

# Finite Factor Representations of Higman-Thompson groups

Artem Dudko\*

*Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, NY*

Konstantin Medynets†

*Department of Mathematics, U.S. Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD*

## Abstract

We prove that the only finite factor-representations of the Higman-Thompson groups  $\{F_{n,r}\}$ ,  $\{G_{n,r}\}$  are the regular representations and scalar representations arising from group abelianizations. As a corollary, we obtain that any measure-preserving ergodic action of a simple Higman-Thompson group must be essentially free. Finite factor representations of other classes of groups are also discussed.

## 1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to describe finite (in the sense of Murray-von Neumann) factor-representations of the Higman-Thompson groups (see Section 3 for the definition). The discussion of historical importance of these groups and their various algebraic properties can be found in [1], [2], and [11]. The following is the main result of the present paper.

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a group from the Higman-Thompson families  $\{F_{n,r}\}$ ,  $\{G_{n,r}\}$  and  $\pi$  be a finite factor representation of  $G$ . Then either  $\pi$  is the regular representation or  $\pi$  has the form*

$$\pi(g) = \rho([g])\text{Id},$$

*where  $[g]$  is the image of  $g$  in the abelianization  $G/G'$ ,  $G'$  is the commutator of  $G$ ,  $\rho : G/G' \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is a group homomorphism and  $\text{Id}$  is the identical operator in some Hilbert space.*

---

\*email: artem.dudko@stonybrook.edu

†email: medynets@usna.edu

Since finite factor representations are in one-to-one correspondence with positive definite class functions (termed *characters*, see Definition 2.1), this shows that the characters of any Higman-Thompson group  $G$  are convex combinations of the regular character and characters of its abelianization  $G/G'$ . The structure of group characters has implications on dynamical properties of group actions. Suppose that a group  $G$  admitting no non-regular/non-identity characters acts on a probability measure space  $(X, \mu)$  by measure-preserving transformations. Setting  $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}(g))$ ,  $\text{Fix}(g) = \{x \in X : g(x) = x\}$ , one can check that the function  $\chi$  is a character. So our results imply that any non-trivial ergodic action of  $G$  on a probability measure space  $(X, \mu)$  is essentially free, i.e.  $\mu(\text{Fix}(g)) = 0$  for every  $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$ , see Theorem 2.11.

In our proofs, we mostly utilize the fact that the commutators of Higman-Thompson groups have no non-atomic invariant measures on the spaces where they are defined. This means that the orbit equivalence relations generated by their actions are compressible [8]. This observation allows us to state the main result in terms of dynamical properties of group actions (Theorems 2.9 and 2.10) — transformation groups whose actions are “compressible” (Definition 2.5) do not admit non-regular  $II_1$ -factor representations, except for possible finite-dimensional representations. This dynamical formulation allows us to apply the main result to other classes of transformation groups (Section 3).

In [13] Vershik suggested that the characters of “rich” groups should often arise as  $\mu(\text{Fix}(g))$  for some invariant measure  $\mu$ . Thus, this paper confirms Vershik’s conjecture in the sense that the absence of non-trivial invariant measures implies the absence of non-regular characters. We also mention the paper [5], where Vershik’s conjecture was established for full groups of Bratteli diagrams.

The structure of the paper is the following. In Section 2 we build the general theory of finite factor representations for groups admitting compressible actions. In Section 3, we apply our general results to the Higman-Thompson groups and to the full groups of irreducible shifts of finite type [9].

## 2 General Theory

In this section we show that if a group admits a compressible action on a topological space, then this group, under some algebraic assumptions, has no non-trivial factor representations. We will start with definitions from the representation theory of infinite groups.

**Definition 2.1.** A *character* of a group  $G$  is a function  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the following conditions

- 1)  $\chi(g_1g_2) = \chi(g_2g_1)$  for any  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ;

- 2) the matrix  $\left\{ \chi \left( g_i g_j^{-1} \right) \right\}_{i,j=1}^n$  is nonnegatively defined for any  $n$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ ;
- 3)  $\chi(e) = 1$ . Here  $e$  is the group identity.

A character  $\chi$  is called *indecomposable* if it cannot be written in the form  $\chi = \alpha\chi_1 + (1 - \alpha)\chi_2$ , where  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are distinct characters.

For a unitary representation  $\pi$  of a group  $G$  denote by  $\mathcal{M}_\pi$  the  $W^*$ -algebra generated by the operators of the representation  $\pi$ . Recall that the *commutant*  $S'$  of a set  $S$  of operators in a Hilbert space  $H$  is the algebra  $S' = \{A \in B(H) : AB = BA \text{ for any } B \in S\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A representation  $\pi$  of a group  $G$  is called a *factor representation* if the algebra  $\mathcal{M}_\pi$  is a factor, that is  $\mathcal{M}_\pi \cap \mathcal{M}'_\pi = \mathbb{C}\text{Id}$ .

