

# Spatial Modeling with Spatially Varying Coefficient Processes

Alan E. Gelfand, Hyon-Jung Kim, C. F. Sirmans, Sudipto Banerjee

Presenters: Halley Brantley and Chris Krut

September 28, 2015

# Introduction

Want to model selling price of single family homes in Baton Rouge.

See Figure 1. Pg. 392

## Covariates

- Age
- Square feet of liv
- Square feet of ot
- # of bathrooms

# Linear Model

$$Y(\mathbf{s}) = X(\mathbf{s})\beta + W(\mathbf{s}) + \epsilon$$

$$\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4)$$

$$X(\mathbf{s}) = (\mathbf{1}, \text{Age}, \text{LivingArea}, \text{OtherArea}, \text{Bathrooms})$$

$\epsilon \sim N(0, \tau^2)$  independent error term

## Spatial Components

1.  $\mathbf{s}_i$  is the location of observation  $i$
2. Spatial random effect  $W(\mathbf{s}) \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{H})$
3.  $(\mathbf{H})_{ij} = \rho(\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}_j | \phi)$
4.  $\text{cov}(Y(\mathbf{s}_i), Y(\mathbf{s}_j) | \beta, \tau^2, \phi) = \sigma^2 \rho(\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}_j | \phi)$

## Challenge: $\beta_s$ may not be constant across space

- Possibility 1: divide area into similar blocks.
  - ▶ Must assume coefficient is constant on specified area.
  - ▶ Arbitrary scale of resolution
  - ▶ Can't interpolate the surface
- Possibility 2: Assume  $\beta$  is a polynomial or spline function of lat/lon.
  - ▶ Arbitrary specification of polynomial could be too inflexible.
  - ▶ Splines are more flexible, but need to specify number and location of knots.

# Gaussian Process

## Definition

$Y(s)$  is a Gaussian process with mean function  $\mu(s)$  and covariance function  $\text{cov}(Y(s), Y(s')) = H(s, s')$  if for every subset of locations  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  the vector  $\tilde{Y} = (Y(s_1), \dots, Y(s_n))^T$

$$\tilde{Y} \sim MVN_n(\tilde{\mu}, \mathbf{H}) \quad (1)$$

where  $\tilde{\mu} = (\mu(s_1), \dots, \mu(s_n))^T$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  is a matrix such that  $\{\mathbf{H}\}_{ij} = H(s_i, s_j; \phi)$ .

To be a valid covariance function  $H(s, s' : \phi)$  must be positive semidefinite in the sense that it generates covariance matrices  $\mathbf{H}$  which are positive semi definite  $v^T \mathbf{H} v \geq 0$  [1, Pg. 80].

# Spatial Intercept Model: Process Model

- $Y(s) = \beta_0 + x(s)\beta_1 + \beta_0(s) + \varepsilon(s)$
- $E(\varepsilon(s)) = 0$
- $cov(\varepsilon(s), \varepsilon(s')) = \tau^2 I(s = s')$
- $\beta_0(s) \sim \text{Gaussian Process}(0, H(s, s'; \phi, \sigma^2))$
- $H(s, s'; \phi, \sigma^2) = \sigma^2 \rho(s - s'; \phi)$ .
- $\tilde{\beta}_0(s) = \beta_0 + \beta_0(s)$
- **Assign Prior distributions to remaining parameters**  
 $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma_0^2, \tau^2, \phi_0)$

## Spatial Intercept Model: Discretized Model

- Observe  $Y(s), x(s)$ , over set of locations  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ .
- $Y(s_i) = \beta_0 + x(s_i)\beta_1 + \beta_0(s_i) + \varepsilon(s_i)$
- $\varepsilon(s_i) \sim N(0, \tau^2)$
- $(Y(s_i) | \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_0(s_i)) \sim N(\beta_0 + x(s_i)\beta_1 + \beta_0(s_i), \tau^2)$
- $\beta_0 = (\beta_0(s_1), \dots, \beta_0(s_n))$
- $\beta_0 \sim MVN(0, \sigma_0^2 \mathbb{H}_0(\phi_0))$
- Assign Prior distributions to remaining parameters  
 $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma_0^2, \tau^2, \phi_0)$

