Morphometry of superior temporal gyrus and planum temporale in schizophrenia and psychotic bipolar disorder
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1. Introduction

Previous neuroimaging studies of schizophrenia have focused on morphometric properties of the superior temporal gyrus (STG). Abnormalities in the posterior or caudal STG which includes the planum temporale (PT) have been associated with auditory hallucinations. Specifically, reduced laterality of the STG and PT (Barta et al., 1997; McCarley et al., 2002) has been replicated by several recent studies (Takahashi et al., 2009; Oertel et al., 2010; Hasan et al., 2011). However, the diagnosis of schizophrenia is often confused with that of psychotic bipolar disorder because of the presence of psychotic symptoms in the latter (Yu et al., 2010; Brown et al., 2011; Hulshoff Pol et al., 2012). The region of interest (ROI) approach focusing on temporal and frontal regions has proved to be valuable (Giuliani et al., 2005; Palaniyappan et al., 2012), but female gender continues to be underrepresented (Sun et al., 2009). The ROI approach requires precise definitions of anatomical boundaries, which can be compounded by abnormalities in psychotic disorders (Perlini et al., 2012). This can be overcome by viewing the ROI as a laminar mantle composed of gray matter voxels and a gray/white cortical surface (Miller et al., 2000). This approach has been applied in clinical neuroimaging studies of the cingulate in subjects with Alzheimer's Disease (Palaniyappan and Liddle, 2012). In adulthood, these changes can be detected by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technology at the spatial resolution of 1 cubic millimeters (Hartberg et al., 2011; van Haren et al., 2011). The region of interest (ROI) approach focusing on temporal and frontal regions in large clinical populations has proved to be valuable (Giuliani et al., 2005; Palaniyappan et al., 2012), but female gender continues to be underrepresented (Sun et al., 2009). The ROI approach requires precise definitions of anatomical boundaries, which can be compounded by abnormalities in psychotic disorders (Perlini et al., 2012). This can be overcome by viewing the ROI as a laminar mantle composed of gray matter voxels and a gray/white cortical surface (Miller et al., 2000). This approach has been applied in clinical neuroimaging studies of the cingulate in subjects with Alzheimer's Disease (Miller et al., 2003) and schizophrenia (Wang et al., 2007; Calabrese et al., 2008) and of the prefrontal cortex in subjects with major depressive disorder (Ceyhan et al., 2011) and schizophrenia (Harms et al., 2010).

In addition, we recently observed variable cortical thickness in the left PT in three groups of age-matched and gender-matched controls in neuroimaging studies may shed light on any disparate or common aspects of their etiologies.

Schizophrenia is believed to be a neurodevelopmental disorder with embryonic origins resulting in subtle aberration in cortical properties such as area, thickness and volume in temporal and frontal regions (Palaniyappan and Liddle, 2012). In adulthood, these changes can be detected by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technology at the spatial resolution of 1 cubic millimeters (Hartberg et al., 2011; van Haren et al., 2011). The region of interest (ROI) approach focusing on temporal and frontal regions in large clinical populations has proved to be valuable (Giuliani et al., 2005; Palaniyappan et al., 2012), but female gender continues to be underrepresented (Sun et al., 2009). The ROI approach requires precise definitions of anatomical boundaries, which can be compounded by abnormalities in psychotic disorders (Perlini et al., 2012). This can be overcome by viewing the ROI as a laminar mantle composed of gray matter voxels and a gray/white cortical surface (Miller et al., 2000). This approach has been applied in clinical neuroimaging studies of the cingulate in subjects with Alzheimer's Disease (Miller et al., 2003) and schizophrenia (Wang et al., 2007; Calabrese et al., 2008) and of the prefrontal cortex in subjects with major depressive disorder (Ceyhan et al., 2011) and schizophrenia (Harms et al., 2010).

