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ABSTRACT: Africanastrongylus giganticus n. sp. is described based on large ostertagiine nematodes occurring in the abomasum of African buffalo, Syncerus caffer, from Uganda; this represents the second species recognized in the genus. Specimens of A. giganticus are characterized by large size (15–19 mm in total length), a strongly tapering synlophe in the cervical region, and a great number of ridges at all levels of the body (maximum 72 attained in the third quarter); numbers of ridges exceed that reported among any known genera and species of the Ostertagiae. We refer A. giganticus to this genus based on a strongly tapering lateral synlophe, relatively large numbers of ridges at all levels of the body, miniscule cervical papillae, poorly demarcated divisions of the ovejector, absence of vulval cuticular inflations, and the presence of slightly protruding lips at the vulva. It is distinguished from its congener, Africanastrongylus buceros, in total length, maximum number of ridges (68–72 vs. 53, respectively), structure and disposition of the synlophe, presence of strongly spiraled ovarian tracks, and eggs that are distributed in 3 or more rows in the uterus. A superficial resemblance to Longistrongylus meyeri, the only other large ostertagiine in the African fauna, is evident; these species, however, are distinct based on the synlophe and other characters. Recognition of a second species of Africanastrongylus represented by nematodes of large size suggests that prior reports of L. meyeri in Syncerus caffer may be attributable to A. giganticus.

Ostertagiine and other abomasal nematodes associated with African or Cape buffalo (Syncerus caffer (Sparrman)) across the geographic range of this large bovid in sub-Saharan Africa have rarely been reported (Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008). Among the medium stomach worms, published records are limited to Longistrongylus meyeri Le Roux, 1931 and an unidentified species of Ostertagia Ransom, 1907 from Uganda, and Africanastrongylus buceros Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008 from Uganda, Kenya, and South Africa (Dinnik et al., 1963; Bwangamoi, 1968; Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008). Haemonchine nematodes, including species of Haemonchus Cobb, 1898 and Ashworthia Le Roux, 1930 have also been found in African buffalo (Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008).

Surveys of abomasal nematode diversity among African ungulates were conducted by J. Bindernagle at localities in Uganda during the late 1960s; specimens from these collections were initially evaluated at the U.S. National Parasite Collection by W. W. Becklund and M. L. Walker and later by J. R. Lichtenfels (U.S. National Parasite Collection, unpubl. documents). Comparative morphological studies by W. W. Becklund and M. L. Walker noted the distinctive nature of some of these abomasal nematodes, which were subsequently described and referred to new genera and species among the Ostertagiae (Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008; Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009a).

Among the materials of the Bindernagle Collection were specimens of abomasal nematodes found in 8 African buffalo from Uganda. Of these, small male and female ostertagiine nematodes in 2 hosts were included among the type series for Africanastrongylus Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008. Additional female specimens (4 and 5 adult nematodes) of a substantially larger trichostrongyloid were found in 2 other hosts from separate localities in Uganda, but were not considered in detail during studies that established Africanastrongylus. These 9 specimens, attaining nearly 20 mm in maximum length, were initially referred to an unidentified species of Bigalkenema Ortlepp, 1963 and later to Longistrongylus meyeri Le Roux, 1931, due to their large size (U.S. National Parasite Collection, unpubl. records).

Subsequent evaluations have indicated that these large specimens in S. caffer are distinct from species of Longistrongylus, A. buceros, and other trichostrongyloids known in ungulates from the African fauna. Although the collection localities in Uganda are the same for these large ostertagines and A. buceros (West Acholí District and Toro District), different host series are involved. We suggest that 2 discrete congeneric ostertagines are sympatric, occur in S. caffer, but have not yet been observed in mixed infections in single hosts. Initial identification of these large specimens was not accompanied by detailed description of the synlophe or system of surface cuticular ridges characteristic of many trichostrongyloids (Durette-Desset, 1983). The synlophe has been shown to be a definitive character for separating genera and congeneric species among the ostertagine nematodes even in the absence of male worms (e.g., Lichtenfels, Pilitt, and Lancaster, 1988; Hoberg et al., 1999). Comparative studies of the synlophe, exploring patterns in the cervical region and numbers of ridges at specific levels of the body, were necessary to resolve the identity of the large female nematodes in African buffalo.