The indecomposable characters on a group  $G$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the *finite type*<sup>1</sup> factor representations of  $G$ . Namely, starting with an indecomposable character  $\chi$  on  $G$  one can construct a triple  $(\pi, H, \xi)$ , referred to as the *Gelfand-Naimark-Siegel* (abbr. GNS) *construction*. Here  $\pi$  is a finite type factor representation acting in the space  $H$ , and  $\xi$  is a unit vector in  $H$  such that  $\chi(g) = (\pi(g)\xi, \xi)$  for every  $g \in G$ , see, for example, [5, Sect. 2.3]. Note that the vector  $\xi$  is cyclic and separating for the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}_\pi$ . The latter means that if  $A\xi = 0$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{M}_\pi$ , then  $A = 0$ .

*Remark 2.3.* We note that each character defines a factor representation up to *quasi-equivalence*. Two unitary representations  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  of the same group  $G$  are called *quasi-equivalent* if there is an isomorphism of von Neumann algebras  $\omega : \mathcal{M}_{\pi_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\pi_2}$  such that  $\omega(\pi_1(g)) = \pi_2(g)$  for each  $g \in G$ . For example, all  $II_1$  factor representations of an amenable group are hyperfinite [3, Corollary 6.9 and Theorem 6] and, hence, generate isomorphic algebras. At the same time, they might be not quasi-equivalent.

Suppose that  $G$  is an infinite conjugacy class (abbr. ICC) group. Then its left regular representation  $\pi$  generates a  $II_1$ -factor and the function  $\chi(g) = (\pi(g)\delta_e, \delta_e)$  is an indecomposable character (termed the *regular character*).

**Definition 2.4.** We will say that a group  $H$  *has no proper characters* if  $\chi$  being an indecomposable character of  $H$  implies that either  $\chi$  is *identity character* given by

$$\chi(g) = 1 \text{ for every } g \in G$$

or the *regular character* defined as

$$\chi(g) = 0 \text{ if } g \neq e \text{ and } \chi(e) = 1.$$

We notice that for non-ICC groups the regular characters are decomposable.

---

<sup>1</sup>The classification of factors can be found in [12, Chapter 5].

Fix a *regular Hausdorff topological space*  $X$ . Notice that any two distinct points of  $X$  have open neighborhoods with disjoint closures. To exclude trivial counterexamples to our statements we assume that the set  $X$  is infinite. Suppose that a group  $G$  acts on  $X$ . For a group element  $g \in G$ , denote its *support* by  $\text{supp}(g) = \overline{\{x \in X : g(x) \neq x\}}$ .

**Definition 2.5.** We will say that the action of  $G$  on  $X$  is *compressible* if there exists a base of the topology  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $X$  such that

- (i) for every  $g \in G$  there exists  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\text{supp}(g) \subset U$ ;
- (ii) for every  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathfrak{U}$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $g(U_1) \subset U_2$ ;
- (iii) for every  $U_1, U_2, U_3 \in \mathfrak{U}$  with  $\overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2} = \emptyset$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $g(U_1) \cap U_3 = \emptyset$  and  $\text{supp}(g) \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ .
- (iv) for any  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathfrak{U}$  there exists  $U_3 \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $U_3 \supset U_1 \cup U_2$ .

*Remark 2.6.* Suppose that  $X$  is a Polish space. If an action of  $G$  on  $X$  is compressible, then the  $G$ -action has no probability invariant measure. The latter is equivalent to the  $G$ -orbit equivalence relation being compressible (see [8] and references therein). This observation motivates our terminology.

The following result relates dynamical properties of group actions to the functional properties of group characters.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be a countable group admitting a compressible action by homeomorphisms on some regular Hausdorff topological space  $X$ . Then for every non-regular indecomposable character  $\chi$  of  $G$  there exists  $g \neq e$  such that  $|\chi(g)| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a proper indecomposable character  $\chi$  of  $G$ . Assume that  $|\chi(g)| < 1$  for all  $g \neq e$ . Let  $(\pi, H, \xi)$  be the GNS-construction associated to  $\chi$ .

(1) We notice that the definition of the compressible action implies that  $\chi$  has the multiplicativity property in the sense that if  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathfrak{U}$  and  $g, h \in G$  are such that

$$\text{supp}(g) \subset U_1, \text{supp}(h) \subset U_2 \text{ and } \overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2} = \emptyset$$

then

$$\chi(gh) = \chi(g)\chi(h). \tag{1}$$

Indeed, find an increasing sequence of finite sets  $F_n \subset G$  with  $\bigcup_n F_n = G$ . Then by the conditions (i) and (iv) of Definition 2.5, we can find open sets  $V_n \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that

$$V_n \supset \bigcup_{f \in F_n} \text{supp}(f).$$

By the condition (iii) there exist elements  $r_n \in G$  such that

$$r_n(U_1) \cap V_n = \emptyset \text{ and } \text{supp}(r_n) \cap U_2 = \emptyset.$$

