Moments of Conditional Sojourn Times in Finite Capacity M/M/1/N-PS Processor Sharing Queues

Nail Akar, Member, IEEE

Abstract—Moments of sojourn times conditioned on the length of an admitted job are derived for a finite capacity M/M/1/N-PS processor sharing queue. The mean conditional sojourn time is given in closed form whereas an expression is provided for the conditional variance in such systems involving matrix-vector operations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Processor sharing (PS) queues have successfully been used to model computer and communication systems in which jobs are served simultaneously by a single processor with a variable service rate depending on the total number of jobs in the system. In the most basic processor sharing discipline, called egalitarian processor sharing (EPS), the fixed total processor capacity is distributed evenly among the jobs in the system. One widespread application of EPS queues is the M/M/1/N-PS model in which the job sizes are exponentially distributed and the motion starts. Also let motion ends immediately when a vehicle that must traverse a link of length $s$, i.e., when $X_T(x) = x$. Let $\mu_i(x) = E[T(x)^i], i \geq 1$ and $\tilde{\mu}_i(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sz}d\mu_i(x)$. It was shown in [7] that

$$\tilde{\mu}_i(s) = ivq(sR - P)^{-i}e, i \geq 1,$$

where $e$ denotes a column vector of ones of appropriate size. One of the goals of this letter is to use this relationship obtained for transportation systems in deriving the conditional sojourn times in M/M/1/N-PS processor sharing systems.

II. THE M/M/1/N-PS PROCESSOR SHARING QUEUE

In the M/M/1/N-PS system, jobs arrive according to a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$. EPS is used with a total service rate of unity. The system allows at most $N$ waiting jobs. Therefore, new job arrivals are blocked when there are $N$
jobs in the system. The service time requirement for a job is exponentially distributed with parameter $\mu$ when the server (with unity capacity) would be dedicated to this particular job only. Let $\rho = \lambda / \mu$. Two performance measures of interest are relevant to this letter: $P_B$ denotes the job blocking probability whereas $W(x)$ denotes the sojourn time of an arbitrary admitted job with a service requirement of $x$. The conditional moments of sojourn time are defined by $m_i(x) = E[W(x)^i]$. The conditional sojourn time variance is denoted by $\sigma^2(x) = m_2(x) - m_1(x)^2$. The $i$th unconditional moment of the sojourn time is denoted by $m_i = \int_0^\infty m_i(x) \mu e^{-\mu x} dx$, $i \geq 1$.

Let $Y(t)$ denote the number of jobs in the system at time $t$ in the M/M/1/N-PS queue. Let $p_n = \lim_{t \to \infty} P(Y(t) = n), 0 \leq n \leq N$. It is well-known that $p_n = \rho^n / \sum_{i=0}^N \rho^i$ [8]. Therefore, we simply write $P_B = \rho^N / \sum_{i=0}^N \rho^i$. Consider a tagged job with a service time requirement of $x$ given that this job not blocked. This job will then find $l$ jobs upon admission (including itself) with probability $\pi_l = \rho^{l-1} / \sum_{i=1}^N \rho^{i-1}, 1 \leq l \leq N$. Let $\pi = [\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_N]$. Once the tagged job starts service, the service rate dedicated to the tagged job will be modulated based on the background process $Y(t)$ that is governed by a continuous-time Markov chain with infinitesimal generator $Q$ of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
-\lambda & \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\frac{\lambda}{\mu} & -\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) & \lambda & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\lambda}{N-1} & \frac{\lambda}{N-1} & \frac{\lambda}{N-1} & -\left(\frac{\lambda}{N-1} + \frac{\lambda}{N-1}\right) & \lambda \\
\frac{\lambda}{N} & \frac{\lambda}{N} & \frac{\lambda}{N} & \frac{\lambda}{N} & -\frac{\lambda}{N} \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Moreover, the rate of the tagged job is given by $v(i) = \frac{1}{i}$ when there are overall $i, 1 \leq i \leq N$ jobs in the system. We define $V = \text{diag}\{v(1), \ldots, v(N)\}$. The conditional sojourn time of the tagged job with service requirement of $x$ for this system is analogous to the link travel time of the vehicle that must traverse a length of $x$. Defining the LST transform $\tilde{m}_i(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dm_i(x), i \geq 1$ and using the analogy above, we have