Comparisons of the synlophe among species of Longistrongylus Le Roux, 1931, and particularly cotypes and vouchers of L. meyeri, has highlighted the distinct nature of these large female specimens of S. caffer (Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009b). Similarity to the synlophe described in A. buceros was apparent, although both the pattern and number of ridges were considered to be sufficiently distinct to warrant recognition of additional species diversity in the genus. We describe and propose a second species of Africanastrongylus in African buffalo, which appears to occur in sympatry with A. buceros at a minimum from localities in Uganda.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimens examined

Abomasal nematodes in African buffalo or Cape buffalo, Syncerus caffer caffer (Sparrman), were collected at 2 adjacent localities from Uganda by Dr. J. Bindernagle in 1966 and 1967. Specimens included 4 and 5 female nematodes, respectively, in 2 adult buffalo collected near Anaka Village, West Acholí District, and Queen Elizabeth National Park, Toro
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District. These specimens have been archived permanently in the U.S. National Parasite Collection (USNPC), U.S. Department of Agriculture, Beltsville, Maryland, and are stored in a solution of 70% ethanol, 5% glycerin, and 3% formalin.

Other specimens examined

Comparative morphological evaluations were made in reference to type and voucher specimens: (1) allotype and other paratypes of Africastrongylus bucerus (USNPC 66,322.02 and 99,546-99,549) in Syncerus caffer; (2)atypes for Longistorongylus meyeri in Alcelaphus caama (British Museum of Natural History, BMNH 1998.11.20, 312-317); and (3) vouchers for L. meyeri in Eudorcas thomsonii (Royal Veterinary College, RVC (International Institute of Parasitology) 1673). Additional specimens and species of African ostertagines, including species of Longistorongylus and Robustorongylus aferensis Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009, which were examined as a basis for comparison, have been listed previously (Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008; Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009a, 2009b). Nomenclature for ungulate hosts in the present paper is consistent with that of Wilson and Reeder (2005).

Microscopy

Nematodes were prepared as temporary whole mounts cleared in phenol-alcohol (80 parts melted phenol crystals and 20 parts absolute ethanol) and examined with interference contrast microscopy. The synlophe was examined in whole mounts, with particular attention given to the pattern of ridge systems in the cervical zone and their extent posteriorly consistent with prior studies among the ostertagines (Lichtenfels, Pilitt, and Lancaster 1988; Hoberg et al., 1999; Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009b). Patterns for the synlophe in the cervical region are defined according to Lichtenfels, Pilitt, and Lancaster (1988). Transverse sections were hand cut with a cataract knife and mounted in glycerin jelly for 1 specimen. Sections were used to count the number of ridges at the esophageal-intestinal junction (EIj), first quarter, and midbody of total body length as determined from the anterior; additional counts of ridges from intact specimens are based on reconstructions. Description of the ovejectors is consistent with that of Lichtenfels et al. (2003).

RESULTS

Field collections to explore helminth diversity among free-ranging ungulates in eastern Africa revealed the occurrence of ostertagine nematodes in 4 of 8 Cape buffalo. Specimens in 2 hosts from the West Acholi District and Toro District of Uganda were naturally infected with a previously unrecognized species referable to Africastrongylus.

DESCRIPTION

Africastrongylus giganticus n. sp. Figs. 1–13

General description: Trichostrongyloidea, uncoiled, relatively large. Cuticle with well-developed synlophe, lacking gradient, with perpendicular orientation; maximum number of ridges 72 in third quarter. Cervical papillae (CP), miniscule, triangular, thorn-like, situated posterior to subventral gland orifices (SVGO and excretory pore (EXP) near midlength of esophagus. Cuticular ornamentation at EXP lacking. Esophagus with prominent valve at EIj.