Then  $r_n h r_n^{-1} = h$  and  $\text{supp}(r_n g r_n^{-1}) \cap \text{supp}(f) = \emptyset$  for every  $f \in F_n$ . Passing to a subsequence if needed, we can assume that  $\pi(r_n g r_n^{-1})$  converges weakly to an operator  $Q \in \mathcal{M}_\pi$ . Notice that  $\text{tr}(Q) = \chi(g)$ . Since the operator  $Q$  commutes with  $\pi(F_n)$  for every  $n$ , we get that  $Q$  belongs to the center of  $\mathcal{M}_\pi$ . Therefore,  $Q$  is scalar and  $Q = \chi(g)\text{Id}$ . Thus

$$\chi(gh) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\pi(r_n g h r_n^{-1})\xi, \xi) = (Q\pi(h)\xi, \xi) = \chi(g)\chi(h).$$

(2) We claim that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any open set  $U$  there exists  $g \in G$  with  $\text{supp}(g) \subset U$  and  $|\chi(g)| < \varepsilon$ . Indeed, fix an element  $h \neq e$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Find  $n$  subsets  $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\bar{V}_j \cap \bar{V}_k = \emptyset$  for  $j \neq k$ . By assumptions (i) and (ii) we can choose elements  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$  such that  $g_j(\text{supp}(h)) \subset V_j$  for each  $j$ . Set

$$f = (g_1 h g_1^{-1})(g_2 h g_2^{-1}) \cdots (g_n h g_n^{-1}).$$

By multiplicativity, we obtain that  $\chi(f) = \chi(h)^n$ . Choosing  $n$  sufficiently large we get an element  $f$  with  $|\chi(f)| < \varepsilon$ . By assumptions (i) and (ii) we can find an element  $g$  conjugate to  $f$  with  $\text{supp}(g) \subset U$ , which proves the claim.

(3) Consider an element  $g \in G$ ,  $g \neq e$ . Find an open set  $U$  with  $\overline{g(U)} \cap \bar{U} = \emptyset$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using the condition (ii) and (iv) of Definition 2.5, we can find subsets  $U_1, \dots, U_n, V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathfrak{U}$  with pairwise disjoint closures such that  $g(V_i) \subset U_i \subset U$  for each  $i$ . Find  $h_j \in G$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  supported by  $U_j$  with  $|\chi(h_j)| < \varepsilon$ . Set  $\xi_j = \pi(h_j g h_j^{-1})\xi$ . Then for  $i \neq j$ , the multiplicativity of  $\chi$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_i, \xi_j) &= \chi(h_j g^{-1} h_j^{-1} h_i g h_i^{-1}) \\ &= \chi(h_j (g^{-1} h_j^{-1} g) (g^{-1} h_i g) h_i^{-1}) \\ &= \chi(h_j) \chi(g^{-1} h_j^{-1} g) \chi(g^{-1} h_i g) \chi(h_i^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

As  $|\chi(h_j)| < \varepsilon$ , we obtain that  $|(\xi_j, \xi_i)| < \varepsilon$ . Thus,

$$\|\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n\| \leq (n + n(n-1)\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since  $(\xi_l, \xi) = \chi(g)$  for each  $l$ , we have

$$|\chi(g)| = \frac{1}{n} |(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_n, \xi)| \leq \frac{1}{n} (n + n(n-1)\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

When  $n$  goes to infinity, we obtain

$$|\chi(g)| \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $\chi(g) = 0$ . Thus,  $\chi$  is the regular character.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be a simple group and  $\chi$  be a character. If  $|\chi(g)| = 1$  for some  $g \in G, g \neq e$ , then*

$$\chi(s) = 1 \text{ for all } s \in G.$$

*In particular, if  $\chi$  is not the identity character, then  $|\chi(s)| < 1$  for all  $s \neq e$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c = \chi(g)$ ,  $|c| = 1$ . Consider the GNS construction  $(\pi, H, \xi)$  corresponding to  $\chi$ . Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that the vector  $\xi$  is separating, we obtain that

$$(\pi(g)\xi, \xi) = c \Rightarrow \pi(g)\xi = c\xi \Rightarrow \pi(g) = c\text{Id}.$$

Take an arbitrary element  $h \in G$  which does not commute with  $g$  and set  $s = hgh^{-1}g^{-1}$ . Then  $\pi(s) = \text{Id}$ . It follows that  $\pi(s_1) = \text{Id}$  for all  $s_1$  from the normal subgroup generated by  $s$ . Since  $G$  is simple, we get that  $\pi(g) = \text{Id}$  for every  $g \in G$ . Thus,  $\chi$  is the identity character.  $\square$

