

Association of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) with Depressive Symptoms in the United States Population and Independent Predictors of Depressive Symptoms in an IBD Population: A NHANES Study

Sanjay Bhandari¹, Michael E. Larson¹, Nilay Kumar², and Daniel Stein³

¹Department of Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, ²Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Aurora St. Luke's Medical Center, and ³Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA

See editorial on page 449.

Background/Aims: There is a paucity of population-based studies on the association between inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and depression in the U.S. population. We sought to study this association using the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) database. **Methods:** We used NHANES data from 2009 to 2010. Our study included 190,269,933 U.S. adults without IBD and 2,325,226 with IBD. We sought to determine whether IBD is an independent risk factor for depressive symptoms (DS) in the U.S. population and studied the independent predictors of DS in IBD population. **Results:** DS was present in 49% of the IBD population versus 23% of the non-IBD population ($p < 0.001$). During the multivariate analysis, we found that IBD was independently associated with DS in the U.S. population ($p = 0.002$). The independent predictors of DS in the IBD population were older age ($p = 0.048$) and divorced/separated/widowed status ($p = 0.005$). There was nonsignificant increase in suicidal risk in IBD population with DS versus that in non-IBD population with DS (27% vs 12%, respectively, $p = 0.080$). Only 36% of IBD individuals with DS visited mental health professional or psychiatrist within the past year. **Conclusions:** IBD is independently associated with DS in the U.S. population. Further research is warranted on risk stratification, screening and management of those with IBD who are at risk of depression. (*Gut Liver* 2017;11:512-519)

Key Words: Inflammatory bowel disease; Depressive; United States; Population; National survey

INTRODUCTION

It is estimated that over one million people live with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in the United States. This is split between ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD), with UC being slightly more prevalent.^{1,2} IBD is an incurable disease with a relapsing and remitting course which has serious implications for patients from a physical and psychological perspective. Patients with IBD experience significant morbidity including lower health-related quality of life, lost earnings, and increased medical expenses.³ Given the chronic nature of the disease, current research and treatment strategies focus on reducing active disease and disease flares.⁴

Ongoing research in IBD focuses on the prevalence of comorbid depression and how this coinciding diagnoses affects patient outcomes. Multiple prospective studies have shown that psychological factors including depressive mood, anxiety, and stress contribute to increased numbers of IBD flares which portend worse disease outcome and health care related quality of life in IBD patients.⁵⁻⁷ Depression in the setting of IBD is also associated with decreased adherence to treatment regimens⁸ as well as decreasing short and long term efficacy of infliximab treatment.⁹ Given that depression worsens outcomes for IBD patients, it is helpful to understand the prevalence of depression in IBD patients. Population based studies show a significantly higher rate of depression in IBD patients,¹⁰ especially in the first year before or after diagnosis.¹¹ Therefore, an understanding of the risk factors for depression in patients with IBD can potentially help to accurately identify those most at risk for poor disease outcomes related to depression. This study aims to utilize National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data to further understand depression relating to IBD from a

Correspondence to: Sanjay Bhandari

Department of Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, 9200 W Wisconsin Ave, 5th Floor, Clinical Cancer Center, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA

Tel: +1-414-805-0820, Fax: +1-414-805-0988, E-mail: sbhandari@mcw.edu

Received on July 13, 2016. Revised on October 4, 2016. Accepted on November 2, 2016. Published online April 11, 2017

pISSN 1976-2283 eISSN 2005-1212 <https://doi.org/10.5009/gnl16347>

© This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

North American population perspective.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Data source

We used data from NHANES which is a cross-sectional survey of a nationally representative sample of the civilian, noninstitutionalized U.S. population.¹² NHANES is conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The survey performs medical interviews, physical examinations and laboratory testing on a nationally representative sample of U.S. citizens. We used NHANES data from year 2009 to 2010 when all adults of 20 to 69 years old were asked whether they had IBD. In addition to demographics, participants were asked on depressive symptoms (DS) ("Over the last 2 weeks, how often have you been bothered by the following problems: feeling down, depressed or hopeless?") and suicidal thoughts ("Over the last 2 weeks, how often have you been bothered by the following problems: thoughts that you would be better off dead or hurting yourself in some way?"). We first compared IBD and non-IBD population and sought to see if IBD is an independent risk factor for DS in U.S. population. Then we tried to elicit the independent predictors of DS in IBD population.

