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Abstract: Non-intrusive video-detection for traffic flow observation and surveillance is the primary alternative to conventional inductive loop detectors. Video Image Detection Systems (VIDS) can derive traffic parameters by means of image processing and pattern recognition methods. Existing VIDS emulate the inductive loops. We propose a trajectory based recognition algorithm to expand the common approach and to obtain new types of information (e.g. queue length or erratic movements). Different views of the same area by more than one camera sensor are necessary, because of the typical limitations of single camera systems, resulting from occlusions by other cars, trees and traffic signs. A distributed cooperative multi-camera system enables a significant enlargement of the observation area. The trajectories are derived from multi-target tracking. The fusion of object data from different cameras will be done by a tracking approach. This approach opens up opportunities to identify and specify traffic objects, their location, speed and other characteristic object information. The system creates new derived and consolidated information of traffic participants. Thus, also descriptions of individual traffic participants are possible.

1 INTRODUCTION
An intelligent traffic management is based on an exact knowledge of the traffic situation. Therefore traffic monitoring at roads and intersections is an essential prerequisite for the implementation of the Intelligent Transportation System (ITS).

The most common detection and surveillance systems to measure traffic flow on public roads are inductive loops and microwave radar systems. An analysis and a comparison of different sensors can be consulted in (Klein et al., 1997).

VIDS using real time image processing techniques (Michalopoulos, 1991), (Wigan, 1992), (Kastrinaki et al., 2003), (Kumar et al., 2005) and (Luo and Bhadarkar, 2005) became more attractive in the last 15 years. Besides traditional traffic parameters like presence, vehicle length, speed as well as time gap between two vehicles they can also determine congestion length, source-destination matrices, blockage or accidents and estimate travel times (Datta and Schattler, 2000), (Harlow and Wang, 2001), (Setchell and Dagless, 2001) and (Yung and Lai, 2001).

The multi-camera system was used to overcome limitations of single camera systems (e.g. occlusions) and to be able to enlarge the observation area.

This paper is organized as follows: After an overview of existing multiple-camera systems the approach is introduced. Then, an example installation is described and the results for this installation are presented. It follows an application, which adapts formerly derived traces or trajectories of turning vehicles by hyperbolas. This analytical description of trajectories can be used for traffic scene description. The article closes with a summary and an outlook.
2 MULTIPLE-CAMERA SYSTEMS (MCS) OVERVIEW

There already exist a variety of solutions for multi-camera observation and tracking, especially for surveillance tasks. The main problem to solve for a MCS is that an observed object in the images of the different cameras must be assigned to the same real object. Therefore, an accurate relation between every pixel and the object coordinates must be available.

A real-time cooperative multi-target tracking system for ITS-applications was presented by (Matsuyama and Ukita, 2002). A system of active vision agents (AVAs), where an AVA is a logical model of a network-connected computer with an active camera cooperatively track their target objects by dynamically exchanging object information with each other. With this cooperative tracking capability, the system can track multiple moving objects persistently even in complicated dynamic real world environments. (Collins et al., 2002) have described a system for acquiring multi-view videos of a person moving through the environment. A real-time tracking algorithm adjusts the pan, tilt, zoom and focus parameters of multiple active cameras to keep the moving person centred in each view. The output of the system is a set of synchronized, time-stamped video streams, showing the person simultaneously from several viewpoints.

(Meagher et al., 2004) have presented a method for tracking an object and the determination of its absolute position using the image coordinates provided from multiple cameras. The proposed method obtains the image coordinates of an object at known locations and generates “virtual points”.

(Mittal and Davis, 2001) have described an algorithm for detecting and tracking people in a cluttered scene using multiple synchronized cameras. This camera arrangement results in multiple wide-baseline camera systems. The results from these wide-baseline camera systems are then combined using a scheme that rejects outliers and gives very robust estimations of the 2D locations of the people.

3 APPROACH

The used cameras cover overlaid or adjacent observation areas. With it, the same road user can be observed from different cameras under different positions and angles. Using automatic image processing methods the objects of interest are found in the image data. In order to enable the tracking and fusion of the objects detected in the respective observation area the image coordinates of these objects are converted to a common world coordinate system. In case of poor quality of the orientation parameters, the same objects will be observed in different places. To avoid misidentification of these objects which were derived from different camera images, high precision in coordinate transformation of the image into the object space is required. Therefore, a very exact calibration (interior orientation) as well as knowledge of the position and view direction (exterior orientation) of the camera is necessary. If the camera positions are given in absolute geographical coordinates, the detected objects can be provide in world coordinates.