As a corollary of Lemma 2.8 and Proposition 2.7 we immediately obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $G$  be a simple countable group admitting a compressible action on a regular Hausdorff topological space  $X$ . Then  $G$  has no proper characters.*

Let  $G$  be a group. For a subgroup  $R$  of  $G$  and an element  $g \in G$  set  $C_R(g) = \{hgh^{-1} : h \in R\}$ . Denote by  $N(R)$  the normal closure of  $R$  in  $G$ , i.e., the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all elements of the form  $grg^{-1}, g \in G, r \in R$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $R$  be an ICC subgroup of  $G$  such that*

- (i)  *$R$  has no proper characters;*
- (ii) *for every  $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$ , there exists a sequence of distinct elements  $\{g_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subset C_R(g)$  such that  $g_i^{-1}g_j \in R$  for any  $i, j$ .*

*Then each finite type factor representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  is either regular or has the form*

$$\pi(g) = \omega([g]),$$

*where  $\omega$  is a finite factor representation of  $G/N(R)$  and  $[g] \in G/N(R)$  is the coset of the element  $g$ .*

*Proof.* Consider an indecomposable character  $\chi$  of  $G$ . Let  $(\pi, H, \xi)$  be the GNS-construction associated to  $\chi$ .

(1) Consider the restriction of  $\pi$  onto the subgroup  $R$ . Set  $H_R = \overline{\text{Lin}(\pi(R)\xi)}$ . Since the restriction of  $\chi$  on  $R$  is a character and the only indecomposable characters of the group  $R$  are the regular and the identity

characters, we can decompose the space  $H_R$  into  $R$ -invariant subspaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  (possibly trivial) such that  $H_R = H_1 \oplus H_2$  with  $\pi(R)|_{H_1}$  being the identity representation and  $\pi(R)|_{H_2}$  being the regular representation.

The orthogonal projections  $\{P_i\}$  onto  $H_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  belong to the center of the algebra generated by  $\pi(R)$ . In particular,  $P_i$  lies in the algebra  $\mathcal{M}_\pi$ . Furthermore,

$$\chi(g) = \alpha\chi_{id}(g) + (1 - \alpha)\chi_{reg}(g) \text{ for all } g \in R,$$

where  $\chi_{id}$  is the identity character,  $\chi_{reg}$  is the regular character, and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0, 1$ , we can write down the vector  $\xi$  as

$$\xi = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_1 + (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_2, \quad (2)$$

where  $\xi_1 \in H_1$ ,  $\xi_2 \in H_2$  are unit vectors such that

$$(\pi(h)\xi_1, \xi_1) = \chi_{id}(h) = 1, \quad (\pi(h)\xi_2, \xi_2) = \chi_{reg}(h) = \delta_{h,e} \text{ for all } h \in R.$$

For convenience, if  $\alpha = 0$ , we set  $\xi_1 = 0, \xi_2 = \xi$ , if  $\alpha = 1$ , we set  $\xi_1 = \xi, \xi_2 = 0$ . Observe that  $H_i = \overline{Lin(\pi(R)\xi_i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

(2) Assume that  $H_2 \neq \{0\}$ . Consider an arbitrary element  $g \in G$ ,  $g \neq e$ . By our assumptions there exists a sequence of elements  $\{h_n\} \in R \setminus \{e\}$  such that  $h_m^{-1}g^{-1}h_m h_n^{-1}gh_n \in R$  for all  $n$  and  $m$  and elements  $h_n^{-1}gh_n$  are pairwise distinct. Set  $g_m = h_m^{-1}gh_m$ . Since  $g_m^{-1}g_n \in R \setminus \{e\}$ , we get that

$$(\pi(g_n)\xi_2, \pi(g_m)\xi_2) = \chi_{reg}(g_m^{-1}g_n) = 0.$$

This shows that  $\pi(g_m)\xi_2 \rightarrow 0$  weakly. Observe also that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi(g_n)\xi_2, \xi_2) &= (\pi(g_n)P_2\xi, P_2\xi) = tr(P_2\pi(h_n^{-1}gh_n)P_2) \\ &= tr(\pi(h_n^{-1})P_2\pi(g)P_2\pi(h_n)) = tr(P_2\pi(g)P_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since the latter is independent of  $n$  and  $\pi(g_n)\xi_2 \rightarrow 0$ , we conclude that

$$(\pi(g)\xi_2, \xi_2) = tr(P_2\pi(g)P_2) = 0.$$

Set  $H_0 = \overline{Lin(\pi(G)\xi_2)}$ . Then  $\pi(G)|_{H_0}$  is quasi-equivalent to the regular representation. Since  $\pi$  is a factor representation, we conclude that  $\pi$  is the regular representation.

