An improved bound on the Maximum Agreement Subtree problem
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Abstract

We improve the lower bound on the extremal version of the Maximum Agreement Subtree problem. Namely we prove that two binary trees on the same \( n \) leaves have subtrees with the same \( \geq c \log \log n \) leaves which are homeomorphic, such that homeomorphism is identity on the leaves.
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1 Introduction

A phylogenetic $X$-tree is a binary tree in which the leaves are labelled bijectively with labels from a set $X$ (usually $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$) and internal vertices are unlabelled. Two phylogenetic $X$-trees are considered the same, if there is a label-preserving graph isomorphism between them.

If $T$ is phylogenetic $X$-tree and $Y \subseteq X$ is a set of labels, then the induced binary subtree $T|_Y$ is defined as follows: (a) take the subtree induced by $Y$ in $T$, and (b) substitute paths in which all internal vertices have degree 2 by edges. $T|_Y$ is a phylogenetic $Y$-tree (see Fig. 1).

If $|Y| = 4$, the induced binary subtree is often identified with an unordered partition of $Y$ into two two-element sets, obtained by removing the (unique) internal edge of $T|_Y$. This partition is known as quartet split. It has been known that the $\binom{n}{4}$ quartet splits of phylogenetic $X$-tree with $|X| = n$ determine the phylogenetic tree through a polynomial time algorithm. This was first observed in 1981 by Colonius and Schultze [2], in the context of stemmatology, and was developed further in 1986 by Bandelt and Dress [1].

An important algorithmic problem, known as the Maximum Agreement Subtree Problem, is the following: given two phylogenetic $X$–trees, find a common induced binary subtree of the largest possible size.

Figure 1: For $X = \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ and the two phylogenetic $X$–trees shown ($T$ and $F$), a maximum agreement subtree is the phylogenetic tree $G = T|_Y = F|_Y$ shown, where $Y = \{2,3,4,6\}$.

This problem has a history that spans more than 25 years, from papers in the early 1980s by Gordon [5], and Finden and Gordon [3]; to its implementation in the late 1990s in the widely-used phylogenetic software PAUP [11]. Somewhat surprisingly, this problem can be solved in polynomial time [10] (see also [4] and [7]).
Here we focus on the extremal version of the problem. Let \( \text{mast}(n) \) denote the smallest order (number of leaves, or vertices) of the maximum agreement subtree of two phylogenetic X-trees with \( |X| = n \). In 1992, Kubicka, Kubicki, and McMorris [6] showed that \( c_1 (\log \log n)^{1/2} < \text{mast}(n) < c_2 \log n \) with some explicit constants.

The purpose of our note is to remove the squareroot sign from the lower bound. This is achieved by changing the order of two combinatorial steps, one resulting in taking logarithm twice, the other taking a squareroot. Of course, the squareroot sign after the \( \log \log \) is no longer visible.

First we would like to exhibit a direct connection to Ramsey theory, which might explain the large gap between the lower and upper bounds for \( \text{mast}(n) \). Let \( R^4_k(n, \ell) \) denote the smallest integer \( m \) such that for any coloration of the \( k \)-element subsets of any \( m \)-element set with colors Red and Blue, there exists an \( n \)-element subset of the \( m \)-element set, such that every \( k \)-element subset of the \( m \)-element set is colored Red, or there exists an \( \ell \)-element subset of the \( m \)-element set, such that every \( k \)-element subset of the \( m \)-element set is colored Blue (see Chapter 14 in [8]).

**Claim.** \( \text{mast}[R^4_2(n, 6)] \geq n \).

**Proof.** We first recall an observation from [1] that for \( |X| = 6 \), any two phylogenetic X-trees share a quartet split. Given \( T \) and \( F \) arbitrary phylogenetic X—trees with \( |X| = R^4_2(n, 6) \), color 4-subsets of \( X \) Red, if they define the same quartet split, otherwise Blue. No six elements of \( X \) can have all 4-subsets Blue by the previous reference, so there are \( n \) elements from \( X \) such that all their 4-subsets are colored Red. As the binary tree is determined by its quartet splits, these \( n \) elements span a size \( n \) agreement subtree, thereby establishing the Claim.

This approach would give an explicit lower bound for \( \text{mast}(n) \) in the form of a multiply-iterated logarithm, much weaker than \( c_1 (\log \log n)^{1/2} \).

