

Preventing a Secondary Epidemic of Lost Early Career Scientists Effects of COVID-19 Pandemic on Women with Children

Michelle I. Cardel¹, Natalie Dean², and Diana Montoya-Williams³

¹Department of Health Outcomes and Biomedical Informatics, and Pediatrics, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, Florida; ²Department of Biostatistics, University of Florida College of Public Health and Health Professions, Gainesville, Florida; and ³Department of Neonatology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-9395-8618 (M.I.C.); 0000-0003-3884-0921 (N.D.); 0000-0002-5882-1181 (D.M.-W.).

The novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) has reached pandemic levels, with more than 9 million infections and more than 475,000 deaths worldwide (1). Millions of people are on “stay-at-home” orders, universities have prohibited in-person classes, and schools and childcare centers have closed indefinitely. As a result, scientists are now working from home, converting their classes to an online platform, often while simultaneously caring for their children.

Before this pandemic, early career women investigators faced significant barriers to academic success (2). Given that prime reproductive years generally overlap with the early stage of scientific careers, four of five physician-scientists have children during this time (3). As such, family planning milestones (i.e., marriage and childbirth) occurring during this time period account for the largest loss of women in the academic pipeline, a finding that is not observed in men (4, 5). This loss is highlighted by a 2019 study among science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) faculty that reported 43% of women (compared with 23% of men) left full-time STEM employment after having their first child, loss rates that are significantly higher than those of faculty without children (6). Furthermore, studies have shown that even among high-achieving early career physicians and researchers, women take on significantly greater domestic and childcare

responsibilities and are less likely to have a stay-at-home partner compared with men (3). Together, these issues contribute to well-documented sex disparities in academia (2).

During this pandemic, women are disproportionately bearing the load of additional full-time caregiving and homeschooling responsibilities (7, 8), and those with very young children (ages 0–5) report significant decreases in hours worked and academic productivity (7). Though still early in the pandemic, decreased productivity among women is already evident, with overall manuscript submissions on a downward trend among women compared with men and women making up only 12% of the authors of COVID-19–related research (9). Meanwhile, those without children at home during stay-at-home orders report significantly increased measures of productivity (7). Thus, domestic burdens and childcare responsibilities are being amplified during COVID-19, and their combined impact on career productivity and funding acquisition will result in a triple threat to tenure and/or promotion for early career women. A powerful piece published by 35 women scientists highlights the difficulty of these times, detailing that women in STEM are fighting not only COVID-19 but also the patriarchy, resulting in desires to leave academia altogether (10). Lack of support and resources for women scientists will lead to a secondary epidemic



of lost early career physicians and scientists, particularly among those already vulnerable to leaks in the academic pipeline (e.g., early career women and women of color) (2). This will undo a great deal of the progress made regarding representation in STEM and result in a less diverse workforce (10). Less diverse scientific workforces clearly produce science that less effectively meets the needs of our diverse nation and world (11).

We challenge academic institutions and funding agencies to carefully strategize their approach toward the management of consequences resulting from the pandemic to sustain their future competitiveness and impact. Feasible policies and strategies can be implemented (see Table 1); those proposed herein provide actionable policies and procedures to create a safety net for all caregivers after the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly focusing on the needs of women early career investigators.

(Received in original form June 2, 2020; accepted in final form July 14, 2020)

This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

Correspondence and requests for reprints should be addressed to Michelle I. Cardel, Ph.D., M.S., R.D., Department of Health Outcomes and Biomedical Informatics and Pediatrics, University of Florida College of Medicine, 2004 Mowry Road, Suite 2247, P.O. Box 100177, Gainesville, FL 32610. E-mail: mcardel@ufl.edu.

Ann Am Thorac Soc Vol 17, No 11, pp 1366–1370, Nov 2020

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society

DOI: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.202006-589IP

Internet address: www.atsjournals.org

Table 1. Summary of recommendations and implemented examples demonstrating feasibility