In addition, we recently observed variable cortical thickness in the left PT in three groups of age-matched and gender-matched controls in neuroimaging studies may shed light on any disparate or common aspects of their etiologies.
and patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder (Qiu et al., 2008). That study was focused on the use of a novel surface mapping method rather than on the gross morphometric properties of the PT (volume, thickness and surface area). In the current study, we used an expanded sample with an increased female representation. We sought to analyze differences between schizophrenia and psychotic bipolar disorder at the gross level with respect to accurate anatomical delineation of the STG and PT gray/white cortical surface, and further demonstrate that the effect of psychotic disorders on the PT is more pronounced than on the STG. We hypothesized that the PT and STG would be different in the schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and control groups, and that the differences would be more pronounced in males than in females.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

A total of 124 subjects were enrolled from schizophrenia and bipolar disorder studies at the Division of Psychiatric Neuroimaging at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine. The data was previously collected as part of NIH grant (R01 MH43775-09A1). The Johns Hopkins Medicine Institutional Review Board approved the study, and each person gave written informed consent to participate in the study. The diagnosis of bipolar disorder or schizophrenia patients was based on DSM-IV-R and was determined using a semi-structured interview and two instruments, either the MINI (Sheehan et al., 1998) and DIGS (Nurnberger et al., 1994) or the SCAN (Wing et al., 1990) and the CIDI-SF (Kessler et al., 1998). All diagnoses were made by consensus between a research psychiatrist and a research assistant. Bipolar disorder patients were considered to have psychotic symptoms if they had at least one such episode, including hallucinations or delusions in the context of an affective episode (manic or depression) in clear consciousness. All affected subjects were medicated; however none of the subjects with schizophrenia were on mood stabilizers. Exclusion criteria included a lifetime history of substance dependence, current substance abuse and non-right-handedness (Annett, 1970). The Hollingshead Scale was used to assess socioeconomic status (Hollingshead, 1975).

2.2. MRI

All subjects gave informed consent prior to MRI scanning. T1 weighted 3D volumes were acquired using a 1.5 T Philips MR system and MPReAGE sequence (repetition time = 13.40 ms, echo time = 4.6 ms, flip angle = 20, number of acquisition = 1, matrix 256 × 256), with 1 cubic millimeter isotropic resolution across the entire cranium. Using ANALYZE (Robb et al., 1989), the raw MR data were reformatted from signed 16-bit to unsigned 8-bit and then skull stripped via the intensity value, triangulated isosurfaces were generated at the GM/WM interface (Han et al., 2001, 2002). Following Ratnanather et al. (2003), the gyral and sulcal boundaries were defined by dynamic programming (DP) curves as curves with maximal and minimal mean curvature between initial and terminal landmarks on the surface.

2.4.1. STG

DP delineation of the STG was initiated with several landmarks. The posterior landmark of the STG boundary began at the intersection of the angular gyrus (AG) and the STG at the most posterior extent of the lateral fissure (LF). The anterior landmark of the STG boundary was located at the superior portion of the temporal pole at the ascending ramus of the LF. The inferior extent of the STG boundary followed from the posterior landmark along the superior temporal sulcus (STS) all the way to the anterior landmark. In the case that a connection between the medial temporal gyrus (MTG) and the STG interrupted the STS, none of this connection was included. The superior extent of the STG boundary followed from the anterior landmark along the LF to the posterior landmark. Identification and placement of these landmarks are illustrated in Supplementary Fig. 1.

2.4.2. PT

The PT was extracted from the dorsal surface of the delineated STG surface. Using the rules first developed (Ratnanather et al., 2003), the surface was delineated along the lateral boundary of the STG and the anterior boundary from the STG to the retrosinuiss end of the Heschl’s Sulcus (HS).

2.5. Validation

Sixty were used for validating the delineation of the STG surfaces. Specifically, the GM in the STG was manually segmented by applying the protocol initially developed for the PT (Honeycutt et al., 2000) and extended it for the STG (see table 1 in Ratnanather et al., 2003). Histograms of set distances from the delineated surface and the corresponding isosurface of the GM volume, i.e. shortest distance of vertices in one surface to one vertex in the other, were computed (Miller et al., 2000; Ratnanather et al., 2003).

