

## FIXED POINTS VIA A GENERALIZED LOCAL COMMUTATIVITY: THE COMPACT CASE

GERALD F. JUNGCK

Received 19 July 2001 and in revised form 6 August 2002

In an earlier paper, the concept of semigroups of self-maps which are nearly commutative at a function  $g : X \rightarrow X$  was introduced. We now continue the investigation, but with emphasis on the compact case. Fixed-point theorems for such semigroups are obtained in the setting of semimetric and metric spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

**1. Introduction.** By a semigroup of maps we mean a family  $H$  of self-maps of a set  $X$  which is closed with respect to the composition of maps and includes the identity map. In [6] we obtained fixed-point theorems for semigroups of maps by introducing the following concept.

**DEFINITION 1.1** [6]. A semigroup  $H$  of self-maps of a set  $X$  is *nearly commutative (n.c.)* at  $g : X \rightarrow X$  if and only if  $f \in H$  implies that there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $fg = gh$ .

As in [6], we consider this concept in the context of generalized metric spaces, namely, semimetric spaces. A semimetric on a set  $X$  is a function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$  and  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for  $x, y \in X$ . For  $p \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we let  $S(p, \epsilon) = \{x \in X : d(x, p) < \epsilon\}$ . A semimetric space is a pair  $(X; d)$  in which  $X$  is a topological space and  $d$  is a semimetric on  $X$ . The topology on  $X$  is the family  $t(d) = \{U \subset X : p \in U \Rightarrow S(p, \epsilon) \subset U \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0\}$ . We require that the point  $p$  be an interior point of the set  $S(p, \epsilon)$ ; that is, there exists  $U \in t(d)$  such that  $p \in U \subset S(p, \epsilon)$ . Consequently, a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges in  $t(d)$  to  $p \in X$ , denoted as  $x_n \rightarrow p$  if and only if  $d(x_n, p) \rightarrow 0$ . And a function (map)  $g : X \rightarrow X$  is continuous if and only if  $fx_n \rightarrow fx$  whenever  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . To ensure unique limits, all spaces  $X$  will be assumed to be Hausdorff ( $T_2$ ). We will conclude with two examples of semimetrics, one of which is not  $T_2$ . For further detail regarding semimetric spaces, see [1, 2, 6].

This paper is a continuation of [6] but with focus on the effects of compactness requirements. We obtain fixed-point theorems for semigroups  $H$  of self-maps of  $X$  which are n.c. at continuous maps  $g : X \rightarrow X$ . These theorems generalize known results involving, for example, the semigroups  $C_g = \{f : X \rightarrow X \mid fg = gf\}$ . The main result we will need from [6, Proposition 2.6] is the fact

that whenever a semigroup  $H$  of self-maps of a set  $X$  is n.c. at  $g : X \rightarrow X$ , then  $H$  is n.c. at the composite  $g^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . ( $\mathbb{Z}^+$  denotes the set of positive integers,  $\omega = \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ , and  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the real numbers.) Observe also that if  $H$  is a semigroup of self-maps of  $X$  and  $a, b \in X$ , then  $H(a) = \{h(a) : h \in H\}$  and  $H(a, b) = H(a) \cup H(b)$ . Furthermore, if  $A \subset X$ ,  $\delta(A) = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in A\}$  and  $\text{cl}(A)$  denotes the closure of  $A$ .

**2. Preliminaries.** We now state and prove our first lemma. Note that most results stipulate that the semimetric  $d$  in  $(X; d)$  is upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.). Thus,  $d^{-1}(-\infty, a)$  is open for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Specifically, if  $a_n \rightarrow a$ ,  $b_n \rightarrow b$  in  $(X; d)$  and  $d(a_n, b_n) \downarrow \eta$ , then  $\eta \leq d(a, b)$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $(X; d)$  be a semimetric space with  $d$  u.s.c. and let  $g, f : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose there is a nonempty compact subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $g(A) = f(A) = A$  and a semigroup  $H$  of self-maps of  $X$  such that  $h(A) \subset A$  for  $h \in H$ . If there exist  $m, n \in \omega$  such that*

$$(f^m x \neq g^n y) \Rightarrow d(f^m x, g^n y) < \delta(H(x, y)), \quad \text{for } x, y \in A, \quad (2.1)$$

