MECHANICAL ASSESSMENT OF POROUS MEDIA USING HYBRID SKELETON GRAPH ANALYSIS AND FINITE ELEMENTS. APPLICATION TO TRABECULAR BONE
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ABSTRACT
The combination of skeletons and Finite Elements (FE) analysis has recently shown its great potential in disordered porous media characterization. A full protocol for creating simplified skeleton-based FE models of large-scale disordered porous media and evaluating their stiffness is presented in this paper. The method uses a sequence of image processing tools. Known algorithms such as curve and surface thinning and shape classification have been improved or adapted to the specific field of porous media analysis. New techniques such as thickness map matching and surface marching cubes triangulation have also been combined. Finally, results from a clinical study on trabecular bone samples emphasize the improvements of the new beam/shell skeleton-based model in comparison to current FE processes.

1. INTRODUCTION
Thinning methodologies have helped during the past 20 years tuning down the scale of large analysis problems, especially porous media characterization. Simplified skeleton-based models have been investigated to retrieve morphological information from large-scale disordered porous media. This work has been shown to be efficient in terms of accuracy, as data is retrieved at a local level. The concept has been pushed forward with the integration of shape information directly into the models. The hybrid skeleton is born from this need to improve the quality of skeleton geometrical properties.

In parallel, this simplification of large porous structures using skeletons inspired researchers to build beam Finite Elements (FE) models. Despite their unquestionable time and computer resource efficiency, they suffered from a significant lack of accuracy. In a very recent work, Lenthe et al. were the first to introduce a geometrical improvement in their beam model by separating rod from plate shapes using a multicolour decomposition technique. However, since they used both the same beam element type for the entire object and an a priori model assumption to compute the thickness of the elements, the method can be improved. In fact, since and , nobody has been able to correct the geometrical deficiency of simplified FE models. This is the reason why voxel-to-elements FE models are still strongly considered as an unavoidable accuracy reference, despite their huge computing resources requirements.

This paper presents a full protocol for evaluating stiffness of large-scale porous media based on the Hybrid Skeleton Graph Analysis technique (HSGA). Using a shape-dependent skeleton, it decomposes the material into shape primitives, and takes advantage of both beam and shell FE elements to enable a fast and precise mechanical simulation.

This paper is organized as follows: First and foremost, the previous work of HSGA is recalled, including its most recent improvements such as thickness map matching. Then the conversion of the model into FE elements is exposed, using both beam elements for rod shaped structural items and shell elements for plate shaped items. Finally, results from a clinical study of trabecular bone samples are presented, which illustrate the efficiency of the new beam-shell model. Samples from 2 populations are being separated using a statistical hypothesis test to compare the accuracy of the different FE models.

2. PROTOCOL
The work of this paper relies on a previously developed skeleton-based model entitled HSGA, which is presented in a first section. The latest improvement of the technique, the thickness map matching, is explained. The second section describes the protocol used to convert data from the HSGA to FE models, using either beam chains for rod-shaped parts or shell triangulations for plate-shaped parts.

2.1 Hybrid Skeleton Graph Analysis
Despite the intense work that has been carried out on the different ways of skeletonizing objects, skeletons are often application-dependent, and consider only one type of object shape. In and , the trail of new geometrical thinning criterions has been followed and led to interesting shape-dependent skeletons. The HSGA technique came from this need to consider the complex shape of disordered porous media. Inspired from the Line Skeleton Graph Analysis method (LSGA), HSGA defines a full protocol for modeling porous media composed of rods and plates. As shown on figure, it relies on the hybrid skeleton, a new adapted thinning procedure that switches between curve thinning and surface thinning patterns, depending on the local shape of the structure. Solving the lack of geometrical accuracy of skeleton-based model’s issue, the HSGA has been shown to better reflect micro-architectural changes in trabecular bone samples than the LSGA.
However, HSGA implements a region growth segmentation process which imposes to use model-dependant characteristics. Indeed, length (L), volume (V), section (S) and thickness (Th) of each item is approximated by guessing the item’s accordance to a cylinder or a parallelepiped. Recently, we introduced an improvement to the HSGA segmentation method by replacing the region-growth as used in [5] and [12] by a new method called “thickness map matching”. It consists in integrating local cross-section information coming from the object’s thickness map [13] directly into the HSGA model using a simple voxel-to-voxel matching process (see figure 1). The thickness map technique, based on distance transforms, has the advantage of not using any a priori model assumption to compute item properties, especially cross-section for rods and thickness for plates.