2. Statistical analysis

All categorical variables are presented as weighted percentages. Chi-square test was performed to analyze categorical variables. Continuous variable like C-reactive protein was presented as mean with standard error. Student t-test was performed for the analysis for the continuous variable. The multivariate logistic regression model was used which incorporated all covariates with $p < 0.2$ on univariate analysis. In order to account for the survey's complex design, our analysis incorporated sampling weights (WTMEC2YR), as well as the stratum variable (SDM-VSTRA) and the cluster variable to specify primary sampling unit (SDMVPSU). All calculations were two-tailed and $p \leq 0.05$ was considered significant. All analyses were performed using survey procedures in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). NHANES 2009 to 2010 was approved by NCHS Research Ethics Review Board with continuation of Institutional Review Board Protocol #2005-06 and can be accessed online (<http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/tutorials/nhanes/surveyorientation/Navigate/Frame7.htm>).

RESULTS

1. Baseline characteristics of IBD and non-IBD population

Our study included 190,269,933 U.S. adults without IBD and 2,325,226 with IBD. IBD and non-IBD population differed from each other in age-category and racial distribution (Table 1). Further, adults with IBD adults were likely to be overweight

and have more comorbidities than those with non-IBD (Table 1). Nine percent of IBD population and 11% of non-IBD did not respond questions on DS. DS was present in 49% of those with IBD versus 23% in those without IBD (unadjusted odds ratio [OR], 3.1; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.6 to 6.1; $p < 0.001$).

2. Independent risk factors associated with DS in U.S. population

On multivariate analysis that included all the variables with p -values < 0.2 from the univariate analysis, IBD was independently associated with DS (adjusted OR, 3.1; 95% CI, 1.6 to 5.9; $p = 0.002$) (Table 2). In addition to IBD, other factors associated with DS in U.S. population were higher number of comorbidities. Those with 2 and ≥ 3 comorbidities had higher risks of DS with ORs of 1.6 (95% CI, 1.2 to 2.2; $p = 0.004$) and 2.4 (95% CI, 1.8 to 3.2; $p < 0.001$) as compared with those with no comorbidities, respectively. The factors which were protective of DS were older age (> 50 yr vs 20–50 yr: OR, 0.7; 95% CI, 0.6 to 0.8; $p < 0.001$) and educational level of college degree or higher (OR, 0.7; 95% CI, 0.5 to 0.9; $p = 0.021$) and higher income poverty ratio ($\geq 500\%$ vs $< 100\%$: OR, 0.5; 95% CI, 0.4 to 0.7; $p < 0.001$ and 300%–499% vs $< 100\%$: OR, 0.6; 95% CI, 0.4 to 0.8; $p = 0.001$) (Table 2).

3. Factors associated with DS in IBD population

On univariate analysis, factors associated with DS in IBD population were marriage status (more in divorced/separated/widowed), anemia and IBD types (more in UC vs CD) (Table 3). On multivariate analysis that included all the variables with p -values < 0.2 from the univariate analysis, the factors associated with DS in IBD population were older age (> 50 yr vs 20–50 yr: OR, 3.13; 95% CI, 1.01 to 9.70; $p = 0.048$) and divorced/separated/widowed (vs married/living with partner: OR, 32.8; 95% CI, 3.9 to 277.9; $p = 0.005$) (Table 4).

4. Visit to mental health professional/psychiatrist and risk of suicide

Among those who had DS, 36% of IBD versus 15% of non-IBD patients visited mental health professional or psychiatrist in the past 1 year ($p = 0.02$). There was trend toward increased suicidal ideation in IBD with DS versus non-IBD population with DS (27% vs 12%, $p = 0.08$). Among those who had suicidal ideation, 86% of IBD versus 23% of non-IBD individuals visited the mental health professional or psychiatrist in the past year ($p < 0.001$) (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

This study utilized NHANES data with the goal of better understanding the prevalence of DS and associated risk factors of DS in patients with IBD from a U.S. population perspective. Results show that IBD is independently associated with higher prevalence of DS in our projected U.S. population based study.

