

RESEARCH PAPER

# Photosynthetic flexibility in maize exposed to salinity and shade

Robert E. Sharwood\*, Balasaheb V. Sonawane and Oula Ghannoum†

Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, University of Western Sydney, Richmond, Locked bag 1797, Penrith NSW 2751, Australia

\* Present address: Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia.

† To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [o.ghannoum@uws.edu.au](mailto:o.ghannoum@uws.edu.au)

Received 19 December 2013; Revised 20 February 2014; Accepted 25 February 2014

## Abstract

**C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis involves a close collaboration of the C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> metabolic cycles across the mesophyll and bundle-sheath cells. This study investigated the coordination of C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis in maize plants subjected to two salinity (50 and 100 mM NaCl) treatments and one shade (20% of full sunlight) treatment. Photosynthetic efficiency was probed by combining leaf gas-exchange measurements with carbon isotope discrimination and assaying the key carboxylases [ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC)] and decarboxylases [nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate malic enzyme (NADP-ME) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEP-CK)] operating in maize leaves. Generally, salinity inhibited plant growth and photosynthesis to a lesser extent than shade. Salinity reduced photosynthesis primarily by reducing stomatal conductance and secondarily by equally reducing Rubisco and PEPC activities; the decarboxylases were inhibited more than the carboxylases. Salinity increased photosynthetic carbon isotope discrimination ( $\Delta_p$ ) and reduced leaf dry-matter carbon isotope composition ( $^{13}\delta$ ) due to changes in  $p_i/p_a$  (intercellular to ambient CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure), while CO<sub>2</sub> leakiness out of the bundle sheath ( $\phi$ ) was similar to that in control plants. Acclimation to shade was underpinned by a greater downregulation of PEPC relative to Rubisco activity, and a lesser inhibition of NADP-ME (primary decarboxylase) relative to PEP-CK (secondary decarboxylase). Shade reduced  $\Delta_p$  and  $\phi$  without significantly affecting leaf  $^{13}\delta$  or  $p_i/p_a$  relative to control plants. Accordingly, shade perturbed the balance between the C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> cycles during photosynthesis in maize, and demonstrated the flexible partitioning of C<sub>4</sub> acid decarboxylation activity between NADP-ME and PEP-CK in response to the environment. This study highlights the need to improve our understanding of the links between leaf  $^{13}\delta$  and photosynthetic  $\Delta_p$ , and the role of the secondary decarboxylase PEP-CK in NADP-ME plants such as maize.**

**Key words:** C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis, carbon isotope discrimination, leakiness, NADP-ME, PEP-CK, PEPC, Rubisco.

## Introduction

C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis evolved as a spatial and biochemical adaptation to remedy the inefficiency of C<sub>3</sub> photosynthesis under conditions of high temperature, low CO<sub>2</sub>, and water stress, all of which exacerbate photorespiration (Ludwig, 2013). The propensity of photorespiration is determined by the extent of oxygenation carried out by ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) (Andrews *et al.*, 1973;

Cleland *et al.*, 1998). For C<sub>3</sub> plants, the current O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the atmosphere (210 mmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) severely inhibits the carboxylation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate by Rubisco. Together, these environmental conditions are hypothesized to be the drivers for the independent evolution of plants operating a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration mechanism (CCM; Sage *et al.*, 2012). The distinguishing features of the CCM in most C<sub>4</sub>

Abbreviations: BSC, bundle-sheath cell; CABP, carboxyarabinitol bisphosphate; CCM, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration mechanism; MC, mesophyll cell; NADP-ME, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate malic enzyme; PEPC, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase; PEP-CK, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase; Rubisco, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase.

© The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

plants include the operation of two metabolic cycles ( $C_3$  and  $C_4$ ) across two photosynthetic cell types, mesophyll cells (MCs) and bundle-sheath cells (BSCs), which compartmentalize the initial carboxylation and decarboxylation reactions (Hatch, 1987; Langdale, 2011). The primary step of  $HCO_3^-$  fixation to phosphoenolpyruvate is catalysed by phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) to produce oxaloacetate, which is subsequently converted into  $C_4$  acids within the MCs (Jenkins *et al.*, 1987). These organic acids then diffuse into neighbouring BSCs where decarboxylation of  $C_4$  acids releases  $CO_2$ . A high  $CO_2$  concentration within the semi-gas-tight BSCs suppresses photorespiration and enhances the capacity for  $CO_2$  fixation by Rubisco.  $C_4$  photosynthesis has three biochemical subtypes depending on the  $C_4$  decarboxylase enzyme: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate malic enzyme (NADP-ME), NAD malic enzyme, and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEP-CK) (Gutierrez *et al.*, 1974; Kanai and Edwards, 1999).  $C_4$  plants have been classified into one of the three subtypes based on the dominant  $C_4$  acid decarboxylation enzyme. Specialized leaf anatomy, biochemistry, and physiology are associated with each of the  $C_4$  subtypes (Ghannoum *et al.*, 2005, 2011).

Nevertheless, there is evidence emerging that PEP-CK activity is more widespread among the biochemical subtypes, suggesting that a degree of flexibility within the  $C_4$  cycle may exist depending on species or environmental conditions (Leegood and Walker, 2003; Furbank, 2011). In maize, an NADP-ME  $C_4$  grass, 25% of the oxaloacetate produced is cycled through an alternative pathway involving the aspartate aminotransferase shuttle and the subsequent decarboxylation of oxaloacetate within the cytosol of BSCs catalysed by PEP-CK (Wingler *et al.*, 1999; Furbank, 2011). This has been shown to exist for maize and other  $C_4$  grasses (Gutierrez *et al.*, 1974). Therefore, the presence of alternative decarboxylase pathways within maize provides the possibility for flexibility in the use of the decarboxylation pathways of the CCM under certain growth conditions (Leegood and Walker, 2003).

For  $C_4$  plants, there is an additional energetic cost associated with the operation and overcycling of the CCM. Minimally, an extra two ATP molecules per  $CO_2$  fixed are required for the regeneration of PEP from pyruvate. During  $C_4$  photosynthesis, the  $C_4$  cycle operates faster than the  $C_3$  (Calvin) cycle in order to raise the BSC  $CO_2$  concentration and saturate the carboxylation reaction of Rubisco. Inevitably, a fraction of this  $CO_2$  is not fixed by Rubisco and ultimately leaks back from the BSCs to the MCs. This fraction is termed leakiness ( $\phi$ ) and entails additional energy costs associated with the overcycling of the  $C_4$  cycle (Farquhar, 1983; Furbank *et al.*, 1990). Consequently, the efficiency of  $C_4$  photosynthesis requires the tight regulation of  $CO_2$  supply with Rubisco activity within the BSCs in order to minimize leakiness and associated energy costs. This is often the case, given that leakiness varies within a narrow range and averages about 20% for a wide range of  $C_4$  plants and environments (Henderson *et al.*, 1992; von Caemmerer *et al.*, 1997a; Cousins *et al.*, 2008). Bundle-sheath leakiness can be estimated by concurrently measuring leaf gas exchange with carbon isotope discrimination (Evans *et al.*, 1986). A number of studies have examined

