase; Promega, Madison, Wisc., USA) and pNF-κB-Luc or control vector (firefly luciferase; Promega), were co-transfected into 1 × 10^6 THP-1 cells. After a 16-hour treatment, the washed cells were then treated with various concentrations of ketotifen for another 8 h. Luciferase activity was then measured with the dual luciferase kit (Promega). Relative luciferase activity was defined as firefly/Renilla ratio normalized to control vector transfection.

Adoptive Transfer of BM-DCs and Assessment of Allergic Lung Inflammation

Purified day-4 BM-DCs treated with or without ketotifen (80 μM) were cultured with ovalbumin (OVA; 200 μg/ml; grade V; Sigma-Aldrich) for 24 h and instilled intravenously (2 × 10^5 cells/recipient) into syngeneic naïve mice. After 1 week, mice were subjected to 15-min daily exposure with OVA (3% w/v in phosphate-buffered saline) on 4 consecutive days. Twenty-four hours after the last OVA challenge, mice were sacrificed and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) was obtained as described previously [23]. Cells in BALF were stained with PE-Cy7-anti-CD11c (N418; eBioscience; DCs/macrophages), PE-anti-CCR3 (83101; R&D Systems; eosinophils), APC-anti-CD3 (145-2C11; BD Biosciences; T cells; lymphocytes). The cellular composition of BALF was determined by flow cytometry (LSR II; BD Biosciences).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical comparisons of data among groups of control and treated BM-DCs were performed with the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant. All statistical tests were performed by SPSS for Windows, version 13.0. (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA).

Results

Significant Suppression of Proinflammatory Cytokine Production of BM-DCs by H1R Inverse Agonists

We used a well-defined culture system for GM-CSF-mediated generation of DCs from BM cells. BM precursors from BALB/c mice were incubated with GM-CSF and IL-4 for 4 or 6 days, which represented different stages of maturation of BM-DCs [20]. Cytokine assessment showed that either day-4 or -6 BM-DCs constitutively secreted TNF-α and IL-6, but not IL-10 and IL-12 (table 1), whereas both LPS and poly I:C significantly enhanced the expression of TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-10 from day-6 BM-DCs. In contrast to day-6 BM-DCs, day-4 BM-DCs seemed more ‘insensitive’ to stimuli, because LPS and poly I:C did not enhance their TNF-α production and poly I:C did not affect the level of IL-6 from day-4 BM-DCs either. The possible reason may be the strength of Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 signaling. At the dose of 0.5 μg/ml of LPS (instead of 10 ng/ml), both day-4 and -6 BM-DCs secreted significantly more TNF-α and IL-12 than corresponding unstimulated controls (data not shown).

Specific Involvement of H1R-Mediated Signaling in Proinflammatory Cytokine Production of BM-DCs

Given that BM-DCs differentiated with GM-CSF can produce and release histamine [18, 28], we determined histamine release by ketotifen-treated BM-DCs. As shown in figure 2a, under resting conditions BM-DCs secreted histamine in a time-dependent manner. After 24-hour treatment, ketotifen significantly inhibited histamine release by BM-DCs. In order to rule out the possibility that an autocrine loop of histamine release can act on other HRs to stimulate proinflammatory cytokine production, selective agonists for H2R (dimaprit), H3R (R-α-methylhistamine) and H4R (4-methylhistamine) were used to treat BM-DCs for 24 h. As shown in figure 2b, none of these agonists affected TNF-α and IL-6 (data not shown) expression by BM-DCs, suggesting that H1R-mediated signaling is specifically involved in proinflammatory cytokine production of BM-DCs.
Fig. 1. H1R inverse agonists inhibited spontaneous TNF-α and IL-6 production by BM-DCs. Purified day-4 (a, c, e, f) or day-6 (b, d) CD11c+ BM-DCs were treated with ketotifen or cyproheptadine at increasing concentrations without any stimuli for 24 h. The levels of cytokines in the culture supernatants were measured by multiplex immunoassay (a–d) or ELISA (e–f). Results are shown as relative expression (%) compared to control (BM-DCs only; mean ± SD of 3 experiments). *p < 0.05 vs. BM-DCs only. The number in each graph represents the cytokine level (pg/ml) of vehicle-treated BM-DCs.