*then there is a unique point  $a \in A$  such that  $a = fa = ga = ha$  for all  $h \in H$ . In fact,  $A = \{a\}$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $A$  is compact,  $A \times A$  is compact. Therefore, there exists  $a, b \in A$  such that  $d(a, b) = \delta(A)$ , since  $d$  is u.s.c. But  $f(A) = g(A) = A = f^m(A) = g^n(A)$ , so there exists  $c, d \in A$  such that  $f^m c = a$  and  $g^n d = b$ . If  $a \neq b$ , (2.1) implies

$$\delta(A) = d(a, b) = d(f^m c, g^n d) < \delta(H(c, d)). \quad (2.2)$$

But  $c, d \in A$  so that  $hc, hd \in A$  for all  $h \in H$  by hypothesis; that is,  $H(c, d) \subset A$ . Thus, (2.2) yields the contradiction  $\delta(A) < \delta(H(c, d)) \leq \delta(A)$ . Consequently,  $a = b$  so that  $A = \{a\}$ . Therefore, by hypothesis,  $f(\{a\}) = g(\{a\}) = h(\{a\}) = \{a\}$  for all  $h \in H$ ; that is,  $a = fa = ga = ha$  for all  $h \in H$ . Clearly,  $a$  is the only point of  $A$  which is a common fixed point of  $f, g$ , and  $h \in H$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 2.2.** The definition of a semimetric space  $(X; d)$  requires that  $S(x, \epsilon)$  be a neighborhood of  $x$ ; that is, the topological interior of  $S(x, \epsilon)$  is a set in  $t(d)$  which contains  $x$ . It is an easy matter to show that this fact assures us that any compact semimetric space is sequentially compact.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $(X; d)$  be a semimetric space and let  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose that  $H$  is a semigroup of self-maps of  $X$  which is n.c. at  $f$  and at  $g$ . Then the following hold, where  $A = \cap\{(gf)^n(X) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} (= \cap(gf)^n(X))$ :*

- (1)  $H$  is n.c. at  $gf$ ;
- (2)  $h(A) \subset A$  for all  $h \in H$ ;

- (3) if  $f, g \in H$  and  $gf(A) = A$ , then  $f(A) = g(A) = A$ ;
- (4)  $gf(A) = A$  when  $(X; d)$  is compact and  $gf$  is continuous.

**PROOF.** Since  $H$  is n.c. at  $g$  and at  $f$  for each  $h \in H$ , there exists  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  such that

$$h(gf) = (hg)f = (gh_1)f = g(h_1f) = g(fh_2) = (gf)h_2. \quad (2.3)$$

Thus (1) holds. But then, since  $H$  is n.c. at  $g^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  if  $H$  is n.c. at  $g$ , (1) implies that for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $h \in H$ , there exists  $h_n \in H$  such that  $h(gf)^n = (gf)^n h_n$ . So

$$h(A) \subset \cap h(gf)^n(X) = \cap (gf)^n h_n(X) \subset \cap (gf)^n(X) = A. \quad (2.4)$$

Consequently, (2) is true. Moreover, if  $f, g \in H$  and  $gf(A) = A$ , then  $g(A) = A$  since  $f \in H$  implies that  $f(A) \subset A$ . Therefore,

$$(A = gf(A)) \Rightarrow (A = gf(A) \subset g(A) \subset A) \quad (2.5)$$

since  $g \in H$ . To see that  $f(A) = A$ , first note that since  $g \in H$  and  $H$  is n.c. at  $f$ , there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $gf(A) = fh(A)$ . But  $h(A) \subset A$  (by (2)) and  $f \in H$ , so we can write

$$(A = gf(A)) \Rightarrow (A = gf(A) = fh(A) \subset f(A) \subset A). \quad (2.6)$$

Thus  $f(A) = A$ , and (3) holds.

