ON SOME INEQUALITIES FOR THE GAMMA AND PSI FUNCTIONS

HORST ALZER

ABSTRACT. We present new inequalities for the gamma and psi functions, and we provide new classes of completely monotonic, star-shaped, and superadditive functions which are related to Γ and ψ .

1

Euler's gamma function

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt \qquad (x > 0)$$

is one of the most important functions in analysis and its applications. The history and the development of this function are described in detail in a paper by P. J. Davis [10].

There exists a very extensive literature on the gamma function. In particular, numerous remarkable inequalities involving Γ and its logarithmic derivative $\psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$ have been published by different authors; see, e.g., [2], [3], [6], [7], [9], [12], [13], [18]–[27], [29]–[33], [35]–[46], [50]. Many of these inequalities follow immediately from the monotonicity properties of functions which are closely related to Γ and ψ . In several recent papers [2], [9], [24], [39] it is proved that these functions are not only monotonic, but even completely monotonic. We recall that a function f is said to be completely monotonic on an interval I if f has derivatives of all orders on I which alternate successively in sign, that is,

$$(1.1) (-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \ge 0$$

for all $x \in I$ and for all $n \ge 0$. If inequality (1.1) is strict for all $x \in I$ and all $n \ge 0$, then f is said to be strictly completely monotonic.

It is known that completely monotonic functions play an eminent role in areas like probability theory [15], numerical analysis [49], physics [11], and the theory of special functions. For instance, M. E. Muldoon [39] showed how the notation of complete monotonicity can be used to characterize the gamma function. An interesting exposition of the main results on completely monotonic functions is given in [48].

"In view of the importance of completely monotonic functions ... it may be of interest to add to the available list of such functions" [24, p. 1]. It is the main

Received by the editor October 13, 1995 and, in revised form, March 4, 1996.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33B15; Secondary 26D07.

Key words and phrases. Gamma function, psi function, complete monotonicity, inequalities, star-shaped functions, super-additive functions, infinite divisibility, Laplace transform.

purpose of this paper to present new classes of completely monotonic functions which are all closely related to the gamma and psi functions. Applications of our monotonicity theorems lead to new inequalities for Γ and ψ . Furthermore, we extend and sharpen known inequalities due to W. Gautschi, H. Minc and L. Sathre, and others, and we provide new classes of star-shaped and super-additive functions. In the final section we apply one of our results to present functions which are Laplace transforms of infinitely divisible probability measures.

2

In a recently published article G. D. Anderson et al. [3] proved that the function $f(x) = x(\log(x) - \psi(x))$ is strictly decreasing and strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, the authors presented (complicated) proofs for

(2.1)
$$\lim_{x \to 0} f(x) = 1$$
 and $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = 1/2$.

We note that the limits (2.1) follow immediately from the representations

$$f(x) = x\log(x) - x\psi(x+1) + 1$$

and

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{12x} - \frac{\theta}{120x^3}$$
 (0 < \theta < 1);

see [16, p. 824].

From (2.1) and the monotonicity of f we conclude

(2.2)
$$\frac{1}{2x} < \log(x) - \psi(x) < \frac{1}{x} \qquad (x > 0).$$

This extends a result of H. Minc and L. Sathre [37], who established (2.2) for x > 1, and used it to prove several discrete inequalities involving the geometric mean of the first n positive integers. Refinements of (2.2) were given by L. Gordon [22]. Our first theorem provides an extension of the result given by Anderson et al.; we prove that f is not only decreasing and convex, but even completely monotonic.

Theorem 1. Let α be a real number. The function

$$f_{\alpha}(x) = x^{\alpha}(\log(x) - \psi(x))$$

is strictly completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 1$.

Proof. First, we show that f_1 is strictly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Using Binet's formula [14, p. 18] we obtain the representation

(2.3)
$$f_1(x) = x \int_0^\infty \varphi(t)e^{-tx} dt,$$

where

$$\varphi(t) = 1/(1 - e^{-t}) - 1/t.$$

Easy computations reveal that the function φ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{t\to 0} \varphi(t) = 1/2$ and $\lim_{t\to \infty} \varphi(t) = 1$.

Let $n \geq 1$; from (2.3) we get

(2.4)

$$(-1)^{n} f_{1}^{(n)}(x) = x(-1)^{n} \frac{d^{n}}{dx^{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-tx} dt$$

$$- n(-1)^{n-1} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dx^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-tx} dt$$

$$= x \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-tx} t^{n} dt - n \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-tx} t^{n-1} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{n/x} \varphi(t) e^{-tx} t^{n-1} (tx - n) dt + \int_{n/x}^{\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-tx} t^{n-1} (tx - n) dt.$$

If 0 < t < n/x, then we obtain $\varphi(t) < \varphi(n/x)$; and if n/x < t, then we have $\varphi(n/x) < \varphi(t)$. Hence, from (2.4) we get

(2.5)
$$(-1)^n f_1^{(n)}(x) > \varphi(n/x) \int_0^{n/x} e^{-tx} t^{n-1} (tx - n) dt$$

$$+ \varphi(n/x) \int_{n/x}^{\infty} e^{-tx} t^{n-1} (tx - n) dt$$

$$= \varphi(n/x) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx} t^{n-1} (tx - n) dt.$$

Using

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-tx} t^m dt = (m!)/x^{m+1} \qquad (x > 0; m = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

we conclude

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-tx} t^{n-1} (tx - n) \, dt = 0,$$

so that (2.5) implies

$$(-1)^n f_1^{(n)}(x) > 0$$
 for $x > 0$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

From Leibniz' rule

$$(-1)^n (u(x)v(x))^{(n)} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (-1)^i u^{(i)}(x) (-1)^{n-i} v^{(n-i)}(x),$$

it follows that the product of two strictly completely monotonic functions is also strictly completely monotonic. Since $u_{\alpha}(x) = x^{\alpha-1}$ ($\alpha < 1$) is strictly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, we conclude that $f_{\alpha}(x) = u_{\alpha}(x)f_{1}(x)$ ($\alpha \leq 1$) has the same property.