(3) Assume that  $H_2 = \{0\}$ . Then  $\xi = \xi_1$  and  $\pi(h) = \text{Id}$  for every  $h \in R$ . Therefore,  $\pi(g) = \text{Id}$  for all  $g \in N(R)$ . This means that the representation  $\pi$  factors through the quotient  $G/N(R)$  and defines a finite type factor representation  $\omega$  of  $G/N(R)$  such that  $\pi(g) = \omega([g])$  for all  $g \in G$ .  $\square$

Recall that a finite factor representation of a group  $G$  is of *type I* if the von Neumann algebra of the representation is isomorphic to the algebra of all linear operators in some finite-dimensional Hilbert space. We say that

an action of group  $G$  on a measure space  $(Y, \mu)$  is *trivial* if  $g(x) = x$  for every  $g \in G$  and  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ . The following result shows that any ergodic action of a group admitting no characters must be *essentially free*, that is  $\mu(\text{Fix}(g)) = 0$  for all  $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** *Assume that every finite factor representation of a countable ICC group  $G$  is either regular or of type I and that there is at most a countable number (up to quasi-equivalence) of finite factor representations of  $G$ . Then every faithful ergodic measure-preserving action of  $G$  is essentially free.*

*Proof.* Consider an ergodic action of  $G$  on a measure space  $(Y, \mu)$ . Set

$$\tilde{Y} = \{(x, y) \in Y \times Y \mid x = g(y) \text{ for some } g \in G\}.$$

For a Borel set  $A \subset \tilde{Y}$  and a point  $x \in Y$ , set  $A_x = \{(x, y) \in A\}$ . Define a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $\tilde{Y}$  by  $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \int_Y \text{card}(A_x) d\mu(x)$ . Given a function  $f \in L^2(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\mu})$  and a group element  $g \in G$ , set

$$(\pi(g)f)(x, y) = f(g^{-1}x, y).$$

Then  $\pi(g)$  is a unitary operator on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\mu})$ . Denote by  $\xi$  the indicator function of the diagonal of  $Y \times Y$ . Set  $H = \text{Lin}\{\pi(G)\xi\}$ . We note the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}_\pi$  generated by  $\pi(G)$ , restricted to  $H$ , is of finite type. We refer the reader to [4] for the details. Since the group  $G$  has at most a countable number of finite factor representations, the representation  $\pi$  decomposes into a direct sum (at most countable) of factor representations.

Our goal is to show that the representation  $\pi$  is regular. Then the uniqueness of the trace implies that  $(\pi(g)\xi, \xi) = 0$  for every  $g \neq e$ . Using the identity  $\mu(\text{Fix}(g)) = (\pi(g)\xi, \xi)$ , we get that the action is essentially free.

Suppose to the contrary that the decomposition of  $\pi$  into factors contains a *non-regular* factor representation  $\pi_1$ , which, by our assumptions, generates a finite-dimensional von Neumann factor. Let  $P_1$  be a projection from the center of  $\mathcal{M}_\pi$  such that  $\pi_1(g) = P_1\pi(g)$  for every  $g \in G$ . Set  $\xi_1 = P_1\xi$ .

Since for every  $g \in G$  the unitary operator  $(\pi'(g)f)(x, y) = f(x, g^{-1}y)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}'_\pi$  and  $\pi'(g)\xi = \pi(g^{-1})\xi$ , we have that

$$\pi'(g)\pi(g)\xi_1 = \pi'(g)\pi(g)P_1\xi = P_1\pi'(g)\pi(g)\xi = P_1\xi = \xi_1$$

for all  $g \in G$ . This implies that the function  $h(x) := |\xi_1(x, x)|$  is  $G$ -invariant and  $\mu$ -integrable on  $Y$ . By the ergodicity, we get that  $h(x) \equiv C$  on  $Y$  for some constant  $C$ . Note that if  $C = 0$ , then

$$0 = \int_{\tilde{Y}} \xi_1(x, y)\xi(x, y)d\tilde{\mu}(x, y) = (\xi_1, \xi),$$



which is impossible as the projection of  $\xi$  onto  $\xi_1$  is non-trivial.

Fix an orthonormal basis  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$  for  $\overline{Lin\{\pi_1(G)\xi_1\}}$ . For a given  $g \in G$ , write

$$\pi_1(g)\xi_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(g)\eta_j$$

for some  $\alpha_1(g), \dots, \alpha_n(g)$  with  $\sum |\alpha_j(g)|^2 = |\xi_1|^2 \leq 1$ . Observe that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(g)\eta_j(x, y) = (\pi_1(g)\xi_1)(x, y) = (\pi(g)\xi_1)(x, y) = \xi_1(g^{-1}x, y)$$

for every  $(x, y) \in \tilde{Y}$ . Since  $|\xi_1(g^{-1}x, y)| = C$  for  $(x, y) \in \tilde{Y}$  with  $x = g(y)$ , we conclude that  $\sum_{j=1}^n |\eta_j(x, y)| \geq C > 0$  for  $(x, y)$  with  $x = gy$  and, thus, for any  $(x, y) \in \tilde{Y}$ . This implies that the function  $\sum_{j=1}^n |\eta_j(x, y)|$  is not integrable with respect to  $\tilde{\mu}$ . This contradiction yields that  $\pi_1 = 0$  and, thus, the representation  $\pi$  is regular.  $\square$

We finish this section by giving examples of groups admitting no compressible actions. We observe that even though the following proposition yields a result to that of Theorem 2.10, the underlying assumptions are different and not mutually interchangeable.