Recommended Action	Example of Implemented Action
<p>Childcare responsibilities Create an infrastructure for identifying childcare resources while schools and childcare facilities remain closed and other caregiving resources are limited.</p>	<p>Some academic centers have created an internal marketplace wherein those without current work at the institution can list themselves and their skills for jobs they would be willing to do, including the following: University of Florida (https://ufhealth.org/news/2020/uf-medical-and-pa-students-volunteer-child-care-and-household-help-uf-health-employees) University of Washington (http://uwpires.admin.washington.edu/eng/candidates/HRFormsExt/uwc3fam.aspx)</p>
<p>Accommodate flexible working arrangements.</p>	<p>Several academic workplaces, including the following, have identified the necessity for flexible working arrangements for faculty/staff including ways to facilitate the process: The City University of New York (https://www.cuny.edu/coronavirus/flexible-work-arrangements/) Researchers from Northwestern University (http://faculty.wcas.northwestern.edu/?mdo738/research/COVID19_Gender_March_2020.pdf)</p>
<p>Funding Increase funding opportunities for early career researchers.</p>	<p>Some organizations, including the following, have increased support for early stage research projects by identifying new research grant opportunities: NBER (https://www.nber.org/callforpapers/2020grantsonwomenvictimizationandcovid19.html) NIBIB (https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PAR-20-084.html)</p>
<p>Develop “women-only” funding opportunities.</p>	<p>“Women-only” funding mechanisms, including the following, have become available to female-founded businesses as well as small minority and women of color businesses impacted by COVID-19: The Stacy’s Rise Project (https://stacysrise.helloalice.com/) Shea Moisture (https://www.sheamoisturefund.com/about)</p>
<p>Extend currently funded grant periods.</p>	<p>The NIH has approved the no-cost extension of currently funded grant periods for up to 1 yr (https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-20-086.html).</p>
<p>Offer administrative supplements to offset resource loss during the pandemic.</p>	<p>The NSF is offering administrative relief with the ability to charge costs to grants that would not normally be allowed (i.e., travel/event cancellations and costs associated with the pause and/or restart of research activities) (https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/covid19/covid19_nsfombimplementation.pdf).</p>
<p>Extend grant submission periods.</p>	<p>To provide potential applicants more time to submit their applications to RFA-HL-19-015, the NIH NHLBI has added a second receipt date for the last application cycle (https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-HL-20-793.html).</p>
<p>Managing promotion and tenure Editors should prioritize women-authored papers.</p>	<p>One journal has a call out to highlight sex issues in science and the work of female researchers. Specifically they invite papers authored by female-led research teams or focused theoretically or empirically on women (https://www.journals.elsevier.com/personality-and-individual-differences/call-for-papers/women-in-personality). In 2019, <i>The Lancet</i> published an entire women-focused issue: https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/issue/vol393no10171/PIIS0140-6736(19)X0006-9</p>
<p>Monitor sex breakdowns in promotion and tenure.</p>	<p>University of Texas has created a council dedicated to overseeing gender equity in faculty salaries, promotion, and endowments (https://provost.utexas.edu/faculty-affairs/gender-equity-council).</p>
<p>Carefully monitor allocation of new teaching and service loads.</p>	<p>Faculty recommendations have been developed featuring essential advice/tools for adaptation that include monitoring teaching loads (excerpts from <i>The Chronicle Guide to Coronavirus and Your Career</i>) (https://www.chronicle.com/article/How-the-Coronavirus-Will/248750?cid=cp275).</p>
<p>Evaluate policies implemented during or as a result of COVID-19.</p>	<p>Recommendations for evaluating the ongoing implementation of COVID-19 policies have been derived (excerpts from <i>The Chronicle Guide to Coronavirus and Your Career</i>) (https://www.chronicle.com/article/How-the-Coronavirus-Will/248750?cid=cp275). University of Illinois System has created a task force to characterize the effects of the pandemic on economics, health, health care, communities, and policies (https://igpa.uillinois.edu/page/igpa-covid-19-pandemic-task-force).</p>
<p>Reinvent what success in academia looks like.</p>	<p>The Australian Academy of Science has developed a plan for addressing equity for women in STEM, providing numerous suggestions for how to move forward to achieve a strong, equitable STEM workforce (https://www.science.org.au/files/userfiles/support/reports-and-plans/2019/gender-diversity-stem/women-in-STEM-decadal-plan-final.pdf)</p>

Definition of abbreviations: COVID-19 = coronavirus disease; NBER = National Bureau of Economic Research; NHLBI = National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; NIBIB = National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering; NIH = U.S. National Institutes of Health; NSF = National Science Foundation; STEM = science, technology, engineering, and mathematics.