2.6. Thickness, surface area and volume

Surface area was calculated as the sum of the area of the triangulated faces of the delineated surface. The volume was calculated as the number of voxels from the 95th percentile of the Labeled Cortical Depth Map (LCDM), which is a histogram of distances of segmented gray matter voxels to the surface (Miller et al., 2000); the 95th percentile was chosen to reflect the uncertainty of the GM segmentation in the GM/CSF region. The corresponding laminar thickness was calculated as the ratio of the volume to the area.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Following Mahon et al. (2012), data from a total of 94 subjects were included in the analysis. We examined the effect of diagnostic group on our three measures of interest, thickness, surface area and volume, for each region of interest (STG and PT). Thus, we tested a total of six responses using MANOVA models, one for each region and measure. MANOVA allows for testing the effect of a categorical predictor variable on multiple continuous dependent variables in a single model and does not hold sphericity as an assumption. In each of our models, we tested for the effect of diagnostic group on total region (left plus right) and laterality (left minus right) measures, and determined significance using Pillai’s trace, which is considered to be the most robust of the common MANOVA test statistics (Olson, 1974). Using MANCOVA models including terms to adjust for the potential covariates of age, sex, ICV, education and socioeconomic status did not qualitatively change the results (not shown). We did not adjust for testing the
three measures of interest as volume and surface area are highly correlated (Pearson’s r is 0.9727), and laminar thickness is a direct ratio of the two, and thus these were not independent tests. When MANOVA results were significant, we performed Hotelling’s T-squared statistics as post-hoc pairwise tests on the diagnostic groups using the same two dependent variables. Finally, where Hotelling’s T-test was significant between two diagnostic groups, standard t-tests were used to compare the groups on each individual metric (total region and laterality). Analogously, Hotelling’s T-test was used to compare genders on total region and laterality, with significant Hotelling’s T-statistics followed by standard t-tests. Finally, using ANCOVA we tested for the presence of interactions between diagnostic group and the demographic variables of age and sex. Significant p-values are reported.

3. Results

Comparisons of demographic and clinical characteristics across study groups indicated that while there was a difference in years of education and socioeconomic status, there was no significant group difference by age, sex, duration of illness or total intracranial volume (all \( p > 0.05 \), see Table 1 and Mahon et al. (2012))). Patients with schizophrenia had fewer years of education on average than those with bipolar disorder, but the latter group had lower socioeconomic status than the other two groups.

3.1. Comparison of manually segmented STG and delineated STG surface

The gray/white boundary of isosurfaces encompassing the manually segmented STG and the delineated STG surfaces were observed to be closely aligned (Supplementary Fig. 2). For left and right STGs, from 60 subjects based on groups (control: 11 males and 10 females; schizophrenia: 9 males and 11 females; bipolar disorder: 10 males and 9 females), \( 92.06 \pm 3.48\% \), \( 95.85 \pm 0.89\% \) and \( 91.29 \pm 3.81\% \) (mean \( \pm \) s.e.m.) of the vertices of the delineated left STG surface in controls, schizophrenia and bipolar disorder groups respectively were found to lie within 2 voxels of the manually segmented STG surface. Similarly for the right STG, \( 93.4 \pm 3.83\% \), \( 97.69 \pm 0.72\% \) and \( 96.44 \pm 0.63\% \) of the vertices were found to lie within 2 voxels. Fig. 1 shows that upon closer inspection, the differences between the two surfaces were located along sulcal boundaries especially in the anterior boundary.

3.2. Cortical analysis

Cortical analysis was performed and corrected by investigators blind to diagnosis prior to statistical analysis. All calculations were done in native space i.e. no inter-subject registration or resampling/deformation of the triangulated surfaces was performed. Processed data were checked for quality control. Delineated surfaces were examined for anatomical inconsistencies by viewing the surfaces embedded in the native MRI and segmented volumes; the LCDM histogram of gray matter distances to the corresponding surfaces was also examined for large distances. In this way, artifacts were identified and corrected. So the outliers noted in Figs. 2 and 3 are more likely to be a consequence of the anatomical definitions used in data generation. Table 2 and Figs. 2 and 3 describe the summary statistics for the volume, surface area and laminar thickness for the STG and PT in the three groups.

3.2.1. STG

Hotelling’s T-test showed significant differences in surface area between males and females (\( t^2 = 0.0921, p = 0.0123 \)). Males had a significantly greater total surface area than females (\( \Lambda_{\text{left} + \text{right}} = 0.0032 \)). No significant diagnostic group results were found in the STG.