the effects of short-term and long-term changes in environmental parameters, such as light, water stress, and salinity, yielding mixed results. A few studies have estimated leakiness from measurements of dry-matter carbon isotope, and found that leakiness was impacted by light, salinity, or water stress (Buchmann *et al.*, 1996; Saliendra *et al.*, 1996; Fravoloni *et al.*, 2002). When leakiness was estimated from carbon isotope discrimination measured during gas exchange, small changes in leakiness have been reported in some studies but not others in response to short- or long-term changes in the environment (Bowman *et al.*, 1989; Kubasek *et al.*, 2007). In particular, Bowman *et al.* (1989) found that leakiness changed diurnally in salt-stressed *Zea mays* and *Andropogon glomeratus*, two  $C_4$ , NADP-ME grasses, while Kubasek *et al.* (2007) reported that leakiness increased with low light and low temperature. Lowering light intensity during gas-exchange measurements had no effect on bundle-sheath leakiness in a number of  $C_4$  plants (Henderson *et al.*, 1992), and leakiness was unchanged under long-term exposure to low light (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013a). Ubierna *et al.* (2013) found that the increase in leakiness commonly reported at low light (Henderson *et al.*, 1992) was only marginally present when using the full model for carbon isotope discrimination in  $C_4$  leaves (Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012). Leakiness depends on a number of anatomical (e.g.  $CO_2$  diffusion path length, chloroplast position in the BSC, BSC wall conductance) and biochemical (e.g. activities of the carboxylases and decarboxylases during  $C_4$  photosynthesis) factors (Henderson *et al.*, 1992; von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003). In contrast to manipulations using transgenic  $C_4$  plants (von Caemmerer *et al.*, 1997b; Cousins *et al.*, 2006; Pengelly *et al.*, 2012), few studies have investigated the effects of environmental variables on leakiness together with possible underlying biochemical mechanisms.

Consequently, the current study was aimed at investigating the efficiency of  $C_4$  photosynthesis in maize exposed to long-term shade and salinity, by combining measurements of leakiness with assays of the two carboxylases and decarboxylases known to operate in maize leaves. A second aim of this study was to probe the plasticity of the  $C_4$  acid decarboxylases in response to these environmental variables. Salinity and shade were chosen because they impact on photosynthesis through contrasting effects on leaf  $CO_2$  diffusion and fixation. Mild to moderate salinity inhibits root water uptake, thus indirectly reducing the plant water status, as detected by increased leaf water potential and reduced stomatal conductance, both of which reduce photosynthesis (Munns and Tester, 2008; Omoto *et al.*, 2012; Shabala and Munns, 2012). Low light reduces photosynthesis mainly by reducing activity and activation of photosynthetic enzymes (Edwards *et al.*, 1985).

## Materials and methods

### Plant culture

Maize seeds (Sweet Corn, Kelvedon Glory 5713) were germinated in 5 l pots (shaded plants were raised in 2 l pots) containing standard potting mix in a sunlit glasshouse during summer (December–March 2012). Nutrients were supplied through the addition of Osmocote

and periodic watering with soluble Aquasol supplemented with magnesium sulfate. Maize plants destined for the salinity treatments were initially watered with tap water. Once seedlings were well established (2 weeks after germination), NaCl was added at increasing concentrations to the watering solution over a period of 2 weeks until the endpoint concentrations of 50 and 100 mM NaCl were reached. To minimize NaCl accumulation, pots were flushed with water once a week, and then irrigated with the desired NaCl concentration. Plants destined for shading were germinated as above in full sunlight and then placed under a shade cloth, which limited light to 20% of the ambient sunlight. At midday, the photosynthetic active radiation of full sunlight ranged between 1000 and 1800  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  when measured at pot level during the experiment. Air temperature inside the glasshouse compartment was regulated by a temperature-control system, and day/night temperatures averaged 26/18 °C. Relative humidity was monitored and ranged between 60 and 80% during the day. There were five pots per treatments. Plants were harvested 12 weeks after germination.

#### Measuring leaf gas exchange

Leaf gas-exchange measurements were carried out 1–2 weeks before harvest using a portable open photosynthesis system (LI-6400XT; LI-COR, Lincoln, USA). Measurements of light-saturated photosynthetic rate ( $A_{\text{sat}}$ ) and stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) were taken between 10:00 and 14:00 at ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  (400  $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$ ), a leaf temperature of 26 °C, and a photosynthetic photon flux density of 1800  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . Each leaf was allowed to reach a steady state of  $\text{CO}_2$  uptake in the LI-6400XT leaf chamber before measurements were taken.

Photosynthetic responses to intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration ( $A/C_i$  curves) were measured at 10  $\text{CO}_2$  steps using similar conditions as described above. The  $A/C_i$  curves were fitted using a  $C_4$  photosynthesis model (von Caemmerer, 2000) to estimate maximal PEPC (*in vivo*  $V_{\text{pmax}}$ ) and Rubisco (*in vivo*  $V_{\text{cmax}}$ ) activities.  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $V_{\text{pmax}}$  were varied simultaneously until the best fit with the gas-exchange data was obtained.

#### Photosynthetic carbon isotope discrimination

Bundle-sheath leakiness was determined by measuring real-time  $^{13}\text{CO}_2/^{12}\text{CO}_2$  carbon isotope discrimination using a gas exchange system (LI-6400XT; LI-COR) attached to a tunable diode-laser (model TGA100; Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA), under similar conditions to the spot gas exchange measurements. Photosynthetic discrimination against  $^{13}\text{C}$  ( $\Delta_p$ ) was calculated using the following equations (Evans *et al.*, 1986):

$$\Delta_p = \frac{\xi(\delta_o - \delta_e)}{1 + \delta_o - \xi(\delta_o - \delta_e)} \quad (1)$$

$$\xi = \frac{C_e}{C_e - C_o} \quad (2)$$

where  $\delta_e$ ,  $\delta_o$ ,  $C_e$ , and  $C_o$  are the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  ( $\delta$ ) and  $\text{CO}_2$  mol fraction ( $C$ ) of the air entering (e) and leaving (o) the leaf chamber and were measured with the tunable diode-laser. In this study,  $\xi$  ranged between 5 and 11. Leakiness ( $\phi$ ) was calculated using the model of Farquhar (1983) as modified by Pengelly *et al.* (2010, 2012). The formulae used are described briefly below.

$$\phi = \frac{\left(\frac{1-t}{1+t}\right)\Delta - \frac{a'}{1+t} - (a_i - b'_i) \frac{A}{g_m C_a} - (b'_i - a') \frac{C_i}{C_a}}{(b'_3 - s) \left(\frac{C_i}{C_a} - \frac{A}{C_a g_m}\right)} \quad (3)$$

where the term  $t$ , which represents the ternary effect, is defined as by Farquhar and Cernusak, (2012):

$$t = \frac{(1+a')E}{2g_{ac}^t} \quad (4)$$

where  $E$  is the transpiration rate and  $g_{ac}^t$  the total conductance to  $\text{CO}_2$  diffusion including boundary layer and stomatal conductance (von Caemmerer and Farquhar, 1981). The symbol  $a'$  denotes the combined fractionation factor through the leaf boundary layer and through stomata:

$$a' = \frac{a_b(C_a - C_{ls}) + a(C_{ls} - C_i)}{C_a - C_i} \quad (5)$$

where  $C_a$ ,  $C_i$ , and  $C_{ls}$  are the ambient, intercellular, and leaf surface  $\text{CO}_2$  partial pressures,  $a_b$  (2.9‰) is the fractionation occurring through diffusion in the boundary layer,  $a$  (4.4‰) is the fractionation due to diffusion in air (Evans *et al.*, 1986),  $s$  (1.8‰) is the fractionation during leakage of  $\text{CO}_2$  out of the bundle sheath, and  $a_i$  is the fractionation factor associated with the dissolution of  $\text{CO}_2$  and diffusion through water. Here, we assume that  $s=a_i$ .