Childcare Responsibilities

Academic work, including manuscript and grant writing, requires a significant amount of focus and attention. Caregiving responsibilities are incompatible with scientific productivity, in which we engage in “intellectual jumping jacks” all day. Without childcare available, scientists are incapable of producing at typical capacity or managing their teams efficiently (12). Creating and identifying safe ways to obtain family care is essential; without it, sustained productivity is fundamentally impossible and equal opportunities to success become eliminated. Thus, we propose the following suggestions:

- **Create an infrastructure for identifying family-care resources while schools and childcare facilities remain closed and other caregiving resources are limited.** Some academic institutions have begun to create internal marketplaces wherein those without current work at the institution can list themselves and their skills for jobs they would be willing to do. This infrastructure could be used, in part, for childcare or elder-care services and could provide a financial subsidy for those waiting to return to their prior jobs. Though some departments and facilities have done this at a grassroots level, our suggestion is for all institutions to create a widespread infrastructure for this to occur.
- **Accommodate flexible working arrangements.** Given the continued uncertainty of the pandemic, flexible and/or work-from-home arrangements are necessary for researchers. Some researchers may need to share family-care responsibilities with a partner and will likely deviate from a standard schedule. To avoid overburdening early career scientists and clinician scientists, academic institutions should actively facilitate and encourage discussions about creative solutions to overcome challenges presented by COVID-19 (e.g., virtual schooling hours for children). The facilitation of alternate working arrangements will allow faculty to achieve maximum productivity while still meeting family needs. Institutions or departments that demand a physical presence in the office and/or adherence to a predefined set of hours can create a situation in which individuals must choose either family wellbeing or their

job. This is a “lose-lose situation” for all, and institutions risk losing faculty who are unable to comply, contributing to a further loss of diversity in the workplace.

Funding

Acquiring and maintaining grant funding is a key component to a successful career in academia. From 2006 to 2017, only 43.6% of U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants given to first-time primary investigators were given to women, with the median funding at \$126,615 for women and \$165,721 for men (13). Thus, even before the COVID-19 pandemic, women were experiencing disparities aligned with a key factor for success within academic careers. Given that caregiving responsibilities and stay-at-home orders will provide additional barriers for the submission and success of grant proposals, academic institutions and other funding agencies have an opportunity to revise existing policies and create new ones in response to the emerging needs of early career researchers with caregiving responsibilities during these unique times. We suggest the following:

- **Develop “women-only” funding opportunities.** Develop women-only pilot and career development award opportunities given the unique vulnerabilities and underrepresentation of women and women of color in science (2).
- **Extend currently funded grant periods.** Automatically extend currently funded grants for early career researchers as a no-cost, 1-year extension. This will decrease the potential for negative perceptions related to the need for a no-cost extension at future times of career evaluation.
- **Offer administrative supplements to offset resource loss during the pandemic.** Offer administrative supplements to existing grants for early career investigators to account for the extra time and costs resulting from changes to study protocols and timelines and offer continued payment of personnel amid suspended research during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- **Extend grant submission periods.** Allow for grant submission extensions in the year after the pandemic for those who can provide justification (e.g., caregiving

responsibilities or diagnosis of COVID-19 for themselves or a family member). This benefit is already provided to members of NIH standing study sections as a recognition of the social importance of their service and could easily be extended to others.

- **Increase funding opportunities for early career researchers.** Pools of funding dedicated to early career researchers (e.g., NIH F31, F32, T32, and K-level awards) should be increased. Furthermore, identifying, diverting, or creating institutional pilot funds for early career investigators who are caregivers and require additional support could prove beneficial (e.g., single parents, parents of young children, and those with elder-care responsibilities). The NIH has created similar funding opportunities to support investigators who are returning to the scientific workforce after interruption for family responsibilities (93% are women) and demonstrate that funding support is related to publications, application for independent research grants, and acquisition of assistant or associate professor positions after reentry (14). Accommodations and funding extensions for graduate students and postdoctoral fellows may also be necessary because many may need additional time to complete projects, particularly those who are caregivers.

