

Research Article

Relations between Sequences and Selection Properties

D. Djurčić, Lj. D. R. Kočinac, and M. R. Žižović

Received 15 May 2007; Revised 14 June 2007; Accepted 30 July 2007

Recommended by Stevo Stević

We consider the set \mathcal{S} of sequences of positive real numbers and show that some subclasses of \mathcal{S} have certain nice selection and game theoretic properties.

Copyright © 2007 D. Djurčić et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In his famous, influential 1930 paper [1], Karamata initiated the subject nowadays known as regular variation (see [2] and also [3–7]). His motivation was Tauberian theory, and the first triumph of regular variation was a spectacular simplification of the work of Hardy and Littlewood on Tauberian theorems for Laplace transforms; this resulted in what is now called the Hardy-Littlewood-Karamata theorem (see [8], [2, Chapter 1], [9, Chapter 4]). In what follows, we consider both regular variation and rapid variation (see [2, Section 2.4] and references cited there).

However, the theory also was developed to some other directions. Recently, the authors found in [10] (see also [11, 12]) that there is a nice connection between asymptotic analysis of divergent processes (Karamata theory, the theory of rapid variability) and the theory of selection principles, a quickly growing field of mathematics, as well as game theory and Ramsey theory. (We refer the reader to the book [13] for more information about infinite games.) In this paper, we will further demonstrate that certain subclasses of the set \mathcal{S} of sequences of positive real numbers, which are defined in terms of relationships between sequences from \mathcal{S} , satisfy some selection principles and game-theoretic conditions. We believe that new techniques that we use in the proofs could be applied to other constructions in the area of selection principles.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be sets whose members are families of subsets of an infinite set X . Then (see [14, 15]): $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denotes the selection principle: For each sequence $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$

2 Abstract and Applied Analysis

of elements of \mathcal{A} there is a sequence $(b_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that for each n , $b_n \in A_n$, and $\{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an element of \mathcal{B} .

Recently, in [16], new selection principles $\alpha_i(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ were introduced and studied (see also [17]).

The basic object in this paper will be

$$\mathbb{S} = \{c = (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : c_n > 0 \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad (1.1)$$

the set of sequences of positive real numbers, so that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} will be certain subfamilies of \mathbb{S} .

For a sequence $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ denote by $\text{Im}(c_n)$ the set of elements appearing in the sequence.

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be subfamilies of \mathbb{S} . The symbol $\alpha_i(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, denotes the following selection hypothesis.

For each sequence $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of elements of \mathcal{A} there is an element $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that:

- (1) $\alpha_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\text{Im}(A_n) \setminus \text{Im}(B)$ is finite;
- (2) $\alpha_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\text{Im}(A_n) \cap \text{Im}(B)$ is infinite;
- (3) $\alpha_3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\text{Im}(A_n) \cap \text{Im}(B)$ is infinite;
- (4) $\alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\text{Im}(A_n) \cap \text{Im}(B)$ is nonempty.

Evidently for arbitrary subclasses \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of \mathbb{S} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) &\implies \alpha_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \implies \alpha_3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \implies \alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), \\ \mathbb{S}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) &\implies \alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

2. Results

Definition 2.1. Let $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$, and $\mu > 0$ be fixed. A sequence $b = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ μ -dominates a if there is $n_0 = n_0(\mu)$ such that $a_n < \mu \cdot b_n$ for all $n > n_0$.

Denote by $\{a\}_\mu$ the set of all sequences in \mathbb{S} which μ -dominate a .

Evidently, for $0 < \mu < \nu$, we have $\{a\}_\mu \subsetneq \{a\}_\nu$.

Further, let

$$\{a\} = \bigcup_{\mu > 0} \{a\}_\mu. \quad (2.1)$$

For $b = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{a\}$, we write

$$a_n = O(b_n), \quad n \longrightarrow \infty, \quad (2.2)$$

and say that a is *subordinated to* b .

THEOREM 2.2. Let $a = (a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\mu > 0$ be fixed. Then $\alpha_2(\{a\}_\mu, \{a\}_\mu)$ holds.

Proof. Let $(x_i : i \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of elements from $\{a\}_\mu$ and suppose that for each i , we have $x_i = (b_{i,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Construct a new sequence $(y_i : i \in \mathbb{N})$ in the following way. There exists j_1 such that $b_{1,j} \geq (1/\mu)a_j$ for all $j \geq j_1$. Consider the sequence $y_1 = (b_{1,j})_{j \geq 1}$. Suppose $i \geq 2$ and that the sequences y_k and numbers j_k have been defined for every $k \leq i - 1$.