$$b'_3 = b_3 - e \left( \frac{R_d}{A + R_d} - \frac{0.5R_d}{A + 0.5R_d} \right) - f \frac{0.5V_o}{V_c} \quad (6)$$

and

$$b'_4 = b_4 - e \frac{0.5R_d}{(A + 0.5R_d)} \quad (7)$$

where  $b_3$  is the fractionation by Rubisco (30‰),  $b_4$  is the combined fractionation of the conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  to  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  and PEP carboxylation (−5.74‰ at 25 °C),  $f$  is the fraction associated with photosynthesis, and  $V_o$  and  $V_c$  are the rates of oxygenation and carboxylation, respectively. The fractionation factor  $e$  associated with respiration was calculated from the difference between  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  in the  $\text{CO}_2$  cylinder (−40.5‰) used during experiments and that in the atmosphere under growth conditions (−8‰; Tazoe *et al.*, 2008).  $A$  and  $R_d$  denote the  $\text{CO}_2$  assimilation rate and day respiration, respectively;  $R_d$  was assumed to equal dark respiration. We assumed a mesophyll conductance ( $g_m$ )=1  $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{bar}^{-1}$  for these calculations. In this study, leaf gas exchange was measured at high light, and hence  $V_o=0$  (i.e.  $f \frac{0.5V_o}{V_c}=0$ ) (Pengelly *et al.*, 2010, 2012; Ubierna *et al.*, 2013).

#### Rubisco content and soluble protein determination

Following gas-exchange measurements, replicate leaf discs (0.74  $\text{cm}^2$ ) were rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at −80 °C until analysed. Each leaf disc was extracted in 1 ml of ice-cold extraction buffer [50 mM EPPS/NaOH (pH 8.0), 5 mM dithiothreitol, 20 mM  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ , 20 mM  $\text{MgCl}_2$ , 1 mM EDTA, 4% (v/v) Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma), and 1% (w/v) polyvinyl polypyrrolidone] using a 2 ml Potter–Elvehjem glass homogenizer kept on ice. Subsamples were taken from the total extract for SDS-PAGE analysis (see below) of total leaf protein. The remaining extract was centrifuged at 16, 100g for 1 min and the supernatant used for Rubisco and soluble protein assays. Rubisco content was estimated by the irreversible binding of [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]carboxyarabinitol biphosphate (CABP) to the fully carbamylated enzyme (Sharwood *et al.*, 2008). Extractable soluble proteins were measured using a Coomassie Plus kit (Pierce).

#### Activity of carboxylase and decarboxylase enzymes

Activity of Rubisco in maize extracts was determined by multiplying the number of Rubisco active sites determined using the [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]CABP binding assay by the Rubisco *in vitro*  $k_{\text{cat}}$  (5.5  $\text{s}^{-1}$ ) determined using a  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  fixation assay (Sharwood *et al.*, 2008). The activity of the PEPC

and NADP-ME enzymes were determined spectrophotometrically as described previously (Ashton *et al.*, 1990; Pengelly *et al.*, 2012).

The activity of PEP-CK in maize extracts was measured in the carboxylase direction using the method outlined by Walker *et al.* (2002). For each assay, a separate leaf disc was homogenized in extraction buffer containing 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.2), 5 mM dithiothreitol, 1% polyvinyl polypyrrolidone, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.05% Triton X-100. MgCl<sub>2</sub> was not added to the extraction or assay buffer to remove the possibility of interference from other enzymes. PEP-CK activity was measured in assay buffer [100 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 4% mercaptoethanol (w/v), 100 mM KCl, 90 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 1 mM ADP, 2 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.14 mM NADH, and malate dehydrogenase (6 U)] after the addition of PEP to 5 mM. The final concentration of 4 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub> has been shown to be sufficient for PEP-CK activity (Chen *et al.*, 2002; Walker *et al.*, 2002).

#### SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis of Rubisco and CCM proteins

Subsamples of total protein fractions were mixed with 0.25 vols of 4× LDS buffer (Invitrogen) containing 100 mM dithiothreitol and placed on ice until analysed within 2 h. For confirmatory visualization, protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE in TGX Any kD (BioRad) pre-cast polyacrylamide gels buffered with 1× Tris/glycine SDS buffer (BioRad) at 200 V using a Mini-Protein apparatus at 4 °C. Proteins were visualized by staining with Bio-Safe Coomassie G-250 (BioRad) and imaged using a VersaDoc imaging system (BioRad).

For immunoblot analyses of total leaf protein, samples were separated by SDS-PAGE as outlined above and then transferred at 4 °C to nitrocellulose membranes (0.45 µm; BioRad) using a Xcell Surelock western transfer module (Invitrogen) buffered with 1× transfer buffer [20×: 25 mM Bicine, 25 mM Bis/Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 20% (v/v) methanol]. After 1 h transfer at 30 V, the membrane was placed in blocking solution [3% (w/v) skimmed milk powder in TBS, 50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8), 150 mM NaCl] for 1 h at room temperature with gentle agitation.

Primary antisera raised in rabbit against tobacco Rubisco (prepared by S. M. Whitney, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia) was diluted 1:4000 in TBS before incubation at 1 h with membranes at room temperature with gentle agitation. Antisera raised against PEPC was obtained from AgriSera and diluted 1:2000 with TBS. For NADP-ME and PEP-CK, synthetic peptides based on monocot amino acid sequences for each protein were synthesized by GL Biochem and antisera were raised against each peptide in rabbits. The reactive antisera were the antigen purified for use in immunoblot analysis (GL Biochem). The NADP-ME and PEP-CK antisera were diluted in TBS at 1:1000 and 1:500, respectively.

All primary antisera were incubated with membranes at room temperature for 1 h with gentle agitation before washing three times with TBS. Secondary goat anti-rabbit antiserum conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Perkin Elmer) was diluted 1:3000 in TBS and incubated with the membranes for 1 h at room temperature followed by three washes with TBS. Immunoreactive peptides were detected using an Immun-Star WesternC kit (BioRad) and imaged using VersaDoc.

#### Plant biomass, leaf water potential, and nitrogen and carbon isotope composition

Before harvest, leaf water potential ( $\Psi_L$ ) was measured on a cut, matching gas-exchange leaf using a Scholander-style pressure chamber (PMS Instrument Company, Corvallis, OR, USA). At harvest, leaves were sampled and their area determined using a leaf area meter (LI-3100A; LI-COR) and roots were washed free of soil. Plant tissues were oven dried at 80 °C for 48 h, weighed, and ground to a homogenous powder in a ball mill (MM-400; Retsch).

Leaf N content was determined on the ground tissue using a CN analyser (LECO TruSpec; LECO Corp., MI, USA). For carbon

isotope composition ( $^{13}\delta$ ), ground leaf samples were combusted in a Carlo Erba elemental analyser (Model 1108) and the CO<sub>2</sub> was analysed by mass spectrometry. Isotopic composition ( $\delta$ ) was calculated as  $[(R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{standard}})/R_{\text{standard}}] \times 1000$ , where  $R_{\text{sample}}$  and  $R_{\text{standard}}$  are the  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  ratios of the sample and standard (Pee Dee Belemnite), respectively.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical significance tests were conducted using one-way analysis of variance computed in a general linear model. Treatment means were ranked using a post-hoc Tukey test.

## Results

### Plant growth and leaf nitrogen

Plant leaf area was reduced by 18 and 22% for plants exposed to 50 and 100 mM NaCl, respectively, whereas plant biomass was decreased by 34 and 50% for the same treatments when compared with the control (Fig. 1A, B, Table 1). Leaf mass per area was not significantly affected by salinity (Table 1).

