Processive cytoskeletal motors from the myosin, kinesin, and dynein families walk on actin filaments and microtubules to drive cellular transport and organization in eukaryotic cells. These remarkable molecular machines are able to take hundreds of successive steps at speeds of up to several microns per second, allowing them to effectively move vesicles and organelles throughout the cytoplasm. Here, we focus on single-molecule fluorescence techniques and discuss their wide-ranging applications to the field of cytoskeletal motor research. We cover both traditional fluorescence and sub-diffraction imaging of motors, providing examples of how fluorescence data can be used to measure biophysical parameters of motors such as coordination, stepping mechanism, gating, and processivity. We also outline some remaining challenges in the field and suggest future directions.
motors need not be processive and their motility may not be immediately apparent on a single-molecule level. However, it was soon discovered that many other cytoskeletal motors transport cargos in small teams or alone [2,3], a function requiring the molecules to be able to make many successive steps without diffusing away from the track. In order to achieve processive motion, a molecular motor must remain tethered to the track throughout its entire mechanochemical cycle, a requirement that potentially explains why the vast majority of processive motors discovered to date possess two or more track binding sites.

The first direct confirmation of motor processivity was achieved by imaging individual kinesin molecules walking along microtubules [4], and was soon followed by similar observations on myosin [3] and dynein [5]. Single-motor motility assays are performed under total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) [6] illumination, in which the evanescent field of a laser beam reflects off the water/glass interface excites fluorescently tagged motors moving along surface-immobilized tracks (Fig. 1B). The intensity of the evanescent field falls off exponentially with distance from the cover-slip, limiting the depth of the excitation region to a few hundred nanometers and greatly reducing background fluorescence from the bulk solution. Observing the motors directly in real time allows for measurement of a number of fundamental properties. Kinesin-1 was shown to travel on average 600 nm before dissociating from the track, demonstrating that a typical run consisted of ~100 mechanical cycles [4] assuming the previously measured 8 nm step size [7]. Repeating the experiment with kinesin constructs lacking their dimerization domain showed that kinesin-1 requires both heads to remain processive. It has furthermore been shown that Unc104 [8] and myosin VI [9] motors transition from diffusional to directional processive motion upon dimerization at high concentrations. The requirement for dimerization for processive motility was also demonstrated in yeast cytoplasmic dynein by designing monomers with chemically inducible dimerization domains [5].

3. Regulation of motors

A cell employs regulatory mechanisms to control the attachment of motors to cargos, to modulate their velocity or force production depending on the specific task they’re performing, or to prevent them from undergoing futile cycles of ATP hydrolysis when not engaged with the track [10]. Such mechanisms can be grouped into two general categories: autoinhibition and inhibition by small molecules or regulatory proteins. Motility experiments on kinesin-1 mutants with the tail domain either truncated or made less flexible at a prominent hinge showed that both mutants moved 2 to 3-fold faster than wild-type kinesin and exhibited greatly enhanced processivity. This points towards an autoinhibition mechanism wherein kinesin’s tail acts as a repressor of the motor domain in the absence of bound cargo [11]. Crystallographic work later showed that this inhibition occurs via a tail-mediated crosslinking of the two motor domains, preventing the separation of the two heads required for neck linker undocking [12]. Similar autoinhibitory mechanisms appear to be present in kinesin-2 [13], kinesin-3 [14], and myosin V [15–17] motors.

For cytoplasmic dynein, several distinct regulatory proteins were identified. Lis1 impacts dynein motility on a single-molecule level [18], effectively anchoring dynein to its track. Interestingly, this mechanism does not prevent futile cycles of ATP hydrolysis, suggesting that dynein may also have an autoinhibitory mechanism yet to be discovered. Lis1–based anchoring potentially configures dynein for low-speed, high-force cellular tasks such as anchoring spindle microtubules during mitosis. Another dynein regulator, She1, diffuses along microtubules until it encounters a walking dynein. She1 binds and pauses the motor, prolonging its attachment to the microtubule [19]. A small-molecule inhibitor, monastrol, was used to target homotetrameric kinesin Eg5, which slides apart microtubules and contributes to the assembly of the mitotic spindle [20]. The effect of monastrol in Eg5 motility was
tested using single molecule fluorescence assays. Eg5 is a processive plus end-directed motor that occasionally switches into diffusive mode. The addition of the drug monastrol significantly enriches the diffusive state while effectively abolishing directional motion [21]. Similarly, chlorobrevin D specifically inhibits dynein motors in vivo [22]. Targeting specific motors may serve as alternatives to tubulin-targeting antimiticotropic agents used in cancer therapy, with potentially fewer side effects due to their highly specialized roles in mitosis [23].