Table 1. Comparison between the Non-IBD and IBD Populations

Covariate	Non-IBD (n=190,269,933)*	IBD (n=2,325,226)*	p-value
Age, yr			0.031
20–50	67	50	
>50	33	50	
Male sex	49	42	0.401
Race			0.041
Non-Hispanic White	66	81	
Non-Hispanic Black	12	6	
Others [†]	22	13	
Education			0.132
<High school	18	21	
High school	23	7	
Some college	31	40	
≥College	29	32	
Married			0.201
Married/living with partner	69	56	
Divorced/separated/widowed	17	25	
Never married	14	19	
Income poverty ratio			0.134
<100	22	16	
100–299	31	35	
300–499	22	11	
≥500	25	38	
Smoking [‡]	44	51	0.501
Overweight [§]	33	57	<0.001
Anemia	3	5	0.643
C-reactive protein, ng/mL	0.37±0.02	0.46±0.16	0.543
No. of comorbidities [¶]			<0.001
0	58	29	
1	27	44	
2	10	21	
≥3	5	6	

Data are presented percent or mean±standard error.

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.

*The data are presented as numbers that have been weighted for a national estimate; [†]Others include Mexican American, other Hispanics and other races including multiracial; [‡]The following question was asked: “Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your life?”; [§]The following question was asked: “Has a doctor or other health care professional ever told you that you were overweight?”; ^{||}The following question was asked: “During the past 3 months, have you been on treatment for anemia, sometimes called “tired blood” or “low blood”? (include diet, iron pills, iron shots, transfusions as treatment)”; [¶]Comorbidities included hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, stroke, congestive heart failure, liver disease, kidney disease, asthma, and cancer. All comorbidities were self-reported.

Additionally, the significant risk factors for DS in the IBD patient population differed from the general population. These differing risk factors include older age and marital status (divorced/separated/widowed). This potentially imply the psychological stress associated with increasing age and lack of family support given the burden in IBD care. Our study also found that there was nonsignificant increase in suicidal risk in IBD population

with DS than that in non-IBD population with DS, which might imply the increased urgency of healthcare need in depressed IBD population. Our data also shows that only 36% of IBD population with DS currently seek mental health care, highlighting on the possible underutilization of mental health care in depressed IBD population.

Previous research clearly outlines higher rates of major de-

Table 2. Independent Factors Associated with Depressive Symptoms in the U.S. Population

Independent predictor*	OR	Lower limit of 95% CI	Upper limit of 95% CI	p-value
IBD				
Non-IBD	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
IBD	3.11	1.60	5.90	0.002
Age, yr				
20–50	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
>50	0.71	0.61	0.82	<0.001
Race				
Non-Hispanic White	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Non-Hispanic Black	1.01	0.81	1.30	0.901
Others	1.11	0.90	1.40	0.420
Education				
<High school	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
High school	0.91	0.63	1.21	0.301
Some college	0.83	0.51	1.08	0.101
≥College	0.72	0.52	0.91	0.021
Income poverty ratio, %				
<100	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
100–299	0.81	0.63	1.05	0.105
300–499	0.63	0.44	0.82	0.001
≥500	0.51	0.40	0.70	<0.001
Overweight				
Nonoverweight	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Overweight	1.30	0.93	1.71	0.061
No. of comorbidities				
0	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
1	1.22	0.94	1.51	0.090
2	1.61	1.20	2.22	0.004
≥3	2.41	1.81	3.21	<0.001

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; Ref, reference.

*All variables with p-values of <0.2 on univariate analysis (Table 1) were included in the final multivariate analysis.

pressive disorder in patients with IBD. This includes representative population studies completed in Canada based on structured diagnostic interview¹³ and several smaller studies looking at sample groups in England¹¹ and the United States.¹⁴ The higher incidence of depression in IBD patients is thought to be multifactorial, including both physiologic and behavioral manifestations of the IBD process which put the patient at risk for comorbid stress and depression.¹⁵ One of the main physiologic drivers discussed in the literature is increased stress in patients with IBD. Increased perceived stress is shown to lead to higher instances of disease flare and exacerbation.^{7,16}

Additionally, research has shown that IBD exacerbation or flare can lead to decreased psychological well-being¹⁷ which suggests a positive feedback loop effect between disease flare and depression. Several studies support this idea by showing that comorbid depression with IBD predicts a more negative

disease course including more relapses, less response to treatment,¹⁴ and lower health related quality of life scores.^{18,19}