Synlophe: Synlophe bilaterally symmetrical, with ridges extending from base of cephalic vesicle to near caudal extremity in female. Ridges acutely pointed, with perpendicular orientation and absence of gradient, as viewed in transverse section. Anterior to EIj, cervical pattern, laterally, Type 1b, strongly tapering; ventrally, Type A, parallel. Continuous subventral and sublateral ridges present in cervical region. Tapering pattern laterally is complex, with pairs initiating symmetrically in the sublateral fields, and converging and terminating on the lateralmost ridge in posterior region of cervical zone. Overall, 5–6 pairs of ridges terminate along lateralmost ridge in cervical region and tapering pattern extends posterior to the EIJ; termination somewhat asymmetrical, with right and left ridges of respective pairs ending at different levels. Four to five narrowly spaced ridges present in each lateral field. Synlophe initiates, with about 30 ridges at base of cephalic capsule; numbers of ridges increase posterial from cervical zone, attaining maximum near midbody and into third quarter. At EIJ, ridges number 63–70; in first quarter 63–64; at midbody 66–68; in third quarter 68–72. Synlophe is continuous at vulva and irregular cuticular inflations are absent; ridges terminate ventrally near 300–400 µm, laterally about 150 µm anterior to anus, and dorsally near level of anus.

Male: currently unknown.

Female: Large nematodes lacking prominent cuticular ornamentation otherwise than synlophe. Total length (n = 7) 15.6–19.2 mm (16.7 ± 2.1); maximum width (n = 7) 180–210 attained in region from near midbody to near level of vulva; ratio of total body length:maximum width 1:74–89. Cephalic vesicle 107–112 in length. Esophagus (n = 7) 855–1035 (940 ± 62) long; 5.1–6.2% (5.6 ± 3.5) of total body length. Base of esophagus bulbous, valve at EIJ (n = 7) 105–125 (116 ± 7.5) long, (n = 7) 78–100 (85 ± 10) in maximum width. SVGO (n = 7) 320–442 (379 ± 37), nerve ring (n = 7) 338–425 (393 ± 28), EXP (n = 7) 412–517 (482 ± 34, and CP (n = 7) 442–545 (511 ± 33) from cephalic extremity; position of EXP at 43–57 and CP at 46–61% of esophageal length from anterior. Ovaries didelphic, amphidelphic, strongly convoluted, spiraled around intestine. Vulva with slightly protruding lips, opens as ventral transverse slit (n = 7) at 12.8–15.7 mm or 80–83% (81 ± 1.1) of body length from anterior; cuticular inflations and fans absent; synlophe continuous at vulva. Perivulval pores not seen. Ovejectors well developed, spincthers S1 and S2 not clearly differentiated from vestibule. Total ovejector length (n = 6) 934–1220 (1095 ± 109); anterior infundibulum (n = 6) 212–315 (271 ± 41); anterior spincther, including S1, S2, and vestibule (n = 7), 235–310 (275 ± 24); posterior spincther, including S1, S2, and vestibule (n = 7) 235–290 (262 ± 25); posterior infundibulum (n = 7) 207–340 (271 ± 41). Eggs ovoid, with thin shell (n = 15), 55–75 (69 ± 8) long by 35–45 (40 ± 3) wide; oriented in multiple rows, usually 3 or more, in anterior and posterior uterine limbs. Tail conical (n = 7) 142–212 (182 ± 25), bluntly rounded at caudal extremity, with weak annulations present distal to synlophe termination.

Taxonomic summary

Host: African buffalo, S. caffer (Sparman), type host.
Locality: Type locality: In type host from West Acholi District, Uganda; ca. 02°45'N, 032°10' E. (male host, no. 227 on 31 March 1967).
Other locality: Queen Elizabeth National Park, Toro District, Uganda; ca. 00°19' N, 032°58' E. (male host, no. 5 on 20 June 1966).
Specimens: Holotype female, USNPC 101,610; male unknown. Para- types include: (1) USNPC 66,319, from type locality, 3 females; (2) USNPC 92,198, from alternate locality, 3 females and 2 posterior ends.
Etymology: The name “giganticus” refers to the large size of specimens for this species of Africastrongylus.