Fig. 2. H2R-, H3R- or H4R-mediated signaling did not affect TNF-α expression by BM-DCs. a Purified day-4 BM-DCs were washed, suspended at a concentration of $6 \times 10^5$ /ml and treated with ketotifen for different periods. The level of histamine in the supernatants was analyzed by ELISA. Results are expressed as the mean ± SD of 4 experiments. The dotted line represents the detection limit of ELISA. *p < 0.05 vs. vehicle. b Purified day-4 BM-DCs were treated with dimaprit (H2R agonist), R-α-methylhistamine (H3R agonist) or 4-methylhistamine (H4R agonist) at various concentrations as described in figure 1. After 24 h, TNF-α was assessed by ELISA. Results are shown as relative expression (%) compared to control (mean ± SD of 3 experiments). *p < 0.05 vs. control.
NF-κB Involvement in the Downstream Signaling of H1R on BM-DCs

As the expression of TNF-α/IL-6 genes is regulated by the NF-κB pathway, the NF-κB pathway may be involved in the downstream signaling of H1R on BM-DCs. As shown in figure 3, 2-PEA, a highly selective H1R agonist [29], was able to increase the expression of TNF-α; however, BAY7085, an NF-κB inhibitor, significantly inhibited basal and 2-PEA-induced TNF-α expression. Then LPS or poly I:C was used to activate the NF-κB pathway [30] to examine whether TLR-mediated signaling interrupts or alleviates the effect of ketotifen on BM-DCs. As expected, ketotifen did not effectively inhibit TNF-α (fig. 4) and IL-6 (data not shown) expression when BM-DCs were simultaneously treated with TLR agonist, LPS or poly I:C. These data suggest that NF-κB molecules are involved in the downstream signaling of H1R on BM-DCs.

Effect of Blockage of H1R-Mediated Signaling on the Maturation and T-Cell Stimulatory Activity of BM-DCs

Next, we examined whether H1R-mediated signaling is associated with the maturation and T-cell-stimulatory activity of BM-DCs. We analyzed the expression levels of MHC class II, CD80, CD86 and CD40 after treatment of day-4 BM-DCs with ketotifen in the presence of LPS or poly I:C. As shown in figure 5, LPS and poly I:C markedly increased the percentages of MHC class IIhigh, CD80+, CD86+ and CD40+ in CD11c+ BM-DCs. However, compared with vehicle-treated cells (gray areas in fig. 5), ketotifen did not change the expression levels and percentages of these molecules on CD11c+ BM-DCs (solid lines in fig. 5) either under TLR agonist-stimulated or non-stimulated conditions. Ketotifen did not have a significant effect on the maturation of day-6 BM-DCs either (data not shown). We then further examined the T-cell-stimulatory activity of treated BM-DCs; there was no significant difference in the DO11.10 CD4+ T-cell proliferative response elicited by ketotifen-treated BM-DCs compared with control cells (data not shown). These data demonstrate that H1R-mediated signaling regulates the expression of proinflammatory cytokines on BM-DCs, but not their maturation and T-cell stimulatory activity.

Effect of c-Rel, the Downstream Element of H1R-Mediated Signaling, on TNF-α Expression of BM-DCs

In order to examine whether NF-κB activity is involved in the downstream signaling of H1R on BM-DCs, an NF-κB luciferase reporter gene assay was performed to examine whether blocking H1R-mediated signaling could affect NF-κB activity. As shown in figure 6, ketotifen...
fen significantly inhibited basal NF-κB activity of THP-1 cells in a dose-dependent manner. Next, we examined which subunit(s) of NF-κB regulated TNF-α expression during H1R-mediated signaling of BM-DCs. We analyzed the expression levels of all subunits of NF-κB in ketotifen-treated BM-DCs. As shown in figure 7a, non-treated BM-DCs expressed basal levels of most of the NF-κB subunits except p52. We found that ketotifen significantly decreased c-Rel expression of BM-DCs (fig. 7b). Although high-dose ketotifen seemed to inhibit p50 expression of BM-DCs (fig. 7a), this inhibition was not consistently observed in different independent experiments.