The impact of 80% shading (shaded plants received 20% of ambient sunlight) on the maize plants was profound. Leaf area and total plant biomass were reduced to 18 and 3% of that of the control plants, respectively, while leaf mass per area was reduced to 37% of that of the control plants (Fig. 1A, B, Table 1).

Leaf N content per unit mass decreased in the high-salt-treated plants only relative to the control. When expressed on an areas basis, leaf N concentration tended to be lower in the shaded plants relative to the control (Table 1).


### Leaf photosynthesis

Leaf water potential ( $\Psi_L$ ) decreased in plants exposed to moderate (100 mM NaCl) but not mild (50 mM NaCl) levels of soil salinity (Table 1). Consequently, photosynthetic rates measured at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> ( $A_{\text{sat}}$ ) decreased in maize plants exposed to the higher salinity treatment only (Fig. 1C, Table 1), whereas  $g_s$  decreased in plants exposed to both salinity levels (Fig. 1D, Table 1). Plants exposed to shade underwent larger decreases in photosynthesis and  $g_s$  (Fig. 1C, D, Table 1). A common linear relationship related  $A_{\text{sat}}$  to  $g_s$  ( $r^2=0.73$ ) in all the maize plants regardless of the treatment (Fig. 2C).

$A/C_i$  curves were fitted using the C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis model (von Caemmerer, 2000) to estimate *in vivo*  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $V_{\text{pmax}}$ . Both parameters decreased in the shaded plants relative to the control, while there was a small but non-significant reduction in  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  in the higher salinity treatment. The ratio  $V_{\text{pmax}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$  (2.3–2.8) was similar for all the maize plants, regardless of the treatment (Fig. 3, Table 1).

### Photosynthetic and dry-matter carbon isotope discrimination

Concurrent measurements of  $^{13}\text{CO}_2/^{12}\text{CO}_2$  discrimination and leaf gas exchange showed that photosynthetic discrimination ( $\Delta_p$ ) varied linearly with  $p_i/p_a$  for plants in the control and



**Fig. 1.** Growth of maize plants exposed to salinity and shade. Total leaf area (A), plant dry mass (B), light-saturated photosynthetic rates in ambient air,  $A_{\text{sat}}$  (C), and stomatal conductance,  $g_s$  (D), of maize plants grown in full sunlight and irrigated with water (control), 50 mM NaCl (Salt-50), or 100 mM NaCl (Salt-100), or grown in 20% sunlight (shade).


**Table 1.** Summary of plant growth, leaf chemistry, leaf gas exchange and photosynthetic enzyme activity determined for maize plants grown in full sunlight and irrigated with normal water (control), 50 mM NaCl (Salt-50) or 100 mM NaCl (Salt-100)

Shade plants were grown in 20% sunlight and irrigated with normal water. Values are treatment means of three replicates  $\pm$  standard error. Statistical significance tests were conducted using one-way analysis of variance computed in a general linear model. Treatment means were ranked using a post-hoc Tukey test, and values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level ( $P < 0.05$ ). ND, not determined

Parameter	Control	Shade	Salt-50	Salt-100	Model $P$ value
<b>Plant and leaf traits</b>					
Total leaf area ( $\text{cm}^2 \text{ plant}^{-1}$ )	512 $\pm$ 61b	94 $\pm$ 23a	418 $\pm$ 47b	397 $\pm$ 4b	0.0012
Plant dry mass ( $\text{g plant}^{-1}$ )	28.2 $\pm$ 4.6c	0.75 $\pm$ 0.05a	18.5 $\pm$ 4.3bc	14.0 $\pm$ 2.7b	0.0031
Leaf mass per area ( $\text{g m}^{-2}$ )	71 $\pm$ 5b	26 $\pm$ 2a	69 $\pm$ 6b	59 $\pm$ 15b	0.0343
Leaf water potential, $\Psi_L$ (MPa)	0.32 $\pm$ 0.07a	ND	0.53 $\pm$ 0.10a	1.08 $\pm$ 0.09b	0.0000
Leaf N content ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}$ )	36 $\pm$ 2b	38 $\pm$ 0b	33 $\pm$ 2ab	29 $\pm$ 1a	0.0054
Leaf N content ( $\text{mmol m}^{-2}$ )	184 $\pm$ 10a	95 $\pm$ 25a	132 $\pm$ 24a	124 $\pm$ 28a	0.1027
Leaf C isotope composition, $^{13}\delta$ (‰)	-14.68 $\pm$ 0.24a	-14.38 $\pm$ 0.19a	-15.43 $\pm$ 0.05b	-15.85 $\pm$ 0.07b	0.0006
<b>Leaf gas exchange</b>					
Photosynthesis, $A_{\text{sat}}$ ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )	33.7 $\pm$ 1.0c	13.0 $\pm$ 0.7a	32.6 $\pm$ 1.1c	28.3 $\pm$ 1.6b	0.0000
Stomatal conductance, $g_s$ ( $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )	0.285 $\pm$ 0.017c	0.088 $\pm$ 0.007a	0.191 $\pm$ 0.012b	0.172 $\pm$ 0.020b	0.0000
<i>in vivo</i> $V_{\text{cmax}}$ ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )	40 $\pm$ 10b	19 $\pm$ 6a	40 $\pm$ 1b	33 $\pm$ 1b	0.0125
<i>in vivo</i> $V_{\text{pmax}}$ ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )	104 $\pm$ 6b	45 $\pm$ 5a	94 $\pm$ 1b	94 $\pm$ 1b	0.0012
$V_{\text{pmax}}/V_{\text{cmax}}$	2.6 $\pm$ 0.2a	2.5 $\pm$ 0.4a	2.3 $\pm$ 0.1a	2.8 $\pm$ 0.1a	0.4682
Photosynthetic $\Delta_p$ (‰)	3.66 $\pm$ 0.13b	2.46 $\pm$ 0.36a	3.90 $\pm$ 0.04b	4.23 $\pm$ 0.11b	0.0040
Leakiness, $\phi$	0.26 $\pm$ 0.02ab	0.13 $\pm$ 0.04a	0.24 $\pm$ 0.02ab	0.31 $\pm$ 0.01b	0.0125
<b>Photosynthetic enzymes</b>					
Rubisco content ( $\text{g m}^{-2}$ )	0.31 $\pm$ 0.04b	0.14 $\pm$ 0.03a	0.23 $\pm$ 0.01ab	0.24 $\pm$ 0.03ab	0.0141
Soluble proteins ( $\text{g m}^{-2}$ )	4.2 $\pm$ 0.2b	2.5 $\pm$ 0.2a	3.2 $\pm$ 0.2ab	3.9 $\pm$ 0.4b	0.0057
Rubisco activity ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )	27.5 $\pm$ 1.4c	11.5 $\pm$ 2.0a	18.1 $\pm$ 0.8b	19.5 $\pm$ 2.1b	0.0034
PEPC activity ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )	107 $\pm$ 7d	21 $\pm$ 4a	52 $\pm$ 4b	72 $\pm$ 4c	0.0000
PEPC/Rubisco	3.9 $\pm$ 0.10c	1.8 $\pm$ 0.08a	2.9 $\pm$ 0.13b	3.3 $\pm$ 0.15b	0.0000
NADP-ME activity ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )	53 $\pm$ 8b	32 $\pm$ 5a	18 $\pm$ 0.1a	19 $\pm$ 3a	0.0073
PEP-CK activity ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )	12.4 $\pm$ 1.6c	3.0 $\pm$ 0.4a	7.6 $\pm$ 0.7b	8.2 $\pm$ 1.2b	0.0021


salinity treatments, yielding a common bundle-sheath  $\phi$  value of 0.25 according to the carbon discrimination model for  $C_4$  plants (Farquhar, 1983). Thus, salinity changed  $p_i/p_a$  without affecting  $\phi$ . In contrast, shaded plants had lower  $\Delta_p$ ,  $p_i/p_a$ , and  $\phi$  relative to both control and salt-stressed plants (Fig. 2A).