The approach presented here can be separated into four steps (Figure 1). Firstly, all moving objects have to be extracted from each frame of the video sequence. Next, these traffic objects have to be projected onto a geo-referenced world plane. Afterwards these objects are tracked and associated to trajectories. This can be utilized to assess comprehensive traffic parameters and to characterize trajectories of individual traffic participants.

These four steps are described more precisely below.

3.1 Video Acquisition and Object Detection

In order to receive reliable and reproducible results, only compact digital industrial cameras with standard interfaces and protocols (e.g. IEEE1394, Ethernet) are used.

To extract the traffic objects from an image sequence, different image processing libraries or programs (e.g. OpenCV or HALCON) can be utilized. The used algorithm is based on a Kalman filter background estimator, which adapts to the variable background and extracts the searched traffic objects. The extracted objects (Figure 2) are then grouped using a cluster analysis combined with additional filters to avoid object splitting by...
infrastructure at intersections and roads. The dedicated image coordinates as well as additional parameters like area, volume, colour and compactness can be computed for each extracted traffic object. Further typical failures of such an approach are e.g. ghosts and shadows.

The Z-component in world coordinates can be deduced by appointing a dedicated ground plane. Additional needed input parameters are the interior and exterior orientation of the camera. The interior orientation (principal point, focal length and additional camera distortion) can be determined using a well known lab test field. The 10 parameter Brown camera model was used for describing interior orientation (Brown, 1971). The parameters can be determined by bundle block adjustment (Remondino and Fraser, 2006).

Calculating the exterior orientation of a camera, hence determining its location and orientation in a well known world coordinate system is based on previously measured ground control points (GCPs) with differential GPS. The accuracy of the points is in the range of less than 5 cm. With these coordinates an approximate orientation can be deduced using DLT (Luhman et al., 2006). For improvement and elimination of erroneous GCPs the exterior orientation is calculated eventually with the spatial resection algorithm.

The scenario has been tested at the intersection Rudower Chaussee / Wedelstrasse, Berlin (Germany) by observing with three cameras. The observed area has an extent of about 100·100 m². Figure 3 shows the original images taken from three different positions and the derived orthophoto. The good agreement between the three pictures is obvious.

\[
X = X_0 + (Z - Z_0) \cdot \frac{r_{11} \cdot (x' - x_0) + r_{21} \cdot (y' - y_0) - r_{31} \cdot c}{r_{10} \cdot (x' - x_0) + r_{20} \cdot (y' - y_0) - r_{30} \cdot c}
\]

\[
y = Y_0 + (Z - Z_0) \cdot \frac{r_{12} \cdot (x' - x_0) + r_{22} \cdot (y' - y_0) - r_{32} \cdot c}{r_{10} \cdot (x' - x_0) + r_{20} \cdot (y' - y_0) - r_{30} \cdot c}
\]

X, Y world coordinates (to be calculated)
Z Z-component in world coordinates (to be known)
X₀, Y₀, Z₀ position of the perspective centre in world coordinates (exterior orientation)
r_{11}, r_{12}, ..., r_{33} elements of the rotation matrix (exterior orientation)
x', y' uncorrected image coordinates (interior orientation)
x₀, y₀ coordinates of the principal point
\[c\text{ focal length (interior orientation)}\]
The following figure shows that the lateral error of the GCPs in X- and Y-direction achieved by this approach is 20 cm in 100m distance from the projection centre.

Figure 4: Lateral error of GCPs in X- and Y-direction as a function of the point distance from camera projection centre.

3.3 Tracking, Trajectory Creation and Fusion

In this paper object tracking is referred to chronological object mapping (see figure 5).

A number of objects are recognized for each image. For the n objects a set of position data for is available. The aim is to map the observation to an existing object and to update its state values describing this object, e.g. position or shape.

Tracking is done using a Kalman-filter approach (Anderson and Moor, 1979) and (Blackman, 1986). The basic idea consists of transferring supplementary information concerning the state into the filter approach in addition to the measurement. This forecast of the measuring results (prediction) is derived from earlier results of the filter. The approach is recursive with that.

A map of the system state to the measurement vector has to be done in order to describe a complex state of an observed process:

\[ Z_k = H \cdot X_k + \beta_k + \epsilon_k \]  

where

- \( Z_k \) is the measurement of the sensor at time \( t_k \)
- \( X_k \) is the object state at \( t_k \)
- \( \beta_k \) is the unknown measurement offset
- \( \epsilon_k \) is the random measurement error
- \( H \) is the Observation matrix
- \( H \cdot X_k \) is the Measurement (object position)

The state-vector for each object consists of position, speed and acceleration of the object in X-axis and Y-axis direction. The measurement statistics will be described by uncorrelated white noise.