Put

$$j_i^* = \min \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : b_{ij} \geq \frac{i}{\mu} a_j \right\},$$

$$j_1 = \begin{cases} j_{i-1}, & \text{if } j_i^* < j_{i-1}; \\ \min_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{ j_{i-1} + k \cdot 2^{i-1} : j_{i-1} + k \cdot 2^{i-1} > j_i^* \}, & \text{if } j_i^* > j_{i-1}. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Form the sequence y_i in such a way that in the sequence y_{i-1} , we replace each 2^i th element beginning with j_i th by the corresponding elements (of the same indices) of the sequence x_i . Suppose that $y_i = (h_{i,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Let $k_j = \limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (h_{i,j})$. Then $k_j \geq (1/\mu)a_j > 0$, for $j \geq j_1$ and $k_j = b_{1,j} > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, j_1 - 1\}$. If for some $j \geq j_1$, we have $k_j = +\infty$, then we replace k_j with $b_{1,j}$. In this way, we generate the sequence $z = (k_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ which, by construction, belongs to $\{a\}_\mu$ and has infinitely many common elements with each of the sequences x_i ; for x_i , $i > 1$, each 2^{i+1} th element of x_i beginning from $b_{i,j_{i+1}+2^i}$ is such an element. \square

Definition 2.3. Let $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\mu > 0$ and $\nu > 0$ with $\mu \cdot \nu \geq 1$ be fixed. A sequence $b = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ is said to be (μ, ν) -weakly asymptotically equivalent with a if both $b \in \{a\}_\mu$ and $a \in \{b\}_\nu$ hold, or equivalently, $1/\nu \cdot b_n < a_n < \mu \cdot b_n$ for all but finitely many n .

Remark 2.4. The relation of (μ, ν) -weak asymptotic equivalence is not an equivalence relation on \mathbb{S} , except the case $\mu = \nu = 1$.

Denote by

$$\{a\}_{\mu, \nu} := \{b \in \mathbb{S} : b \text{ is } (\mu, \nu)\text{-weakly asymptotically equivalent to } a\}. \quad (2.4)$$

We say that a sequence $b = (b_n) \in \mathbb{S}$ is *weakly asymptotically equivalent* to a , if $b \in \{a\}$ and $a \in \{b\}$ (i.e., if $a_n = O(b_n)$, $n \rightarrow +\infty$, and $b_n = O(a_n)$, $n \rightarrow +\infty$).

The relation of weak asymptotic equivalence is an equivalence relation on the set \mathbb{S} . The usual notation for this relation is $a \in \Theta(b)$ (or $b \in \Theta(a)$).

THEOREM 2.5. Let $a = (a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\mu > 0$, $\nu > 0$ such that $\mu \cdot \nu \geq 1$ be fixed. Then $\alpha_2(\{a\}_{\mu, \nu}, \{a\}_{\mu, \nu})$ holds.

Proof. Let $(x_i = (b_{i,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}} : i \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of elements from $\{a\}_{\mu, \nu}$. Consider now a sequence $y_1 = (b_{1,j})_{j \geq 1}$ (where $(1/\nu)b_{1,j} \leq a_j \leq \mu \cdot b_{1,j}$ for $j \geq j_1$ for some $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$). Inductively, for each $i \geq 2$ form a sequence y_i as follows. Suppose the sequences y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i-1} and natural numbers j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{i-1} have been already defined. Let

$$j_i^* = \min \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\nu} b_{i,j} \leq a_j \leq \mu \cdot b_{i,j} \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

4 Abstract and Applied Analysis

Define

$$j_i = \begin{cases} j_{i-1} & \text{if } j_i^* \leq j_{i-1}; \\ \min_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{j_{i-1} + k \cdot 2^{i-1} : j_{i-1} + k \cdot 2^{i-1} \geq j_i^*\} & \text{if } j_i^* > j_{i-1}. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