Leaf dry-matter carbon isotope composition ( $^{13}\delta$ ) decreased (more negative) significantly in the salt-treated plants only, while shade plants had similar leaf  $^{13}\delta$  to the control plants (Table 1). Photosynthetic  $\Delta_p$  and leaf dry-matter  $^{13}\delta$  changed proportionately for the control and salt-treated



**Fig. 2.** Leaf gas exchange and carbon isotope discrimination in maize plants exposed to salinity and shade. Photosynthetic carbon isotope discrimination,  $\Delta_p$ , measured during the gas exchange of maize leaves as a function of intercellular to ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  ratio (A) or leaf dry matter carbon isotope composition,  $^{13}\delta$  (B). Photosynthetic rates as a function of stomatal conductance are also shown (C). In (A), the solid line is the solution for the  $\text{C}_4$  discrimination model (Farquhar, 1983) using a leakiness ( $\phi$ ) value of 0.25. Leaf gas exchange was measured at high light ( $1800 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ), ambient  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $400 \mu\text{l l}^{-1}$ ) and  $26^\circ\text{C}$ . In (B), the solid line is the linear regression of all data points excluding the shade treatment. In (C), the solid line is the linear regression of all data points. Maize plants were grown in full sunlight and irrigated with water (control, filled circle), 50 mM NaCl (Salt-50, half-filled triangle), or 100 mM NaCl (Salt-100, filled triangle), or grown in 20% sunlight (shade, open circle).

plants (Fig. 2B). In contrast, the shade plants fell outside the common relationship because their photosynthetic  $\Delta_p$  decreased but not their leaf  $^{13}\delta$  relative to the control plants (Fig. 2B).




**Fig. 3.** A/C<sub>i</sub> response curves for leaves of maize exposed to salinity and shade. Responses of assimilation rates to intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  (A/C<sub>i</sub> curves) measured at a light intensity of  $1800 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  and a leaf temperature of  $26^\circ\text{C}$ . Data points are the average of two replicates. Lines are the mathematical fits using the  $\text{C}_4$  photosynthesis model (von Caemmerer, 2000). Maize plants were grown in full sunlight and irrigated with water (control, filled circle), 50 mM NaCl (Salt-50, half-filled triangle), or 100 mM NaCl (Salt-100, filled triangle), or grown in 20% sunlight (shade, open circle).

#### Activity of photosynthetic enzymes

Leaf Rubisco content and Rubisco activity calculated from  $k_{\text{cat}}$  and the irreversible binding of the transition state analogue [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]CABP decreased by 25 and 50% in the salt-treated and shaded plants, respectively. As expected for  $\text{C}_4$  leaves, Rubisco activity was equivalent to  $A_{\text{sat}}$  for the control and shade leaves; this was not the case for the salt-treated leaves (Fig. 4A, Table 1). Leaf soluble proteins changed together with Rubisco such that Rubisco constituted a constant fraction of soluble proteins under all treatments (Table 1). PEPC activity measured in leaf extracts was reduced by 80% in the shaded plants and by 30–50% in the salt-treated plants relative to the control treatment (Fig. 4B, Table 1). Generally, changes in Rubisco and PEPC activities were reflected by the immunoblots probed with antibodies raised against each of the carboxylase enzymes (Fig. 5). Shading reduced PEPC activity to a greater extent than Rubisco activity, and consequently halved the PEPC/Rubisco activity ratio relative to the control treatment. The PEPC/Rubisco ratio was not significantly affected by salinity in the maize plants (Table 1). It is worth noting that *in vivo* and *in vitro* estimates of Rubisco ( $V_{\text{cmax}}$ ) and PEPC ( $V_{\text{pmax}}$ ) activities did not closely correlate in this study. Reconciling these parameters requires more detailed parameterization of  $\text{C}_4$  photosynthesis model (von Caemmerer, 2000).

The activity of the primary decarboxylase NADP-ME and its relative content determined by immunoblot analysis showed 35% reductions across both salinity treatments. NADP-ME activity declined by 60% in the shaded relative to the control plants (Figs 4C and 5, Table 1). Activity and protein expression of the secondary decarboxylase, PEP-CK, was detected in the leaf extracts of all maize plants (Figs 4D



**Fig. 4.** Activity of carboxylases and decarboxylases in maize plants exposed to salinity and shade. Activity of Rubisco (A), PEPC (B), NADP-ME (C), and PEP-CK (D) for maize plants grown in full sunlight and irrigated with water (control), 50 mM NaCl (Salt-50), or 100 mM NaCl (Salt-100), or grown in 20% sunlight (shade).

and 5). In the control treatment, PEP-CK accounted for 20% of the total  $C_4$  acid decarboxylation activity measured in maize leaves. This proportion increased to 30% in salt-treated plants and declined to 10% in the shaded plants (Table 1). In absolute terms, PEP-CK activity decreased by 40% in salt-treated plants and by 75% in shaded plants (Figs 4D and 5, Table 1).

## Discussion

### *Contrasting impacts of salinity and shade on maize*


The main objective of this study was to investigate the regulation of  $C_4$  photosynthesis subjected to environmental manipulations that are known to have contrasting impacts on the processes of  $CO_2$  assimilation and diffusion. One of the main acclimation responses to shade is the downregulation of leaf photosynthetic capacity (Boardman, 1977). In contrast, mild to moderate salinity will primarily reduce stomatal conductance by negatively impacting on soil, and hence on leaf water potential. Compared with water stress, salinity has the added advantage of providing a steady stress while avoiding the complications associated with controlling soil water supply (Neumann *et al.*, 1988; Chaves *et al.*, 2009). To this end, both treatments used in this study achieved their goals. While shade markedly reduced plant growth and photosynthetic

capacity, salinity reduced stomatal conductance with small effects on photosynthetic rates of the maize plants. Salinity inhibited plant growth to a lesser extent than shade (Table 1).

The evolution of a CCM in higher plants represents a key step to improving photosynthesis under environmental conditions favouring photorespiration by circumventing the inefficiency of Rubisco. The efficient operation of  $C_4$  photosynthesis requires close coordination between the  $C_4$  and  $C_3$  cycles, which is achieved through the distinct cellular compartmentalization of the initial and final carboxylases PEPC (in MCs) and Rubisco (in BSCs), respectively, as well as the localization of the decarboxylases (NADP-ME and PEP-CK for maize) within the BSC. In addition, the maintenance of a high PEPC/Rubisco activity ratio is critical for the build-up of  $CO_2$  within the BSCs. Importantly, regulating the balance between Rubisco, PEPC, NADP-ME, and other enzymes of the  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  cycles allows the dynamic regulation of  $C_4$  efficiency that other features such as BSC wall conductance or  $CO_2$  diffusion path length cannot offer in the short to medium term (Hatch, 1987; von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003). Perturbation of the PEPC/Rubisco ratio by genetically suppressing PEPC results in  $C_4$  plants unable to grow effectively in air (Dever *et al.*, 1997; Cousins *et al.*, 2007). Leakiness of  $CO_2$  from the BSCs as determined from measurements of  $^{13}C/^{12}C$  carbon isotope discrimination represents a key surrogate indicator of the coordination between the  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  cycles (Farquhar, 1983). Combining measurements of leakiness with activities of the key enzymes in the  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  cycles can elucidate the regulation and efficiency of  $C_4$  photosynthesis under different environments (Evans *et al.*, 1986; Henderson *et al.*, 1992). Below, we demonstrate that shade, but not salinity, can perturb CCM efficiency as evidenced by changed leakiness.