## Spatial Slope Model: Process Model

- $Y(s) = \beta_0 + x(s)\beta_1 + x(s)\beta_1(s) + \varepsilon(s)$
- $E(\varepsilon(s)) = 0$
- $cov(\varepsilon(s), \varepsilon(s')) = \tau^2 I(s = s')$
- $\beta_0(s) \sim \text{Gaussian Process}(0, H(s, s'; \phi, \sigma^2))$
- $H(s, s'; \phi, \sigma^2) = \sigma^2 \rho(s - s'; \phi)$ .
- $\tilde{\beta}_1(s) = \beta_1 + \beta_1(s)$
- Assign Prior distributions to remaining parameters  
( $\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma_0^2, \tau^2, \phi_0$ )
- The process  $Y(s)$  ends up being heterogeneous and non-stationary.
  - ▶  $var(Y(s)|\beta_0, \beta_1, \tau^2, \sigma_1^2, \phi_1) = x^2(s)\sigma_1^2 + \tau^2$
  - ▶  $cov(Y(s), Y(s'))|\beta_0, \beta_1, \tau^2, \sigma_1^2, \phi_1) = x(s)x(s')\sigma_1^2\rho_1(s - s'; \phi_1)$



## Spatial Slope Model: Discretized Model

- Observe  $Y(s), x(s)$ , over set of locations  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ .
- $Y(s_i) = \beta_0 + x(s_i)\beta_1 + x(s_i)\beta_1(s_i) + \varepsilon(s)$
- $\varepsilon(s_i) \sim N(0, \tau^2)$
- $(Y(s_i) | \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_1(s_i)) \sim N(\beta_0 + x(s_i)\beta_1 + x(s_i)\beta_1(s_i), \tau^2)$
- $\beta_1 = (\beta_1(s_1), \dots, \beta_1(s_n))$
- $\beta_1 \sim MVN(0, \sigma_1^2 \mathbb{H}_1(\phi_1))$
- Assign Prior distributions to remaining parameters  
 $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma_0^2, \tau^2, \phi_0)$

# Spatial Varying Coefficients Model

$$Y(s) = \beta_0 + x(s)\beta_1 + \beta_0(s) + x(s)\beta_1(s) + \varepsilon(s)$$

- $Y(s_i) = \beta_0 + x(s_i)\beta_1 + \beta_0(s_i) + x(s_i)\beta_1(s_i) + \varepsilon(s_i)$
- Vector of spatial random effects at observed locations:  
 $\beta = (\beta_0(s_1), \beta_1(s_1), \dots, \beta_0(s_n), \beta_1(s_n))^T$
- $\beta \sim MVN(0, \mathbb{H}(\phi) \otimes T)$
- Positive definite matrix:  $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}$
- $cov(\beta_0(s_i), \beta_0(s_j)) = \rho(s_i - s_j : \phi)T_{11}$
- $cov(\beta_1(s_i), \beta_1(s_j)) = \rho(s_i - s_j : \phi)T_{22}$
- $cov(\beta_0(s_i), \beta_1(s_j)) = \rho(s_i - s_j : \phi)T_{12}$

# Multiple Regression with Spatially Varying coefficients

$$Y(s) = \mathbf{X}^T(s)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(s) + \varepsilon(s)$$

$$Y(s) = \mathbf{X}^T(s)\mu_{\beta} + \mathbf{X}^T(s)\boldsymbol{\beta}(s) + \varepsilon(s)$$

- $\mathbf{X}^T(s) = (1, x_1(s), \dots, x_p(s))$
- $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(s) = \mu_{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}(s)$
- $\mu_{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}(s) = (\boldsymbol{\beta}_0(s), \boldsymbol{\beta}_1(s), \dots, \boldsymbol{\beta}_p(s))$

# Multiple Regression with Spatially Varying coefficients

$$\mathbf{y} = X(1 \otimes \mu_\beta) + X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon$$

■  $\mathbf{y} = (Y(s_1), \dots, Y(s_n))^T$

■  $X = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}(s_1) & & & \\ & \mathbf{X}(s_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathbf{X}(s) \end{pmatrix}$

■  $\mu_\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^T$

■  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_0(s_1), \dots, \beta_p(s_1), \dots, \beta_0(s_n), \dots, \beta_p(s_n))^T$

■  $\boldsymbol{\beta} \sim MVN(0, \mathbb{H}(\phi) \otimes T)$

■  $T$  is a  $p + 1 \times p + 1$  positive definite matrix.