3.2.2. PT

Hotelling’s T-test showed significant differences in surface area between males and females (\( t^2 = 0.0839, p = 0.0186 \)). Males had a significantly greater total surface area than females (\( \Lambda_{\text{left} + \text{right}} = 0.0048 \)). Hotelling’s T-test showed significant differences in volume between males and females (\( t^2 = 0.0713, p = 0.0345 \)). Males had a significantly greater total PT volume than females (\( \Lambda_{\text{left} + \text{right}} = 0.0112 \)). There was a statistically significant difference in volume by diagnostic group (\( \Lambda_{\text{left} + \text{right}} = 0.077, F_{2,185} = 3.72, p = 0.0056 \)). Hotelling’s T-test showed significant differences in PT volume between controls and schizophrenic patients (\( t^2 = 0.119, p = 0.0009 \)) and between schizophrenic patients and bipolar patients (\( t^2 = 0.0522, p = 0.0298 \)). Total laminar thickness was significantly greater in controls than in schizophrenic patients (\( p = 0.0237 \)).

There was a statistically significant difference in surface area by diagnostic group (\( \Lambda_{\text{left} + \text{right}} = 0.067, F_{2,185} = 3.21, p = 0.0132 \)). Hotelling’s T-test showed significant differences in volume between controls and schizophrenic patients (\( t^2 = 0.118, p = 0.0009 \)) and between schizophrenic patients and bipolar patients (\( t^2 = 0.0522, p = 0.0298 \)). Total laminar thickness was significantly greater in controls than in schizophrenic patients (\( p = 0.0237 \)).

There was a statistically significant difference in surface area by diagnostic group (\( \Lambda_{\text{left} + \text{right}} = 0.0517, F_{2,185} = 2.45, p = 0.0456 \)). Hotelling’s T-test showed significant differences in volume between controls and schizophrenic patients (\( t^2 = 0.0611, p = 0.0303 \)) and between schizophrenic patients and bipolar patients (\( t^2 = 0.0603, p = 0.0170 \)). Bipolar patients had a significantly higher difference in surface area than schizophrenic patients (\( p = 0.0441 \)).

There was a significant diagnosis effect on hemispheric difference in volume (\( F = 3.58, p = 0.0320 \)). There was a gender–diagnosis interaction for left side volume (\( p = 0.0472 \)), a gender–diagnosis interaction for left side laminar thickness (\( p = 0.0039 \)), and an age–diagnosis interaction for left side laminar thickness (\( p = 0.0028 \)).

4. Discussion

In this paper, measurements of volume, surface area and laminar thickness were obtained separately for STG and PT in a differential analysis of schizophrenia and psychotic bipolar disorder in a medium sized population. Volume here is defined as the number of voxels at the 95th percentile of the LCDM, which is a histogram of the distances of the segmented gray matter voxels to the nearest vertex on the gray/white surface. This estimate is influenced by the boundary of the gray/white surface, which is delineated by curvature-based dynamic programming.

Table 1
Summary population data (for additional details see Mahon et al. (2012))); numbers expressed as mean (standard deviation). Distributions on age (\( F = 0.93, p = 0.4 \)), sex (\( \chi^2 = 0.69, p = 0.71 \)) and intracranial volume (ICV) calculated from Freesurfer 3.0.5 (Segonne et al., 2004) were similar across the three groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Psychotic bipolar disorder patients (n = 36)</th>
<th>Schizophrenia patients (n = 31)</th>
<th>Healthy comparison subjects (n = 27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>39.9 (11.1)</td>
<td>41.4 (9.5)</td>
<td>44.0 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males (%)</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level, years</td>
<td>14.6 (2.5)</td>
<td>12.5 (2.2)</td>
<td>13.7 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td>3.2 (1.0)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness, years</td>
<td>17.6 (12.7)</td>
<td>19.3 (11.0)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a process which has been validated for the PT (Ratnanather et al., 2003) and the STG (Fig. 1). The estimate is also dependent on ensuring that segmented gray matter voxels are correctly assigned to the cortical region and not a neighbouring or opposing one, hence the importance of accurate delineation of the cortical region. Surface area is logically the summation of the area of the triangular faces of the triangulated surface; it too is dependent on the accuracy of the delineated surface as well as the isovalue given by the gray/white threshold from the Bayesian segmentation. This delineation of surfaces has been found to be reliable for several cortical structures (Ratnanather et al., 2001, 2003, 2004). The laminar approximation of thickness, expressed as the ratio of the volume to surface area, is a simplification based on the assumption that thickness is uniform everywhere in the region.