### *Mild to moderate salinity impacts on carbon isotope discrimination through stomatal conductance without affecting leakiness*

In maize, mild salinity (50 mM NaCl) reduced leaf  $g_s$  but not  $A_{sat}$ , while moderate salinity (100 mM NaCl) reduced both  $g_s$  and  $A_{sat}$ . Hence, reduced photosynthetic rates were largely caused by increased resistance to  $CO_2$  diffusion under both salinity treatments, and this was born out in the lower  $p_i/p_a$  ratio and more negative dry-matter  $^{13}\delta$  observed in the leaves of salt-treated maize plants (Fig. 2). Reduced stomatal conductance and leaf  $^{13}\delta$  in response to salinity is commonly reported in  $C_3$  (Seemann and Critchley, 1985; Bruynoli and Lauteri, 1991) and  $C_4$  (Bowman *et al.*, 1989; Meinzer *et al.*, 1994; Meinzer and Zhu, 1999) plants. In maize, reduced photosynthetic rates, especially at the highest salinity treatment was also caused by the lower Rubisco and PEPC activities. This reduction was observed in the spectrophotometric assays and the immunodetection of the expressed proteins. Reduced expression of Rubisco under salinity was part of a general reduction in soluble proteins and leaf N. Leaf N is known to decline under salinity due to  $Cl^-$  interference with nitrate uptake by roots (Munns and Termaat, 1986).



**Fig. 5.** Immunoblots of carboxylases and decarboxylases in maize plants exposed to salinity and shade. Immunoblots of total leaf proteins probed with antisera raised against the four photosynthetic enzymes Rubisco large subunit, PEPC, NADP-ME, and PEP-CK, as described in Materials and methods. The analysis was undertaken separately for the salinity-treated (A) and shade-treated (B) maize plants. Changes in immunoblot densitometry were calculated relative to the control treatment (C).

The activity of both carboxylases declined to the same extent under salinity conditions such that the PEPC/Rubisco ratio was indistinguishable from that of the control leaves. This may explain why leakiness was unaffected by salinity in maize leaves despite the changes in photosynthetic  $\Delta_p$  and leaf  $^{13}\delta$ , which were caused by reduced  $p_i/p_a$  (Fig. 2). In line with these results, when the  $C_4$  shrub *Atriplex lentiformis* was exposed for 4 weeks to salinity levels equivalent to those used in the current study, photosynthesis and stomatal conductance decreased, while the PEPC/Rubisco ratio remained unchanged until the salinity increased above 120 mM. The same study also reported that leakiness, estimated from leaf  $^{13}\delta$  rather than from photosynthetic  $\Delta_p$ , correlated positively with the PEPC/Rubisco ratio (Meinzer and Zhu, 1999). Similarly to *Atriplex*, salinity reduced photosynthesis and increased  $p_i/p_a$  and  $\phi$  values in sugarcane genotypes. Changes in  $\phi$  derived from leaf  $^{13}\delta$  were also related to the PEPC/Rubisco ratio in sugarcane (Meinzer *et al.*, 1994).

The discrepancy between the studies using *Atriplex* and sugarcane with the current study using maize may be related to a number of factors, the main ones being the salinity level and the basis for leakiness calculation. Meinzer and Zhu (1999) found that mild salinity mainly affected  $g_s$  and had little impacts on  $\phi$  (a similar scenario to the current maize study), and that  $\phi$  and the PEPC/Rubisco ratio were affected at high salinity, indicating profound damage of the photosynthetic apparatus by the accumulating salt, unlike the treatments used in the current maize study. In addition, the difference between leaf  $^{13}\delta$  and photosynthetic  $\Delta_p$  have not been reconciled yet for  $C_4$  plants. Post-photosynthetic fractionation of  $^{13}C/^{12}C$  may be important in  $C_4$  leaves, thus representing a source of uncertainty in leakiness calculations based on leaf  $^{13}\delta$  (Henderson *et al.*, 1992).

In maize, both salinity treatments reduced the activity of the primary (NADP-ME) and secondary (PEP-CK) decarboxylases. These observations, together with reduced PEPC activity, suggest that the CCM was down regulated in response to salinity. Results obtained with enzyme activity and immunoblot analysis indicated that the decarboxylases

were inhibited more than the carboxylases under salinity. Evidence from transgenic *Flaveria* plants with reduced amounts of NADP-ME have indicated that this decarboxylase is in excess, as photosynthesis was not impacted until activity was reduced to less than 40% of that of wild type (Pengelly *et al.*, 2012). In summary, salinity treatments reduced photosynthesis primarily by reducing  $g_s$  and secondarily by reducing Rubisco and PEPC activities. The balance between the  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  cycles was unaffected, as indicated by a similar leakiness between the salt-treated and control maize plants.

#### *Shade profoundly reduces photosynthetic capacity and leakiness, thus perturbing the coordination between the $C_3$ and $C_4$ cycles*

The shade treatment used in this study had profound impacts on the growth and photosynthesis of the maize plants (Table 1). In particular, shade reduced the photosynthetic capacity measured in terms of *in vivo*  $V_{cmax}$  and  $V_{pmax}$  estimated from the  $A/C_i$  curves and in terms of enzyme activity of the carboxylases and decarboxylases. In contrast to salinity, shade had two significantly distinct effects on leaf photosynthesis. Firstly, decreased photosynthetic capacity was mediated by a general downregulation of the activity and protein expression of all measured photosynthetic enzymes. Secondly, the PEPC/Rubisco ratio, photosynthetic  $\Delta_p$ , and its derived leakiness decreased relative to those of the control plants, while leaf  $^{13}\delta$  was not significantly affected (Fig. 2).

The responses of  $C_4$  photosynthesis to low light vary depending on whether the condition is transient or a short-term acclimation. Under low light ( $<200 \mu\text{mol quanta m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ),  $\phi$  may increase, possibly as a result of decreased Rubisco activation or increased Rubisco oxygenation due to the low BSC  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration. These factors decrease  $\text{CO}_2$  fixation by Rubisco more than by PEPC, thus maintaining a higher supply of  $\text{CO}_2$  to the BSCs than Rubisco can fix (Henderson *et al.*, 1992; Kromdijk *et al.*, 2008, 2010; Tazoe *et al.*, 2008). However,  $\phi$  in maize leaves was unaffected under conditions

of short-term acclimation to low light (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013a, b).

In contrast to these studies, leakiness decreased in our study as a result of reduced  $\Delta_p$  with little impact on  $p/p_a$ , suggesting two main conclusions. Firstly, reduced leakiness in our maize study was accompanied by a reduced PEPC/Rubisco ratio, highlighting the role of this ratio in particular, and the balance between the activity of the  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  cycle enzymes in general, for optimizing the efficiency of  $C_4$  photosynthesis. Our results in maize make it clear that acclimation to low light reduced PEPC activity and protein expression to a greater extent than those of Rubisco. High light dependence of PEPC gene expression is well documented in  $C_4$  plants (Chollet *et al.*, 1996). Secondly, leaf  $^{13}\delta$  and photosynthetic  $\Delta_p$  in our maize study did not change together under low light, mainly because the former decreased while the latter was only marginally and not significantly affected by shade (Fig. 2). This is in contrast to a large survey of  $C_4$  grasses, showing that leaf  $^{13}\delta$  decreased under shade conditions (Buchmann *et al.*, 1996). On the one hand, our results highlight the problems of using leaf  $^{13}\delta$  as a proxy for photosynthetic  $\Delta_p$ , especially when inferring leakiness and  $C_4$  regulation. On the other hand, our results point to a stronger dependence of leaf  $^{13}\delta$  on the diffusive components (salinity effects) within the  $\Delta_p$  equation as opposed to the metabolic factors for which light can have complex effects (Farquhar, 1983; Henderson *et al.*, 1992; von Caemmerer *et al.*, 1997a; Ubierna *et al.*, 2011). Solving the link between leaf  $^{13}\delta$  and photosynthetic  $\Delta_p$  remains a key challenge for elucidating the underpinnings of carbon isotope discrimination in  $C_4$  leaves.