To further examine whether c-Rel directly regulated TNF-α expression during H1R-mediated signaling of BM-DCs, we investigated the binding of c-Rel to the promoter region in the TNF-α gene in day-4 BM-DCs treated with or without ketotifen. As shown in figure 7c, chromatin immunoprecipitation showed that ketotifen significantly decreased the binding of c-Rel to the κB site of TNF-α promoter. These data revealed that the interaction between

H1R and NF-κB Signaling in DC

Fig. 5. Ketotifen did not affect the maturity of BM-DCs. Day-4 BM-DCs were treated with ketotifen (80 μM) concomitant with LPS (10 ng/ml) or poly I:C (10 μg/ml) for 24 h. The harvested cells were stained with fluorochrome-labeled antibodies for CD11c, CD40, CD80, CD86 and MHC class II and analyzed by flow cytometry. The histograms shown were gated for live, CD11c+ cells. Percentages of gated cells from ketotifen-treated cells are shown in each graph. Data are representative of 3 experiments. Dotted line = Isotype control; gray area = vehicle; solid line = ketotifen.

Fig. 6. Ketotifen suppressed cellular NF-κB transactivity in THP-1 cells. pRL-SV40 reporter (Renilla luciferase; R) was cotransfected with pNF-κBLuc reporter or control vector (firefly luciferase; F) into THP-1 cells. After ketotifen treatment, relative luciferase activity was defined as firefly/Renilla ratio, normalized to control vector transfection. The results are shown as means ± SD of quadruplicate experiments. * p < 0.05 vs. vehicle control.
Ketotifen inhibited c-Rel expression and its binding to the κB site of the TNF-α promoter in BM-DCs. a Western blotting was performed to examine the expression of NF-κB subunits and β-actin in day-4 BM-DCs treated with the indicated concentrations of ketotifen for 2 h. Data are representative of 3 experiments. b Results are shown as relative ratios (%) of c-Rel vs. β-actin (mean ± SE of 3 independent experiments). c Day-4 BM-DCs were treated with or without ketotifen (80 μM) for 2 h before formaldehyde fixation. Soluble, fragmented chromatin was immunoprecipitated with antibody specific for c-Rel. Negative control (NC) was performed without anti-c-Rel antibody. DNA was quantified by real-time PCR using primers specific for the κB binding site of the mouse TNF-α promoter. The fold enrichment values for c-Rel binding were determined by normalizing to no-antibody signals (NC). Results are shown as fold enrichment (mean ± SD of 3 experiments).

Fig. 7. Ketotifen inhibited c-Rel expression and its binding to the κB site of the TNF-α promoter in BM-DCs. a Western blotting was performed to examine the expression of NF-κB subunits and β-actin in day-4 BM-DCs treated with the indicated concentrations of ketotifen for 2 h. Data are representative of 3 experiments. b Results are shown as relative ratios (%) of c-Rel vs. β-actin (mean ± SE of 3 independent experiments). c Day-4 BM-DCs were treated with or without ketotifen (80 μM) for 2 h before formaldehyde fixation. Soluble, fragmented chromatin was immunoprecipitated with antibody specific for c-Rel. Negative control (NC) was performed without anti-c-Rel antibody. DNA was quantified by real-time PCR using primers specific for the κB binding site of the mouse TNF-α promoter. The fold enrichment values for c-Rel binding were determined by normalizing to no-antibody signals (NC). Results are shown as fold enrichment (mean ± SD of 3 experiments).

c-Rel and the TNF-α promoter was downregulated in ketotifen-treated BM-DCs compared with untreated cells. Therefore, H1R-mediated signaling may activate c-Rel to directly regulate TNF-α expression of BM-DCs.

Effect of Blockage of H1R-Mediated Signaling in DCs on OVA-Induced Allergic Lung Inflammation in vivo

To test the functional consequences of H1R-mediated signaling interruption in DCs in vivo, we examined the effect of the transfer of ketotifen-treated OVA-pulsed BM-DCs into naïve BALB/c mice before OVA challenge. Wild-type recipients of vehicle-treated OVA/BM-DCs significantly developed airway eosinophilia (fig. 8a) and increased Th2 cytokine expression (fig. 8b). This was in contrast to the response following the transfer of ketotifen-treated OVA/BM-DCs, where neither airway eosinophilia nor allergic cytokine responses developed. Therefore, blockage of H1R-mediated signaling in local DCs may alleviate allergic responses.

Discussion

Histamine can significantly enhance the inflammatory activity of DCs through H1R. Although previous studies suggested that NF-κB family transcription factors are involved in the downstream signaling of H1R, which NF-κB family members are required and whether they directly regulate the inflammatory genes remains unclear. This is, to our knowledge, the first report to demonstrate that c-Rel directly controls TNF-α expression in H1R-mediated signaling in DCs. Also, our study demonstrates that the basal proinflammatory activity of DCs is, at least in part, associated with H1R-mediated NF-κB expression. In addition, blockage of H1R-mediated signaling may reduce allergic responses in vivo. This study provides a molecular basis in the H1R-c-Rel-TNF-α axis for controlling allergic inflammation.

DCs express almost all NF-κB subunits, suggesting the importance of distinct subunit composition in DC differentiation and function. It has been reported that deficiency in p50/c-Rel or c-Rel alone does not affect DC development, but rather perturbs the maturation and survival of DCs [11]. In contrast, RelB-knockout mice exhibit defective myeloid DC differentiation [31]. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that c-Rel is the specific transcriptional regulator of both IL-12/p35 [32] and IL-23/p19 [33] gene expression in DCs. Using a knockout system, it has been shown that c-Rel also positively regulates TNF-α expression in macrophages [34]. Our study here shows that c-Rel directly binds to the TNF-α promoter and regulates its basal expression under H1R-mediated signaling in DCs. It also implies that c-Rel may form homodimers to regulate TNF-α expression as interruption of H1R signaling specifically impacts only c-Rel expression (fig. 7a). Taken together, these findings suggest that c-Rel is responsible for DC costimulatory function, such as TNF-α and IL-12 production. The detailed regulatory mechanisms in the H1R-c-Rel-TNF-α axis still need to be further elucidated.

It has been shown that H1R−/− BM-DCs display an immature phenotype, secrete a modified cytokine pattern and alter T-cell polarization [35]. However, in our study, blockage of H1R signaling primarily affects the
NF-κB activity but not the maturation or T-cell-stimulatory activity in the well-differentiated BM-DCs. On the other hand, in the context of allergic inflammation, histamine exerts its effect on differentiated DCs not only through H1R and H2R, but also through the recently identified H4R [4, 15, 16]. Taken together, H1R signaling mediated by histamine affects the regulation of both DC differentiation and function.

The molecular mechanisms involved in the basal pro-inflammatory activity of DCs are still unknown. This activity is, at least in part, associated with constitutive NF-κB expression (fig. 7a). We suggest that the basal pro-inflammatory activity of DCs may be associated with constitutive H1R-mediated NF-κB activation for the following reasons. First, DCs can express at least three types of HR. Just like other G-protein-coupled receptors, HRs demonstrate an equilibrium between their active and inactive states [5]. Constitute HR activity exists and is independent of receptor occupancy by an agonist in cell line context [19]. Second, DCs can actively synthesize endogenous histamine in autocrine and paracrine ways [18]. Third, it has also been demonstrated that H1R activates NF-κB in both a constitutive and agonist-dependent manner in cell line experiments [6]. Finally, as shown in our study, H1R-mediated signaling leads to TNF-α expression through c-Rel activity, and then TNF-α may further activate the downstream NF-κB pathway. This may be the reason why an H1R-specific agonist (2-PEA) increased basal TNF-α expression about 1.5-fold, but NF-κB inhibitor (BAY7085) significantly suppressed the TNF-α level to 30% of control (fig. 3). Understanding the detailed molecular mechanisms involved in H1R-mediated proinflammatory activity may have a great impact on the development of therapeutic targets for the treatment of DC-mediated inflammatory diseases.

In diseases characterized by allergic inflammation, such as asthma, DCs are essential for Th2-mediated airway inflammation [36]. It has been shown that the number of airway DCs increases 80-fold in experimental asthma [37]. Also, increased NF-κB activity and TNF-α levels have been demonstrated in the airways in human and animal models of asthma [38]. Combined with our data, this implies that in the context of chronic inflammation, increases in DCs result in increased TNF-α secretion due to the constitutive H1R activity even in the absence of histamine in the microenvironment. As TNF-α has many pleiotropic activities and plays an important role in the pathogenesis of allergic diseases [39], strategies targeting the H1R-c-Rel-TNF-α axis in DCs may provide a novel alternative for controlling allergic inflammation.

Our results clearly show that H1R-mediated signaling in DCs controls TNF-α expression through c-Rel activity. This study provides a potential basis for clarifying the crosstalk between H1R and NF-κB pathways and for designing treatments affecting the H1R-c-Rel-TNF-α axis to control allergic inflammation.
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