Determination of the staphylococcal cassette chromosome in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from various clinical samples
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Abstract: **Aim** — The present study aimed to detect *mecA* and staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCCmec) types in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolates obtained from various clinical samples in two university hospitals. It was also aimed to make comparison amongst the isolates.

**Materials and Methods** — A total of 99 MRSA strains isolated from various clinical samples between 2011-2015 were included in the study. Bacterial deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was extracted from *Staphylococcus aureus* strains using GF-1 DNA extraction Kit (Vivantis, Malaysia). *mecA* gene were detected, and SCCmec cassette types were determined by multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) first, and following specific PCR. Specific MRSA strains such as COL type I, PER3 type Ia, and HU25 type IIIa were used as the quality control strains for optimization of multiplex PCR. The amplification products were electrophoresed using agarose gel electrophoresis in TAE buffer (mixture of tris base, acetic acid and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid).

**Results** — *mecA* gene was detected in 60 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates, and these were identified as MRSA. Amongst the MRSA strains, SCCmec type III was the most frequent cassette type (42 isolates, 70.0%). SCCmec type I was detected in 27 isolates (45.0%), type II was in 26 isolates (43.3%), and type V in 23 isolates (38.3%).

**Conclusion** — In the present study, the most frequent cassette was detected as SCCmec type III in concordance with the studies conducted in Turkey and in some regions in the world. In conclusion, determination of epidemiological and molecular characteristics of MRSA strains has critical importance because of the difficulties in the treatment and of the nosocomial infections and epidemics they caused. The data obtained would contribute to the preventions in terms of epidemiology.
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Introduction

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) was first reported in United Kingdom in 1961, just after the description of methicillin. *Staphylococcus aureus* is a Gram-positive coccus naturally found as a normal flora member of skin, nose, and mouth. Spread of MRSA has been a growing issue in whole world. Multiple resistance of MRSA isolates makes the treatment of the infection harder. In those infections, only a few antibiotics can be used [1-3].

MRSA strains have penicillin binding proteins (PBPs) such as PBP2a and PBP2'. PBP2a shows lower affinity to beta-lactam antibiotics in comparison to the other PBPs. PBPs are peptidases linked to the membrane, and play a role in combination of the peptidoglycan in the cell wall. PBP2a is encoded by *mecA* gene that is found in all methicillin-resistant staphylococci [3-5].

MRSA strains carry a mobile genetic element, staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCCmec), in their genomes. SCCmec consists of *ccr* complex, *mec* complex and a J (Junkyard) region. Methicillin-resistance is provided via *mecA* carried by SCCmec cassette [1, 3, 6].

SCCmec cassettes have different types in the hospital-acquired (HA) or community-acquired (CA) strains. SCCmec types I, II, and III are usually found in HA-MRSA isolates, and types IV and V are found in CA-MRSAIs [4-6].

The present study aimed to detect *mecA* and SCCmec types in MRSA isolates obtained from various clinical samples in two university hospitals. It was also aimed to make comparison amongst the isolates.
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Material and Methods

A total of 99 MRSA strains isolated from various clinical samples in the Recep Tayyip Erdogan University (Medical Microbiology Departments, Faculty of Medicine) (61 isolates), and the Abant Izzet Baysal University (Faculty of Medicine) (38 isolates) between 2011-2015 were included in the study. The isolates were frozen until the study at -70°C. Only one isolate per patient was included. The frozen strains were thawed, were inoculated onto blood agar media and were incubated overnight at 35°C. The colonies growth were confirmed to be Staphylococcus aureus using the conventional tests such as Gram staining, catalase, and coagulase. Methicillin-resistance of the strains were confirmed using disk diffusion method with cefoxitin disk (30 µg, Oxoid, England) according to the criteria of the Clinical and laboratory Standards Institute [7].

Bacterial deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was extracted from Staphylococcus aureus strains using GF-1 DNA extraction Kit (Vivantis, Malaysia). mecA gene were detected and SCCmec cassette types were determined by multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) first, and following specific PCR using the primers reported by Zhang et al. [6]. Specific MRSA strains such as COL type I, PER3 type Ia, and HU25 type IIa were used as the quality control strains for optimization of multiplex PCR.

Table 1. Distribution of SCCmec types according to inpatients and outpatients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCCmec types</th>
<th>Type I</th>
<th>Type II</th>
<th>Type III</th>
<th>Type IVa</th>
<th>Type IVb</th>
<th>Type IVc</th>
<th>Type IVd</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inpatients, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (81.5)</td>
<td>22 (84.6)</td>
<td>37 (88.1)</td>
<td>17 (73.3)</td>
<td>2 (100.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>21 (91.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatients, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (18.5)</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>5 (11.9)</td>
<td>5 (22.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, n</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amplification products were electrophoresed using agarose gel electrophoresis in TAE buffer (mixture of tris base, acetic acid and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid).

Results

A total of 99 strains isolated from various clinical specimens were included in the study. Two of them could not be confirmed as S. aureus, and were excluded. A total of 82 of the rest 97 strains (84.5%) were isolated from inpatients, 46 strains (47.4%) were from women. The mean age was 56.3±13.45 years. The distribution of methicillin-resistance according to inpatients or outpatients is shown in Table 1. Antibiotic resistance profiles are demonstrated in Table 2.