Next, we assume that f_{α} is strictly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Then we have for all x > 0:

$$f'_{\alpha}(x) = x^{\alpha - 1} [\alpha(\log(x) - \psi(x)) + 1 - x\psi'(x)] < 0,$$

which implies

$$\alpha < \frac{x^2 \psi'(x) - x}{x(\log(x) - \psi(x))}.$$

If we let x tend to 0, then we get $\alpha \leq 1$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete. \square

Anderson et al. [3] used the monotonicity of f_1 to prove that the function $g_1(x) = x^{1/2}(e/x)^x\Gamma(x)$ is decreasing on $(0,\infty)$, and that $g_2(x) = x(e/x)^x\Gamma(x)$ is increasing on $(0,\infty)$. The following theorem provides a slight extension of these results.

Theorem 2. Let $a \ge 0$, r and s be real numbers. The function

$$F_r(x) = x^r (e/x)^x \Gamma(x)$$

is decreasing on (a, ∞) if and only if $r \leq 1/2$; and the function

$$G_s(x) = x^s (e/x)^x \Gamma(x)$$

is increasing on (a, ∞) if and only if

$$s \ge \begin{cases} a(\log(a) - \psi(a)) & \text{if } a > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } a = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $F'_r(x) \leq 0$ is equivalent to

$$r < x(\log(x) - \psi(x)) = f_1(x),$$

the first part of Theorem 2 follows from the fact that f_1 is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and tends to 1/2 if x tends to ∞ . The second part can be proved similarly. We omit the details.

Remark. Let g be a strictly completely monotonic function on $(0, \infty)$, and let c be a real number. From Theorem 1 we conclude that the function

$$(2.6) x \mapsto g(x)(f_1(x) - c)$$

is strictly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \le 1/2$. This extends a result of M. E. Muldoon [39], who proved the complete monotonicity of (2.6) for the special case g(x) = 1/x.

3

In 1974, C. H. Kimberling [28] established the following property of completely monotonic functions: If f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ and satisfies $0 < f(x) \le 1$ for all $x \ge 0$, then $\log(f)$ is super-additive on $[0, \infty)$.

We recall that a function g is said to be super-additive on an interval I if

$$g(x) + g(y) \le g(x+y)$$
 for all $x, y \in I$ with $x + y \in I$.

In the previous section we have proved that $f(x) = x(\log(x) - \psi(x))$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$, completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, and $1/2 < f(x) \le 1$ for all $x \ge 0$, so that Kimberling's theorem implies

$$1 \le \frac{f(x+y)}{f(x)f(y)} \qquad (x,y \ge 0).$$

This leads to the problem to determine sharp upper and lower bounds for the ratio f(x+y)/(f(x)f(y)).

Theorem 3. Let $f(x) = x(\log(x) - \psi(x))$. Then we have for all real $x, y \ge 0$:

(3.1)
$$1 \le \frac{f(x+y)}{f(x)f(y)} < 2.$$

Both bounds are best possible.

Proof. To prove the second inequality of (3.1) we define

$$g(x,y) = f(x+y)/f(x).$$

Partial differentiation yields

(3.2)
$$\frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial x} = \frac{f(x+y)}{f(x)} \left[\frac{f'(x+y)}{f(x+y)} - \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right].$$

Let

$$h(x,y) = f'(x+y)/f(x+y);$$

then we have

(3.3)
$$\frac{\partial h(x,y)}{\partial y} = [f''(x+y)f(x+y) - (f'(x+y))^2]/(f(x+y))^2.$$

Since completely monotonic functions are log-convex (see [17]), we conclude from (3.3) and Theorem 1 that $\partial h(x,y)/\partial y \geq 0$. This implies

$$(3.4) h(x,y) \ge h(x,0),$$

so that (3.2) and (3.4) lead to

$$\frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial x} \ge 0$$
 and $g(x,y) \le \lim_{x \to \infty} g(x,y) = 1$.

Thus, we have

$$\frac{f(x+y)}{f(x)} \le 1 < 2f(y) \quad \text{for } x, y \ge 0.$$

From

$$\lim_{y \to 0} \frac{f(x+y)}{f(x)f(y)} = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x+y)}{f(x)f(y)} = \lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{1}{f(y)} = 2,$$

we conclude that both bounds in (3.1) are sharp.

