

Detection of some gastrointestinal parasites from four synanthropic flies in Ekpoma, Nigeria

O.P.G. Nmorsi^a, N.C.D. Ukwandu^b & G.E. Agbozele^a

^a*Tropical Diseases Research Laboratory, Department of Zoology;* ^b*Special Pathogens & Infectious Diseases Research Unit, Department of Medical Microbiology, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Nigeria*

Key words Abattoir – gastrointestinal parasites – house environment (kitchen) – market fish shop – Nigeria – pit latrines – synanthropic flies

Synanthropic flies abound in the tropics especially in areas with substandard environmental sanitary conditions. Here, they constitute serious public nuisance. These flies through their filthy breeding habits, feeding mechanisms and indiscriminate travel, between filth and food, make some groups of these synanthropic insects such as non-biting flies as efficient vectors of human enteric protozoan parasites¹. In other parts of the globe, information on the occurrence of veterinary and medically important parasitic agents, non-biting flies such as *Musca domestica* exists^{2–11}. Despite the abundance of filth flies in our locality, there is dearth of information on their role as mechanical transmitters of parasitic infections. This paper, apart from enriching the only existing information on this subject in other parts of Nigeria^{12–15}, reports for the first time the association of ten parasites and synanthropic flies in Ekpoma, Nigeria.

This investigation was carried out between December 2004 and June 2005 in Ekpoma, Edo State Nigeria that is located on latitude 6°N and longitude 6°E. April to October marks the rainy season while November to March is the dry season with relatively low rainfall. The monthly temperature ranges 34 to 37°C. The pattern of the temperature in combina-

tion with high rainfall and relative humidity results in a climate that is warm and humidity in greater period of the year. Further, poor sanitary facilities, poor water management practices, indiscriminate disposal of waste materials, etc are contributory factors for congenial atmosphere for proliferation of synanthropic flies in the study area.

Two hundred and ninety three (293) synanthropic flies were captured by a sweep net method over the surfaces where flies visited. Flies were placed into labeled plastic container and transported immediately to Parasitology Laboratory of Zoology Department for further procession. About 5 ml of formol saline was added into each universal bottle containing flies and shaken vigorously to dislodge the parasites from the exoskeleton (body) especially hair of the flies. The fluid was transferred into a conical tube and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min. The sediment was examined microscopically for parasites under x40 magnification. The parasites were also quantified. The sediments were stained by using modified Ziehl Nielsen stain for the identification of *C. parvum*¹⁶. The flies were later dissected and the gut examined for parasites. The data were subjected to statistical analysis using Microsoft Excel.

The abundance of synanthropic flies in 4 different locations namely abattoir, market shops, pit latrines and house environment (kitchen) are presented. Of these sites, the highest fly abundance of 135 was recorded in abattoir while the least (37) occurred in the house environment (kitchen). Of the 4 types of flies collected, *M. domestica* dominated and accounted for 221 (75.4%) of the local fly population and *F. scalaris* 12 (4.1%) had the least abundance rate (Table 1).

Table 2 presents the parasite load of the four fly species investigated. The highest mean load of 54 parasites/ml was observed among the *M. domestica* which

harboured eight out of the ten parasites recovered. *C. putoria* had the least parasite load of 8 parasites/ml. The difference was found to be statistically significant ($F = 4.88, p < 0.05$) using ANOVA.

The flies caught from the pit latrine had the highest parasite load while it was least in the house environment. Also the highest fly abundance was observed from the abattoir.

The mean parasite load of 81 parasites/ml was recovered from the exoskeleton while the least of 5 parasites/ml occurred in the gut of the flies.

Table 1. Distribution of flies in the four sampling sites in Ekpoma, Nigeria

Synanthropic flies	Sampling sites				
	Abattoir	House environment (Kitchen)	Market shop	Pit latrines	Total no. (%)
<i>M. domestica</i>	104	30	33	54	221 (75.4)
<i>F. scalaris</i>	–	–	–	12	12 (4.1)
<i>C. putoria</i>	22	–	12	–	34 (11.6)
<i>O. leucostoma</i>	9	7	–	10	26 (8.9)

Table 2. The mean parasitic load of ten gastrointestinal parasites isolated from four fly hosts in Ekpoma, Nigeria

Parasites	Fly host and mean parasitic load			
	<i>M. domestica</i>	<i>F. scalaris</i>	<i>C. putoria</i>	<i>O. leucostoma</i>
<i>Chilomastix mesnili</i>	–	1	–	–
<i>Cryptosporidium parvum</i>	7	1	1	–
<i>Entamoeba histolytica</i>	3	4	3	–
<i>Isospora belli</i>	11	2	2	3
<i>Ascaris lumbricoides</i>	13	1	–	–
<i>Dicrocoelium hospes</i>	–	–	1	12
<i>Enterobius vermicularis</i>	1	–	–	–
Hookworm	4	–	1	–
<i>Strongyloides stecoralis</i>	10	–	–	–
<i>Trichuris trichiura</i>	5	–	–	–
Total	54	9	8	15

The present study demonstrated that four synanthropic flies in Ekpoma, Nigeria carried ten different gastrointestinal parasites. This association elucidated the routes of mechanical transmission of some important and predominant tropical diseases such as gastroenteritis/diarrheic diseases and other human helminthiasis which abound in the locality despite the growing/increasing level of personal hygiene. This study collaborates the investigations²⁻⁶ which reported the presence of some pathogens like *C. parvum*, *E. histolytica* and *Isospora* sp among some filth flies such as *M. domestica*.