The MRSA strains isolated from tracheal aspirate (17 isolates), blood (15 isolates), wound (9 isolates), and other specimens (19 isolates) such as urine, nasal culture, abscess, and catheter were used. A total of 45% of the isolates were obtained from the intensive care units, 20% were from surgery clinics, 12% were from infectious diseases, and the rest 23% were from the other clinics.

mecA gene was detected in 60 Staphylococcus aureus isolates, and these were identified as MRSA. Amongst the MRSA strains, SCCmec type III was the most frequent cassette type (42 isolates, 70.0%). SCCmec type I was detected in 27 isolates (45.0%), type II was in 26 isolates (43.3%), and type V in 23 isolates (38.3%) (Table 1, Figure 1).

Discussion

Staphylococcus aureus is amongst the major nosocomial pathogens that causes severe infections including osteomyelitis, pneumonia, endocarditis, food poisoning, surgical wounds, and septicemia. Because the resistance problems, the treatment of this agent, particularly MRSA-caused infections, is becoming harder and more expensive [6,8].

Determination of molecular typing of the strains can provide very useful information for management of the infection and a possible outbreak, and nosocomial transmission. There are few molecular methods available for bacteria. Amongst those, SCCmec typing, as used in the present study, is a useful tool for determination of the resistance mechanisms in MRSA strains [4, 6, 8].

Abd el Hamid et al. [9] detected SCCmec type IV in 48% MRSA isolates in their study that was conducted in Egypt. Rahimi et al.

Table 2. Antibiotic-resistance profiles of mecA-positive and mecA-negative Staphylococcus aureus isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mecA-positive, n (%)</th>
<th>PEN</th>
<th>OXA</th>
<th>ERY</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>LNZ</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th>SXT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEN, oxacillin</td>
<td>60 (100)</td>
<td>59 (98.3)</td>
<td>23 (38.3)</td>
<td>16 (26.7)</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
<td>22 (36.7)</td>
<td>31 (51.7)</td>
<td>7 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mecA-negative, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (100)</td>
<td>8 (100)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>3 (37.5)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Antibiotic-resistance profiles are

PEN, penicillin; OXA, oxacillin; ERY, erythromycin; DA, clindamycin; LNZ, linezolid; CN, gentamicin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; SXT, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim.

Figure 1. Amplification patterns of SCCmec types that occurred using specific PCR parameters. Type I – lines 1, 2 and 3; type II – line 4; type III – lines 5 and 6; type IVa – lines 7, 8 and 9; type V – lines 10, 11 and 12; mecA gene – lines 13 and 14; and line M – molecular weight marker, 100-bp DNA Ladder.

© 2016, LLC Science and Innovations, Saratov, Russia
detected SCCmec type III in 28.6% of 653 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in Iran. Yu et al. [11] found SCCmec type IV as the most frequent cassette amongst 128 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. De Oliveira et al. [12] reported the most frequent cassette as SCCmec type II with a rate of 53.7% amongst 123 *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. Lee et al. [13] found the most frequent type as type I (8.7%) amongst the community-acquired cases, and as type V (24.4%) amongst the hospital-acquired isolates.

In the studies conducted in Turkey, the variety of the most frequent SCCmec type hasn’t been reported so large. Demirel et al. [14] found the most frequent SCCmec as type IIIa in 64.4% of the nasal culture samples they collected from university students. Kirca-yilmaz et al. [15] reported the most frequent SCCmec type III in 91% of the strains they isolated from 147 hospital-acquired MRSA isolates. Gülmez et al. [16] also reported SCCmec type III in 87.6% MRSA strains. Baran et al. [18] detected SCCmec type III in 85.7% of MRSA isolates. Akoglu et al. [19] also found SCCmec type III as the most frequent cassette type in 61.8% of the MRSA strains they isolated from 110 hospital-acquired cases.

In the present study, the most frequent cassette was detected as SCCmec type III in concordance with the studies conducted in Turkey and in some regions in the world. Some recent studies report SCCmec type III as the most frequent type isolated from hospital-acquired infections. This can be explained as the studies conducted on the MRSA strains mostly isolated from nosocomial infections, as the present study [12, 13].

**Conclusion**

Determination of epidemiological and molecular characteristics of MRSA strains has critical importance because of the difficulties in the treatment and of the nosocomial infections and epidemics they caused. The data obtained would contribute to the prevention in terms of epidemiology.

**Conflict of interest:** None to declare.

**References**


Authors:
Aysegül Copur-Cicek – MD, Associate Professor, Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Rize, Turkey.
Rukiye Dinc-Patlak – BSc, Biology and Medical Research Laboratory, Saxion University of Applied Sciences, Enschede, Netherlands.
Cemal Sandalli – PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Literature, Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Rize, Turkey.
Zafer Mengeloğlu – MD, Associate Professor, Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Abant Izzet Baysal University, Bolu, Turkey.
Ugur Kostakoglu – MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Rize, Turkey.
Ilknur Esen Yildiz – MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Rize, Turkey.