In another contrast with the salinity treatments, shade reduced the activity of the primary decarboxylase NADP-ME less, while strongly suppressing the activity of the secondary decarboxylase PEP-CK. Taken together, these results constitute rare evidence for decarboxylase flexibility in response to environmental conditions, with salinity and shade having opposite effects on the ratio of PEP-CK to NADP-ME activity in maize. It is unlikely that the observed changes in NADP-ME and PEP-CK were due to anaplerotic activities due to their low contribution relative to that of the photosynthetic isoforms (Drincovich *et al.*, 2001). The differential engagement of the decarboxylation pathways enables  $C_4$  plants to acclimate to varying conditions of light (Furbank, 2011). For example, it has been shown that the flexible operation of NADP-ME and PEP-CK decarboxylases in maize allows the bundle sheath to regulate NADPH supply under variable light conditions (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013b). In the current study, we demonstrated the differential engagement of the primary and secondary decarboxylases under long-term acclimation to low light through the significant reductions of PEP-CK activity and protein content (Figs 4 and 5).

In summary, we demonstrated that long-term acclimation to low light in maize causes a reduction in BSC leakiness. This reduction was underpinned by a greater downregulation of PEPC activity and content relative to those of Rubisco, and by a flexible partitioning of  $C_4$  acid decarboxylation activity between NADP-ME and PEP-CK.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Hilary Stuart-Williams from the Australian National University for assistance with the analysis of leaf dry-matter carbon isotope composition and N content. We thank Anthony Newton for help with plant culture. This research was partially funded by the Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment at University of Western Sydney through the award of a research fellowship to RES. This research was also supported by a Discovery Project awarded to OG by the Australian Research Council (DP120101603).

## References

- Andrews TJ, Lorimer GH, Tolbert NE. 1973. Ribulose diphosphate oxygenase. I. Synthesis of phosphoglycolate by fraction-1 protein of leaves. *Biochemistry* **12**, 11–18.
- Ashton AR, Burnell JN, Furbank RT, Jenkins CLD, Hatch MD. 1990. The enzymes in  $C_4$  photosynthesis. In: Lea PJ, ed. *Enzymes of primary metabolism*. London: Academic Press, 39–72.
- Bellasio C, Griffiths H. 2013a. Acclimation to low light by  $C_4$  maize: implications for bundle sheath leakiness. *Plant Cell, & Environment*, 10.1111/pce.12194.
- Bellasio C, Griffiths H. 2013b. The operation of two decarboxylases (NADP-ME and PEP-CK), transamination and partitioning of  $C_4$  metabolic processes between mesophyll and bundle sheath cells allows light capture to be balanced for the maize  $C_4$  pathway. *Plant Physiology* doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.228221> [Epub ahead of print].
- Boardman NK. 1977. Comparative photosynthesis of sun and shade plants. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* **28**, 355–377.
- Bowman WD, Hubick KT, von caemmerer S, Farquhar GD. 1989. Short-term changes in leaf carbon isotope discrimination in salt-stressed and water-stressed  $C_4$  grasses. *Plant Physiology* **90**, 162–166.
- Brugnoli E, Lauteri M. 1991. Effects of salinity on stomatal conductance, photosynthetic capacity, and carbon isotope discrimination of salt-tolerant (*Gossypium hirsutum* L) and salt-sensitive (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L)  $C_3$  non-halophytes. *Plant Physiology* **95**, 628–635.
- Buchmann N, Brooks JR, Rapp KD, Ehleringer JR. 1996. Carbon isotope composition of  $C_4$  grasses is influenced by light and water supply. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **19**, 392–402.
- Chaves MM, Flexas J, Pinheiro C. 2009. Photosynthesis under drought and salt stress: regulation mechanisms from whole plant to cell. *Annals of Botany* **103**, 551–560.
- Chen ZH, Walker RP, Acheson RM, Leegood RC. 2002. Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase assayed at physiological concentrations of metal ions has a high affinity for  $CO_2$ . *Plant Physiology* **128**, 160–164.
- Chollet R, Vidal J, O'Leary MH. 1996. Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase: a ubiquitous, highly regulated enzyme in plants. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* **47**, 273–298.
- Cleland WW, Andrews TJ, Gutteridge S, Hartman FC, Lorimer GH. 1998. Mechanism of Rubisco: the carbamate as general base. *Chemical Reviews* **98**, 549–562.
- Cousins AB, Badger MR, von Caemmerer S. 2006. Carbonic anhydrase and its influence on carbon isotope discrimination during  $C_4$  photosynthesis. Insights from antisense RNA in *Flaveria bidentis*. *Plant Physiology* **141**, 232–242.
- Cousins AB, Badger MR, von Caemmerer S. 2008.  $C_4$  photosynthetic isotope exchange in NAD-ME- and NADP-ME-type grasses. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**, 1695–1703.
- Cousins AB, Baroli I, Badger MR, Ivakov A, Lea PJ, Leegood RC, von Caemmerer S. 2007. The role of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase during  $C_4$  photosynthetic isotope exchange and stomatal conductance. *Plant Physiology* **145**, 1006–1017.
- Dever LV, Bailey KJ, Leegood RC, Lea PJ. 1997. Control of photosynthesis in *Amaranthus edulis* mutants with reduced amounts of PEP carboxylase. *Functional Plant Biology* **24**, 469–476.
- Drincovich MaF, Casati P, Andreo CS. 2001. NADP-malic enzyme from plants: a ubiquitous enzyme involved in different metabolic pathways. *FEBS Letters* **490**, 1–6.