Remarks

In the African fauna, ostertagines are represented by 10 genera, including 5 that are endemic (Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008; Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009a). Among this assemblage, Africastrongylus giganticus n. sp. is immediately excluded from Cervicaprastrongylus Gibbons and Khalil, 1982; Hystrostrongylus Hall, 1921; Pseudo-marshallagia (Roetti, 1941); Marshallagia (Orloff, 1933); and Hamulonema Hoberg and Abrams, 2008 based on the structure of the strongly tapering Type 1b lateral synlophe (Fig. 1) (Hoberg, Lichtenfels, and Pilitt 1993b; Lichtenfels and Hoberg, 1993; Hoberg and Abrams, 2008; Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009b). Laterally, the cervical synlophe in species referred to these genera is Type 2 parallel. Specimens of A. giganticus are also distinct from Robustorongylus Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009 based on the structure of esophagus and synlophe, and general proportions of the body (Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009a) (Figs. 1–8). Differentiation of A. giganticus from Ostertagia Ransom, 1907 and Teladorsagia Andreewa and Satabulin, 1954 is based on structure of the synlophe, miniscule CP, relative position of the EXP and CP, and absence of irregular cuticular inflations at level of vulva (Lichtenfels, Pavlov, and Hoberg, 1993; Hoberg et al., 1999). In further contrast, females of A. giganticus are substantially larger than nematodes referred to any species among this group of 8 genera.

Specimens of A. giganticus show resemblance to some species of Longistorongylus. All species of Longistorongylus, however, are generally
characterized by large CP, relatively few ridges comprising the synlophe (in females usually not exceeding 45 at the midbody), and irregular inflations at the level of the vulva (Gibbons, 1977; Hoberg, Lichtenfels, and Pilitt, 1993a; Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009b). Specimens of *L. meyeri* are an exception among the 8 species of *Longistrongylus* in having a greater number of midbody ridges (50–58) than congeners and in the absence of cuticular inflations at the vulva.

Specimens of *A. giganticus* are similar to those of *L. meyeri* in large size and overall dimensions, in positions of the EXP and CP relative to mid-length of the esophagus, in spiraled ovarian tracks, structure of the

**Figure 1.** *Africanastrongylus giganticus* n. sp. showing pattern for the synlophe in ventral and lateral fields in the cervical zone anterior to the base of the esophagus in the holotype specimen. Note strongly tapering Type 1b system laterally and parallel Type A ventral system ventrally, and large number of ridges at level of the esophageal-intestinal junction (EIJ). Cervical papillae (CP) adjacent to lateralmost ridge and excretory pore (EXP) on ventralmost ridge; orifices of the subventral esophageal glands (SVGO) are situated anterior to the EXP and CP; orientation is indicated by L = lateral, D = dorsal, and V = ventral.
slightly protruding transverse vulva lacking inflations, and in the bluntly rounded and relatively short conical tail (Figs. 4–8, 12). The cotypes for *L. meyeri* exceed 20 mm in maximum length and are substantially larger than any other known ostertagines in the African fauna (Le Roux, 1931; Gibbons, 1977; Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008; E. P. Hoberg, P. A. Pilitt, and A. Abrams, unpubl. obs.). In *L. meyeri*, the spiraling for the ovarian tracks can be somewhat variable as indicated by the structure in the cotype specimens (strongly spiraled) and vouchers attributed to this species in *Nanger granti* (weakly spiraled) (Le Roux, 1931; Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009a). Similarities outlined above are considered to be superficial, as specimens of *A. giganticus* further differ in the strongly tapering lateral cervical synlophe composed of a large number of ridges, and an esophageal-intestinal valve of relatively small dimensions (Figs. 1–4); in females and males of *L. meyeri*, the lateral synlophe is entirely parallel and the EIV is massive, 150–161 µm in length (E. P. Hoberg, P. A. Pilitt, and A. Abrams, unpubl. obs.).

Although we conclude that *A. giganticus* is not consistent with *Longistrongylus*, a male counterpart for the former remains to be identified. If attributable to *Longistrongylus*, this male would have a 2–1–2 bursal form, lack a gubernaculum and proconus, and be otherwise consistent with congeners (Gibbons, 1977; Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008; Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009a). The male should also agree with respect to the structure of the synlophe (tapering cervical pattern and potentially greater number of ridges than the female) and in the length of the esophageal valve (Hoberg, Abrams, and Pilitt, 2009b). Currently, all male ostertagines known in the African fauna have been described in association with female conspecifics.