**Proposition 2.12.** *Let  $G$  be a countable group with trivial center and such that every proper quotient is finite or abelian. Assume that the group  $G$  admits a compressible action on a regular Hausdorff space. Then all finite (Murray von Neumann) non-regular representations of  $G$  are of type I.*

*Proof.* Consider a non-regular indecomposable character  $\chi$  of  $G$ . Let  $(\pi, H, \xi)$  be the GNS-construction associated to  $\chi$ . By Proposition 2.7 there exists  $g \neq e$  such that  $|\chi(g)| = 1$ . Choose  $h \in G$  not commuting with  $g$ . Denote by  $N$  the normal subgroup of  $G$  generated by the element  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$ . Using the arguments from the proof of Lemma 2.8 we obtain that  $\pi|_N = \text{Id}$ . Thus, the representation  $\pi$  of the group  $G$  gives rise to the representation of  $G/N$  with the same von Neumann algebra. Recall that factor representations of abelian groups are scalar.  $\square$

If a group  $G$  as in the proposition above has a measure-preserving action on a measures space  $(X, \mu)$  with  $0 < \mu(\text{Fix}(g)) < 1$  for some  $g \neq e$ , then, in view of Theorem 2.11, such a group cannot have compressible actions. Examples of such groups are full groups of even Bratteli diagrams, commutators of topological full groups of Cantor minimal systems [5], and just infinite branch groups [6].

### 3 Applications

In this section we show that the results established in the previous section are applicable to the Hignam-Thompson groups and to the full groups of irreducible shifts of finite type.

#### 3.1 The Higman-Thompson groups

**Definition 3.1.** Fix two positive integers  $n$  and  $r$ . Consider an interval  $I_r = [0, r]$ . Define the group  $F_{n,r}$  as the set of all orientation preserving piecewise linear homeomorphisms  $h$  of  $I_r$  such that all singularities of  $h$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/n] = \{\frac{p}{n^k} : p, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ; the derivative of  $h$  at any non-singular point is  $n^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Observe that the commutator subgroup of  $F_{n,r}$  is a simple group and the abelianization of  $F_{n,r}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  [1, Section 4]. Consider the subgroup  $F_{n,r}^0$  of  $F_{n,r}$  consisting of all elements  $f \in F_{n,r}$  with  $\text{supp}(f)$  being a subset of  $(0, r)$ . Observe that (the commutator subgroup)  $F'_{n,r} = (F_{n,r}^0)'$  [1, Section 4]. The following lemma shows that the commutator subgroup  $F'_{n,r}$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9.

**Lemma 3.2.** *The base of topology  $\mathfrak{U} = \{(a, b) : [a, b] \subset (0, r), a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]\}$  satisfies the conditions (i)-(iv) of Definition 2.4 for the action of the group  $R = (F_{n,r}^0)'$  on  $(0, r)$ . Thus, the action of  $R$  is compressible.*

*Proof.* The conditions (i) and (iv) of Definition 2.4 are clearly satisfied.

To check the condition (ii), consider intervals  $U_1 = (a, b)$  and  $U_2 = (c, d)$  both in  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Replacing  $U_2$  by a subinterval if necessary we may assume that  $\frac{b-a}{d-c} = n^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since the function  $\frac{a-x}{c-x}$  is continuous in  $x$  for  $x \neq c$ , we can find  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$  such that  $0 < x < \min\{a, c\}$  and  $\frac{a-x}{c-x} = n^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly, we can find  $y \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$ ,  $\max\{b, d\} < y < r$  such that  $\frac{y-b}{y-d} = n^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $g : [0, r] \rightarrow [0, r]$  be the function such that

$$g(0) = 0, g(x) = x, g(a) = c, g(b) = d, g(y) = y, g(r) = r,$$

and  $g$  is linear on each of the line segments  $[0, x], [x, a], [a, b], [b, y], [y, r]$ . Then  $g \in R$  and  $g(U_1) = U_2$ .