Aside from more negative IBD outcomes, major depressive disorder by itself also puts patients at an increased risk for suicidal ideation and completed suicide.²⁰ The present study found an increased risk of suicidal ideation in IBD patients with DS compared to non-IBD patients with DS. While this was not statistically significant, it does correlate with two previous studies which found an increased risk of suicide in patients with diagnosed CD.^{21,22} Recent research completed in a Danish population shows that this increased risk for completed suicide may also include patients with UC.²³ Interestingly, our study shows that suicidal patients with IBD are much more likely to seek care from a mental health professional compared to suicidal patients in the general population. This might suggest that suicidal ideation in IBD patients is severe enough to seek help from a mental health

Table 3. Comparison of the IBD Population with and without Depressive Symptoms

Covariate	Subsets of IBD population		p-value
	Depressive symptoms (-) (n=1,085,801)*	Depressive symptoms (+) (n=1,022,930)*	
Age, yr			0.081
20–50	59	37	
>50	41	63	
Male sex	56	30	0.062
Race			0.911
Non-Hispanic White	80	81	
Non-Hispanic Black	7	5	
Others [†]	13	14	
Education			0.612
<High school	20	28	
High school	12	2	
Some college	38	36	
≥College	30	34	
Married			<0.001
Married/living with partner	75	40	
Divorced/separated/widowed	5	45	
Never married	20	15	
Income poverty ratio, %			0.623
<100	17	17	
100–299	26	40	
300–499	9	13	
≥500	48	29	
Smoking [‡]	47	47	1.012
Overweight [§]	58	58	1.034
Anemia	1	11	<0.001
C-reactive protein, ng/mL	0.45±0.15	0.50±0.18	0.711
IBD types			<0.001
Ulcerative colitis	77	92	
Crohn's disease	23	8	
No. of comorbidities [¶]			0.414
0	36	19	
1	42	58	
2	17	17	
≥3	5	6	

Data are presented percent or mean±standard error.

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.

*The data are presented as numbers weighted for a national estimate; [†]Others included Mexican American, other Hispanics and other races including multiracial; [‡]The following question was asked: "Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your life?"; [§]The following question was asked: "Has a doctor or other health care professional ever told you that you were overweight?"; ^{||}The following question was asked: "During the past 3 months, have you been on treatment for anemia, sometimes called "tired blood" or "low blood"? (include diet, iron pills, iron shots, transfusions as treatment)"; [¶]Comorbidities included hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, stroke, congestive heart failure, liver disease, kidney disease, asthma, and cancer. All comorbidities were self-reported.

professional. In this regard, although our study does not have any direct data on actual suicidal attempts made, we assume that untreated suicidal patients with IBD are at an especially

high risk for attempting and completing suicide. More research is required to further elucidate a link between completed suicide and depression in IBD patients.

Table 4. Independent Factors Associated with Depressive Symptoms in an IBD Population

Independent predictor*	OR	Lower limit of 95% CI	Upper limit of 95% CI	p-value
Age, yr				
20–50	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
>50	3.13	1.01	9.70	0.048
Sex				
Female	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Male	1.29	0.22	7.51	0.753
Marriage status				
Married/living with partner	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Divorced/separated/widowed	32.77	3.86	277.91	0.005
Never married	2.01	0.20	20.70	0.515
Anemia				
Anemia (absent)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Anemia (present)	4.10	0.30	65.40	0.277
IBD types				
Ulcerative colitis	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Crohn's disease	3.30	0.60	18.11	0.149

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Ref, reference.

*All variables with p-values <0.2 upon univariate analysis (Table 3) were included in the final multivariate analysis.

Although this is an area of ongoing research, several studies suggest that treating depression in IBD patients can have a beneficial effect on both mood and disease course. A retrospective case review completed in 2012²⁴ as well as a systematic review discussing antidepressant medications in 2006²⁵ conclude that depression can be effectively treated in IBD patients. However, there is inconclusive evidence as to the direct effect of antidepressant pharmaceutical intervention on the IBD disease course itself (i.e., number of flares and exacerbations). While the direct effect of antidepressants on IBD disease flare is unknown, it has been shown that IBD patients with untreated comorbid psychiatric disorders are less compliant with IBD medication regimens which also leads to worse disease outcomes.⁸ In addition to pharmacologic intervention, there is considerable research as to the role for psychotherapy in IBD patients. While it is shown that certain patients with IBD benefit from psychotherapy,²⁶ psychotherapy is not recommended for all patients diagnosed with IBD. This was confirmed by a 2011 Cochrane review.²⁷