In this paper, we used the HSGA as a basis for the generation of FE models. Thickness map matching was processed to improve the geometrical accuracy of all generated FE models using local cross-section values.

2.2 Finite Elements conversion

The reference for Finite Elements (FE) analysis of discrete samples is unquestionably the voxel-to-element conversion as evoked in many papers [10] [11]. But in the case of skeleton-based models, other type of elements can be used to simplify large-scale problems and gain computing time and resources. In this paragraph, we explain our modeling choices, relative to the compromise between simplification and loss of accuracy in the simulation. First, the method used to convert rod shapes to beam chains is explained. Then the triangulation technique used to convert plate shapes to shell elements is described.

2.2.1 Rods to beam elements chains conversion

The FE that matches the geometry of a straight rod is the beam element. Its geometry is described as a 1D segment, which is assigned a circular cross section. This technique has first been investigated by [8] to assess the stiffness of trabecular bone. However, results have shown that modeling bone by a simple rod network is not sufficient to get a precise stiffness evaluation, due to geometrical lack of accuracy. Lenthe et al. [9] have explored this field of beam-modeling rod shapes in porous media, but did not resolve the shape accuracy issues.

In order to convert a rod item to FE, we introduced the “beam chains” concept [15]. Inspired from a feature extraction technique used in the field of 3D animation [16], the beam chain introduces evenly set intermediate nodes on the curve skeleton as can be seen in figure 2. This process is called “splitting” as it breaks the curve into small segments that better match the curvature of the rod item. Finally, each splitted element (i.e. each effective beam FE) is assigned a section according to the local thickness values, as illustrated in figure 2.

2.2.2 Plates to shell elements conversion

Plate zones are badly described in the case of beam-only models [8] [15], which lead to a non-negligible bias for morphological results [14]. It is suspected that this lack of geometrical accuracy also alters mechanical results. In fact, modeling plates is much more challenging than modeling rods, since no work has really been done on this field. Recently, Lenthe et al. [9] had the idea of converting a plate into a set of beams instead of a single beam. Yet, the efficiency of this conversion can be discussed, since the notion of beam set is not geometrically obvious, and the beam sections are model-dependent. We present here an original approach that gather the power of a new triangulation method and a better choice of FE type to improve plate modeling.

The FE used to describe planar shapes is the shell element. It is defined as a 2D medial surface geometry (either triangle or quad strips for example), on which each element is assigned a thickness value. The stake in this case was to be able to transform the 26-connected plate voxels sets into a list of simple 2D primitives like triangles or quads. Meshing isosurfaces has been widely explored in computer graphics since the early 80’s, leading to a great range of techniques.
In order to compare the efficiency of the different skeleton-based FE models including HSGA, we relied on medical bone data with an *a priori* knowledge of fracture risk. The medical staff at the hospital of Orleans provided us 2 populations of each 9 samples extracted from post-mortem femoral head and acquired using a SkyScan micro-scanner. The first 9 OP samples have been extracted from osteoporotic patients with known bone fracture risk. The other 9 came from coxarthric patients, which bone structure is known to be hypertrophied. The characteristics of the 2 populations are previously known, so they can be used to verify the separating power of any feature that is said to reflect the bone microarchitecture alterations.