4. Sub-diffraction imaging

Processive motors were found to generally require two head domains to remain motile, which immediately opened the question of how they coordinate their motions to generate successive steps without simultaneously releasing from the track. One may picture the motor walking much like a human would, taking regular alternating steps of equal sizes with its two “feet”. This mechanism, which is referred to as “hand over hand” (HoH), requires a large degree of coordination between the two heads, as each head takes a step in the trailing position and remains firmly attached in the lead [24]. Another proposed possibility is the “inchworm” model, wherein the full cycle consists of two nearly simultaneous steps by the heads and results in a translation of the motor without changing the relative orientation of the two heads [25]. Such a mechanism requires more strict coordination than HoH due to the added timing constraint between the steps of the trailing and leading head. The stochastic stepping model abolishes coordination altogether and allows the heads to move forward independently of their partner. In this case, spontaneous release from the track is prevented by the low probability of simultaneously finding both heads in the unbound state.

The spatiotemporal resolution required to distinguish between these possibilities and investigate the kinetics of stepping resulted in early adoption of sub-diffraction imaging techniques. The diffraction-limited image of a single fluorescent molecule (termed point spread function, or PSF) has a width of approximately $\lambda/(2 \text{N.A.})$, where $\lambda$ is the wavelength of light and N.A. is the numerical aperture of the objective lens. Using the highest N.A. (1.49–1.65) objectives available, the image of a point-like object emitting visible photons has a width of ~250 nm. This width is an order of magnitude larger than the step size of the motors (8–37 nm). Resolving the stepping pattern requires a significant improvement in resolution, which prompted the use of sub-diffraction fluorophore localization. While the width of a single fluorophore’s image cannot be readily decreased beyond the fundamental limit, its peak position can be determined with high precision by collecting a sufficient number of photons (on the order of 20000 photons for 1 nm localization accuracy) [26] (Fig. 1C). With the important limitation that individual molecules must be well-separated on the camera’s detector, a 2-dimensional Gaussian fit can localize their positions to ~1 nm at sub-second frame rates using organic dyes [27,28] (Fig. 1D).

Because the precision with which a fluorophore can be localized within a frame generally scales as the square root of the number of collected photons [27], the photostability of the probe is of paramount importance for the acquisition of high-resolution videos of walking molecules. The most critical parameter is the average total number of photons emitted by the fluorophore before it undergoes photobleaching. Photobleaching generally occurs when a fluorophore in an excited state chemically reacts with a singlet oxygen. This effect can be greatly reduced by removing oxygen from the system, using oxygen scavenging enzymes. Since oxygen enhances fluorescence by acting as an effective triplet state quencher, imaging buffers typically include a separate triplet state quencher to compensate for the absence of oxygen and prevent dye blinking [29]. As a result, efforts to increase fluorophore lifetimes have centered on triplet state quenchers and enzymatic systems for removal of free oxygen. Recent work showed that while thiol-containing compounds such as β-mercaptoethanol and l-glutathione are efficient triplet state quenchers, they can cause slow blinking of cyanine dyes, a problem that can be overcome by using Trolox as a quencher instead [30]. To remove free oxygen, one commonly used system is the glucose oxidase/catalase enzyme pair [31]. More recently, an enzymatic system based on protocatechuatoxyxygenase gained popularity because the byproduct of its reaction does not alter the pH of the buffer [32]. However, despite these improvements, photostability varies greatly between fluorophores [33] and the majority of fluorophores are not sufficiently stable for high-resolution tracking. Multi-frame localization with nanometer-scale precision has only been achieved to date using the best small organic probes (such as Cy3, Cy5, TMR and Atto647N) [28,34,35] and quantum dots [5,36,37].