The sequence y_i will be defined in such a way that in the sequence y_{i-1} , we replace each 2^i th element, beginning from j_i th with the corresponding element (of the same index) from the sequence x_i . Let $y_i = (h_{i,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $k_j = \limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (h_{i,j})$. Then we have $(1/\mu)a_j \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (h_{i,j}) \leq k_j \leq \nu \cdot a_j$ for $j \geq j_1$. Also, we have $k_j = b_{1,j} > 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, j_1 - 1\}$. So, by construction, the sequence $y = (k_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ belongs to the class $\{a\}_{\mu, \nu}$ and has infinitely many common elements with each of sequences x_i , $i \geq 1$; surely, for x_i , $i > 1$, each 2^{i+1} th element of x_i beginning from $b_{i, j_{i+1} + 2^i}$ is such a common element. \square

Remark 2.6. Notice that in the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.5 one could replace 2^i with $m^{\psi(i)}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$, and $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a strictly increasing function. (So, we used $m = 2$ and $\psi = id_{\mathbb{N}}$.)

Definition 2.7. A sequence $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ is said to be negligible with respect to a sequence $b = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from \mathbb{S} if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon)$ such that $a_n \leq \epsilon \cdot b_n$ whenever $n \geq n_0$.

Denote by $\nabla(a)$ the set of all sequences b in \mathbb{S} such that a is negligible with respect to b . For $b = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \nabla(a)$, we use the notation

$$a_n = o(b_n), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.7)$$

Observe that $\nabla(a) = \bigcap_{\mu > 0} \{a\}_{\mu}$.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be subclasses of \mathbb{S} . The symbol $G(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denotes the infinitely long game for two players, ONE and TWO, who play a round for each positive integer. In the n th round ONE chooses a sequence $s_n \in \mathcal{A}$, and TWO responds by choosing an infinite set T_n from $\text{Im}(s_n)$. TWO wins a play $(s_1, T_1; \dots; s_n, T_n; \dots)$ if $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ can be arranged in a sequence from \mathcal{B} ; otherwise, ONE wins.

Evidently, if TWO has a winning strategy in the game $G(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ (or even if ONE does not have a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$), then the selection hypothesis $\alpha_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is true.

THEOREM 2.8. *Let $a = (a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$. The player TWO has a winning strategy in the game $G(\nabla(a), \nabla(a))$.*

Proof. We describe a winning strategy for the player TWO.

Round I. Suppose ONE chooses a sequence $x_1 = (x_{1,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ from $\nabla(a)$. Then TWO picks a prime number p_1 and a position $j_{p_1} = j_1$ in the sequence x_1 such that $a_j/x_{1,j} \leq 1/P_1$ for $j \geq j_{p_1}$, and fix elements $x_{1,p_1^k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ (for the set $T_1 = \{x_{1,p_1^k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$), so that $p_1^k \geq j_{p_1} = j_1$ holds.

Round II. ONE chooses a sequence $x_2 = (x_{2,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ from $\nabla(a)$. TWO picks a prime number $p_2 > p_1$, finds a position j_{p_2} in the sequence x_2 such that $a_j/x_{2,j} \leq 1/p_2$ for $j \geq j_{p_2}$ and puts $j_2 = \max\{j_1, j_{p_2}\}$. In the sequence x_1 TWO finds now elements x_{1,p_2^k} , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $p_2^k \geq j_2$ and replaces them by elements x_{2,p_2^k} , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (so, $T_2 = \{x_{2,p_2^k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$).

Round III. ($i \geq 3$): ONE takes a sequence $x_i = (x_{i,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ from $\nabla(a)$. TWO first chooses a prime number $p_i, p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_i$, and then consider a position j_{p_i} such that $a_j/x_{i,j} \geq 1/p_i$ for $j \geq j_{p_i}$ and takes $j_i = \max\{j_{i-1}, j_{p_i}\}$. Now, in the sequence obtained by this procedure in the step $i - 1$, one replaces elements x_{1,p_i^k} , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $p_i^k \geq j_i$ by elements x_{i,p_i^k} , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (hence, $T_i = \{x_{i,p_i^k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$).

This procedure leads to the sequence $y = (y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $y_j = x_{i,j}$, if there are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j = p_i^k$ and $j \geq j_i$, and $y_j = x_{1,j}$ otherwise. The sequence y belongs to \mathbb{S} and, by construction, has infinitely many common elements with every sequence x_i .