### 3. CLINICAL STUDY

The HSGA-based Finite Elements conversion method has been applied to the field of trabecular bone characterization. In order to compare the efficiency of the different skeleton-based FE models including HSGA, we relied on medical bone data with an *a priori* knowledge of fracture risk. The medical staff at the hospital of Orleans provided us 2 populations of each 9 samples extracted from post-mortem femoral head and acquired using a SkyScan micro-scanner. The first 9 OP samples have been extracted from osteoporotic patients with known bone fracture risk. The other 9 came from coxarthric patients, which bone structure is known to be hypertrophied. The characteristics of the 2 populations are previously known, so they can be used to verify the separating power of any feature that is said to reflect the bone microarchitecture alterations.

#### Figure 4: 64³ voxels extracts of samples from the 2 populations to illustrate the microarchitectural differences in coxarthric (OA) and osteoporotic (OP) trabecular bones
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First, LSGA and HSGA analysis has been processed on each of the 18 samples. Mean features have been extracted from each model: Rod volume (Ro.V), Rod length (Ro.L), Rod thickness (Ro.Th), Plate volume (Pl.V), Plate surface (Pl.S), Plate thickness (Pl.Th). Results from well-established clinical methods like Mean Intercept Length (MIL) [19] are also computed in comparison purposes: Bone Mineral Density (BMD, defined as Bone Volume over Total Volume, BV/TV), Bone Surface over Total Volume (BS/TV), Bone Volume over Bone Volume (BS/BV), MIL’s Trabecular Number (Tb.N), MIL’s Trabecular Spacing (Tb.Sp), MIL’s Trabecular Thickness (Tb.Th), Connectivity Density (Conn.D).

Mean and standard deviation values of each feature for the 2 populations are presented in table 1.

A bilateral hypothesis test [20] was used to evaluate each feature’s discrimination power. Considering a freedom degree of 16 and a significance level of $\alpha = 0.01$, any parameter is said to separate the 2 populations if the Student’s $t$ value exceeds 2.92. $|t|$ values corresponding to each feature are also reported in table 1.

Then FE models have been built for each sample from both LSGA and HSGA models: a beam-only model using Pothuaud approach [8], then a beam/shell model using the new technique presented in this paper. For both simplified models (beam and beam/shell), we turned the split properties for rod modeling on and off. Beam and shell elements were converted according to the protocol explained previously in this paper. The aim of generating the series of 4 models was to investigate the effect of the successive geometrical improvements on the mechanical results as follows:

- LSGA is definitely the simplest way of representing the medium’s structure with rods only.
- Splitted LSGA model uses curve splitting to match the rod shape.
- Then HSGA introduces plates in the model.
- Finally, splitted HSGA takes advantage of both beam chains and shell triangulation.

All FE models have been imported into the commercial software Abaqus which was used to estimate their apparent Young’s Modulus. As the simulation required material definition, we assigned the entire model the same behavior, with a bone tissue characterized by an arbitrary Young’s modulus of 15 GPa and a Poisson’s coefficient of 0.3. These values are close to those found in the literature [9] [21]. In this kind of comparative study, the material’s behavior does not really matter since measured reaction forces are compared relatively. Once defined, each model was tested in compression in the 3 space directions (x, y and z). Boundary
conditions on the cube’s faces were set to zero for transla-
ctions perpendicular to the faces and their complementary ro-
tations. Complementary translations were also blocked for the 2 faces perpendicular to the compression axis. Then, a
displacement value $\Delta t$ was applied on the compression direc-
tion’s front face. Small displacement of 2% of the cube’s size (i.e. 0.0913 mm) avoided non-linearity issues. The apparent Young’s modulus ($E_{app}$) of the model was then computed [3] as:

$$E_{app} = \frac{\sum RF/t^2}{\Delta t/I}$$

where $\sum RF$ represents the measured sum of the Reaction Forc-
s (RF) on each node of the compression force, $I$ is the size of the cube’s side. Estimated apparent Young’s mod-
ulus values expressed in $N.mm^{-2}$ for each model and each population are reported in table 1.