Remark. If we set

$$Q_{\alpha}(x,y) = f_{\alpha}(x+y)/(f_{\alpha}(x)f_{\alpha}(y)),$$

where $f_{\alpha}(x) = x^{\alpha}(\log(x) - \psi(x))$ and $\alpha \neq 1$, then we conclude from the limit relations

$$\lim_{y \to 0} \lim_{x \to \infty} Q_{\alpha}(x, y) = \lim_{y \to 0} \frac{1}{f_{\alpha}(y)} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \lim_{x \to \infty} Q_{\alpha}(x, y) = \lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{1}{f_{\alpha}(y)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \\ \infty & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \end{cases}$$

that the inequalities $0 \le Q_{\alpha}(x,y) < \infty \ (x,y>0; \alpha \ne 1)$ cannot be refined.

In 1974, W. Gautschi [20] proved that the function $x \mapsto x\psi(x)$ is convex on $(0,\infty)$, and applied this result to establish some mean value inequalities involving the gamma function. Our next theorem provides an extension of Gautschi's proposition.

Theorem 4. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then we have for all real x > 0:

$$(4.1) 0 < (-1)^n x^{n-1} [x\psi(x)]^{(n)} < (n-2)!.$$

Both bounds are best possible.

Proof. Let $f(x) = x(\log(x) - \psi(x))$ and let n > 2. From Theorem 1 we obtain

$$0 < (-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^n (x \log(x))^{(n)} - (-1)^n (x \psi(x))^{(n)}$$
$$= \frac{(n-2)!}{x^{n-1}} - (-1)^n (x \psi(x))^{(n)},$$

which leads to the second inequality of (4.1). Since

$$\psi^{(m)}(x) = (-1)^{m+1} m! \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+i)^{m+1}} \qquad (m=1,2,\dots),$$

we get

(4.2)
$$(-1)^{n} (x\psi(x))^{(n)} = (-1)^{n} [x\psi^{(n)}(x) + n\psi^{(n-1)}(x)]$$

$$= n! \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{(x+i)^{n+1}} > 0,$$

which implies the left-hand inequality of (4.1).

It remains to show that the bounds in (4.1) cannot be refined. Using $\psi^{(m)}(x) = \psi^{(m)}(x+1) + (-1)^{m+1} m! / x^{m+1} \ (m=0,1,\ldots)$, we get

$$(-1)^n x^{n-1} (x\psi(x))^{(n)} = (-1)^n x^{n-1} [x\psi^{(n)}(x+1) + n\psi^{(n-1)}(x+1)].$$

Hence, we have

$$\lim_{x \to 0} (-1)^n x^{n-1} (x\psi(x))^{(n)} = 0.$$

Let $m \ge 1$ be an integer; from

$$\frac{1}{mx^m} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{(x+t)^{m+1}} \le \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(x+i)^{m+1}} \le \frac{1}{x^{m+1}} + \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{(x+t)^{m+1}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{x^{m+1}} + \frac{1}{mx^m},$$

we conclude

$$(m-1)! \le m! x^m \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+i)^{m+1}} = -(-1)^m x^m \psi^{(m)}(x)$$

 $\le \frac{m!}{x} + (m-1)!,$

which implies

(4.3)
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} (-1)^m x^m \psi^{(m)}(x) = -(m-1)! \qquad (m \ge 1).$$

From (4.2) and (4.3) we obtain

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} (-1)^n x^{n-1} (x\psi(x))^{(n)} = (n-2)!.$$

Hence, both bounds in (4.1) are best possible.

5

A function f is said to be star-shaped on $(0, \infty)$ if

$$(5.1) f(ax) \le af(x)$$

is valid for all x > 0 and for all $a \in (0,1)$. These functions have been investigated intensively by A. M. Bruckner and E. Ostrow [8]. It is well known that starshaped functions are super-additive. Indeed, from (5.1) we obtain $f(x) \le (x/(x+y))f(x+y)$ and $f(y) \le (y/(x+y))f(x+y)$; summing leads to $f(x)+f(y) \le f(x+y)$. In this section we answer the questions: For which real β is

$$x \mapsto \frac{(-1)^{k+1} x^{\beta}}{\psi^{(k)}(x) - (\log(x))^{(k)}} \qquad (0 \le k \in \mathbb{Z})$$

star-shaped; and for which β is this function super-additive?

Theorem 5. Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer and let β be a real number. The function

$$x \mapsto g_{\beta}(k; x) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1} x^{\beta}}{\psi^{(k)}(x) - (\log(x))^{(k)}}$$

is star-shaped on $(0,\infty)$ if and only if $\beta \geq -k$.

Proof. Let g_{β} be star-shaped on $(0, \infty)$. We assume (for a contradiction) that $\beta < -k$. We consider two cases. If k = 0, then inequality

$$q_{\beta}(0; ax) < aq_{\beta}(0; x)$$
 $(x > 0; 0 < a < 1)$

and Theorem 1 imply that

(5.2)
$$0 < \log(x) - \psi(x) \le \frac{a^{-\beta}}{x} (ax) [\log(ax) - \psi(ax)].$$

If we let a tend to 0, then we conclude from $\beta < 0$ that the product on the right-hand side of (5.2) tends to 0. Let $k \ge 1$; from

(5.3)
$$(-1)^{k+1}\psi^{(k)}(x) = k! \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+i)^{k+1}} > k! \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(x+t)^{k+1}}$$
$$= \frac{(k-1)!}{x^{k}} = (-1)^{k+1} (\log(x))^{(k)}$$

and

$$g_{\beta}(k; ax) \leq ag_{\beta}(k; x)$$

we obtain

$$0 < x^{-\beta} \left[(-1)^{k+1} \psi^{(k)}(x) - \frac{(k-1)!}{x^k} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{x} [(-1)^{k+1} (ax)^{1-\beta} \psi^{(k)}(ax) - (k-1)! (ax)^{1-\beta-k}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{x} [(-1)^{k+1} (ax)^{1-\beta} \psi^{(k)}(ax+1) + k! (ax)^{-\beta-k}$$

$$- (k-1)! (ax)^{1-\beta-k}].$$

Since $\beta < -k$, we conclude that each term on the right-hand side of (5.4) tends to 0 if a tends to 0. Hence, if g_{β} is star-shaped on $(0, \infty)$, then $\beta \geq -k$.