■  $cov(\boldsymbol{\beta}_i(s), \boldsymbol{\beta}_j(s')) = \rho(s - s' : \phi) T_{i+1, j+1}$

# Marginalized Likelihood

$$L(\mu_\beta, T, \tau^2, \phi | \mathbf{y}) = \int f(\mathbf{y} | X, \mu_\beta, \boldsymbol{\beta}, T, \phi, \tau^2) \pi(\boldsymbol{\beta}) d\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu_\beta, T, \tau^2, \phi | \mathbf{y}) = & |(X(H(\phi) \times T)X^T + \tau^2 I)|^{-1/2} * \\ & \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - X(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mu_\beta))^T * \right. \\ & (X(H(\phi) \times T)X^T + \tau^2 I)^{-1} * \\ & \left. (\mathbf{y} - X(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mu_\beta))\right) \end{aligned}$$

# Slice Sampling

- Want to sample from posterior  $f(\mu_\beta, T, \tau^2, \phi | \mathbf{y})$
- Introduce an auxiliary variable

$U \sim \text{unif}(0, L(\mu_\beta, T, \tau^2, \phi | \mathbf{y}))$  and use the fact

$$f(\mu_\beta, T, \tau^2, \phi, U | \mathbf{y}) = I(U < L(\mu_\beta, T, \tau^2, \phi | \mathbf{y}))\pi(\mu_\beta, T, \tau^2, \phi)$$

- Sample  $U$  from  $\text{unif}(0, L(\mu_\beta, T, \tau^2, \phi | \mathbf{y}))$ .
- Sample  $\mu_\beta, T, \tau^2, \phi$  from  $\pi(\mu_\beta, T, \tau^2, \phi)$  subject to  $I(U < L(\mu_\beta, T, \tau^2, \phi | \mathbf{y}))$ .

## Sampling $\beta(s)$

1. We can obtain the Marginal Posterior

$$f(\tilde{\beta}|y) = \int f(\tilde{\beta}|\mu_\beta, T, \phi, \tau^2, y) * f(\mu_\beta, T, \phi, \tau^2|y)$$

2. Full Conditional is of a known form

$$f(\tilde{\beta}|\mu_\beta, T, \phi, \tau^2, y) = N(Bb, B)$$

$$B = (X^T X / \tau^2 + H^{-1}(\phi) \otimes T^{-1})^{-1}$$

$$b = X^T y / \tau^2 + (H^{-1}(\phi) \otimes T^{-1})(1 \otimes \mu_\beta)$$

3. We can generate from  $f(\tilde{\beta}|y)$  using the samples from  $f(\mu_\beta, T, \phi, \tau^2|y)$  and the full conditional distribution in 2.

## Sampling $\beta(s_{n+1})$ for a new location $s_{n+1}$

1. We can obtain the Marginal Posterior

$$f(\tilde{\beta}(s_{n+1})|y) = \int f(\tilde{\beta}(s_{n+1})|\tilde{\beta}, \mu_{\beta}, T, \phi, \tau^2) f(\tilde{\beta}, \mu_{\beta}, T, \phi, \tau^2|y)$$

2. Conditional distribution has a known form

$$f(\tilde{\beta}(s_{n+1})|\tilde{\beta}, \mu_{\beta}, T, \phi, \tau^2) = N(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\sigma})$$

$$\bar{\mu} = \mu_{\beta} + (h_{new}^T(\phi)H^{-1}(\phi) \otimes I)(\tilde{\beta} - 1_{n \times 1} \otimes \mu_{\beta})$$

$$\bar{\sigma} = (I - h_{new}^T(\phi)H^{-1}(\phi)h_{new}(\phi))T$$

$$h_{new}(\phi) = (\rho(s_{n+1} - s_1; \phi), \dots, \rho(s_{n+1} - s_1; \phi))^T$$

3. Similar to before we can use posterior samples to generate  $\tilde{\beta}(s_{n+1})$



## Predict $y(s_{n+1})$ from predictive posterior

1. The Predictive Posterior is given by

$$f(y(s_{n+1})|y) = \int f(y(s_{n+1})|\tilde{\beta}(s_{n+1}), \tau^2) * f(\tilde{\beta}(s_{n+1})|\tilde{\beta}, \mu_{\beta}, T, \phi) * f(\tilde{\beta}, \mu_{\beta}, T, \phi, \tau^2|y)$$

2. Can sample from  $f(y(s_{n+1})|y)$  by iteratively generating from  $f(\tilde{\beta}, \mu_{\beta}, T, \phi, \tau^2|y)$ ,  $f(\tilde{\beta}(s_{n+1})|\tilde{\beta}, \mu_{\beta}, T, \phi)$ ,  $f(y(s_{n+1})|\tilde{\beta}(s_{n+1}), \tau^2)$ .