$$\tilde{m}_i(s) = i! \pi (sV - Q)^{-i} e, i \geq 1. \tag{3}$$

The expression does not lend itself to a closed form expression for $m_i(x)$ for general $i$ due to the need for inverse Laplace transforms. For this purpose, we start with $m_1(x)$. Let $A = QV^{-1}$ and $I$ denote an identity matrix of suitable size. In this case,

$$m_1(x) = \pi(sV - Q)^{-1} e = \pi V^{-1}(sI - A)^{-1} e,$$

$$= \frac{\pi V^{-1} I}{s} + \frac{\lambda A^2}{s^2} + \frac{\lambda A^2}{s^3} + \cdots \frac{\pi V^{-1} e}{s}$$

The last identity follows from $\pi V^{-1}$ being a left null vector of $Q$, which is not difficult to show. Using this identity, for $x \geq 0$, we have

$$m_1(x) = \int_0^x \pi V^{-1} e dt = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{(l+1)\rho^l}{\rho^l} x,$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \rho} - \frac{N\rho^N}{1 - \rho^N} x.$$  

We observe that the conditional mean sojourn time in the finite capacity case is linear in $x$ as in its infinite capacity counterpart for which $m_1(x) = \frac{1}{1-\rho} x$ [4]. The factor in this linear form is the mean number of jobs $m$ upon arrivals of admitted jobs. Note that in this definition, the newly admitted job is also taken into account. Moreover, there is a reduction in the mean sojourn time given by the term $\frac{1}{1-\rho^N} x$ stemming from rejection of jobs in overload situations compared to infinite capacity case. This closed form expression can be used to dimension finite capacity processor sharing systems.

For the second moment, we have

$$\tilde{m}_2(s) = 2\pi(sV - Q)^{-2} e = \frac{2\pi V^{-2} (sI - A)^{-1} e}{s},$$

which leads us to

$$m_2(x) = \int_{t_1=0}^x \int_{t_2=0}^{t_1} 2\pi V^{-2} e dt_2 dt_1. \tag{4}$$

It is preferable to avoid numerical integration. Therefore, we propose the following method to obtain the second moment of the conditional sojourn time. For this purpose, note that the matrix $Q$ is a stochastic matrix and has a single eigenvalue at zero. Therefore, $A$ has a single eigenvalue at zero as well. The left and right null vectors of $A$ are denoted by $v$ and $u$, respectively. In particular, $v = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \rho^i} [1, 2\rho, \ldots, N\rho^{N-1}]$ and $u = [1, 1/2, \ldots, 1/N]^T$ satisfying $vu = 1$. Also let $B = A - uv$, which is obtained my moving the eigenvalue of $A$ at zero to minus one. Thus, $B$ is an invertible matrix. With these definitions, it is not difficult to show that $A^n = B^n + (-1)^n uv, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and moreover $e^{At} = e^{Bt} + uv(1 - e^{-t}), t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Noting that $\frac{1}{s} B^{-1} e^{Bt} = e^{Bt}$ and applying straightforward rules of integration for the equation (4), we finally obtain

$$\sigma^2(x) = 2m_2^2(1 - x - e^{-x}) + 2\pi V^{-2} (B^{-2} e^{Bx} - B^{-2} - B^{-1} x) e. \tag{5}$$

The identity above allows one to write down the conditional variance of the sojourn time using matrix-vector operations. Also note that the unconditional moments are given by

$$m_i = \tilde{m}_i(\mu) = i! \pi (\mu V - Q)^{-i} e. \tag{6}$$

In particular, $m_1 = m/\mu$.