Next, we assume that $\beta \geq -k$; to prove

$$(5.5) g_{\beta}(k; ax) \le ag_{\beta}(k; x)$$

for x > 0 and $a \in (0,1)$, we reconsider two cases.

Case 1: k = 0. Then inequality (5.5) is equivalent to

$$\log(x) - \psi(x) \le a^{1-\beta} [\log(ax) - \psi(ax)] = F(a), \quad \text{say}.$$

It suffices to show that F is decreasing on (0,1]. We obtain

$$a^{\beta} F'(a) = (1 - \beta)[\log(ax) - \psi(ax)] + 1 - (ax)\psi'(ax).$$

If we set

$$G(z) = (1 - \beta)[\log(z) - \psi(z)] + 1 - z\psi'(z) \qquad (z > 0),$$

then we conclude from (5.3) (with k=1) and the right-hand side inequality of (4.1) (with n=2) that

$$G'(z) = \beta(\psi'(z) - 1/z) + 1/z - (z\psi(z))'' > 0.$$

From (2.2) and (4.3) we get

$$G(z) < \lim_{z \to \infty} G(z) = 0,$$

which implies F'(a) < 0 for all $a \in (0, 1]$.

Case 2: $k \ge 1$. Then inequality (5.5) can be written as

$$(5.6) H(1) \le H(a),$$

where

$$H(a) = a^{1-\beta} [(-1)^{k+1} \psi^{(k)}(ax) - (k-1)!/(ax)^k].$$

Differentiation yields

(5.7)
$$a^{\beta}H'(a) = (1-\beta)[(-1)^{k+1}\psi^{(k)}(ax) - (k-1)!/(ax)^{k}] + (-1)^{k+1}ax\psi^{(k+1)}(ax) + k!/(ax)^{k}.$$

We replace ax by z and denote the right-hand side of (5.7) by J(z). Then we obtain

(5.8)
$$J'(z) = (1 - \beta)[(-1)^{k+1}\psi^{(k+1)}(z) + k!/z^{k+1}] + (-1)^{k+1}\psi^{(k+1)}(z) + (-1)^{k+1}z\psi^{(k+2)}(z) - k!k/z^{k+1}.$$

From the second inequality of (4.1) we obtain

(5.9)
$$k!/z^{k+1} > (-1)^k (z\psi(z))^{(k+2)}$$
$$= (-1)^k [z\psi^{(k+2)}(z) + (k+2)\psi^{(k+1)}(z)].$$

Using (5.3), (5.8), and (5.9) we get

$$J'(z) > (\beta + k)[(-1)^k \psi^{(k+1)}(z) - k!/z^{k+1}] \ge 0.$$

Thus, J is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$. From (4.3) we conclude that $\lim_{z\to\infty} z^k J(z) = 0$, which implies that $J(z) \leq 0$ for all z > 0. Therefore, H in decreasing on (0, 1] which leads to inequality (5.6). This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6. Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer and let β be a real number. The function

$$x \mapsto g_{\beta}(k; x) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1} x^{\beta}}{\psi^{(k)}(x) - (\log(x))^{(k)}}$$

is super-additive on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\beta \geq -k$.

Proof. If $\beta \geq -k$, then we conclude from Theorem 5 that g_{β} is star-shaped, which implies that g_{β} is super-additive. Next, we suppose that

$$(5.10) g_{\beta}(k;x) + g_{\beta}(k;y) \le g_{\beta}(k;x+y)$$

holds for all x, y > 0. We set in (5.10) x = y and obtain after simple manipulations

$$2^{-\beta} \le \frac{x(\log(x) - \psi(x))}{2x(\log(2x) - \psi(2x))} \quad \text{if } k = 0,$$

and

$$2^{-\beta-k} \le \frac{(-1)^k x^{k+1} \psi^{(k)}(x+1) + (k-1)! x - k!}{(-1)^k (2x)^{k+1} \psi^{(k)}(2x+1) + (k-1)! (2x) - k!} \quad \text{if } k \ge 1.$$

If we let x tend to 0, then we obtain $\beta \geq -k$.

Remark. In 1989, S. Y. Trimble et al. [47] introduced an interesting subclass of the completely monotonic functions. A function g is called strongly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if

$$x \mapsto (-1)^n x^{n+1} g^{(n)}(x)$$

is nonnegative and decreasing on $(0,\infty)$ for $n=0,1,2,\ldots$. The authors showed that these functions have a close connection to star-shaped functions. Indeed, one of their results states: If g is strongly completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$ and $g\not\equiv 0$, then 1/g is star-shaped.