4. DISCUSSION

Results from the clinical study are discussed in 2 sections: first morphological comparisons then mechanical issues. Fi-
nally, conclusions are drawn and further work is indicated.

In a morphological point of view, Bone Mineral Density measure-
ment is the reference, since it is used in the clinical
tomodensitometry routine. In our study, with a value of $\|BM2| = 3.196$, this feature significantly discriminates the 2 populations. However, physicians have demonstrated that bone density covers about 75% of bone biomechani-
cal properties, which leaves 25% of the diagnosis to other
parameters such as microarchitectural properties. BS/BV and Tb.Th from the MIL, with respectively $\|BM2| = 3.287$ and $\|BM2| = 3.068$, illustrate the fact that there is still use-
ful information to extract from the samples in addition to
BMD. In this purpose of microarchitectural characterisation, and on the contrary to MIL global-scale features, skeleton-
based techniques such as LSGA and HSGA do not make any model assumption, and measure structural properties at a local level. This is the reason why values of Ro.Th ($\|BM2| = 3.840$ for LSGA and $\|BM2| = 3.846$ for HSGA) and Pl.Th ($\|BM2| = 3.895$, HSGA only) are more precise than MIL, as they better discriminate OA samples from OP samples. Furthermore, these results show the improvements of the HSGA which consider plates in addition to rods. In fact, the LSGA’s Ro.Th information is not truthful since it integrates plate information, making an arbitrary shape ap-
proximation. Although Ro.Th values are about the same for
LSGA and HSGA, Pl.Th is clearly a new discriminative
value, which shows the complementarity of such mea-
surements. To conclude about morphological results, includ-
ing plate information is a real benefit for the HSGA model, which can be shown either by studying local features such as Ro.Th and Pl.Th, or a few global features like the Total Plates Volume ($\|BM2| = 3.153$).

The apparent Young’s modulus ($E_{app}$) of the 4 FE models have been computed for the 2 populations, for each sample, and for each of the 3 space directions (x, y, and z). At first, we notice that $E_{app}$ values reveal a different material behavior in the 3 compression directions. Thus, there is no possible comparison between directions within a sample. However, it is possible to study the influence of the model’s geometry on the apparent Young’s modulus between the 2 populations for a given direction (either x, y or z). In this case, the 3 compression directions give us 3 different sets of measures for each FE model.

Since the $|t|$ values rely on the a priori knowledge of OP samples fragility in comparison to OA samples, it is assumed that there is a difference in their $E_{app}$ behavior. Figure 5 presents the $|t|_{E_{app}}$ values vs the geometrical precision for the 3 directions, and illustrates the use of this assumption to compare the 4 FE models depending on there geometrical accuracy. First, the $|t|_{E_{app}}$ is increasing with geometrical accuracy which confirms that geometrical improvements in the HSGA also have a significant influence in terms of mechanical accuracy of the models. Then, it can be noticed on table 1 that the $|t|_{E_{app}}$ trend is the same for each of the 3 directions, even if $E_{app}$ values are different. Last but not least, 2 of the 3 directions are able to separate the 2 populations according to the level of significance of $\alpha = 0.01$, increasing $|t|_{E_{app}}$ up to 3.412 and 3.522 with the best model (splitted HSGA).

