

A Characterization of Concept Lattices. Dual Concept Lattices

Christoph Schwarzweller
University of Tuebingen

Summary. In this article we continue the formalization of concept lattices following [6]. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for a complete lattice to be isomorphic to a given formal context. As a by-product we get that a lattice is complete if and only if it is isomorphic to a concept lattice. In addition we introduce dual formal concepts and dual concept lattices and prove that the dual of a concept lattice over a formal context is isomorphic to the concept lattice over the dual formal context.

MML Identifier: CONLAT_2.

WWW: http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/conlat_2.html

The articles [13], [5], [17], [8], [14], [2], [12], [18], [9], [16], [15], [1], [11], [4], [3], [19], [7], and [10] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let C be a FormalContext and let C_1 be a strict FormalConcept of C . The functor $@C_1$ yielding an element of ConceptLattice C is defined by:

(Def. 1) $@C_1 = C_1$.

Let C be a FormalContext. Observe that ConceptLattice C is bounded.

We now state four propositions:

- (1) For every FormalContext C holds $\perp_{\text{ConceptLattice } C} = \text{Concept} - \text{with} - \text{all} - \text{Attributes } C$ and $\top_{\text{ConceptLattice } C} = \text{Concept} - \text{with} - \text{all} - \text{Objects } C$.
- (2) Let C be a FormalContext and D be a non empty subset of $2^{\text{the objects of } C}$. Then $(\text{ObjectDerivation } C)(\bigcup D) = \bigcap \{(\text{ObjectDerivation } C)(O); O \text{ ranges over subsets of the objects of } C: O \in D\}$.
- (3) Let C be a FormalContext and D be a non empty subset of $2^{\text{the attributes of } C}$. Then $(\text{AttributeDerivation } C)(\bigcup D) = \bigcap \{(\text{AttributeDerivation } C)(A); A \text{ ranges over subsets of the attributes of } C: A \in D\}$.
- (4) Let C be a FormalContext and D be a subset of ConceptLattice C . Then $\bigcap_{\text{ConceptLattice } C} D$ is a FormalConcept of C and $\bigsqcup_{\text{ConceptLattice } C} D$ is a FormalConcept of C .

Let C be a FormalContext and let D be a subset of ConceptLattice C . The functor $\bigcap_C D$ yielding a FormalConcept of C is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) $\bigcap_C D = \bigcap_{\text{ConceptLattice } C} D$.