The procedure for delineating the STG and PT cortical surfaces is dependent on reliable definitions of gyral and sulcal boundaries (Fig. 1). Differences with the surfaces obtained from manual segmentation and curvature based delineation, especially along the sulcal edges may be attributed to the frame of reference being adjusted to facilitate the manual segmentation. Care must be taken in delineating regions of the lateral fissure as well as connections between the STG and the middle temporal gyrus. The procedure can be applied to any triangulated surfaces generated by software such as FreeSurfer (Fischl, 2012) particularly in cases where there is uncertainty in the boundaries due to gross abnormalities in disease which can be masked by the partitioning in the pial view (Fischl et al., 2004; Desikan et al., 2006). So more precise delineation based on sulcal and gyral definitions could help account for variations in morphometric measures in neuroimaging studies of the STG and PT, such as the present study.

STG and PT morphometric data obtained in this study (Fig. 2 and 3) are consistent with other similar neuroimaging studies. For example, volumes are similar to those reported by Takahashi et al. (2010) for both STG and PT. Surface areas are slightly larger than those reported by Crespo-Facorro et al. (2004) for the PT and Goghari et al. (2007) for the STG. Thicknesses are similar to those reported by Rimol et al. (2010) for the STG and by Beasley et al. (2005) and Chance et al. (2004) for the PT post-mortem.

It should be noted that the focus on the PT as the key sub-manifold i.e. triangulated subset of the STG, was motivated by previous work (Petty et al., 1995; Barta et al., 1997; Frangou et al., 1997). Thus we did not consider the Heschl’s Gyrus (HG), although it being adjacent to the PT suggests that it could also be affected by schizophrenia (Smiley et al., 2009; Hubl et al., 2010; Nenadic et al., 2010; Shinn et al., 2013). It is also worth noting that stereological analysis by Chance et al. (2008) showed normal aging related differences in the PT but it was absent in schizophrenia as well as in HG.

Many differences between the results and reported data are likely attributed to the different methods used. The approach adopted here was to exploit the accuracy of the gray/white cortical surface and gray matter segmentation methods. Then, one obtains the volume from the distribution of distances of gray matter voxels relative to the surface. While more sophisticated analysis of these distributions will be pursued elsewhere, the ROI approach is more likely to be reliable than whole brain analysis (Sun et al., 2009; Perlini et al., 2012). The approach adopted here is also consistent with recent analyses suggesting that thickness and surface area provide more complete information, especially when dealing with potentially overlapping symptoms (Rimol et al., 2010; Rimol et al., 2012).

The reduced thickness of the PT in SCZ is consistent with published data. There appears to be a gender effect. For females, negligible laterality in the PT might be a reflection of the effect of gestational production of testosterone on the PT formation (Castle and Murray, 1991). This further suggests that PT should be analyzed in addition to STG. In the PT, reduced laterality was observed in all three measures. It is thought that the degree of lateralization is strongly correlated with the severity of symptoms (Oertel et al., 2010). Thus area reduction in PT together with thickness could be an important factor in schizophrenia.

The biological mechanism for lateralization is unknown (Crow, 2000; Seldon, 2006; Palaniyappan et al., 2012). Stereological analysis by Chance et al. (2008) suggests that the surface area of the planum temporale depends partly on the proliferation and expansion of the cortical minicolumns in gestation as suggested by the classic model of the
developing cortex from Rakic (1988). The larger surface area in males would be consistent with wider minicolumn spacing accruing from prolonged developmental proliferation. On the other hand, the smaller surface area in females would be consistent with narrow minicolumns accruing from a faster maturation rate. Thus it would seem reasonable to conclude that the size and asymmetry of the PT are linked closely with the minicolumn spacing. Furthermore assuming constant volume, PT thickness is also thought to be associated with the minicolumn spacing (Harasty et al., 2003; Seldon, 2006). Therefore the different effects on thickness and area may reflect different ontogenic and phylogenetic control in cortical development.

For comparison with schizophrenia, the bipolar disorder subjects in this study were restricted to those with psychosis. This would allow for a better understanding of the different effect of the diseases by way of disturbances of a network of brain structures as implied by the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) (Insel et al., 2010). The results reported here are consistent with gray matter loss being larger in schizophrenia than in bipolar disorder subjects, especially in the STG (Pridmore and Bowe, 2011).