# Application to housing prices

Compared 4 different models:

1. Allow all  $\beta$ s to vary spatially (multivariate).
2. Allow 3 of the  $\beta$ s to vary spatially.
3. Allow 2 of the  $\beta$ s to vary spatially.
4. Allow all  $\beta$ s to vary spatially (independently).

Model comparison was based on the goodness-of-fit and penalty for model complexity.

$$D = \sum_{(\mathbf{s}, t)} (Y_{obs}(\mathbf{s}, t) - \mu(\mathbf{s}, t))^2 + k \sum_{(\mathbf{s}, t)} \sigma^2(\mathbf{s}, t)$$

# Spatial Results

See Table 3, Pg. 392

# Spatial Results

See Figure 4, Pg. 393

# Space-Time Regression with Varying Coefficients

$$Y(s) = \mathbf{X}^T(s, t)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(s, t) + \varepsilon(s, t)$$
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(s, t) = \mu_{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}(s, t)$$

1.  $\boldsymbol{\beta}(s, t) = \boldsymbol{\beta}(s)$
2.  $\boldsymbol{\beta}(s, t) = \boldsymbol{\beta}(s) + \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)$ 
  - 2.a  $\alpha_k(t) = I(t=1)\alpha_{k1} + \dots + I(t=M)\alpha_{kM}$
  - 2.b  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t) = (\alpha_0(t), \dots, \alpha_P(t))^T$   
 $\text{cov}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t), \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t')) = \rho_{(2)}(t - t'; \gamma)T$
3.  $\boldsymbol{\beta}(s, t) = \boldsymbol{\beta}^t(s)$  (independent processes nested in t)  
 $(\text{cov}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^t(s), \boldsymbol{\beta}^t(s'))) = \rho(s - s'; \boldsymbol{\phi}^{(t)})T^t$
4. **Separable covariance**  
 $\text{cov}(\boldsymbol{\beta}(s, t), \boldsymbol{\beta}(s', t')) = \rho^1(s - s'; \boldsymbol{\phi}) \times \rho^2(t - t'; \gamma)T$

# Spatio-Temporal Results

Model prices over 4 years.

Model 1:  $\beta(\mathbf{s}, t) = \beta(\mathbf{s})$

Model 2:  $\beta(\mathbf{s}, t) = \beta(\mathbf{s}) + \alpha(t)$

Model 2a:  $\alpha(t)$  as 4 iid time dummies

Model 2b:  $\alpha(t)$  as multivariate temporal process

Model 3:  $\beta(\mathbf{s}, t) = \beta^{(t)}(\mathbf{s})$  (independent process at each t)

Model 3a: common  $\mu_b$  across t.

Model 3b:  $\mu_b^{(t)}$

Model 4: Separable spatio-temporal model.

See Table 6 Pg. 395

See Table 7 Pg. 395



# Generalized Linear Model

$$\begin{aligned}f(y(s_i)|\theta(s_i)) &= h(y(s_i)) \exp(\theta(s_i)y(s_i) - b(\theta(s_i))) \\L(\tilde{\beta}|\mathbf{y}) &= \exp\left(\sum y(s_i)X^T(s_i)\tilde{\beta}(s_i) - b(X^T(s_i)\tilde{\beta}(s_i))\right) \\ \theta(s_i) &= X^T(s_i)\tilde{\beta}(s_i) \\ \tilde{\beta} &\sim N(1_{n \times 1} \otimes \mu_\beta, H(\phi) \otimes T)\end{aligned}$$

- Constructing a satisfactory sampler is quite challenging.
- More work needs to be done on model fitting side.



Carl Edward Rasmussen.

Gaussian processes for machine learning.

2006.