6

In the past many articles were published providing different inequalities for the ratio $\Gamma(x+1)/\Gamma(x+s)$, where x>0 and $s\in(0,1)$; see, e.g., [2], [13], [18], [25], [26], [29]–[31], [45], [50]. In this section we present upper and lower bounds for the difference $\psi(x+1)-\psi(x+s)$. In 1972, Y. L. Luke [33] considered the special case s=1/2. He pointed out that this difference can be represented in terms of Gauss' hypergeometric series

$$_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} \frac{z^{n}}{n!},$$

where $(a)_n = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a)$, namely,

$$\psi(x+1) - \psi(x+1/2) = \frac{1}{x+1/2} {}_{2}F_{1}(1,2x+1;2x+2;-1),$$

and used well-known Padé-approximation for ${}_2F_1$ to obtain rational bounds for $\psi(x+1)-\psi(x+1/2)$. By using a different approach we get the following sharp inequalities for $\psi(x+1)-\psi(x+s)$.

Theorem 7. Let $n \ge 0$ be an integer and let x > 0 and $s \in (0,1)$ be real numbers. Then we have

(6.1)
$$A_n(s;x) < \psi(x+1) - \psi(x+s) < A_n(s;x) + \delta_n(s;x),$$

where

$$A_n(s;x) = (1-s) \left[\frac{1}{x+s+n} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(x+i+1)(x+i+s)} \right]$$

and

$$\delta_n(s;x) = \frac{1}{x+n+s} \log \frac{(x+n)^{(x+n)(1-s)}(x+n+1)^{(x+n+1)s}}{(x+n+s)^{x+n+s}}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 4 we conclude that the function $h(x) = x\psi(x)$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$. If we set in Jensen's inequality

$$h(su + (1 - s)v) < sh(u) + (1 - s)h(v)$$
 $(u, v > 0; u \neq v; 0 < s < 1),$

u=x+1 and v=x, and make use of the identity $\psi(x+1)-\psi(x)=1/x,$ then we get

(6.2)
$$\frac{1-s}{x+s} < \psi(x+1) - \psi(x+s).$$

Next, we replace in (6.2) x by x + 1 and obtain the following sharpening of (6.2):

$$\frac{1-s}{x+s+1} + \frac{1-s}{(x+1)(x+s)} < \psi(x+1) - \psi(x+s).$$

Repeating this process n times we get

$$\frac{1-s}{x+s+n} + (1-s) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(x+i+1)(x+i+s)} < \psi(x+1) - \psi(x+s),$$

that is, the left-hand inequality of (6.1). Using the same method of proof with $\tilde{h}(x) = x(\log(x) - \psi(x))$ instead of h, we obtain the second inequality of (6.1). We omit the details.

Remark. A simple calculation shows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_n(s;x) = 0$.

7

In 1964, H. Minc and L. Sathre [37] proved that the inequalities

(7.1)
$$0 < \log \Gamma(x) - \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log(x) + x - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) < \frac{1}{x}$$

are valid for x > 1. Since the function $\log \Gamma(x)$ is asymptotically equal to the (divergent) series

$$\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)\log(x) - x + \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2i}}{2i(2i-1)x^{2i-1}},$$

where B_i (i = 0, 1, 2, ...) are Bernoulli numbers, defined by

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i \frac{t^i}{i!}$$

(see [16, p. 823]), it is natural to ask whether it is possible to determine the sign of

$$S_k(x) = \log \Gamma(x) - \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log(x) + x - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi)$$
$$- \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{B_{2i}}{2i(2i-1)x^{2i-1}} \qquad (0 \le k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

As by-products of the next theorem we obtain sgn $S_k(x) = (-1)^k$ for x > 0 and $k \ge 0$, and we get that (7.1) (with the upper bound 1/(12x)) holds for all x > 0. Further refinements of (7.1) can be found in [22].

Muldoon [39] investigated $S_0(x)$ and proved that this function is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. This result can be extended:

Theorem 8. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. The functions

$$F_n(x) = \log \Gamma(x) - \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log(x) + x - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{B_{2i}}{2i(2i-1)x^{2i-1}}$$

and

$$G_n(x) = -\log \Gamma(x) + \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)\log(x) - x + \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \frac{B_{2i}}{2i(2i-1)x^{2i-1}}$$

are strictly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. We only establish that F_n is strictly completely monotonic; the proof for G_n is similar. In [16, pp. 823–824] the following representations for F_n and F'_n are given:

$$F_n(x) = \frac{B_{4n+2}}{(4n+1)(4n+2)} \frac{\theta}{x^{4n+1}} \qquad (0 < \theta < 1)$$

and

$$F'_n(x) = -\frac{B_{4n+2}}{4n+2} \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{x^{4n+2}} \qquad (0 < \tilde{\theta} < 1).$$

Since $B_{4n+2} > 0$ (see [4, p. 267]), we obtain $F_n(x) > 0$ and $F'_n(x) < 0$ for x > 0. Let $k \ge 1$; differentiation yields

(7.2)
$$\frac{1}{k!}(-1)^{k+1}F_n^{(k+1)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+i)^{k+1}} - \frac{1}{kx^k} - \frac{1}{2x^{k+1}} + \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \left[\frac{B_{2i}}{2i} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (-2i-j) \right] \frac{1}{x^{2i+k}}.$$

To find a lower bound for this sum we make use of Euler's summation formula [1, p. 806]:

(7.3)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{p} f(a+i) = \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} (f(a) + f(b))$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{B_{2i}}{(2i)!} (f^{(2i-1)}(b) - f^{(2i-1)}(a))$$
$$+ \frac{B_{2m+2}}{(2m+2)!} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f^{(2m+2)}(a+i+\theta)$$

where b = a + p and $\theta \in (0,1)$. We set $f(x) = 1/x^{k+1}$, a = x, and m = 2n in (7.3) and let p tend to ∞ . Then we obtain

(7.4)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+i)^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{kx^k} + \frac{1}{2x^{k+1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \left[\frac{B_{2i}}{(2i)!} \prod_{j=0}^{2i-2} (-k-1-j) \right] \frac{1}{x^{2i+k}} + \frac{B_{4n+2}}{(4n+2)!} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{4n+1} (-k-1-j) \right] \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+\theta+i)^{4n+k+3}}$$

Using $B_{4n+2} > 0$ and $\prod_{j=0}^{4n+1} (-k-1-j) > 0$ we get from (7.4):

$$(7.5) \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+i)^{k+1}} > \frac{1}{kx^k} + \frac{1}{2x^{k+1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \left[\frac{B_{2i}}{(2i)!} \prod_{j=0}^{2i-2} (-k-1-j) \right] \frac{1}{x^{2i+k}},$$

so that (7.2) and (7.5) imply

$$\frac{1}{k!}(-1)^{k+1}F_n^{(k+1)}(x) > \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \left[\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{2i} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (-2i-j) - \frac{1}{(2i)!} \prod_{j=0}^{2i-2} (-k-1-j) \right] \frac{B_{2i}}{x^{2i+k}} = 0,$$

since the term in square brackets is equal to 0. Thus, F_n is strictly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Using the inequalities $(-1)^{k+1}F_n^{(k+1)}(x) > 0$ and $(-1)^{k+1}G_n^{(k+1)}(x) > 0$ for $k \ge 1$, we obtain the following rational bounds for $(-1)^{k+1}\psi^{(k)}(x)$.

Theorem 9. Let $k \ge 1$ and $n \ge 0$ be integers. Then we have for all real x > 0:

$$S_k(2n;x) < (-1)^{k+1} \psi^{(k)}(x) < S_k(2n+1;x),$$

where

$$S_k(p;x) = \frac{(k-1)!}{x^k} + \frac{k!}{2x^{k+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^p \left[B_{2i} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (2i+j) \right] \frac{1}{x^{2i+k}}.$$

Remark. Related inequalities for the special case k = 1 are given in [22].

In 1986, J. Bustoz and M.E.H. Ismail [9] proved that the function

$$p(x; a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(x + a + b)}{\Gamma(x + a)\Gamma(x + b)} \qquad (a, b > 0)$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. This generalizes a proposition of K. B. Stolarsky [46], who established that p is decreasing in x. The next theorem provides an extension of these results.

Theorem 10. Let a_i and b_i (i = 1, ..., n) be real numbers such that $0 \le a_1 \le ... \le a_n$, $0 \le b_1 \le ... \le b_n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \le \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$ for k = 1, ..., n. Then,

$$x \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(x+a_i)}{\Gamma(x+b_i)}$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

In order to prove Theorem 10 we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. If h' is completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$, then $\exp(-h)$ is also completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$.

An extension of Lemma 1 can be found in [5] and [15].

Lemma 2. Let a_i and b_i $(i=1,\ldots,n)$ be real numbers such that $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$, $b_1 \leq \cdots \leq b_n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$ for $k=1,\ldots,n$. If the function f is decreasing and convex on \mathbb{R} , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(b_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(a_i).$$

A proof of Lemma 2 is given in [36, p. 10].

Proof of Theorem 10. Let

$$h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log \Gamma(x + b_i) - \log \Gamma(x + a_i)).$$

Then we have for k > 0:

$$(h'(x))^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\psi^{(k)}(x+b_i) - \psi^{(k)}(x+a_i)).$$

Using the integral representations

$$\psi(z) = -\gamma + \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-tz}}{1 - e^{-t}} dt \qquad (z > 0)$$

and

$$\psi^{(m)}(z) = (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-tz}t^m}{1 - e^{-t}} dt \qquad (z > 0; m = 1, 2, \dots)$$

(see [16, p. 802], [34, p. 16]), we obtain for $k \ge 0$:

$$(8.1) (-1)^k (h'(x))^{(k)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-tx}t^k}{1 - e^{-t}} \sum_{i=1}^n (e^{-ta_i} - e^{-tb_i}) dt.$$

Since the function $z \mapsto e^{-tz}$ $(t \ge 0)$ is decreasing and convex on \mathbb{R} , we conclude from Lemma 2 that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (e^{-ta_i} - e^{-tb_i}) \ge 0$, so that (8.1) implies

$$(-1)^k (h'(x))^{(k)} \ge 0$$
 for $x > 0$ and $k \ge 0$.

Hence, h' is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Applying Lemma 1 we obtain that

$$\exp(-h(x)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(x+a_i)}{\Gamma(x+b_i)}$$

is also completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Remark. Since

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\Gamma(x+a)}{\Gamma(x+b)} x^{b-a} = 1,$$

we conclude from Theorem 10 that the inequality

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(x+a_i)}{\Gamma(x+b_i)} \ge 1 \qquad (x>0)$$

holds for all real numbers a_i and b_i $(i=1,\ldots,n)$ which satisfy $0 \le a_1 \le \cdots \le a_n$, $0 \le b_1 \le \cdots \le b_n$, $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \le \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$ for $k=1,\ldots,n-1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$. This generalizes an inequality given in [9].