To prove (4), first note that  $gf(A) \subset A$  by the definition of  $A$ . To show that  $A \subset gf(A)$ , let  $a \in A$ . Then  $a \in (gf)^{n+1}(X) \subset (gf)^n(X)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , so we can choose  $x_n \in (gf)^n(X)$  such that  $gf(x_n) = a$ . Since  $(X; d)$  is compact (sequentially), there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{i_n}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  and  $p \in X$  such that  $x_{i_n} \rightarrow p$ . But  $i_n \geq n$  by definition of subsequence, so for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $x_{i_n} \in (gf)^k(X)$  for all  $n \geq k$ . Therefore, for a fixed  $k$ , since  $(gf)^k(X)$  is compact and thus closed ( $X$  is  $T_2$ ),  $p \in (gf)^k(X)$ . Hence  $p \in A$ . But  $gf$  is continuous and therefore,  $a = gf(x_{i_n}) \rightarrow gf(p)$ . Thus,  $gf(p) = a$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 2.4.** If  $(X; d)$  is compact and  $gf$  is continuous, then  $A = \cap (gf)^n(X) \neq \emptyset$  and is compact by the finite intersection property.

### 3. Main results

**THEOREM 3.1.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be self-maps of a compact semimetric space  $(X; d)$  with  $d$  u.s.c. and  $gf$  continuous. Let  $H$  be a semigroup of self-maps of  $X$  containing  $f$  and  $g$ , and suppose that  $H$  is n.c. at  $f$  and at  $g$ . If there exist  $m, n \in \omega$  such that

$$(f^m x \neq g^n y) \Rightarrow d(f^m x, g^n y) < \delta(H(x, y)) \quad \text{for } x, y \in X, \quad (3.1)$$

then there is a unique point  $a \in X$  such that  $a = fa = ga = ha$  for all  $h \in H$ . Moreover, (i)  $\delta((gf)^n(X)) \rightarrow 0$  and (ii)  $(gf)^n(x) \rightarrow a$  uniformly on  $X$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $A = \cap\{(gf)^n(X) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ . By [Lemma 2.3\(4\)](#),  $gf(A) = A$  and therefore,  $A = f(A) = g(A)$  by [Lemma 2.3\(3\)](#). Since  $(X; d)$  is compact and  $gf$  is continuous,  $A$  is compact and nonempty. Moreover, [Lemma 2.3\(2\)](#) tells us that  $h(A) \subset A$  for all  $h \in H$ . But then [Lemma 2.1](#) implies that  $A = \{a\}$  and  $a$  is the desired common fixed point. The point  $a$  is clearly unique since any common fixed point  $b$  of  $f$  and  $g$  satisfies  $(gf)^n(b) = b$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and is therefore in  $A$ .

To see that (i) holds, note that  $gf$  is continuous and therefore  $(gf)^n(X)$  is compact for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Thus for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists  $x_n, y_n \in (gf)^n(X)$  such that

$$\alpha_n = d(x_n, y_n) = \delta((gf)^n(X)) \quad (3.2)$$

since the semimetric  $d$  is u.s.c. Clearly,  $0 \leq \alpha_{n+1} \leq \alpha_n$  and therefore  $\alpha_n \downarrow b$  for some  $b \geq 0$ . Since  $X$  is compact, there exist subsequences  $\{x_{k_n}\}$  and  $\{y_{k_n}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  which converge to  $x, y$ , respectively, for some  $x, y \in X$ . As in the proof of [Lemma 2.3\(4\)](#),  $x, y \in A = \{a\}$ , so  $x = y = a$ . Then the upper semicontinuity of the semimetric  $d$  yields

$$b = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{k_n}, y_{k_n}) \leq d(x, y) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

To verify (ii), let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By (i), we can choose  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $\delta((gf)^n(X)) < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq k$ . Therefore, if  $x \in X$ ,  $a, (gf)^n(x) \in (gf)^n(X)$ , so  $d(a, (gf)^n(x)) < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq k$ .  $\square$

In [Theorem 3.1](#), (ii) states that the point  $a$  is a uniformly contractive point of  $gf$ , or attracts  $X$  (see [7]). The following theorem tells us that  $f$  and  $g$  need not be members of  $H$  if  $fg = gf$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be commuting maps of a compact semimetric space  $(X; d)$  with  $d$  u.s.c. Let  $H$  be a semigroup of self-maps of  $X$  which is n.c. at  $gf$ . If  $gf$  is continuous and (3.1) holds, then there is a unique point  $a \in X$  such that for all  $h \in X$ ,  $a = fa = ha$ . Moreover, [Theorem 3.1\(i\)](#) and (ii) hold.*