5. Motor stepping studied with sub-diffraction imaging

Single-molecule tracking methods provided sufficient spatiotemporal accuracy to detect individual steps taken by cytoskeletal motors. Using optical traps, it had previously been shown that myosin V’s cargo binding domain moves on average in 37 nm steps [38,39], equivalent to the half helical pitch of the actin. To determine whether these steps resulted from an inchworm or HoH mechanism, myosin V motors were fluorescently labeled on one head and tracked with 1 nm precision as they walked on surface-immobilized actin filaments [28]. Had myosin been an inchworm motor, one would expect to see the heads step in 37 nm increments just like the cargo-binding domain. However, in practice each molecule exhibited a distinctly bimodal step size distribution, moving in 74 nm steps alternating with invisible “0 nm” steps [28]. The presence of the “0 nm” steps is revealed by the characteristic shape of the dwell time histogram, which changes from a single exponential decay into a convolution of two exponentials as the step of a single head consists of two consecutive events (Fig. 2A).

Later, kinesin-1 and myosin VI were demonstrated to be HoH stepping in a similar manner [35,40,41], while more recent work suggested that the two modes of stepping are not necessarily mutually exclusive as myosin VI motors are able to switch between HoH and inchworm-like steps [36].

The finding that a motor such as kinesin walks in strictly alternating steps necessitates some form of “gating” mechanism between the two heads that would prevent the leading head to release from the track until the trailing head completes its step. Several theories have been proposed for motor protein gating. The tubulin binding gate model postulates that the stepping head cannot bind to the next site on the track until its partner head binds a new ATP molecule [42]. Tension gating proposes that mechanical strain generated between the two heads plays a role in keeping the two heads out of phase. In this model, rear-head gated scenario suggests that the trailing head is mechanically pulled off the track by strain produced in the front head. The front head–gated scenario suggests that ATP binding to the front head is suppressed by rearward strain from the trailing head [43]. The importance of mechanical strain between the two heads was demonstrated by inserting flexible linkers of varying length between the two heads in the unbound state.

Because the precision with which a fluorophore can be localized within a frame generally scales as the square root of the number of collected photons [27], the photostability of the probe is of paramount importance for the acquisition of high-resolution videos of walking molecules. The most critical parameter is the average total number of photons emitted by the fluorophore before it undergoes photobleaching. Photobleaching generally occurs when a fluorophore in an excited state chemically reacts with a singlet oxygen. This effect can be greatly reduced by removing oxygen from the system, using oxygen scavenging enzymes. Since oxygen enhances fluorescence by acting as an effective triplet state quencher, imaging buffers typically include a separate triplet state
state and the intramolecular strain makes the off-pathway two-head bound states more unfavorable, rather than triggering release in a two head-bound state [45].

The stepping pattern of cytoplasmic dynein labeled with a single fluorophore was somewhat inconclusive about the way dynein walks along microtubules. Unlike kinesin, which takes regular 8 nm steps in a HoH fashion, dynein displays a large variability in step size, as well as frequent movement in sideways and backward directions [5]. To visualize how dynein’s heads move with respect to one another, two groups simultaneously pursued the goal of labeling dynein’s two heads with fluorophores of different color and obtaining stepping traces for them. An important challenge in dual-color super-resolution tracking is overlaying the images from both channels with sub-pixel accuracy. This can be achieved, for example, by scanning a fiducial marker (such as a broad-spectrum fluorescent bead) in small increments across the field of view, localizing it with a 2D Gaussian fit in each channel, and using the resulting position pairs to generate a map between the two channels [46]. Provided that the fiducial markers fluoresce at the same wavelengths as the actual fluorophores used in the experiment, mapping can correct for optical aberrations, CCD pixel-to-pixel variation, and physical differences between the two imaging paths, resulting in an overall mapping accuracy of up to 1 nm [46–48]. In the two-color dynein experiments, while Qiu et al. used organic dyes and DeWitt et al. opted for the larger but more photostable quantum dots, both reached the same conclusion that cytoplasmic dynein displays a large variability in stepping pattern compared to myosin and kinesin [34,47]. Both the size and timing of a dynein head’s step are only weakly affected by the position of the partner head (Fig. 2B). Dynein’s case clearly illustrates that gating is not necessary for processive motility and that long-range movement can be achieved by two mechanically linked heads, provided each spends the majority of its time bound to the track and only releases briefly to take the next step.