A caveat is that changes in gray matter volume and thickness can be confounded by anti-psychotic medication. A limitation of this study is that detailed information on medication was not collected at the time.
of the scan. Participant self-report and review of past medical records confirm that subjects with schizophrenia and bipolar disorders were treated with different classes of medication. The majority of subjects with schizophrenia took or had taken antipsychotics (some took mood stabilizers) and subjects with bipolar disorder were treated with lithium and other mood stabilizers. Given that the classes of medication used to treat schizophrenia and bipolar disorder differed, it would have not been possible to adjust for medication in the analysis. Nor were individual psychopathology or cognitive scores collected. Thus, our results should be confirmed in future studies able to assess the effect of treatment and psychopathology on STG and PT morphology.

The omission of a complete medication history represents a weakness of this study. It is, in general, difficult to obtain a reliable long-term history of medication use by any patient, particularly in psychiatric patients. Even in the presence of resources such as nation-wide drug history databases (which is only now beginning to emerge), adherence to neuroleptic medications tends to be on the order of 50% adherence to the prescribed medication plan (e.g. Lacro et al., 2002). Furthermore, there is only weak to moderate concordance between self-reported measures of medication adherence and pharmacy refill records in general medicine patients with chronic conditions (Cook et al., 2005), suggesting that self-report is not likely to be that useful as a means of assessing adherence. It is difficult to imagine that the adherence of psychiatric patients would be better than the general medicine group (Cramer and Rosenheck, 1998).

The time of the study, the resources to obtain a reasonably reliable assessment of past medication trials (despite having a list of the subjects’ current medications) were lacking. Thus a list of the subjects medication use at one time point (the time of the subject characterization and scan) does not represent data that is of much relevance to the extremely important question of whether medication side effects account for the findings. It should be noted that the majority of studies in the literature suffer from the same difficulties regarding medication history as this study, and that a definitive answer to the question would require a sophisticated prospective study design, which is not the case here.

In summary, an approach that calculates the three main morphometric parameters revealed reduced laterality in PT in subjects with schizophrenia while laterality was unaffected in subjects with bipolar disorders. Given the reliability of the procedure with respect to the gray/white surface and gray matter segmentation, the results are consistent with recently reported findings. The specificity of the PT in schizophrenia suggests that it is a major contributor to the structural abnormalities associated that have previously shown to be associated with functional abnormalities in the left temporal lobe (Hugdahl et al., 2009; Ethridge et al., 2012).
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Table 2
Summary statistics — mean and standard deviation — for volume (mm^3), surface area (mm^2) and thickness (mm) of left PT (LPT), right PT (RPT), left STG (LSTG) and right STG (RSTG) in schizophrenia (SCZ), bipolar disorder (BPD) and control (CON) groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Surface Area</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPT</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2717 (926.3)</td>
<td>792.2 (197.7)</td>
<td>2.47 (0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all 2384 (940.4)</td>
<td>868.6 (288.1)</td>
<td>2.68 (0.85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>F 1798 (786.6)</td>
<td>752.0 (221.7)</td>
<td>2.47 (0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPT</td>
<td>BPD</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 1793 (745.1)</td>
<td>718.6 (236.4)</td>
<td>2.47 (0.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all 2010 (790.7)</td>
<td>816.5 (221.7)</td>
<td>2.56 (0.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSTG</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RSTG</td>
<td>M 13537 (2070)</td>
<td>4750 (974.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F 13320 (2199)</td>
<td>4367 (530.7)</td>
<td>2.05 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPT</td>
<td>BPD</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>F 1793 (745.1)</td>
<td>718.6 (236.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>all 2010 (790.7)</td>
<td>816.5 (221.7)</td>
<td>2.56 (0.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPT</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPT</td>
<td>BPD</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCZ</td>
<td>F 1793 (745.1)</td>
<td>718.6 (236.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>all 2010 (790.7)</td>
<td>816.5 (221.7)</td>
<td>2.56 (0.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSTG</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RSTG</td>
<td>M 13537 (2070)</td>
<td>4750 (974.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F 13320 (2199)</td>
<td>4367 (530.7)</td>
<td>2.05 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