Table 1: Results of the clinical study on trabecular bone samples from 2 pathologically different populations (OA as coxarthric and OP as osteoporotic). Mean and standard devi-
ation values are reported for each extracted feature and for each population. $|t|$ Student’s values discriminating the 2 popu-
lations are indicated in the last column. A value of $|t| > 2.92$ is said to be significantly distinctive between osteoporotic and
coxarthric patients. The different models (LSGA and
HSGA, with and without splitting process) are presented in
rows for comparison purposes.

| Feature          | OP mean ± std | OA mean ± std | $|t|$ |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|-----|
| Mean Ro.V mm³    | 0.017 ± 0.003 | 0.012 ± 0.004 | 2.897 |
| Mean Ro.L mm³    | 0.379 ± 0.046 | 0.364 ± 0.066 | 3.338 |
| Mean Ro.Th mm    | 0.252 ± 0.033 | 0.194 ± 0.028 | 3.400 |
| Mean V olume mm³ | 9.679 ± 2.962 | 7.903 ± 1.889 | 4.430 |
| Total Pl.V mm³   | 18.293 ± 4.765 | 10.692 ± 4.878 | 3.153 |
| Mean Ro.V mm³    | 0.004 ± 0.001 | 0.005 ± 0.002 | 1.000 |
| Mean Ro.L mm³    | 0.220 ± 0.032 | 0.271 ± 0.080 | 1.657 |
| Mean Ro.Th mm    | 0.249 ± 0.038 | 0.187 ± 0.025 | 3.846 |
| Mean Pl.V mm³    | 0.048 ± 0.025 | 0.050 ± 0.016 | 0.151 |
| Mean Pl.2V mm³   | 0.228 ± 0.157 | 0.237 ± 0.108 | 0.423 |
| Mean Pl.Th mm    | 0.304 ± 0.038 | 0.228 ± 0.040 | 3.595 |

| Nodes number     | 1249 ± 315 | 1137 ± 443 | 0.582 |
| E_{app}(x) N/mm² | 166.98 ± 94.38 | 68.72 ± 47.15 | 2.636 |
| E_{app}(y) N/mm² | 186.6 ± 97.12 | 77.68 ± 56.39 | 2.693 |
| E_{app}(z) N/mm² | 169.99 ± 92.70 | 91.02 ± 60.77 | 1.925 |
| BS/TV            | 0.347 ± 0.788 | 1.452 |
| E_{app}(x) N/mm² | 141.25 ± 82.20 | 50.13 ± 35.09 | 2.883 |
| E_{app}(y) N/mm² | 158.13 ± 78.00 | 57.51 ± 43.18 | 3.193 |
| E_{app}(z) N/mm² | 153.78 ± 73.18 | 67.99 ± 54.64 | 2.014 |

| Nodes number     | 138312 ± 31602 | 94890 ± 37769 | 2.491 |
| E_{app}(x) N/mm² | 113.96 ± 65.57 | 34.06 ± 16.85 | 3.338 |
| E_{app}(y) N/mm² | 171.92 ± 102.03 | 48.39 ± 25.55 | 3.322 |
| E_{app}(z) N/mm² | 111.29 ± 49.48 | 56.05 ± 35.22 | 2.708 |

| Nodes number     | 139058 ± 31556 | 97573 ± 33980 | 2.496 |
| E_{app}(x) N/mm² | 112.02 ± 62.85 | 33.32 ± 17.52 | 3.412 |
| E_{app}(y) N/mm² | 164.02 ± 103.02 | 34.22 ± 15.84 | 3.522 |
| E_{app}(z) N/mm² | 101.00 ± 41.73 | 51.13 ± 30.25 | 2.737 |
Since the reference voxel-to-elements conversion technique requires huge computing resources (several millions of elements), our study shows that using a few thousand nodes, skeleton-based models are still able to emphasize apparent Young’s modulus differences in trabecular bone. Moreover, we presented a new HSGA shape-dependant FE model using beam and shell elements combination that gives better separation results than beam-only models. Further work is to be carried out on the validation of the simulation of HSGA Finite Elements models. We intend to correlate the simulations using skeleton-based FE models and voxel-to-elements models with the measurement of the real experimental Young’s modulus. Plus, the HSGA itself can still be improved, by adding new type of shapes into the hybrid skeleton for example, or by assigning more precise tissue properties to the elements of the FE (using micro-scanner’s grey levels for example).
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