III. EXTENSION TO GENERALIZED PROCESSOR SHARING

The overall service capacity being $f_i$ when there are $i$ jobs in the system is referred to as generalized processor sharing (GPS) [5]. Note that the special case $f_i = 1, \forall i$ reduces to EPS. It has been shown in [9] that the user-level performance of the popular proportional fair scheduling algorithm in opportunistic cellular wireless networks may be evaluated using a GPS model in which the total service rate is not fixed but instead increases with the total number of users. This stems from the observation that it would be more likely to select a user with high transmission rate out a larger user population. It is not difficult to show that the previous analysis is readily extensible to GPS. For this purpose, consider an admitted job which will
see \( l, 1 \leq i \leq N \) jobs (including itself) upon admission with probability [5]:

\[
\pi_i^{(G)} = \frac{\beta^{i-1} \phi_{l-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta^{i-1} \phi_{l-1}}, \quad \phi_i = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{i} f_k}, \quad i > 0, \phi_0 = 1.
\]

Let \( \pi_i^{(G)} = [\pi_1^{(G)}, \pi_2^{(G)}, \ldots, \pi_N^{(G)}] \). Once the tagged job starts service, the service rate the tagged job receives depends on the state of a Markov chain with infinitesimal generator \( Q^{(G)} \) which can be obtained through \( Q \) by replacing the \( \mu \) term in the \( j \)th row of \( Q \) by \( f_j \mu \). The rate of the tagged job is given by \( v^{(G)}(i) = \frac{l}{i} \) when there are overall \( 1 \leq i \leq N \) jobs in the system. We define \( V^{(G)} = \text{diag}(v^{(G)}(1), \ldots, v^{(G)}(N)) \). We define \( \tilde{m}_i^{(G)}(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dm_i^{(G)}(x), i \geq 1 \) where \( m_i^{(G)}(x) \) is the \( i \)th moment of the conditional sojourn time of the tagged job with service requirement \( x \) under GPS. Using again the results of [7], one obtains

\[
\tilde{m}_i^{(G)}(s) = i! \pi_i^{(G)} (s V^{(G)} - Q^{(G)})^{-1} e, i \geq 1.
\] (7)

In particular, \( m_1^{(G)}(x) = m^{(G)} x \) where

\[
m_i^{(G)} = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{\beta^{l-1} \phi_{l-1}}{\sum_{l=1}^{N} \beta^{l-1} \phi_{l-1}}
\]

denotes the expected number of jobs that an arriving job sees just after the job is accepted into the GPS system. On the other hand, the conditional variance of the GPS system can be obtained using the method described for EPS.

IV. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In the first example, we present results concerning an M/M/1/N-PS queue under EPS and GPS. For GPS, we use \( f_l = \sum_{j=1}^{j} 1/j \) as suggested in [5] which is motivated by a wireless channel-aware downlink scheduler under Rayleigh fading [9]. We fix \( \mu = 1 \) and the unconditional expected sojourn times are plotted as a function of \( N \) in Fig. 1 for two different values of \( \rho = 0.7, 0.9 \). The unconditional expected sojourn time decreases with reduced \( N \) and also with GPS in relation with EPS due to the opportunistic nature of the scheduler.

In the second example, the conditional variance \( \sigma^2(x) \) is plotted against the job length for various values of \( N \) for the case \( \rho = 0.9 \) in the case of EPS. The conditional variance for the case \( N \to \infty \) is obtained using the expression provided in [4] whereas results for finite values of \( N \) are obtained using the expression (5). The finite capacity results almost overlap with those of the M/M/1/PS system for \( N = 64 \). Therefore, we are inclined to believe that the finite capacity processor sharing queue conditional moments can also be used to approximate those of its infinite capacity counterpart with suitably large choices of \( N \).

V. CONCLUSION

Unlike most existing work on infinite capacity systems, we provide expressions for the moments of sojourn times conditioned on the length of admitted jobs for finite capacity M/M/1/N-PS processor sharing queues with the expression being in closed form for the conditional mean. Such expressions can be used in provisioning servers employing admission control with EPS or GPS capacity sharing.
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