**PROOF.** As above, let  $A = \cap\{(gf)^n(X) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ . By [Remark 2.4](#), we know that  $A$  is nonempty and compact. Moreover, since  $(X; d)$  is compact and  $gf$  is continuous, the proof of [Lemma 2.3\(4\)](#) tells us that  $gf(A) = A$ . And the argument in the proof of [Lemma 2.3\(2\)](#) is valid under our hypothesis, so  $h(A) \subset A$  for  $h \in H$ . Finally, since  $f$  and  $g$  commute, they commute with  $(gf)^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , so  $g(A) \subset A$  and  $f(A) \subset A$  (substitute  $g(f)$  for  $h$  and  $h_n$  in (2.4)). Consequently,  $A = gf(A) \subset g(A) \subset A$  and thus  $A = g(A)$ . Therefore, since  $gf = fg$ ,  $A = gf(A) = fg(A) = f(A)$ . Thus, by [Lemma 2.1](#),  $a = fa = ga = ha$

for  $h \in H$ . And the proof of (i) and (ii) given in the proof of [Theorem 3.1](#) holds under the present hypothesis.  $\square$

**REMARK 3.3.** [Theorem 3.2](#) generalizes [5, Theorem 4.2] by requiring that the underlying space be a semimetric space  $(X; d)$  with u.s.c. semimetric  $d$  instead of being a metric space  $(X, d)$ , in which case  $d$  is uniformly continuous (see [4]). Moreover, it substitutes the more general semigroup  $H$  for  $C_{g,f}$ . Note also that [6, Theorem 5.1] is a consequence of [Theorem 3.2](#). In fact, [Theorem 3.2](#) extends [6, Theorem 5.1] since the underlying space in Theorem 5.1 is a metric space, and Theorem 5.1 does not include results (i) and (ii) of [Theorem 3.2](#).

We now lift the requirement that the space  $(X; d)$  be compact by demanding that  $H(a)$  be relatively compact (i.e.,  $\text{cl}(H(a))$  is compact) for some  $a \in X$ . And if there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $hx = x$  for all  $h \in H$ , we say that the semigroup  $H$  has a *fixed point*.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a semimetric space with  $d$  u.s.c. and let  $H$  be a semigroup of continuous self-maps of  $X$  which is n.c. at  $f, g \in H$ . Suppose  $H(a)$  is relatively compact for some  $a \in X$ . If (2.1) holds for  $x, y \in \text{cl}(H(a))$ , then  $H$  has a fixed point in  $\text{cl}(H(a))$ .*

**PROOF.** Now  $h(H(a)) \subset H(a)$  for  $h \in H$  since  $H$  is a semigroup. Moreover, since each  $h \in H$  is continuous,  $h(\text{cl}(H(a))) \subset \text{cl}(h(H(a))) \subset \text{cl}(H(a))$ . Thus,  $\text{cl}(H(a))$  is a nonempty compact  $h$ -invariant subset of  $X$  for  $h \in H$ . We can therefore apply [Theorem 3.1](#) to the compact semimetric space  $(M; d)$  with  $M = \text{cl}(H(a))$  to obtain our conclusion.  $\square$

Since any closed and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is compact, we have the following result. Recall that a semigroup  $H$  is n.c. at  $g^n$  if it is n.c. at  $g$ .

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Suppose  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $H$  is a semigroup of continuous self-maps of  $X$  n.c. at  $g \in H$ . If  $H(a)$  is bounded for some  $a \in X$  and there exists  $m, n \in \omega$  such that*

$$g^m(x) \neq g^n(y) \Rightarrow d(g^m x, g^n y) < \delta(H(x, y)) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \text{cl}(H(a)), \quad (3.4)$$

*then  $H$  has a fixed point.*

The following example demonstrates the generality of [Corollary 3.5](#) in that the semigroup  $H$  constructed is n.c. at the function  $g$  but  $H$  is not n.c. (i.e., n.c. at each  $h \in H$ , see [3, 6]).