To check the condition (iii), we consider intervals  $U_i = (a_i, b_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  from  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2} = \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $a_1 > b_2$ . Set  $a = a_1, b = b_1$  and fix  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$  with  $\max\{b_2, b_3\} < c < d < r$  and  $\frac{b-a}{d-c} = n^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Construct  $g$  as above with  $a_1 > x > b_2$  and  $r > y > d$ . Then  $\text{supp}(g) \subset [x, y]$  and  $g(U_1) = (c, d)$ . Therefore,  $g(U_1) \cap U_3 = \emptyset$  and  $\text{supp}(g) \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

Observe that all finite factor representations of abelian groups are scalar representations, i.e.  $\pi(g) = c_g \text{Id}$ , with  $c_g \in \mathbb{T}$ , the unit circle. In particular,

the indecomposable characters of abelian groups are homomorphisms into  $\mathbb{T}$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** (1) *The group  $F'_{n,r}$  has no proper characters.* (2) *If  $\chi$  is an indecomposable character of  $F_{n,r}$ , then  $\chi$  is either regular or  $\chi(g) = \rho([g])$ , where  $[g]$  is the image of  $g$  in the abelianization of  $F_{n,r}$  and  $\rho : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is a group homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Statement (1) immediately follows from Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 2.9.

To establish the second result, we only need to check the condition (2) of Theorem 2.10. Fix  $g \in F_{n,r} \setminus \{e\}$ . Find an interval  $(a, b)$  with  $g(a, b) \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$ . Find a sequence of distinct elements  $\{h_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset (F_{n,r})'$  supported by  $(a, b)$ . Then  $(h_n^{-1}g^{-1}h_n)(h_m^{-1}gh_m) \in (F_{n,r})'$  for any  $n \neq m$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $n$  and  $r$  be positive integers. Define Higman's group  $G_{n,r}$  as the group of all right continuous bijections of  $[0, r)$  which are piecewise linear, with finitely many discontinuities and singularities, all in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ , slopes in  $\{n^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , and mapping  $\mathbb{Z}[1/n] \cap [0, r)$  to itself.

Note that  $F_{n,r} \subset G_{n,r}$ . In fact,  $F_{n,r}$  consists exactly of all continuous elements  $g \in G_{n,r}$ . In [7] Higman showed that the commutator subgroup  $G'_{n,r}$  is simple and that the abelianization of  $G_{n,r}$  is trivial for even  $n$  and is  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  for odd  $n$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *The groups  $R = F'_{n,r}$  and  $G = G_{n,r}$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.10.*

*Proof.* Corollary 3.3 shows that the group  $R$  has no proper characters. Consider an arbitrary element  $g \in G, g \neq e$ . Choose an open interval  $I$  such that  $I \cap g^{-1}(I) = \emptyset$  and  $g$  is continuous on both  $I$  and  $g^{-1}(I)$ . It follows that for any two elements  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  with  $\text{supp}(r_1) \subset I, \text{supp}(r_2) \subset I$  the element

$$h = r_2 g^{-1} r_2^{-1} r_1 g r_1^{-1} \neq e$$

is a continuous bijection of  $[0, r)$ . Observe that  $h$  acts identically near 0 and  $r$ . It follows that  $h \in R$  and the elements  $r_1 g r_1^{-1}$  and  $r_2 g r_2^{-1}$  belong to the same coset of  $G/R$ . Since the group  $R$  has infinitely many elements supported by the set  $I$ , we immediately establish the condition (ii).  $\square$

The following result is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.10 applied twice to the pairs  $R = F'_{n,r}, G = (G_{n,r})'$  and  $R = F_{n,r}, G = G_{n,r}$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** (1) *The group  $G'_{n,r}$  has no proper characters.*

(2) *If  $\chi$  is an indecomposable character of  $G_{n,r}$ , then  $\chi$  is either regular or  $\chi(g) = \rho([g])$ , where  $[g]$  is the image of  $g$  in the abelianization of  $G_{n,r}$  and  $\rho : G_{n,r}/G'_{n,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is a group homomorphism.*

### 3.2 Full groups of irreducible shifts of finite type

We refer the reader to [9, Section 6] for the comprehensive study of full groups of étale groupoids including the groups discussed below.

Let  $(V, E)$  be a finite directed graph. Suppose that the adjacency matrix of the graph is irreducible and is not a permutation matrix. For an edge  $e \in E$ , denote by  $i(e)$  the initial vertex and by  $t(e)$  its terminal vertex. Set

$$X = \{\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1} \in E^{\mathbb{N}} : t(e_k) = i(e_{k+1}) \text{ for every } k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Equipped with the product topology,  $X$  is a Cantor set. We note that the space  $X$  along with the left shift is called a one-sided subshift of finite type, see [9] and references therein regarding relations with the symbolic dynamics.