We prove that $y \in \nabla(a)$, that is, that $\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} (a_j/y_j) = 0$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} (a_j/y_j) = A > 0$. This means that there is a subsequence $(a_{j(s)}/y_{j(s)})_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $(a_j/y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a_{j(s)}}{y_{j(s)}} = A. \quad (2.8)$$

In other words, there is $s_0 = s_0(A)$ such that for $s \geq s_0$ (so $j \geq j_0 = j(s_0)$) we have $a_{j(s)}/y_{j(s)} \geq A/2 > 0$.

Observe that among elements $y_{j(s)}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, which occur in the subsequence $(a_{j(s)}/y_{j(s)})_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$, there do not exist countably many elements from x_i , for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise, those elements would form a subsequence of $(y_{j(s)})_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ which would contradict to condition (2.8). So, $(y_{j(s)})_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ may contain only finitely many elements $x_{i,j}$ from x_i for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Choose $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $A/3 \geq 1/p_i$ and denote by $j(s_1)$, $s_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, the greatest index of elements from $(y_{j(s)})$ satisfying the condition: elements from sequences $(x_{1,j}), \dots, (x_{i-1,j})$ occur in $(y_{j(s)})$. (There are finitely many such elements and thus $j(s_1) \in \mathbb{N}$ is well defined.) Then, by construction, we have $a_{j(s)}/y_{j(s)} \leq 1/p_i \leq A/2$, for $s \geq s_1$, which is a contradiction. So, $A = 0$, that is, $y \in \nabla(a)$. □

COROLLARY 2.9. *Let $a = (a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$. Then the selection property $\alpha_2(\nabla(a), \nabla(a))$ is satisfied (and thus $\alpha_3(\nabla(a), \nabla(a))$ and $\alpha_4(\nabla(a), \nabla(a))$ are also satisfied).*

Remark 2.10. Note that Theorems 2.2 and 2.5 can be formulated and shown in game-theoretic terms.

Let

$$\mathbb{S}_\infty := \{a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S} : \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n = +\infty\}. \quad (2.9)$$

COROLLARY 2.11. *\mathbb{S}_∞ has the selection property $\alpha_2(\mathbb{S}_\infty, \mathbb{S}_\infty)$.*

6 Abstract and Applied Analysis

Proof. Let $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the constant sequence with $a_n = 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (or $a_n = c > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then $\mathbb{S}_\infty = \nabla(a)$. By Theorem 2.8, $\alpha_2(\nabla(a), \nabla(a))$ is true. Thus we have that $\alpha_2(\mathbb{S}_\infty, \mathbb{S}_\infty)$ is also satisfied. \square

Let $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ be fixed. A sequence $b = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{S} is said to be *strongly asymptotically equivalent to a* if for every $\mu > 1$ the conditions $b \in \{a\}_\mu$ and $a \in \{b\}_\mu$ are satisfied.

This is equivalent to the fact that for every $\mu > 1$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(\mu) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(1/\mu) \cdot b_n \leq a_n \leq \mu \cdot b_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$, or to the fact $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (a_n/b_n) = 1$ if each b_n is nonzero.

This relation is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{S} and is also known as the *weak asymptotic equality*.

For a fixed $a \in \mathbb{S}$ denote by $[a]$ the set of all sequences from \mathbb{S} which are strongly asymptotically equivalent to a .

THEOREM 2.12. *Let $a \in \mathbb{S}$ be given. Then $\alpha_2([a], [a])$ is true.*

Proof. The proof is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8. \square

COROLLARY 2.13. *Let $a \in \mathbb{S}$ be a constant sequence $a_n = c > 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $[a]$ satisfies $\mathbb{S}_1([a], [a])$.*

COROLLARY 2.14. *Let $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ be a constant sequence with $a_n = c$, $c > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $[a] = [c] = \{b \in \mathbb{S} : \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} b_n = c\}$ satisfies the selection principles $\alpha_k([a], [a])$, $k = \{2, 3, 4\}$.*

Notice, that under assumptions of Corollary 2.14, the selection principle $\alpha_1([a], [a])$ is also satisfied.

Indeed, let $(b_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of elements from $[a]$ and let for each n , $b_n = (b_{n,m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. Take an arbitrary $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $U_i = (c - 1/i, c + 1/i)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_{n,m} \in U_i$ for each $m \geq m_n$. Put $M = \cup \{\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, \dots, m_n\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow M$ be any bijection. Then the sequence $(b_{\varphi(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is contained in U_i . Since $i \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $\alpha_1([a], [a])$ holds.