Specimens of *A. giganticus* have greatest similarity to *A. buceros*, currently known only in *S. caffer* from Uganda, Kenya, and South Africa.
We refer *A. giganticus* to this genus based on a strongly tapering lateral synlophe, relatively large numbers of ridges at all levels of the body, miniscule CP, position of the CP and EXP near or slightly posterior to the midlength of the esophagus, poorly demarcated divisions of the ovejector (sphincters S1, S2, and vestibule), absence of vulval cuticular inflations, and presence of slightly protruding lips at the vulva (Figs. 1–5, 9–12). Female specimens of *A. giganticus* differ substantially from *A. buceros* in total length, maximum number of ridges.
Figures 9–13. Ovjectors and associated structural characters of the female genital system in *Africanastrostrongylus giganticus* n. sp. (9) Ovjectors in right lateral view of holotype, USNPC 101,610, showing relationships for the vulva (vu), anterior and posterior infundibula (inf), sphincters 1 (s1), and combined sphincters 2 and vestibule (s2 + ve). Note that the demarcation between s2 and the vestibule is indistinct. (10) Posterior sphincter, vestibule, and vulva in right lateral view of paratype specimen, USNPC 92,198, showing protruding lip of vulva. (11) Vulva, in ventral view, showing structure and adjacent ridges of the synlophe in paratype specimen, USNPC 92,198. (12) Structure of ovarian limbs, showing spiraled position around the intestine in a paratype USNPC 66,319. (13) Eggs within the uterus of the holotype female, showing disposition in multiple rows and thin-walled structure.
Recognition of *A. giganticus* n. sp., represented by nematodes of large size, suggests that prior reports of *L. meyeri* in *Syncerus* may be attributable to this species. We have noted a superficial resemblance between *A. giganticus* and *L. meyeri*, particularly, large dimensions as indicated by cotype specimens of the latter species (see Le Roux, 1931; Gibbons, 1977; Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008). Specimens attributed to *L. meyeri* from this bovid, however, were not included in the redescription by Gibbons (1977), and only material in antelopes was represented.

Longistrongylus meyeri has been the only species of this genus reported in free-ranging Bovinae from Africa (Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008). The type host for *L. meyeri* is among the Alcelaphinae, and most records for this ostertagine are from species among the Antilopinae, Reduncinae, and Hippotraginae (Le Roux, 1931; Gibbons, 1977; Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008). Specimens reported in African buffalo from Uganda by Dinnik et al. (1963) were not accompanied by redressions, nor was it indicated whether vouchers had been deposited in a museum collection; prevalence or intensity of infections among the 10 animals examined was not reported. Bwangamoi (1968) repeats this record and 1 for an unidentified species of *Ostertagia*, but apparently did not collect additional specimens from *S. caffer*. At the time of these reports, characterization or identification of these worms would not have been based either on the synolophe or structure of the esophageal valve (e.g., Lichtenfels and Hoberg, 1993). Gibbons (1977) did not include material collected by Dinnik et al. (1963) in a redescription of *L. meyeri*, and our attempts to locate this material in any of the major international collections were unsuccessful. We would conclude that perhaps these records for *L. meyeri* in *S. caffer* are in error. This would also suggest that species of *Longistrongylus* may be limited in distribution to antelopes and that typical hosts may not include free-ranging Bovinae (see Hoberg, Abrams, and Ezenwa, 2008).