In a recently published paper L. Maligranda et al. [35] established that the function

$$x \mapsto \Gamma(x)^{n-1} \Gamma\left(x + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right) / \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(x + a_i)$$

 $(a_i > 0; i = 1, ..., n)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. From Theorem 10 we conclude that this function is not only decreasing, but even completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. The following theorem presents a slight extension of this result.

Theorem 11. Let α be a real number and let a_i $(i = 1, ..., n; n \ge 2)$ be positive real numbers. The function

$$x \mapsto \Gamma(x)^{\alpha} \Gamma\left(x + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right) / \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(x + a_i)$$

is strictly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha = n - 1$.

Proof. Let

$$p_{\alpha}(x) = \Gamma(x)^{\alpha} \Gamma(x+b) / \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma(x+a_i)$$

with $b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$. Slight modifications of the proof of Theorem 10 show that p_{n-1} is strictly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. We assume now that p_{α} is strictly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Then, p_{α} is decreasing, so that we obtain for x > 0:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\log p_{\alpha}(x) = \alpha \psi(x) + \psi(x+b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(x+a_i) \le 0.$$

This implies for all sufficiently large x:

(8.2)
$$\alpha \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\psi(x+a_i)}{\psi(x)} - \frac{\psi(x+b)}{\psi(x)}.$$

Since p_{α} is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, we obtain

$$0 \le (p_{\alpha}(x))^{-2} \left[p_{\alpha}(x) \frac{\partial^{2} p_{\alpha}(x)}{\partial x^{2}} - \left(\frac{\partial p_{\alpha}(x)}{\partial x} \right)^{2} \right]$$
$$= \alpha \psi'(x) + \psi'(x+b) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi'(x+a_{i});$$

see [17]. Hence, we have for x > 0:

(8.3)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\psi'(x+a_i)}{\psi'(x)} - \frac{\psi'(x+b)}{\psi'(x)} \le \alpha.$$

Since

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \psi(x+A)/\psi(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \psi'(x+A)/\psi'(x) = 1 \qquad (A > 0),$$

we conclude from (8.2) and (8.3) that $\alpha = n - 1$.

We conclude with an application to probability theory. A probability measure $d\mu$ is infinitely divisible if for every natural number n there exists a probability measure $d\mu_n$ such that

$$d\mu = d\mu_n * d\mu_n * \dots * d\mu_n$$
 (n times),

where * denotes convolution.

A proof for the following proposition, which provides a connection between infinitely divisible probability measures and completely monotonic functions, can found in [15, p. 450].

Proposition. A probability measure $d\mu$ supported on a subset of $[0, \infty)$ is infinitely divisible if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-xt} d\mu(t) = \exp(-h(x)) \qquad (x > 0),$$

where h has a completely monotonic derivative on $(0, \infty)$ and h(0) = 0.

Using the Proposition and the results of this section, we obtain

Theorem 12. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a real number, and let a_i and b_i (i = 1, ..., n) be real numbers such that $0 \le a_1 \le \cdots \le a_n$, $0 \le b_1 \le \cdots \le b_n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \le \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$ for k = 1, ..., n. The function

$$x \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(x+\varepsilon+a_i)\Gamma(\varepsilon+b_i)}{\Gamma(x+\varepsilon+b_i)\Gamma(\varepsilon+a_i)}$$

is Laplace transform of an infinitely divisible probability measure.

Related results are given in [2], [9], [24].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank the referee for bringing reference [22] to my attention.