We end the paper with a result closely related to the considered material.

Let $A \in [0, +\infty)$ and let $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence such that $a_n = A$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A sequence $b = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$ belonging to $[a]$ is said to *converge rapidly* to A if the Landau sequence of b defined by

$$w_n(b) = \sup \{ |b_m - b_k| : m \geq n, k \geq n \}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.10)$$

belongs to de Haan's class $R_{-\infty, s}$ of rapidly varying sequences of index of variability $-\infty$, that is, for each $\lambda > 1$ the following asymptotic condition is satisfied:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{w_{[\lambda n]}}{w_n} = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Or equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{w_{[\lambda n]}}{w_n} = +\infty, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1. \quad (2.12)$$

If $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{S}$, then

$$[a]_{R_{-\infty, s}} = \{b = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in [a] : (w_n(b))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in R_{-\infty, s}\}. \quad (2.13)$$

In [11], we showed the following result.

THEOREM 2.15. *Let $A \in (0, +\infty)$ and let $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $a_n = A$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the constant sequence. Then the selection principle $S_1([a]_{R_{-\infty, s}}, [a]_{R_{-\infty, s}})$ is satisfied.*

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the three anonymous referees for their careful reading of the paper and a number of useful comments and suggestions, which led to an improvement of the exposition.

References

- [1] J. Karamata, “Sur un mode de croissance régulière des fonctions,” *Mathematica*, vol. 4, pp. 38–53, 1930.
- [2] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, vol. 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1987.
- [3] E. Seneta, *Regularly Varying Functions*, vol. 508 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1976.
- [4] D. Djurčić, “ \mathcal{O} -regularly varying functions and strong asymptotic equivalence,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 220, no. 2, pp. 451–461, 1998.
- [5] D. Djurčić and A. Torgašev, “Representation theorems for the sequences of the classes CR_c and ER_c ,” *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 855–859, 2004.
- [6] J. Galambos and E. Seneta, “Regularly varying sequences,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 110–116, 1973.
- [7] J. Karamata, *Theory and Application of the Stieltjes Integral*, Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Institute of Mathematics, Belgrade, Serbia, 1949.
- [8] J. Karamata, “Neuer Beweis und Verallgemeinerung der Tauberschen Sätze, welche die Laplacesche und Stieltjessche Transformation betreffen,” *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, vol. 164, pp. 27–39, 1931.
- [9] J. Korevaar, *Tauberian Theory: A Century of Developments*, vol. 329 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2004.
- [10] D. Djurčić, Lj. D. R. Kočinac, and M. R. Žižović, “Some properties of rapidly varying sequences,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 327, no. 2, pp. 1297–1306, 2007.
- [11] D. Djurčić, Lj. D. R. Kočinac, and M. R. Žižović, “Rapidly varying sequences and rapid convergence,” to appear in *Topology and Its Applications*.
- [12] D. Djurčić, Lj. D. R. Kočinac, and M. R. Žižović, “On selection principles and games in divergent processes,” in *Selection Principles and Covering Properties in Topology*, Lj. D. R. Kočinac, Ed., vol. 18 of *Quaderni di Matematica*, pp. 133–155, Seconda Università di Napoli, Caserta, Italy, 2006.
- [13] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, vol. 156 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1995.
- [14] M. Scheepers, “Combinatorics of open covers I: ramsey theory,” *Topology and Its Applications*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 31–62, 1996.

8 Abstract and Applied Analysis

- [15] Lj. D. R. Kočinac, “Some covering properties in topological and uniform spaces,” *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, vol. 252, no. 1, pp. 122–137, 2006.
- [16] Lj. D. R. Kočinac, “Selection principles related to α_i -properties,” to appear in *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*.
- [17] B. Tsaban, “On the Kočinac α_i properties,” to appear in *Topology and Its Applications*.

D. Djurčić: Technical Faculty Čačak, University of Kragujevac, 65 Svetog Save Street,
32000 Čačak, Serbia
Email address: dragandj@tfc.kg.ac.yu

Lj. D. R. Kočinac: Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, 33 Višegradska Street,
18000 Niš, Serbia
Email address: lkocinac@ptt.yu

M. R. Žižović: Technical Faculty Čačak, University of Kragujevac, 65 Svetog Save Street,
32000 Čačak, Serbia
Email address: zizo@tfc.kg.ac.yu