- Edwards GE, Nakamoto H, Burnell JN, Hatch MD.** 1985. Pyruvate, Pi dikinase and NADP-malate dehydrogenase in C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis: properties and mechanism of light/dark regulation. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology* **36**, 255–286.
- Evans JR, Sharkey TD, Berry JA, Farquhar GD.** 1986. Carbon isotope discrimination measured concurrently with gas-exchange to investigate CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion in leaves of higher-plants. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **13**, 281–292.
- Farquhar GD.** 1983. On the nature of carbon isotope discrimination in C<sub>4</sub> species. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **10**, 205–226.
- Farquhar GD, Cernusak LA.** 2012. Ternary effects on the gas exchange of isotopologues of carbon dioxide. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **35**, 1221–1231.
- Fravolinil A, Williams DG, Thompson TL.** 2002. Carbon isotope discrimination and bundle sheath leakiness in three C<sub>4</sub> subtypes grown under variable nitrogen, water and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> supply. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **53**, 2261–2269.
- Furbank RT.** 2011. Evolution of the C<sub>4</sub> photosynthetic mechanism: are there really three C<sub>4</sub> acid decarboxylation types? *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**, 3103–3108.
- Furbank RT, Jenkins CLD, Hatch MD.** 1990. C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis—quantum requirement, C<sub>4</sub> acid overcycling and Q-cycle involvement. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **17**, 1–7.
- Ghannoum O, Evans JR, Caemmerer S.** 2011. Nitrogen and water use efficiency of C<sub>4</sub> plants. In: Raghavendra AS, Sage RF, eds. *C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis and related CO<sub>2</sub> concentrating mechanisms*, vol. **32**. The Netherlands: Springer, 129–146.
- Ghannoum O, Evans JR, Wah Soon C, Andrews TJ, Conroy JP, Susanne von C.** 2005. Faster Rubisco is the key to superior nitrogen use efficiency in NADP-malic enzyme relative to NAD-malic enzyme C<sub>4</sub> grasses. *Plant Physiology* **137**, 638–650.
- Gutierrez M, Gracen VE, Edwards GE.** 1974. Biochemical and cytological relationships in C<sub>4</sub> plants. *Planta* **119**, 279–300.
- Hatch MD.** 1987. C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis: a unique blend of modified biochemistry, anatomy and ultrastructure. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **895**, 81–106.
- Henderson S, Caemmerer S, Farquhar G.** 1992. Short-term measurements of carbon isotope discrimination in several C<sub>4</sub> species. *Functional Plant Biology* **19**, 263–285.
- Jenkins CL, Burnell JN, Hatch MD.** 1987. Form of inorganic carbon involved as a product and as an inhibitor of C<sub>4</sub> acid decarboxylases operating in C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* **85**, 952–957.
- Kanai R, Edwards GE.** 1999. The biochemistry of C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis. In: Rowan FS, Russell KM, eds. *C<sub>4</sub> plant biology*. San Diego: Academic Press, 49–87.
- Kromdijk J, Griffiths H, Schepers HE.** 2010. Can the progressive increase of C<sub>4</sub> bundle sheath leakiness at low PFD be explained by incomplete suppression of photorespiration? *Plant, Cell & Environment* **33**, 1935–1948.
- Kromdijk J, Schepers HE, Albanito F, Fitton N, Carroll F, Jones MB, Finnan J, Lanigan GJ, Griffiths H.** 2008. Bundle sheath leakiness and light limitation during C<sub>4</sub> leaf and canopy CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. *Plant Physiology* **148**, 2144–2155.
- Kubasek J, Setlik J, Dwyer S, Santrucek J.** 2007. Light and growth temperature alter carbon isotope discrimination and estimated bundle sheath leakiness in C<sub>4</sub> grasses and dicots. *Photosynthesis Research* **91**, 47–58.
- Langdale JA.** 2011. C<sub>4</sub> cycles: past, present, and future research on C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis. *Plant Cell* **23**, 3879–3892.
- Leegood RC, Walker RP.** 2003. Regulation and roles of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase in plants. *Archives Biochemistry and Biophysics* **414**, 204–210.
- Ludwig M.** 2013. Evolution of the C<sub>4</sub> photosynthetic pathway: events at the cellular and molecular levels. *Photosynthesis Research* **117**, 147–161.
- Meinzer FC, Plaut Z, Saliendra NZ.** 1994. Carbon isotope discrimination, gas exchange, and growth of sugarcane cultivars under salinity. *Plant Physiology* **104**, 521–526.
- Meinzer FC, Zhu J.** 1999. Efficiency of C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis in *Atriplex lentiformis* under salinity stress. *Functional Plant Biology* **26**, 79–86.
- Munns R, Termaat A.** 1986. Whole-plant responses to salinity. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **13**, 143–160.
- Munns R, Tester M.** 2008. Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **59**, 651–681.
- Neumann PM, Van Volkenburgh E, Cleland RE.** 1988. Salinity stress inhibits bean leaf expansion by reducing turgor, not wall extensibility. *Plant Physiology* **88**, 233–237.
- Omoto E, Taniguchi M, Miyake H.** 2012. Adaptation responses in C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis of maize under salinity. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **169**, 469–477.
- Pengelly JJ, Sirault XR, Tazoe Y, Evans JR, Furbank RT, von Caemmerer S.** 2010. Growth of the C<sub>4</sub> dicot *Flaveria bidentis*: photosynthetic acclimation to low light through shifts in leaf anatomy and biochemistry. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **61**, 4109–4122.
- Pengelly JJ, Tan J, Furbank RT, von Caemmerer S.** 2012. Antisense reduction of NADP-malic enzyme in *Flaveria bidentis* reduces flow of CO<sub>2</sub> through the C<sub>4</sub> cycle. *Plant Physiology* **160**, 1070–1080.
- Sage RF, Sage TL, Kocacinar F.** 2012. Photorespiration and the evolution of C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **63**, 19–47.
- Saliendra NZ, Meinzer FC, Perry M, Thom M.** 1996. Associations between partitioning of carboxylase activity and bundle sheath leakiness to CO<sub>2</sub>, carbon isotope discrimination, photosynthesis, and growth in sugarcane. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **47**, 907–914.
- Seemann J, Critchley C.** 1985. Effects of salt stress on the growth, ion content, stomatal behaviour and photosynthetic capacity of a salt-sensitive species, *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. *Planta* **164**, 151–162.
- Shabala S, Munns R.** 2012. Salinity stress: physiological constraints and adaptive mechanisms. In: *Plant Stress Physiology*. Wallingford, UK: CAB International, 59–93.
- Sharwood RE, von Caemmerer S, Maliga P, Whitney SM.** 2008. The catalytic properties of hybrid Rubisco comprising tobacco small and sunflower large subunits mirror the kinetically equivalent source Rubiscos and can support tobacco growth. *Plant Physiology* **146**, 83–96.
- Tazoe Y, Hanba YT, Furumoto T, Noguchi K, Terashima I.** 2008. Relationships between quantum yield for CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation, activity of key enzymes and CO<sub>2</sub> leakiness in *Amaranthus cruentus*, a C<sub>4</sub> dicot, grown in high or low light. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **49**, 19–29.
- Ubierna N, Sun W, Cousins AB.** 2011. The efficiency of C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis under low light conditions: assumptions and calculations with CO<sub>2</sub> isotope discrimination. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**, 3119–3134.
- Ubierna N, Sun W, Kramer DM, Cousins AB.** 2013. The efficiency of C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis under low light conditions in *Zea mays*, *Miscanthus x giganteus* and *Flaveria bidentis*. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **36**, 365–381.
- von Caemmerer S.** 2000. *Biochemical models of leaf photosynthesis*. Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing.
- von Caemmerer S, Farquhar GD.** 1981. Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. *Planta* **153**, 376–387.
- von Caemmerer S, Furbank RT.** 2003. The C<sub>4</sub> pathway: an efficient CO<sub>2</sub> pump. *Photosynthesis Research* **77**, 191–207.
- von Caemmerer S, Ludwig M, Millgate A, Farquhar GD, Price GD, Badger M, Furbank RT.** 1997a. Carbon isotope discrimination during C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis: insights from transgenic plants. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **24**, 487–494.
- von Caemmerer S, Millgate A, Farquhar GD, Furbank RT.** 1997b. Reduction of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase by antisense RNA in the C<sub>4</sub> plant *Flaveria bidentis* leads to reduced assimilation rates and increased carbon isotope discrimination. *Plant Physiology* **113**, 469–477.
- Walker RP, Chen ZH, Acheson RM, Leegood RC.** 2002. Effects of phosphorylation on phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase from the C<sub>4</sub> plant Guinea grass. *Plant Physiology* **128**, 165–172.
- Wingler A, Walker RP, Chen ZH, Leegood RC.** 1999. Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase is involved in the decarboxylation of aspartate in the bundle sheath of maize. *Plant Physiology* **120**, 539–546.