Managing Promotion and Tenure

Teaching, service, research, and clinical time can all play a significant role in tenure and/or promotion; however, research, teaching, and clinical time lead to greater prestige and are considered the pillars for academic promotion, whereas service is recognized but not considered critical (2, 15). Before COVID-19, national survey data found that women spend 31 more hours per year conducting service-related work than men (16), with disproportionate loads even more pronounced among faculty of color (17). COVID-19 has presented additional service opportunities (e.g., serving on a COVID-19–related task force), although participation is unlikely to improve odds of tenure or promotion. Thus, the implementation and evaluation of policies to ensure equity in service loads and

academic promotion is essential. It is also crucial to be mindful of blanket policies that could unintentionally lead to widened disparities among varied groups. This has happened previously, such as with the well-intentioned sex-neutral clock-stopping family policies, in which data demonstrated that the adoption of sex-neutral tenure clock-stopping policies substantially reduced tenure rates of women while substantially increasing tenure rates of men (18). Thus, we suggest the following:

- **Editors should consider and prioritize sex and racial/ethnic equity when considering scientific manuscripts for publication in their journals.** Editors can place a greater focus on women-authored papers by conducting special issues written by women-led teams. In addition, editors should consider tracking metrics and monitoring the number of manuscripts published with women and/or racial/ethnic minorities in first and senior author positions and make needed changes to achieve equity.
- **Monitor demographic breakdowns in tenure and promotion.** Institutions should regularly monitor sex and racial/ethnic breakdowns in tenure and promotion and work to correct these discrepancies. This can be accomplished by implementing an academic equity council that analyzes inequities of pay, time to tenure and promotion, and metrics of endowments. Although this is an essential policy at all times, it is particularly relevant in the decade to come after the COVID-19 pandemic (2).
- **Carefully monitor the allocation of new teaching and service loads.** Many universities are cutting back on adjuncts and lecturers, creating an increased and disproportionate demand for formal teaching and informal mentoring (17, 19). These additional teaching and service loads must not be disproportionately placed on women or racial/ethnic minorities.

- **Evaluate policies implemented during or as a result of COVID-19.** Institutions should assess the effect of any implemented policies to ensure there are no unintended consequences resulting in the magnification of disparities, particularly among racial/ethnic minorities and women, for whom many disparities already exist (2, 3, 6, 13, 18, 20). Best practices should be disseminated widely and shared across institutions.
- **Conduct a needs assessment.** Each institution and department will have unique barriers and facilitators to success for vulnerable faculty. We encourage academic institutions to proactively reach out to women academics to conduct needs assessments. In our collective experience across a variety of academic settings, early career investigators are goal- and solution-oriented, often teeming with ideas that could improve the cultural environment of an institution and decrease losses among some of the more vulnerable sectors of the workforce.
- **Reinvent what success in academia looks like.** Redefining what academic success looks like and putting more emphasis on scientific impact beyond traditional metrics of manuscripts and grants could help move science forward and alleviate sex and racial/ethnic disparities in tenure and promotion. This could include the addition of metrics related to science communication, community-based implementation, dissemination (e.g., Altmetric scores), effective mentoring, and advocacy work.

Conclusions

The COVID-19 pandemic has raised a magnifying glass to many disparities within our society, including inequities for caregivers, who are predominantly women. In this call to action, we have identified

actionable strategies and resources for promoting inclusive excellence after the unintended, yet damaging, consequences of COVID-19 on the potential success of early career academic women. Moreover, the data we present herein can be used to appeal to state policymakers, whose influence over funding allocations will affect the extent to which an institution can implement the recommended changes. This is also an opportunity for individuals who are not affected by these issues to recognize their presence and use their voice to speak out. Similar to addressing issues of racial/ethnic inequalities in science and health, we have a stronger voice when the majority voice and the marginalized voice work together to create change.

Importantly, we recognize that nearly everyone in the world has encountered substantial barriers and difficulties during the COVID-19 pandemic. This piece highlights the problems that have been prevalent for a subset of academics—women early career investigators with family responsibilities—and addresses their specific issues. However, lessons learned in this context could help inform strategies to recruit and retain all women within academia, regardless of caregiving status, racial/ethnic minority status, or membership of another underrepresented group. It is critical that academic institutions work to proactively retain their early career researchers who may leave academia if the necessary support is not provided. Structural changes such as those we suggest will be crucial to prevent a secondary epidemic of lost early career scientists.

If there is one thing this pandemic has reminded us of, yet again, it is that equity and justice require concrete and widespread commitment and the implementation and evaluation of policies to address inequalities. ■

Author disclosures are available with the text of this article at www.atsjournals.org.