Before proving our result, we quickly show \( c_1 (\log \log n)^{1/2} < \text{mast}(n) \) following the approach in the 1992 paper by Kubicka, Kubicki, and McMorris [6]. Recall that a caterpillar is a tree, which has a path such that every leaf has a neighbor on the path (for example, the tree \( F \) in Fig. 1). Let us be given two phylogenetic X-trees \( T \) and \( F \) with \( |X| = n \). As our trees are binary, the diameter of \( T \) is at least \( c_3 \log n \). Therefore \( T \) must have an induced binary caterpillar subtree with leaf set \( Y \), such that \( |Y| \geq c_3 \log n \). Consider the induced binary subtree \( F|_Y \), which must have diameter \( \geq c_4 \log \log n \). Like we argued before, there should be a \( Z \subseteq Y \) such that \( F|_Z \) is a caterpillar and \( |Z| \geq c_4 \log \log n \). Notice that \( T|_Z = (T|_Y)|_Z \) is also a caterpillar. Recall the Erdős-Szekeres Theorem (Ex. 14.15 in [8]) for sequences: two sequences composed from the same \( k^2 + 1 \) items have either a common \( k + 1 \) length subsequence, or they have a common \( k + 1 \) length subsequence after reversing the order in one sequence. As caterpillar trees can be understood as sequences of their leaves, two caterpillar trees with the same \( k^2 + 1 \) leaves contain size \( k + 1 \) agreement subtrees. Apply this with the largest \( k \) such that \( k^2 + 1 \leq c_4 \log \log n \).
Before turning to our main result, we need some definitions. We say that a phylogenetic X-tree $T$ is drawn on the plane if it is drawn as a plane graph. The circumference of phylogenetic tree drawn on the plane is the cyclic permutation of $X$, the leaf set, as we walk around $T$ clockwise. This concept has been a useful combinatorial tool elsewhere (see, for example, [9]) and we illustrate it here in Fig. 1 by noting that the circumference of this drawing of $T$ is the cyclic permutation $(1, 4, 3, 6, 5, 2)$.

Note that for $Y \subseteq X$ the induced binary subtree of $T$ (by $Y$) has a natural drawing following steps (a) and (b) by deleting edges and vertices from the plane drawing, and then removing the vertex designation of vertices of degree 2, but keeping the curve representing the path for representing the new edge. For this natural drawing of $T|_Y$, the circumference is the circumference of the drawing of $T$ restricted to $Y$. For the tree $T$ in Fig. 1, and the subset $Y = \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ the circumference of the induced drawing of $T|_Y$ is cyclic permutation $(2, 4, 3, 6)$ (the same as the circumference of the given drawing of $G$) while the circumference of the induced drawing of $F|_Y$ is the cyclic permutation $(2, 3, 4, 6)$.

Theorem 1.1 For a constant $c > 0$, we have:

$$c \log \log n < \text{mast}(n).$$

Proof. Take two arbitrary phylogenetic X-trees, $T$ and $F$, with $|X| = n$ and draw them in the plane. Cut the resulting circumferences anywhere to obtain two (linear) permutations of $X$. By the Erdős-Szekeres Theorem, there is subset $U \subseteq X$, such that the two permutations either put $U$ into the same linear order, or into opposite linear order, and $|U| \geq c_5 n^{1/2}$. Like in the proof explained before the theorem, $T|_U$ has diameter $\geq c_3 \log |U| \geq c_6 \log n$. Therefore $T|_U$ has an induced binary subtree which is caterpillar, with leaf set $V$, such that $|V| \geq c_6 \log n$. Consider now the induced binary subtree $F|_V$. The diameter of $F|_V$ is at least $c_3 \log |V| \geq c_7 \log \log n$, and therefore there should be a $Z \subseteq V$, such that $F|_Z = (F|_V)|_Z$ is a caterpillar and $|Z| \geq c_7 \log \log n$. Both $T|_Z$ and $F|_Z$ are caterpillars. By the choice of $U$, these two caterpillars have the same or mirror image circumferences. In the second case, starting this proof with the mirror image of the drawing of $F$, we can make sure that the caterpillars $T|_Z$ and $F|_Z$ have identical circumferences. Taking the longest path from $T|_Z$ (resp. $F|_Z$), this path partition the $|Z| - 2$ non-endpoint leaves of $T|_Z$ (resp. $F|_Z$) into two classes, corresponding to the two sides. We have two 2-partitions of $|Z| - 4$ or more elements into two classes - it is easy to see that some partition classes must have at least $(|Z| - 4)/4$ elements in common, say $W$. Now $T|_W = (T|_Z)|_W$ and $F|_W = (F|_Z)|_W$ are the common induced binary subtree of $T$ and $F$, and $|W| \geq c_8 \log \log n$. \qed

Remark. It would be interesting to see whether Theorem 1.1 can be tightened. In
particular, it is conceivable that the much stronger bound $c' \log(n) < \text{mast}(n)$ holds, which would be best possible, up to the constant factor.
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