6. Imaging teams of motors

Intracellular cargoes such as lipid droplets, organelles, and endoplasmic reticulum vesicles are commonly transported by small teams of motors rather than individual proteins. Time-lapse observation of mitochondrial transport in axons and dendrites of cultured neurons exhibited diverse motility, ranging from slow unidirectional movement to rapid switching between bursts of fast retrograde and anterograde runs [49]. Similar bidirectional behavior was observed using a variety of techniques for phagosomes [50], endosomes [51], lipid droplets [52], neurofilaments [53], intraflagellar transport (IFT) trains [54], and other cargos. The cell may control the overall distribution of cargos in the cytoplasm by affecting either motor recruitment to cargos or the engagement of cargo-bound motors with the track [55]. Two commonly proposed
and not mutually exclusive models for how bidirectional transport may be achieved and regulated are stochastic tug-of-war between motors and proteins that modulate their behavior, such as inactivation/unbinding of motors of one polarity or specific inhibition of a particular class of motors.

An important challenge for understanding the mechanism of cargo transport is the difficulty of measuring the number of motors of each polarity that are attached to the cargo and engaged with the track at any given point in time. The total number of motors attached to a cargo can be measured by several methods, such as fluorescence bleaching counting assays or quantitative blotting [56]. However, not all of the cargo-bound motors may be active at a time. The number of actively engaged motors pulling the cargo was estimated to be relatively low (1–5 motors of each polarity) by in vivo and in vitro optical trapping assays, with an important underlying assumption that motor stall forces are additive at low copy numbers [51, 56–59]. High-resolution imaging of reconstituted neuronal transport vesicles revealed that even at such small motor numbers vesicles moved bidirectionally and exhibited rapid direction switching similarly to their in vivo counterparts, just as predicted by the mechanical tug-of-war model [56]. On the other hand, IFT trains in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii were found to move in a clearly coordinated manner, with motors of only one polarity active at a time [60], illustrating that regulation of transport in vivo is in no way limited to tug-of-war. An artificial DNA origami scaffold helps overcome the limitation of the motor number per cargo variability, by assembling well-defined groups of motors in vitro [61]. The presence of mechanical tug-of-war between multiple dyneins and kinesins were demonstrated by changing the relative numbers of the opposing motors on a scaffold. Cargoes with 2.5 times more kinesins than dyneins still moved in the retrograde direction despite dynein’s lower stall force, suggesting that parameters other than stall force (such as tenacity of microtubule attachment) may be more relevant for a motor’s tug-of-war performance.

7. Conclusion

The relatively non-invasive nature of fluorescence imaging, together with the high resolution tracking ability, enables direct observation of actively translocating motors under physiological conditions. Trajectories of single motors are used to measure parameters such as processivity, velocity, stepping pattern, interhead coordination, and regulation, which are critical for understanding how motors work alone or in teams. Even though much has been learned about how cytoskeletal motors operate, many more questions remain unanswered. Only a handful of motors have been studied in detail, and the evolutionary diversity of the myosin, kinesin, and dynein families suggests that novel properties and peculiarities will be revealed as new family members are isolated and subjected to scrutiny. Technical advances in the field, perhaps smaller and more photostable fluorescent probes or improved image analysis algorithms, will enable more detailed mechanistic studies and help resolve small-scale motions that lie below the current detection limit. As the individual stepping mechanisms of isolated motors become increasingly well understood, the field’s focus will likely continue to shift towards interactions between motors and proteins that modulate their behavior, such as other motors or dedicated regulatory proteins. The ultimate goal of this field, a comprehensive understanding of how powered intracellular transport is organized and regulated, will require a large concerted effort spanning several length scales in both living cells and artificial reconstituted systems.
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