There is mounting evidence suggesting that appropriate treatment of the IBD process may reduce DS and improve health related quality of life scores. A 2015 study showed reduced depressive indices using Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) scores for IBD patients receiving antitumor necrosis factor therapy.²⁸ Similar findings were shown for IBD patients receiving azathioprine utilizing the health related quality of life and IBD questionnaire.²⁹

We have established that DS in IBD leads to worse outcomes, and there are possible treatments to decrease the instance of depression in IBD patients. Therefore, there is utility for screening

for depression in IBD patients. This is reflected in the 2011 IBD treatment guidelines.⁴ There are several validated modalities for screening, including patient self-reporting utilizing the PHQ-9 form, or physician led questioning utilizing the Luebeck interview for psychosocial screening in patients with IBD.¹⁴ Screening can be done either by the gastroenterologist or the primary care physician. However, many IBD patients rely on their gastroenterologist for routine health maintenance.³⁰ This suggests that gastroenterologists are in the best position for early identification and intervention of depression in at risk IBD patient populations. Early intervention includes direct prescription of medication, referral to the primary care doctor, or direct referral to a mental health professional.

With the data outlined in this study, special attention should be paid to elderly patients, and patients who are separated, divorced, or widowed. These two findings are supported by recent cohort studies completed looking into patients with IBD and comorbid depression. A 2014 study focusing on patients 65 years or older with IBD showed higher rates of depression in this population compared to younger age groups, which strengthens the findings in the present population based study.³¹ Additionally, a 2006 study investigated the coping strategies for depressed patients with IBD. This research reported that patients with IBD were more likely to be depressed if they had less interpersonal support.¹⁹ These findings coincide with the present study that divorced/separated/widowed patients are at an increased risk for depression in the setting of IBD.

Little research currently exists as to the overall percentage of IBD patients routinely screened for depression, whether it

be from a gastroenterologist or primary care physician. The data presented in this study show that approximately 36% of patients with IBD and DS visited a mental health professional for the depression. While this is higher than the general population, it shows that the majority of depressed patients with IBD are not receiving formal mental health care. However, this data does not provide an understanding of the percentage of patients screened for depression and/or treated for depression directly from their gastroenterologist. Future studies should investigate the adequacy of screening for depression in IBD patients, emphasizing the importance of screening in elderly and separated/divorced/or widowed patients. Further research is also needed to examine the treatment approach for positively screened patients whether it be from the gastroenterologist directly, the primary care physician, or a mental health professional.

Our study has some limitations. First, the study was based on self-reported history of IBD and DS, which can be subject to recall bias. Second, we were not able to evaluate the correlation between disease activity and the level of DS because the survey did not include question on disease activity (flare vs clinical remission). But inclusion of C-reactive protein might have alleviated this limitation to some degree. Third, our study is also limited by noninclusion of other IBD-related surgeries or admissions or pathological diagnosis of IBD like structuring vs. penetrating disease, which might signify severity of the disease and might also be associated with DS. Lastly, our study was based on the DS of the respondents rather than a validated depression questionnaire. Despite these limitations, our study provides a broader prospective on psychological impact of IBD on U.S. population.

In conclusion, our study shows IBD is an independent risk factor of DS in U.S. population. Elderly and separated/divorced/or widowed individuals with IBD are especially vulnerable to DS. We recommend IBD patients should be routinely screened for depression and appropriate psychological support should be provided to them when screened positive. Future studies should focus on further risk-stratification, screening modality and management of depression in IBD population.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

1. Loftus EV Jr. Clinical epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease: incidence, prevalence, and environmental influences. *Gastroenterology* 2004;126:1504-1517.
2. Kappelman MD, Rifas-Shiman SL, Kleinman K, et al. The prevalence and geographic distribution of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis in the United States. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*