References

- M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, eds., Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs and mathematical tables, Dover, New York, 1965. MR 31:1400
- 2. H. Alzer, Some gamma function inequalities, Math. Comp. 60 (1993), 337-346. MR 93f:33001
- G. D. Anderson, R. W. Barnard, K. C. Richards, M. K. Vamanamurthy, and M. Vuorinen, Inequalities for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 1713–1723. MR 95m:33002
- T. M. Apostol, Introduction to analytic number theory, Springer, New York, 1976. MR 55:7892
- S. Bochner, Harmonic analysis and the theory of probability, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley/Los Angeles, 1955. MR 17:273d
- A. V. Boyd, Gurland's inequality for the gamma function, Skand. Aktuarietidskr. 1960 (1961), 134–135. MR 24:A2058
- Note on a paper by Uppuluri, Pacific J. Math. 22 (1967), 9–10. MR 35:6872
- A. M. Bruckner and E. Ostrow, Some function classes related to the class of convex functions, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 1203–1215. MR 26:6326
- J. Bustoz and M. E. H. Ismail, On gamma function inequalities, Math. Comp. 47 (1986), 659–667. MR 87m:33002
- P. J. Davis, Leonhard Euler's integral: A historical profile of the gamma function, Amer. Math. Monthly 66 (1959), 849–869. MR 21:5540
- 11. W. A. Day, On monotonicity of the relaxation functions of viscoelastic materials, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **67** (1970), 503–508. MR **40:**3779
- C. J. Eliezer and D. E. Daykin, Generalizations and applications of Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 18 (1967), 357–360. MR 37:1541
- T. Erber, The gamma function inequalities of Gurland and Gautschi, Skand. Aktuarietidskr. 1960 (1961), 27–28. MR 24:A2682
- A. Erdélyi, ed., Higher transcendental functions, vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953. MR 15:419i
- W. Feller, An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Vol. 2, Wiley, New York, 1966. MR 35:1048
- G. M. Fichtenholz, Differential- und Integralrechnung II, Dt. Verlag Wiss., Berlin, 1978. MR 80f:26001
- A. M. Fink, Kolmogorov-Landau inequalities for monotone functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 90 (1982), 251–258. MR 84e:26017
- W. Gautschi, Some elementary inequalities relating to the gamma and incomplete gamma function, J. Math. Phys. 38 (1959), 77–81. MR 21:2067
- A harmonic mean inequality for the gamma function, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 5 (1974), 278–281. MR 50:2570
- Some mean value inequalities for the gamma function, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 5 (1974), 282–292. MR 50:2571
- D. V. Gokhale, On an inequality for gamma functions, Skand. Aktuarietidskr. 1962 (1963), 213–215. MR 28:4151
- L. Gordon, A stochastic approach to the gamma function, Amer. Math. Monthly 101 (1994), 858–865. MR 95k:33003
- J. Gurland, An inequality satisfied by the gamma function, Skand. Aktuarietidskr. 39 (1956), 171–172. MR 20:1797
- M. E. H. Ismail, L. Lorch, and M. E. Muldoon, Completely monotonic functions associated with the gamma function and its q-analogues, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 116 (1986), 1–9. MR 88b:33002
- J. D. Kečkić and P. M. Vasić, Some inequalities for the gamma function, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 11 (1971), 107–114. MR 46:7560
- D. Kershaw, Some extensions of W. Gautschi's inequalities for the gamma function, Math. Comp. 41 (1983), 607–611. MR 84m:33003
- D. Kershaw and A. Laforgia, Monotonicity results for the gamma function, Atti Accad. Sci. Torino 119 (1985), 127–133. MR 87i:33006
- C. H. Kimberling, A probabilistic interpretation of complete monotonicity, Aequationes Math. 10 (1974), 152–164. MR 50:5899

- A. Laforgia, Further inequalities for the gamma function, Math. Comp. 42 (1984), 597–600.
 MR 85i:33001
- I. B. Lazarević and A. Lupas, Functional equations for Wallis and gamma functions, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Electr. Telec. Autom. 461-497 (1979), 245-251. MR 50:13631
- L. Lorch, Inequalities for ultraspherical polynomials and the gamma function, J. Approx. Theory 40 (1984), 115–120. MR 85d:33024
- 32. L. G. Lucht, Mittelwertungleichungen für Lösungen gewisser Differenzengleichungen, Aequationes Math. 39 (1990), 204–209. MR 91h:39004
- Y. L. Luke, Inequalities for the gamma function and its logarithmic derivative, Math. Balkanica 2 (1972), 118–123. MR 50:10338
- 34. W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and R. P. Soni, Formulas and theorems for the special functions of mathematical physics, Springer, Berlin, 1966. MR 38:1291
- 35. L. Maligranda, J. E. Pečarić, and L. E. Persson, Stolarsky's inequality with general weights, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 2113–2118. MR 95i:26026
- A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, Inequalities: Theory of majorization and its applications, Academic Press, New York, 1979. MR 81b:00002
- 37. H. Minc and L. Sathre, Some inequalities involving $(r!)^{1/r}$, Edinburgh Math. Soc. 14 (1964/65), 41–46. MR 29:55
- 38. D. S. Mitrinović, Analytic inequalities, Springer, New York, 1970. MR 43:448
- M. E. Muldoon, Some monotonicity properties and characterizations of the gamma function, Aequationes Math. 18 (1978), 54–63. MR 58:11536
- I. Olkin, An inequality satisfied by the gamma function, Skand. Aktuarietidskr. 1958 (1959), 37–39. MR 21:4257
- 41. H. Ruben, Variance bounds and orthogonal expansions in Hilbert space with an application to inequalities for gamma functions and π, J. Reine Angew. Math. 225 (1967), 147–153. MR 35:421
- 42. J. Sándor, Sur la fonction gamma, Publ. C.R.M.P. Neuchâtel, Sér. I, 21 (1989), 4-7.
- 43. E. Schmidt, Über die Ungleichung, welche die Integrale über eine Potenz einer Funktion und über eine andere Potenz ihrer Ableitung verbindet, Math. Ann. 117 (1940), 301–326. MR 2:218e
- J. B. Selliah, An inequality satisfied by the gamma function, Canad. Math. Bull. 19 (1976), 85–87. MR 54:3050
- 45. D. V. Slavić, On inequalities for $\Gamma(x+1)/\Gamma(x+1/2)$, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. **498-541** (1975), 17–20. MR **52**:6047
- K. B. Stolarsky, From Wythoff's Nim to Chebyshev's inequality, Amer. Math. Monthly 98 (1991), 889–900. MR 93b:90132
- S. Y. Trimble, J. Wells, and F. T. Wright, Superadditive functions and a statistical application, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 20 (1989), 1255–1259. MR 91a:26019
- 48. D. V. Widder, The Laplace transform, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1941. MR 3:232d
- 49. J. Wimp, Sequence transformations and their applications, Academic Press, New York, 1981. MR $\bf 84e:65005$
- S. Zimering, On a Mercerian theorem and its application to the equiconvergence of Cesàro and Riesz transforms, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 1 (1962), 83-91. MR 31:543

Morsbacher Str. 10, 51545 Waldbröl, Germany