References

- 1 Coronavirus Resource Center. 2020 [accessed 2020 Jun 24]. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University & Medicine. Available from: <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/>.
- 2 Cardel MI, Dhurandhar E, Yarak-Fisher C, Foster M, Hidalgo B, McClure LA, et al. Turning chutes into ladders for women faculty: a review and roadmap for equity in academia. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2020;29:721–733.
- 3 Jolly S, Griffith KA, DeCastro R, Stewart A, Ubel P, Jaggi R. Gender differences in time spent on parenting and domestic responsibilities by high-achieving young physician-researchers. *Ann Intern Med* 2014;160:344–353.

- 4 Goulden M, Frasch K, Mason MA. Staying competitive: patching America's leaky pipeline in the sciences. Washington, DC: Center for American Progress; 2009.
- 5 Goulden M, Mason MA, Frasch K. Keeping women in the science pipeline. *Ann Am Acad Pol Soc Sci* 2011;638:141–162.
- 6 Cech EA, Blair-Loy M. The changing career trajectories of new parents in STEM. *PNAS* 2019;116:4182–4187.
- 7 Krukowski RA, Jagsi R, Cardel MI. Academic productivity differences by gender and child age in STEMM faculty during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Womens Health* (In press)
- 8 Manzo LKC, Minello A. Mothers, childcare duties, and remote working under COVID-19 lockdown in Italy: cultivating communities of care. *Dialogues Hum Geogr* [online ahead of print] 10 Jun 2020; DOI: 10.1177/2043820620934268.
- 9 Frederickson M. COVID-19's gendered impact on academic productivity. San Francisco, CA: GitHub, Inc., 2020 [accessed 2020 May 27]. Available from: <https://github.com/drfreder/pandemic-pub-bias/blob/master/README.md>.
- 10 Buckee C, Hedt-Gauthier B, Mahmud A, Martinez P, Tedijanto C, Murray M, *et al*. Women in science are battling both Covid-19 and the patriarchy. *Times Higher Education*; 2020 [accessed 2020 May 28]. Available from: <https://www.timeshighereducation.com/blog/women-science-are-battling-both-covid-19-and-patriarchy>.
- 11 Bali S, Dhatt R, Lal A, Jama A, Van Daalen K, Sridhar D; Gender and COVID-19 Working Group; Women in Global Health, and Gender and COVID-19 Working Group. Off the back burner: diverse and gender-inclusive decision-making for COVID-19 response and recovery. *BMJ Glob Health* 2020;5:e002595.
- 12 Kyvik S, Teigen M. Child care, research collaboration, and gender differences in scientific productivity. *Sci Technol Human Values* 1996; 21:54–71.
- 13 Oliveira DFM, Ma Y, Woodruff TK, Uzzi B. Comparison of National Institutes of Health grant amounts to first-time male and female principal investigators. *JAMA* 2019;321:898–900.
- 14 Plank-Bazinet JL, Bunker Whittington K, Cassidy SKB, Filart R, Cornelison TL, Begg L, *et al*. Programmatic efforts at the National Institutes of Health to promote and support the careers of women in biomedical science. *Acad Med* 2016;91:1057–1064.
- 15 Misra J, Lundquist JH, Holmes E, Agiomavritis S. The ivory ceiling of service work. Washington, D.C.: American Association of University Professors; 2011 [accessed 2020 May 28]. Available from: <https://www.aaup.org/article/ivory-ceiling-service-work#.XxdWQp5Kg2w>.
- 16 Guarino CM, Borden VMH. Faculty service loads and gender: are women taking care of the academic family? *Res High Educ* 2017;58: 672–694.
- 17 Carson TL, Aguilera A, Brown SD, Peña J, Butler A, Dulin A, *et al*. A seat at the table: strategic engagement in service activities for early-career faculty from underrepresented groups in the academy. *Acad Med* 2019;94:1089–1093.
- 18 Antecol H, Bedard K, Stearns J. Equal but inequitable: who benefits from gender-neutral tenure clock stopping policies? Bonn, Germany: IZA; 2016 [accessed 2020 May 27]. Available from: <http://ftp.iza.org/dp9904.pdf>.
- 19 Rodriguez JE, Campbell KM, Pololi LH. Addressing disparities in academic medicine: what of the minority tax? *BMC Med Educ* 2015;15:6.
- 20 Hoppe TA, Litovitz A, Willis KA, Meseroll RA, Perkins MJ, Hutchins BI, *et al*. Topic choice contributes to the lower rate of NIH awards to African-American/black scientists. *Sci Adv* 2019;5:eaaw7238.