- 2007;5:1424-1429.
3. Ganz ML, Sugarman R, Wang R, Hansen BB, Håkan-Bloch J. The economic and health-related impact of Crohn's disease in the United States: evidence from a nationally representative survey. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2016;22:1032-1041.
4. Mowat C, Cole A, Windsor A, et al. Guidelines for the management of inflammatory bowel disease in adults. *Gut* 2011;60:571-607.
5. Mittermaier C, Dejaco C, Waldhoer T, et al. Impact of depressive mood on relapse in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a prospective 18-month follow-up study. *Psychosom Med* 2004;66:79-84.
6. Mawdsley JE, Rampton DS. Psychological stress in IBD: new insights into pathogenic and therapeutic implications. *Gut* 2005;54:1481-1491.
7. Bernstein CN, Singh S, Graff LA, Walker JR, Miller N, Cheang M. A prospective population-based study of triggers of symptomatic flares in IBD. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;105:1994-2002.
8. Nigro G, Angelini G, Grosso SB, Caula G, Sategna-Guidetti C. Psychiatric predictors of noncompliance in inflammatory bowel disease: psychiatry and compliance. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2001;32:66-68.
9. Persoons P, Vermeire S, Demyttenaere K, et al. The impact of major depressive disorder on the short- and long-term outcome of Crohn's disease treatment with infliximab. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;22:101-110.
10. Fuller-Thomson E, Sulman J. Depression and inflammatory bowel disease: findings from two nationally representative Canadian surveys. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2006;12:697-707.
11. Kurina LM, Goldacre MJ, Yeates D, Gill LE. Depression and anxiety in people with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2001;55:716-720.
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey [Internet]. Atlanta: CDC; 2014 [cited 2015 Nov 6]. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/about_nhanes.htm.
13. Walker JR, Ediger JP, Graff LA, et al. The Manitoba IBD cohort study: a population-based study of the prevalence of lifetime and 12-month anxiety and mood disorders. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;103:1989-1997.
14. Graff LA, Walker JR, Bernstein CN. Depression and anxiety in inflammatory bowel disease: a review of comorbidity and management. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2009;15:1105-1118.
15. Sajadinejad MS, Asgari K, Molavi H, Kalantari M, Adibi P. Psychological issues in inflammatory bowel disease: an overview. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2012;2012:106502.
16. Levenstein S, Prantera C, Varvo V, et al. Stress and exacerbation in ulcerative colitis: a prospective study of patients enrolled in remission. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000;95:1213-1220.
17. Simrén M, Axelsson J, Gillberg R, Abrahamsson H, Svedlund J, Björnsson ES. Quality of life in inflammatory bowel disease in remission: the impact of IBS-like symptoms and associated psychological factors. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;97:389-396.

18. Guthrie E, Jackson J, Shaffer J, Thompson D, Tomenson B, Creed F. Psychological disorder and severity of inflammatory bowel disease predict health-related quality of life in ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;97:1994-1999.
19. Jones MP, Wessinger S, Crowell MD. Coping strategies and interpersonal support in patients with irritable bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006;4:474-481.
20. Kessler RC, Borges G, Walters EE. Prevalence of and risk factors for lifetime suicide attempts in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999;56:617-626.
21. Persson PG, Bernell O, Leijonmarck CE, Farahmand BY, Hellers G, Ahlbom A. Survival and cause-specific mortality in inflammatory bowel disease: a population-based cohort study. *Gastroenterology* 1996;110:1339-1345.
22. Prior P, Gyde S, Cooke WT, Waterhouse JA, Allan RN. Mortality in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 1981;80:307-312.
23. Gradus JL, Qin P, Lincoln AK, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease and completed suicide in Danish adults. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2010;16:2158-2161.
24. Goodhand JR, Greig FI, Koodun Y, et al. Do antidepressants influence the disease course in inflammatory bowel disease? A retrospective case-matched observational study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2012;18:1232-1239.
25. Mikocka-Walus AA, Turnbull DA, Moulding NT, Wilson IG, Andrews JM, Holtmann GJ. Antidepressants and inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review. *Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health* 2006;2:24.
26. McCombie AM, Mulder RT, Geary RB. Psychotherapy for inflammatory bowel disease: a review and update. *J Crohns Colitis* 2013;7:935-949.
27. Timmer A, Preiss JC, Motschall E, Rucker G, Jantschek G, Moser G. Psychological interventions for treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011;(2):CD006913.
28. Horst S, Chao A, Rosen M, et al. Treatment with immunosuppressive therapy may improve depressive symptoms in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 2015;60:465-470.
29. Bastida G, Nos P, Aguas M, et al. The effects of thiopurine therapy on health-related quality of life in inflammatory bowel disease patients. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2010;10:26.
30. Moscandrew M, Mahadevan U, Kane S. General health maintenance in IBD. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2009;15:1399-1409.
31. Long MD, Kappelman MD, Martin CF, Chen W, Anton K, Sandler RS. Risk factors for depression in the elderly inflammatory bowel disease population. *J Crohns Colitis* 2014;8:113-119.