Graczyk *et al*² reported that members of Muscidae were predominant flies recorded among four different families of synanthropic flies studied. This assertion is proved valid by the findings of the present investigation where we reported *M. domestica* accounting for more than 70% of the flies captured. Also the relatively highest parasite load encountered among the flies from pit latrines delineate it as the major site of contamination and subsequent transmission of these parasites in the locality under study.

Some of the parasites reported cause morbidity and often, mortality arising from their infection in man. For instance, *C. parvum* is an anthroponotic protozoan parasite that significantly contributes to mortality in human with various immune system impairments¹⁷, and diarrhoea disease is initiated by the oocyst, a microscopic stage of this parasite. It is believed that in those with impaired immune system, a single oocyst can initiate infection¹⁸. In spite of the flies' immune mechanisms, it is of note, that a lot of similar parasite forms were harvested from the gut and exoskeleton. We suggest that irrespective of some immune modulations of the parasite forms while inside the flies' gut, there was no change in morphology, viability and infectivity of those harvested from the gut and the exoskeleton although, the parasite load may varied. Also, synanthropic flies are reported as major epidemiologic factors responsible for the spread of acute gastroenteritis and trachoma

amongst infants and young children in predominantly developing countries⁵. *A. lumbricoides*, *T. trichuria*, hookworm, etc are causative agents of human helminthiasis. Since this report revealed the presence of these pathogens from the exoskeleton and guts of synanthropic flies in a developing tropical environment, it becomes imperative to urgently institute control measures of these flies through massive education on improving the existing standard of environmental sanitary conditions.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge with thanks the staff of Zonal Ministry of Agriculture and Development Programme, Irrua, Edo State, Nigeria for the provision of data on rainfall.

References

1. Graczyk TK, Knight R, Tarnang L. Mechanical transmission of human protozoan parasites by insects. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2005; 18(1): 128–32.
2. Graczyk JK, Grimes BH, Dasilva AJ, Pieniazek NJ, Veal DA. Detection of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Giardia lamblia* carried by synanthropic flies by combined fluorescent in situ hybridization and a monoclonal antibody. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2003; 68(2): 228–32.
3. Graezyk TK, Fayer R, Knight R, Mhangami-Ruwende B, Trout JM, DaSaliva AJ, Pieniazek NJ. Mechanical transport and transmission of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts by wild filth flies. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2000; 63(3): 178–83.
4. Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR, Fayer R, Bixler H. Houseflies (*Musca domestica*) as transport hosts of *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1999; 61(3): 5000–4.
5. Graezyk JK, Knight R, Eilman RH, Grankold MR. The role of non-biting flies in the epidemiology human infectious diseases. *Microbes Infect* 2001; 3(3): 231–5.
6. Szostatowsta B, Kruminis-Lozowsta W, Racewiz M, Tamong RL, Myjak P, Graczyk TK. *Cryptosporidium parvum* filth flies on a cattle farm and in a landfill. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2004; 70(6): 3742–4.

7. Cladel A, Doiz O, Morales S, Varea M, Seral C, Castillo J, Fleita J, Rubio C, Gomez-Lus R. Housefly (*Musca domestica*) as a transport vector of *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Folia Parasitol* 2002; 49: 163–4.
8. Ortega YR, Roxas CR, Gilman RU, Miller NJ, Cabrera L, Taquiri C, Sterling CR. Isolation of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cyclospora cayetanensis* from vegetables collected in markets of an endemic region in Peru. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1997; 57: 683–6.
9. Dietz V, Vugis D, Nelson R, Wicklund J, Nadle J, McCombas KG, Reddy S. Laboratory-based surveillance for *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2002; 62: 368–72.
10. Doiz O, Clavel A, Morales S, Varea M, Castillo FJ, Rubio C, Gomez-Lus R. Housefly (*Musca domestica*) as a transport vector for *Giardia lamblia*. *Folia Parasitol* 2000; 47: 330–1.
11. Follet-Dumoulin A, Guyot K, Dchatelle S, Bourel B, Guilbert F, Dei-Cas E, Gossel D, Cailliez VC. Involvement of insects in the dissemination of *Cryptosporidium* environment. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* 2001; 48 (Suppl): 36S.
12. Okoronkwo MO, Onwuliri COE. Intestinal parasites from refuse dumps and abattoir wastes in Plateau State Nigeria. *J Med Lab Sci* 1998; 7: 25–33.
13. Adeyeba OA, Okpala N. Intestinal parasites and bacterial pathogens carried by common filth houseflies in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Afri J Med Pharm Sci* 2000; 4: 53–63.
14. Dipeolu OO. Field and laboratory investigations into the role of the *Musca* species in the transmission of intestinal parasitic cysts and eggs in Nigeria. *J Hyg Epid Microb Immun* 1972; 21: 209–14.
15. Dipeolu OO. Laboratory investigations into the role of *Musca vicina* and *Musca domestica* in the transmission of parasitic helminth eggs and larvae. *Intl J Zoon* 1982; 9: 57–61.
16. Cheeshrough M. *Protozoa medical laboratory manual for tropical countries*. II low price edn. *Trop Hlth Technol* 1993; 1: 45–8.
17. Fayer R, Speer CA, Dubey JP. *The general biology of Cryptosporidium*. Fayer R, editor. In: *Cryptosporidium and Cryptosporidiosis*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 1997; p. 1–42.
18. Rose JB. Environmental ecology of *Cryptosporidium*, and public health implications. *Ann Rev Pub Hlth* 1997; 18: 135–61.

Corresponding author: Prof. OPG Nmorsi, Tropical Diseases Research Laboratory, Department of Zoology, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Nigeria
E-mail: nmorsiopg@yahoo.com

Received: 3 February 2006

Accepted in revised form: 19 May 2006