### DISCUSSION

### Ostertagelines in Syncerus caffer

Recognition of *A. giganticus* n. sp., represented by nematodes of large size, suggests that prior reports of *L. meyeri* in *Syncerus* may be attributable to this species. We have noted a superficial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th><em>A. buceros</em> (n = 18)</th>
<th><em>A. giganticus</em> (n = 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body length</td>
<td>9,712–12,610 (11,217 ± 909)</td>
<td>15,600–19,200 (16,700 ± 1,210)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body width (max)</td>
<td>140 (anterior to vulva)</td>
<td>180–210 (anterior to vulva)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagus length</td>
<td>775–905 (834 ± 34)</td>
<td>855–1,035 (940 ± 62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagus &amp; body length</td>
<td>6.4–8.7 (7.5 ± 0.7)</td>
<td>5.1–6.2 (5.6 ± 3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal valve length</td>
<td>92–112 (101 ± 6)</td>
<td>105–125 (116 ± 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal valve width</td>
<td>45–78 (66 ± 8)</td>
<td>78–100 (85 ± 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subventral gland orifices</td>
<td>285–342 (306 ± 14)</td>
<td>320–442 (379 ± 37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excretory pore</td>
<td>305–482 (394 ± 55)</td>
<td>412–517 (482 ± 34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical papillae</td>
<td>320–545 (421 ± 64)</td>
<td>442–545 (511 ± 33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synolophe cervical lateral</td>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>Type I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synolophe cervical ventral</td>
<td>Type B</td>
<td>Type A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridges, midbody</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>66–68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridges, maximum</td>
<td>53 (anterior quarter)</td>
<td>72 (in third quarter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian limbs</td>
<td>Straight, parallel to intestine</td>
<td>Spiraled around intestine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovejector total length</td>
<td>795–1,016 (911 ± 77)</td>
<td>934–1,220 (1095 ± 109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior infundibulum</td>
<td>185–292 (240 ± 30)</td>
<td>212–315 (271 ± 41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior sphincter</td>
<td>110–192 (149 ± 24)</td>
<td>235–310 (275 ± 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestibule</td>
<td>70–205 (144 ± 42)</td>
<td>235–290 (262 ± 25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior sphincter</td>
<td>98–162 (140 ± 17)</td>
<td>207–340 (271 ± 41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior infundibulum</td>
<td>170–267 (231 ± 36)</td>
<td>207–340 (271 ± 41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg distribution</td>
<td>1–2 rows</td>
<td>3–4 rows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg length</td>
<td>62–82 (72 ± 6)</td>
<td>55–75 (69 ± 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg width</td>
<td>30–50 (41 ± 4)</td>
<td>35–45 (40 ± 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>142–218 (167 ± 20)</td>
<td>142–212 (182 ± 25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Vestibule in specimens of *A. giganticus* is not clearly demarcated from proximal region of S2; measurements for either the anterior or posterior sphincter include S1, S2, and the vestibule.
2008). Further, it is apparent that there is a paucity of voucher specimens that have been deposited in museum collections as a basis for documenting the diversity and structure of the nematode fauna among African ungulates (Hoberg, Abrams and Plitt, 2009b).

Synlophe as a diagnostic character

In all specimens of *L. meyeri* examined by us (the only ostertagine of comparable size in the African fauna), we demonstrated that there is limited and definable variation in the number of ridges comprising the synlophe, respectively, in males and females (Hoberg, Abrams and Plitt, 2009b). In *L. meyeri*, both very large worms, e.g., cotyotes described by Le Roux (1931), and considerably smaller worms, e.g., those in *E. thomsonii* included in the redescription by Gibbons (1977), have comparable numbers of ridges. Thus, the size (diameter) of the worm, either for females or males, does not influence the number of ridges or the pattern, although ridge number varies in a definable manner and sexual dimorphism in this character is evident, particularly in species of *Longistongylus* and in *A. buceros* (Boomker and Durette-Desset, 1997; Hoberg, Abrams, and Plitt, 2009b). These generalities have been demonstrated in *Teladorsagia* (Hoberg et al., 1999) and established in studies on related ostertagines (Hoberg, Lichtenfels, and Plitt, 1993c; Lichtenfels et al., 1993), where ridge number is independent of worm diameter.

Observations imply that irrespective of body size, the number of ridges should not vary beyond a definable range, seldom exceeding 10 at any comparable level of the body for male or female conspecifics (Hoberg, Abrams, and Plitt, 2009b). Thus, extreme differences in ridge number, as shown for *A. buceros* and *A. giganteus*, would be expected to be diagnostic for species-level diversity. This is similar to the situation for numbers of ridges as observed in *Teladorsagia circumcincta* (Stadelman, 1894) versus *Teladorsagia boreoarcticus* Hoberg, Monsen, Kutz, and Blouin, 1999, which have been demonstrated, based on both morphological and molecular criteria, to be poorly differentiated cryptic species.
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