The movement model (state transition model, plant model) is characterized by straight uniform movement. Since this one is idealized performance, the model has an additional error (predictions error, plant noise).

\[ X_{k+1} = \Phi \Delta t_k + U_k \]  

(3)

where

- \( \Phi \) is calculated from the movement model
- \( U_k \) is the plant noise

If a (filtered) estimation is given at \( t_k \), then the predicted state \( X'_{k+1} \) at \( t_{k+1} \) is:

\[ X'_{k+1} = \Phi (\Delta t_k) \cdot X_k + U_k \]  

(4)

The a posteriori state estimation is a linear combination of the a priori estimation and the weighted difference from the difference of forecast and measurement:

\[ X_{k+1} = X'_{k+1} + K (Z_{k+1} - H \cdot X'_{k+1}) \]  

(5)

The initialization of the state-vector will be done from two consecutive images. The association of a measurement to an evaluated track is a statistical based decision-making process. Errors are related to clutter, object aggregation and splitting. The decision criteria minimize the rejection probability.
If the object is leaving the observed area, the trajectory will be finalized. The trajectory is also finalized after a particular number of misses.

The tracking process provides the possibility to fuse data acquired from different sensors. The algorithm is independent from the sensor as long as the data are acquired based on a joint coordinate system. Normally, this is achieved by transforming the measured image coordinates into the object coordinate system using calibration and exterior orientation parameters (Spangenberg and Doering, 2006).

These trajectories are then used for different applications e.g. for the derivation of traffic parameters (TP).

4 RESULTS

Two examples were chosen to show the advantage of the trajectory based object description.

4.1 Derivation of Traffic Parameters

In this approach, trajectories are used for computation of traffic parameters (TP), by associating the trajectory with a detector structure. This structure can be a line or an area detector, placed at distinctive places on roads or intersections. Detectors may detect and store trajectory interaction. The interaction for each trajectory with a detector can be calculated by interpolations between pairs of points. Furthermore, trajectories can be stored in a source-destination (SD) matrix, giving advanced information about directions of trajectories and travel behaviour of the objects. All these data can be aggregated over a predetermined interval. On a traffic intersection in Nuernberg, Germany, the described approach has been implemented and tested. The coordinate transformation, multi-object- tracking and trajectory creation worked together on a designated PC. Trajectories have been sent to a separate PC for the analysis and computation of traffic parameters. While this step is not complex, it has been done on a remote computer, as this could be the expected configuration for a real application.

Incoming trajectories were evaluated and traffic parameters computed. The results could be visualized in real-time, showing the current situation by means of the derived traffic parameters (Figure 7 top image). The update cycle for advanced parameters was chosen as one minute. In each interval, activation counts and new source destination matrices have been filled and evaluated. Long trajectories are necessary to make the approach show its advantage. Detector activation and traffic...
objects counts, as well as integrated parameters for the time cycles could be extracted very well (Figure 7 bottom image). However, source-destination matrices would benefit highly and show more significance, if the fragmentation of trajectories in the scene could be reduced.

4.2 Analysis of Trajectories

A method for the deterministic description of trajectories shall be introduced in the following. For these trajectories this functional descriptions should be as simple as possible. Linear movements can be described by simple straights. But there are several possibilities of description for curve tracks by functional dependences.

It exist a variety of suggestions of possible functions in the literature. Clothoid (Liscano and Green, 1989) or G2-Splines (Forbes, 1989) are curves whose bend depends of the arc length. An alternative is the use of closed functions like B-Splines, Cartesian polynomials fifth degree or Polarsplines (Nelson, 1989).

(Anderson and Moor, 1979) have proposed a description of tracks by hyperbolas. The great advantage is that the derived parameters clarify directly geometric connections and permit a categorization of the trajectories.

The hyperbola, shown in figure 8 was derived by an estimation algorithm, which has also been described e.g. by (Luhmann et al., 2006) and fits the data well. Figure 9 shows an example of the implemented approach. The coloured points and crosses are related to the trajectory, observed from different cameras. The hyperbola, also shown at figure 9 can be used for an automatic classification of right and left turns. In this case the angle $\phi$ is positive or negative. With the calculated centre $(x_m, y_m)$ all four possibilities for right / left turning can be classified.

5 CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

The presented approach for a traffic surveillance system has been implemented and tested. Thus, it could be shown that standard traffic parameters and automatic scene description can be derived based on video detection, tracking and trajectory analysis. This is a necessary step for the future of traffic surveillance systems. However, detection errors and tracking problems can deteriorate the trajectory data. This leads to less usable trajectories for analysis or less reliable traffic parameters. Methods to detect object detection errors and deteriorated trajectories to stitch them together are key factors in the current and future work.
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