**REMARK 3.6.** Suppose that  $g_i : X_i \rightarrow X_i$  for  $i$  in some indexing set  $\lambda$  and that  $H_i$  is a semigroup of maps  $h_i : X_i \rightarrow X_i$  which is n.c. at  $g_i$  for  $i \in \lambda$ . Let  $g = (g_i)_\lambda$ , where for  $x = (x_i)_\lambda \in X = \prod\{X_i : i \in \lambda\}$ ,  $g(x) = g((x_i)_\lambda) = (g_i(x_i))_\lambda$ , and  $h = (h_i)$  is defined in a similar manner. If  $H = \{(h_i)_\lambda : h_i \in H_i \text{ for } i \in \lambda\}$ ,

then it is immediate that  $H$  is a semigroup of self-maps of  $X$  which is n.c. at  $g : X \rightarrow X$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.7.** Let  $X = X_1 \times X_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with the usual metric  $d$ , where  $X_1 = X_2 = [0, \infty)$ , and let  $b \in (0, 1)$ . Define  $g : X \rightarrow X$ , where  $g = (g_1, g_2)$  by  $g_1(x_1) = bx_1$  and  $g_2(x_2) = x_2^{1/2} = \sqrt{x_2}$ . Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be semigroups defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \{h_1 : h_1(x_1) = b^m x_1^n : m \in \omega, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}, \\ H_2 &= \{h_2 : h_2(x_2) = x_2^{(1/k)}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Then  $H_1$  is not n.c. but is n.c. at  $g_1$  (see [6, Example 2.2]), and  $H_2$  is n.c. at  $g_2$  since any member of  $H_2$  commutes with  $g_2$ . Thus,  $H = H_1 \times H_2$  is n.c. at  $g$  by Remark 3.6. Moreover,

$$H\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = \left\{\left(b^m \frac{1}{2^n}, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/k}\right) : m \in \omega; n, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+\right\} \subset \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \times \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right], \quad (3.6)$$

and is thus bounded. Furthermore, if  $x_1, y_1 \in [0, 1/2]$ ,  $x_2, y_2 \in [1/2, 1]$ , and  $(x_1, x_2) \neq (y_1, y_2)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d(g((x_1, x_2), g(y_1, y_2))) &= d((g_1(x_1), g_2(x_2)), (g_1(y_1), g_2(y_2))) \\ &= \sqrt{(b(x_1 - y_1))^2 + (\sqrt{x_2} - \sqrt{y_2})^2} \\ &< \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Note that for  $x_1 \neq y_1$ ,  $|\sqrt{x_2} - \sqrt{y_2}| < |x_2 - y_2|$  since  $x_2, y_2 \in [1/2, 1]$ . Now the identity function  $i_d$  ( $i_d(x_i) = x_i$ ) is a member of  $H_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), and if  $h_2(x_2) = \sqrt{x_2}$ , then  $h_2 \in H_2$ . Therefore, (3.4) is satisfied with  $m = n = 1$  and  $a = (1/2, 1/2)$ , and  $H$  has a fixed point by Corollary 3.5. In fact, for any  $h = (h_1, h_2) \in H$ , the composites  $h_1^p(x_1) \rightarrow 0$  and  $h_2^p(x_2) \rightarrow 1$ , as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  for  $x_1 \in [0, 1/2]$  and  $x_2 \in [1/2, 1]$ . Thus,  $(0, 1)$  is a fixed point of  $H$ .

In the above example, with  $M = [0, 1/2] \times [1/2, 1]$ ,  $g(M) \subset M$  and  $d(g(p), g(q)) < d(p, q)$  when  $p \neq q$  on  $M$ ; that is,  $g$  was a contraction on  $M$ . Thus  $g$  has a fixed point by the classic theorem of Edelstein. We now provide an example in which  $g$  is not a contraction but satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 3.5.

**EXAMPLE 3.8.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and let  $g(x) = (15/4)x^3 - (59/4)x^2 + 15x + 1$  for  $x \in X$ . If  $H = O_g = \{g^n : n \in \omega\}$ , then  $g$  satisfies condition (3.4) with  $m = n = 1$ , and has  $a = 2$  as a fixed point. For  $x < y$ ,

$$d(gx, gy) < \begin{cases} d(i_d(x), \max\{gx, gy\}), & x < 2, \\ d(gx, g^2y), & x \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

Clearly,  $g$  is not a contraction on  $X$ . However, on the small interval  $J = [1.87, 2]$ ,  $g$  is a contraction and  $g^n x \uparrow 2$  on  $J$ . So suppose we let  $g$  be the continuous piecewise linear function  $g : X \rightarrow X$  given by  $g(x) = 3x + 1$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $g(x) = -2x + 6$  on  $[1, 2]$ , and  $g(x) = 2x - 2$  for  $x > 2$ . Then  $g$  satisfies (3.8), has 2 as a fixed point, and  $g$  so defined is a contraction on no subinterval of  $X$ .