An  $n$ -tuple  $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in E^n$  is called *admissible* if  $t(e_k) = i(e_{k+1})$  for every  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ . Two admissible tuples  $\bar{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  and  $\bar{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$  are called *compatible* if  $t(e_n) = t(f_m)$ . Each admissible tuple  $\bar{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  defines a clopen set  $U(\bar{e}) = \{x \in X : x_i = e_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Such clopen sets form the base of topology. Given two compatible admissible tuples  $\bar{e}_1$  and  $\bar{e}_2$ , define a continuous map  $\pi_{\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2} : U(\bar{e}_1) \rightarrow U(\bar{e}_2)$  as

$$\pi_{\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2}(\bar{e}_1, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots) = (\bar{e}_2, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots).$$

**Definition 3.7.** Following [9], we define the *full group* of  $X$ , in symbols  $[[X]]$ , as the set of all homeomorphisms  $g$  of  $X$  for which there exists two clopen partitions  $X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n U(\bar{e}_i) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n U(\bar{f}_i)$  with  $e_i$  and  $f_i$  being compatible admissible tuples (possibly of different lengths),  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that  $g|_{U(\bar{e}_i)} = \pi_{\bar{e}_i, \bar{f}_i}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

For a clopen subset  $Y \subset X$ , set  $[[X|Y]]$  as the set of all  $g \in [[X]]$  with  $\text{supp}(g) \subset Y$ .

The following result was established in [9, Lemma 6.1 and Theorem 4.16]

**Proposition 3.8.** *For any clopen set  $Y \subset X$ , the commutator group  $[[X|Y]]'$  is simple.*

Fix an arbitrary point  $x_0 \in X$ . Find an increasing sequence of clopen sets  $\{Y_n\}$  such that  $X \setminus \{x_0\} = \bigcup_n Y_n$ . Set  $R = \bigcup_n [[X|Y_n]]'$ . It follows from Proposition 3.8 that the group  $R$  is simple. Observe that the group  $R$  consists of all elements  $g \in [[X]]'$  equal to the identity on some neighbourhood of  $x_0$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of all admissible tuples which are *not prefixes* of  $x_0$ . Define  $\mathfrak{U}$  as the family of all finite unions of sets from  $\{U(\bar{e})\}_{\bar{e} \in \mathcal{F}}$ . Notice that  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a base of the topology on  $X \setminus \{x_0\}$ . One can check that  $\mathfrak{U}$  satisfies conditions (i)-(iv) of Definition 2.5 for the action of  $R$ . Thus, using Theorem 2.9, we conclude that the group  $R$  has no characters. Considering  $R$  as a subgroup of  $G = [[X]]$ , one can check that the assumptions of Theorem 2.10 are satisfied. We leave the details to the reader.

**Corollary 3.9.** *If  $\chi$  is an indecomposable character of  $[[X]]$ , then  $\chi$  is either regular or  $\chi(g) = \rho([g])$ , where  $[g]$  is the image of  $g$  in the abelianization of  $[[X]]$  and  $\rho : [[X]]/[[X]]' \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is a group homomorphism.*

To finish our discussion, we notice that the full group of the one-sided Bernoulli shift over the alphabet with  $n$  letters is isomorphic to  $G_{n,1}$  [10].

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank R. Grigorchuk for the discussion of Higman-Thompson groups and for his valuable comments.

## References

- [1] K. Brown, *Finiteness properties of groups*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **44** (1987), no. 1-3, 45-75.
- [2] J.W. Cannon, J.W. Floyd, W.R. Parry, *Introductory notes on Richard Thompson's groups*. Enseign. Math. (2) **42** (1996), no. 3-4, 215-256.
- [3] A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors. Cases  $II_1$ ,  $II_\infty$ ,  $III_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \neq 1$*  Ann. of Math. (2) **104** (1976), no. 1, 73-115.
- [4] J. Feldman and C. Moore, *Ergodic Equivalence Relations, Cohomology, and Von Neumann Algebras. I*. Trans. of the AMS, **234**, No. 2. (1977), 289-324.
- [5] A. Dudko and K. Medynets, *On Characters of Inductive Limits of Symmetric Groups*, arXiv:1105.6325, (2012).
- [6] R. Grigorchuk, *Some problems of the dynamics of group actions on rooted trees*. (Russian) Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **273** (2011), Sovremennye Problemy Matematiki, 72-191; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **273** (2011), no. 1, 64-175
- [7] G. Higman, *Finitely presented infinite simple groups*. Notes on Pure Mathematics, No. 8 (1974). Department of Pure Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, I.A.S. Australian National University, Canberra, 1974. vii+82 pp.
- [8] R. Dougherty, S. Jackson and A.S. Kechris, *The structure of hyperfinite Borel equivalence relations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **341** (1994), 193-225.
- [9] H. Matui, *Topological full groups of one-sided shifts of finite type*, (2012) arXiv:1210.5800.
- [10] V. Nekrashevych, *Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of group actions*. J. Operator Theory **52** (2004), no. 2, 223-249.

- [11] M. Stein, *Groups of piecewise linear homeomorphisms*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **332** (1992), no. 2, 477-514.
- [12] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras I*. Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 124.
- [13] A. Vershik, *Nonfree Actions of Countable Groups and their Characters*, arXiv:1012.4604 (2010).