The functor $\sqcup_C D$ yielding a FormalConcept of C is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) $\sqcup_C D = \sqcup_{\text{ConceptLattice } C} D$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (5) For every FormalContext C holds $\sqcup_C(\emptyset_{\text{ConceptLattice } C}) = \text{Concept} - \text{with} - \text{all} - \text{Attributes } C$
and $\prod_C(\emptyset_{\text{ConceptLattice } C}) = \text{Concept} - \text{with} - \text{all} - \text{Objects } C$.
- (6) For every FormalContext C holds $\sqcup_C(\Omega_{\text{the carrier of ConceptLattice } C}) = \text{Concept} - \text{with} - \text{all} - \text{Objects } C$
and $\prod_C(\Omega_{\text{the carrier of ConceptLattice } C}) = \text{Concept} - \text{with} - \text{all} - \text{Attributes } C$.
- (7) Let C be a FormalContext and D be a non empty subset of ConceptLattice C . Then
 - (i) the extent of $\sqcup_C D = (\text{AttributeDerivation } C)((\text{ObjectDerivation } C)(\bigcup\{\text{the extent of } \langle E, I \rangle; E \text{ ranges over subsets of the objects of } C, I \text{ ranges over subsets of the attributes of } C: \langle E, I \rangle \in D\}))$, and
 - (ii) the intent of $\sqcup_C D = \bigcap\{\text{the intent of } \langle E, I \rangle; E \text{ ranges over subsets of the objects of } C, I \text{ ranges over subsets of the attributes of } C: \langle E, I \rangle \in D\}$.
- (8) Let C be a FormalContext and D be a non empty subset of ConceptLattice C . Then
 - (i) the extent of $\prod_C D = \bigcap\{\text{the extent of } \langle E, I \rangle; E \text{ ranges over subsets of the objects of } C, I \text{ ranges over subsets of the attributes of } C: \langle E, I \rangle \in D\}$, and
 - (ii) the intent of $\prod_C D = (\text{ObjectDerivation } C)((\text{AttributeDerivation } C)(\bigcup\{\text{the intent of } \langle E, I \rangle; E \text{ ranges over subsets of the objects of } C, I \text{ ranges over subsets of the attributes of } C: \langle E, I \rangle \in D\}))$.
- (9) Let C be a FormalContext and C_1 be a strict FormalConcept of C . Then $\sqcup_{\text{ConceptLattice } C}\{\langle O, A \rangle; O \text{ ranges over subsets of the objects of } C, A \text{ ranges over subsets of the attributes of } C: \bigvee_{o: \text{object of } C} (o \in \text{the extent of } C_1 \wedge O = (\text{AttributeDerivation } C)((\text{ObjectDerivation } C)(\{o\})) \wedge A = (\text{ObjectDerivation } C)(\{o\}))\} = C_1$.
- (10) Let C be a FormalContext and C_1 be a strict FormalConcept of C . Then $\prod_{\text{ConceptLattice } C}\{\langle O, A \rangle; O \text{ ranges over subsets of the objects of } C, A \text{ ranges over subsets of the attributes of } C: \bigvee_{a: \text{Attribute of } C} (a \in \text{the intent of } C_1 \wedge O = (\text{AttributeDerivation } C)(\{a\}) \wedge A = (\text{ObjectDerivation } C)((\text{AttributeDerivation } C)(\{a\})))\} = C_1$.

Let C be a FormalContext. The functor $\gamma(C)$ yielding a function from the objects of C into the carrier of ConceptLattice C is defined by the condition (Def. 4).

(Def. 4) Let o be an element of the objects of C . Then there exists a subset O of the objects of C and there exists a subset A of the attributes of C such that $(\gamma(C))(o) = \langle O, A \rangle$ and $O = (\text{AttributeDerivation } C)((\text{ObjectDerivation } C)(\{o\}))$ and $A = (\text{ObjectDerivation } C)(\{o\})$.

Let C be a FormalContext. The functor δ_C yields a function from the attributes of C into the carrier of ConceptLattice C and is defined by the condition (Def. 5).

(Def. 5) Let a be an element of the attributes of C . Then there exists a subset O of the objects of C and there exists a subset A of the attributes of C such that $\delta_C(a) = \langle O, A \rangle$ and $O = (\text{AttributeDerivation } C)(\{a\})$ and $A = (\text{ObjectDerivation } C)((\text{AttributeDerivation } C)(\{a\}))$.

The following propositions are true:

- (11) Let C be a FormalContext, o be an object of C , and a be an Attribute of C . Then $(\gamma(C))(o)$ is a FormalConcept of C and $\delta_C(a)$ is a FormalConcept of C .
- (12) For every FormalContext C holds $\text{rng } \gamma(C)$ is supremum-dense and $\text{rng } (\delta_C)$ is infimum-dense.
- (13) Let C be a FormalContext, o be an object of C , and a be an Attribute of C . Then o is connected with a if and only if $(\gamma(C))(o) \sqsubseteq \delta_C(a)$.

2. THE CHARACTERIZATION

Next we state the proposition

- (14) Let L be a complete lattice and C be a FormalContext. Then $\text{ConceptLattice}C$ and L are isomorphic if and only if there exists a function g from the objects of C into the carrier of L and there exists a function d from the attributes of C into the carrier of L such that $\text{rng}g$ is supremum-dense and $\text{rng}d$ is infimum-dense and for every object o of C and for every Attribute a of C holds o is connected with a iff $g(o) \sqsubseteq d(a)$.

Let L be a lattice. The functor $\text{Context}L$ yielding a strict non quasi-empty ContextStr is defined by:

- (Def. 6) $\text{Context}L = \langle \text{the carrier of } L, \text{ the carrier of } L, \text{LattRel}(L) \rangle$.

The following two propositions are true:

- (15) For every complete lattice L holds $\text{ConceptLattice}(\text{Context}L)$ and L are isomorphic.
 (16) For every lattice L holds L is complete iff there exists a FormalContext C such that $\text{ConceptLattice}C$ and L are isomorphic.

3. DUAL CONCEPT LATTICES

Let L be a complete lattice. Observe that L° is complete.

Let C be a FormalContext. The functor C° yields a strict non quasi-empty ContextStr and is defined as follows:

- (Def. 7) $C^\circ = \langle \text{the attributes of } C, \text{ the objects of } C, (\text{the information of } C)^\sim \rangle$.

The following propositions are true:

- (17) For every strict FormalContext C holds $(C^\circ)^\circ = C$.
 (18) For every FormalContext C and for every subset O of the objects of C holds $(\text{ObjectDerivation}C)(O) = (\text{AttributeDerivation}C^\circ)(O)$.
 (19) For every FormalContext C and for every subset A of the attributes of C holds $(\text{AttributeDerivation}C)(A) = (\text{ObjectDerivation}C^\circ)(A)$.

Let C be a FormalContext and let C_1 be a ConceptStr over C . The functor C_1° yields a strict ConceptStr over C° and is defined by:

- (Def. 8) The extent of $C_1^\circ =$ the intent of C_1 and the intent of $C_1^\circ =$ the extent of C_1 .

Let C be a FormalContext and let C_1 be a FormalConcept of C . Then C_1° is a strict FormalConcept of C° .

We now state the proposition

- (20) For every FormalContext C and for every strict FormalConcept C_1 of C holds $(C_1^\circ)^\circ = C_1$.

Let C be a FormalContext. The functor $\text{DualHomomorphism}C$ yielding a homomorphism from $(\text{ConceptLattice}C)^\circ$ to $\text{ConceptLattice}C^\circ$ is defined by:

- (Def. 9) For every strict FormalConcept C_1 of C holds $(\text{DualHomomorphism}C)(C_1) = C_1^\circ$.

We now state two propositions:

- (21) For every FormalContext C holds $\text{DualHomomorphism}C$ is isomorphism.
 (22) For every FormalContext C holds $\text{ConceptLattice}C^\circ$ and $(\text{ConceptLattice}C)^\circ$ are isomorphic.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 4, 1992. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol4/lattice3.html>.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_1.html.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/funct_2.html.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/partfun1.html>.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/zfmisc_1.html.
- [6] Bernhard Ganter and Rudolf Wille. *Formal Concept Analysis*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1996. (written in German).
- [7] Jolanta Kamieńska and Jarosław Stanisław Walijewski. Homomorphisms of lattices, finite join and finite meet. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 5, 1993. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol5/lattice4.html>.
- [8] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/setfam_1.html.
- [9] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/pre_topc.html.
- [10] Christoph Schwarzweiler. Introduction to concept lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 10, 1998. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol10/conlat_1.html.
- [11] Christoph Schwarzweiler. Noetherian lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 11, 1999. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol11/lattice6.html>.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/domain_1.html.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, Axiomatics, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Axiomatics/tarski.html>.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/mcart_1.html.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Finite join and finite meet, and dual lattices. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 2, 1990. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol2/lattice2.html>.
- [16] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/orders_1.html.
- [17] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/subset_1.html.
- [18] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/relset_1.html.
- [19] Stanisław Żukowski. Introduction to lattice theory. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989. <http://mizar.org/JFM/Vol1/lattices.html>.

Received August 17, 1999

Published January 2, 2004