**4. Retrospect.** The arguments given in the proofs of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 appear to be “standard” in that they are similar in form to those given in the metric case (see, e.g., [5, Theorem 4.2]). However, these proofs demonstrate that much can be done in spaces not having all of the attributes of metric spaces such as the triangle inequality or the continuity of the distance function. Moreover, by using semigroups  $H$  of maps n.c. at a function  $g$  instead of  $C_g$ , the results are appreciably generalized. See [6] for further examples of semigroups n.c. at a function  $g$ .

We now give two examples of nonmetric semimetrics. The first produces a topology which is not  $T_2$  and therefore does not yield a semimetric space.

**EXAMPLE 4.1.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , and define  $d(x, y) = 1/|x - y|$  if  $x \neq y$  and  $d(x, x) = 0$ , for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Clearly,  $d$  is a semimetric on  $X$ . To see that  $(X; d)$  is a semimetric space, note that for any  $a \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $S(a, \epsilon) = \{a\} \cup (-\infty, a - 1/\epsilon) \cup (a + 1/\epsilon, \infty)$ . It is then an easy matter to show that  $S(a, \epsilon) \in t(d)$ ; that is,  $S(a, \epsilon)$  is “open.” Moreover, it is clear that  $S(a, \epsilon) \cap S(b, \delta) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $a, b \in X$  and  $\epsilon, \delta > 0$  and therefore the definition of  $t(d)$  assures us that any two nonempty members of  $t(d)$  have a nonempty intersection.

The next semimetric induces a topology which does not produce a semimetric space since  $p$  is not always an interior point of  $S(p, \epsilon)$ .

**EXAMPLE 4.2.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= |x - y|, \quad \text{if } x, y \in X, x, y > 0, \\ d(y, 0) &= d(0, y) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } y \in X, y \text{ is rational,} \\ 2, & \text{if } y \in X, y \text{ is irrational.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Let  $\epsilon \in (0, 2)$ . Then 0 is not an interior point of  $S(0, \epsilon)$ . Suppose there exists  $U \in t(d)$  such that

$$0 \in U \subset S(0, \epsilon). \tag{4.2}$$

Since  $U \in t(d)$ , we can choose a positive rational  $r$  such that

$$S(0, r) \subset U \subset S(0, \epsilon). \tag{4.3}$$

Now  $r$  is rational, so that  $r/2 \in S(0, r)$  and therefore  $r/2 \in U$ . Since  $U \in t(d)$  by definition of  $t(d)$ , there exists  $\alpha \in (0, r/2)$  such that

$$S\left(\frac{r}{2}, \alpha\right) \subset U \subset S(0, \epsilon). \quad (4.4)$$

Thus, the definition of the function  $d$  implies

$$I = \left(\frac{r}{2} - \alpha, \frac{r}{2} + \alpha\right) \subset U \subset S(0, \epsilon). \quad (4.5)$$

But since  $0 < \epsilon < 2$ ,  $S(0, \epsilon)$  contains no irrationals whereas the interval  $I$  does. This contradiction assures us that 0 is not an interior point of  $S(0, \epsilon)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. W. Heath, *A regular semi-metric space for which there is no semi-metric under which all spheres are open*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 810-811.
- [2] ———, *On certain first countable spaces*, Topology Seminar (Wisconsin, 1965), Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1966, pp. 103-113.
- [3] Y.-Y. Huang and C.-C. Hong, *Common fixed point theorems for semigroups on metric spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **22** (1999), no. 2, 377-386.
- [4] G. Jungck, *The cohesive property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1982), no. 1, 138-142.
- [5] ———, *Common fixed points for commuting and compatible maps on compacta*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), no. 3, 977-983.
- [6] ———, *Fixed points via a generalized local commutativity*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **25** (2001), no. 8, 497-507.
- [7] S. Leader, *Uniformly contractive fixed points in compact metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), no. 1, 153-158.

Gerald F. Jungck: Department of Mathematics, Bradley University, Peoria, IL 61625,  
USA

*E-mail address:* [gjf@bradley.edu](mailto:gjf@bradley.edu)

## Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

### Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**Edson Denis Leonel**, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; [edleonel@rc.unesp.br](mailto:edleonel@rc.unesp.br)

**Alexander Loskutov**, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; [loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru](mailto:loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru)