

## Pilot study

# Effects of a single dose of a flavonoid-rich blueberry drink on memory in 8 to 10 y old children



Adrian R. Whyte M.Sc., Claire M. Williams Ph.D. \*

School of Psychology and Clinical Language Sciences, University of Reading, Earley Gate, Whiteknights, Reading, United Kingdom

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 18 June 2014

Accepted 23 September 2014

## Keywords:

Flavonoid  
Anthocyanin  
Blueberry  
Children  
Cognitive  
Memory  
Attention

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Recent evidence from animals and adult humans has demonstrated potential benefits to cognition from flavonoid supplementation. The aim of this study was to investigate whether these cognitive benefits extended to a sample of school-aged children.

**Method:** Using a crossover design, with a washout of at least 7 d between drinks, 14 children ages 8 to 10 y consumed either a flavonoid-rich blueberry drink or a matched vehicle. Two h after consumption, the children completed a battery of five cognitive tests comprising the Go-NoGo, Stroop, Rey's Auditory Verbal Learning Task, Object Location Task, and a Visual N-back.

**Results:** In comparison to the vehicle, the blueberry drink produced significant improvements in the delayed recall of a previously learned list of words, showing for the first time a cognitive benefit for acute flavonoid intervention in children. However, performance on a measure of proactive interference indicated that the blueberry intervention led to a greater negative impact of previously memorized words on the encoding of a set of new words. There was no benefit of our blueberry intervention for measures of attention, response inhibition, or visuospatial memory.

**Conclusions:** Although findings are mixed, the improvements in delayed recall found in this pilot study suggest that, following acute flavonoid-rich blueberry interventions, school-aged children encode memory items more effectively.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Flavonoids are a class of polyphenols found in abundance in the human diet. There are six main subclasses of flavonoids: flavonols, flavones, isoflavones, flavanones, flavanols, and anthocyanidins. These can be found in large concentrations in foods and supplements such as grapes, blueberries, tea, chocolate, and bark extracts such as Ginkgo biloba. In recent years, there has been considerable focus on the health benefits of consuming a diet, or dietary supplement, rich in flavonoids. Animal and human studies have found evidence of benefits to both vascular and cognitive function following flavonoid intervention [1–4]. For example, chronic supplementation with both

young and aging rodents has shown improved visuospatial memory in T, radial, and Morris water maze tasks [5,6] and improved long-term memory in an inhibitory avoidance task [7].

The improvements in memory seen in rodents are mirrored in adult studies with a recent review [4] reporting that positive visuospatial memory effects have been found in a number of adult studies [8,9]. The review [4] also noted that immediate verbal memory would seem to be particularly sensitive to chronic flavonoid or polyphenol berry fruit juice intervention in adults [10,11]. Furthermore, although not equivocal, positive results have been found on response interference tasks such as the Stroop task following chronic intervention [12]. Benefits also have been found after acute flavonoid intervention, with studies finding improvements in visuospatial memory [13], and also in tasks requiring elevated attention, inhibition, and executive function [13,14].

A number of mechanisms of action have been proposed to explain the beneficial actions of flavonoids on human cognition. These include facilitating increases in cerebral blood flow (CBF),

Both authors were responsible for the conception and design of the study; the generation, collection, assembly, analysis and/or interpretation of data; drafting or revision of the manuscript; and approval of the final version of the manuscript.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 118 378 7540; fax: +44 118 378 6715.

E-mail address: [Claire.williams@reading.ac.uk](mailto:Claire.williams@reading.ac.uk) (C. M. Williams).

protecting against neuronal stress, and positively mediating signaling pathways in the brain [2,6,15,16]. Here, research indicates that peak increases in CBF occur 2 h after acute cocoa flavonol intervention [15], whereas specific increases in endothelium-dependent vasodilation and availability of anthocyanin metabolites can be seen at 1 to 2 and 6 h after acute blueberry anthocyanin intervention [17].

Although it is widely accepted that diet influences the cognitive capabilities and development of children [18], to date no direct research has been reported on whether the cognitive benefits of flavonoid supplementation extend to children. However, were they to be mirrored in a child sample, it could be argued that the effects on memory and attention following flavonoid supplementation would be of benefit in an education setting. Coinciding with a spurt in frontal lobe growth, children between the ages of 7 and 10 develop sufficient cognitive ability to competently perform the type of executive function and memory tasks, which have shown improvement in adult studies [19]. Here, therefore, we describe a pilot study investigating the effects of an acute one-off dose of a flavonoid-rich blueberry drink on memory and attention in children ages 8 to 10 y.

## Material and methods

This study was reviewed by the University of Reading Research Ethics Committee and was given a favorable ethical opinion for conduct.

### Participants

An opportunity sample of 16 children in year 4 (age range 8.08–9.83 y) were recruited from two primary schools local to Reading University. Participants were screened in advance for fruit allergies, dyslexia, and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. As a further control, all participants performed a computerized version of the Raven's Progressive Matrices to provide a measure of fluid intelligence. Here, participants scored a mean of 82.5% (SD 12.8) with one participant being excluded from the study as they proved to be an extreme outlier on this measure scoring only 43%. One further child was unable to fully consume the intervention drink and was also excluded from the analysis. Therefore, 14 children were included in the analysis (10 boys) with an average age of 9.17 y (SD 0.6).

### Drink preparation and consumption

All drinks were prepared onsite at the University of Reading no more than 30 min before consumption. The flavonoid-rich blueberry drink contained 143 mg anthocyanins and was prepared by mixing 200 g of fresh Star variety blueberries with 100 mL of semi-skimmed milk and 8 g of sucrose (to aid palatability). The control drink was matched with the blueberry drink for sugars and vitamin C by adding 0.02 g vitamin C powder, 8.22 g sucrose, 9.76 g glucose, and 9.94 g fructose to 100 mL of semi-skimmed milk.

Participants consumed both drinks with a minimum 7-d washout period between each separate drink. Order of drink intervention was counterbalanced with children randomly allocated to either the control or blueberry drink before the first test session. Drinks were consumed either at the child's school or at the home address using a covered opaque cup and straw so that the children remained blind to condition.

### Cognitive measures

Given the known peaks in CBF, vasodilation, and metabolite availability, participants attended the laboratory 2 h after consuming the test beverages. The total duration of the task battery was 1 h.

### Go-NoGo

Participants pressed the space bar each time a target "mole" (Go) slide was displayed but avoided pressing the space bar when an infrequent "aubergine" non-target (NoGo) slide was displayed (stimuli courtesy of Sarah Getz and the Sackler Institute for Developmental Psychobiology). Data were analyzed using within-subject's *t* tests with drink as the independent variable and false alarms, correct go trial, and response time (RT) as the dependent variables.

### Rey's auditory-verbal learning test

Participants were played the same prerecorded list of 15 words (list A) followed by an immediate free recall on five consecutive occasions (recalls 1–5). An interference list (list B) of 15 words was then played to the participants followed by an immediate free recall (interference list recall). Participants then performed both a short (2 min) and long (25 min, occurring after the tasks described below had been completed) delayed free recall of list A (recalls 6 and 7). Finally, at the end of the test battery, participants performed a word-recognition task consisting of a printed list of 50 words containing all the words from lists A and B plus an additional 20 words. They were asked to circle only the words from list A (word recognition). Two equivalent versions of the Rey's Auditory-Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) were used as previously specified [20]. The RAVLT was analyzed using within-subject's *t* tests for the total acquisition, amount learned, proactive and retroactive interference, and word-recognition measures as previously specified. A 2(drink) × 5(recall) within-subject's analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to investigate performance over immediate recalls 1 to 5, whereas a 2(drink) × 2(recall) within-subject's ANOVA was performed to investigate the delayed recalls 6 and 7.

### Word-colour stroop

The words "blue," "red," "green," and "yellow" were displayed separately on the screen with each word being displayed in either congruent or incongruent ink colors. Participants were instructed to press the button on the keyboard that corresponded to the ink color of the word as quickly as possible. Data were analyzed using two separate 2(drink) × 2(congruence) within-subject's ANOVAs with accuracy and RT as dependent variables.

### Visuospatial n-back task

Participants were shown an array of eight "mole holes" displayed in a circle. They pressed a green-colored key every time a "mole" made a two-back appearance, that is, it appeared in the same "hole" as it had two trials previously. If the "mole" appeared in a different hole from the one it had appeared in two-back then participants pressed a red-colored key. Data were analyzed using two separate 2(drink) × 2(target type) within-subject's ANOVAs with accuracy and RT as dependent variables.

### Object location task


In this pen-and-paper task, participants were shown an array of 27 different objects for 1 min. They were then shown a new array with 20 additional items and given 1 min to cross through any new items. Participants were then shown an array containing only the original 27 items, 16 of which had moved position. They were given 1 min to circle those that remained in the same place and cross through those that had moved. The original task [21] and a new equivalent version developed for this study were used. The object and location memory scores were analyzed using within-subject's *t* tests.

## Results

No significant treatment-related main effects or interactions were found for the Go-NoGo, Stroop, N-back, and Object Location for either RT or accuracy responses. However, the RAVLT was shown to be particularly sensitive to flavonoid-induced changes in this sample of children.

As can be seen in Figure 1, regardless of drink type and as would be expected, during the RAVLT, word recall improved significantly over the first five successive repetitions of the word list ( $F_{1,13} = 79.14, P < 0.001$ ). However, although there was some indication of better performance following blueberry intervention, no significant difference was found between the two drinks for the first five recalls ( $F_{1,13} = 1.01, P = 0.315$ ), amount of words learned (recall 5–recall 1) ( $t_{13} = -0.76, P = 0.46$ ), final acquisition (recall 5) ( $t_{13} = 1.59, P = 0.136$ ), or word recognition measures ( $t_{13} = 1.01, P = 0.292$ ).


Importantly, when considering the delayed memory measures, there was better performance in the blueberry condition than under the vehicle. After the short 2-min delay, participants recalled a mean of 10.2 words following the blueberry intervention compared with only 8.8 for the vehicle; whereas following the 25-min delay, participants recalled a mean of 9.5 words compared with only 8 for the vehicle. A 2 (intervention) × 2 (recall) ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of drink for these recalls



**Fig. 1.** Mean number of words recalled ( $\pm$ SEM) by recall point and intervention type indicating better list A recall performance after anthocyanin intervention.

( $F_{1,13} = 5.31, P = 0.038$ ). Subsequent post-hoc analysis demonstrated that there was no significant difference between the drinks at the 2-min delay ( $t_{13} = 0.12, P = 0.116$ ), but a trend was found for the direct comparison between drinks at the 25-min delay recall ( $t_{13} = 1.97; P = 0.07$ ), indicating a positive benefit from the blueberry intervention on delayed memory recall.

As can be seen from Figure 2A, however, participants were less affected by proactive interference (PI) following the control drink when there was a decrease in PI (mean  $-0.42, SD 1.74$ ), contrasting with the blueberry drink when there was an increase in PI (mean  $0.57, SD 2.06$ ). This difference proved to be significant ( $t_{13} = 2.25, P = 0.043$ ). Looking at Figure 1, one can see that this PI effect is being primarily driven by lower performance on interference list recall after the blueberry intervention. However, where interference list recall was compared directly, the difference was found to be non-significant ( $t_{13} = -1.79, P = 0.097$ ) indicating that the PI effect found here was primarily an artifact of comparing PI calculations between sessions rather than a true indication of interference. In contrast, there was no significant effect for retroactive interference (Fig. 2B) ( $t_{13} = -0.74, P = 0.474$ ).



**Fig. 2.** (A) Proactive and (B) retroactive interference levels ( $\pm$ SEM) after anthocyanin and control interventions (\* $P < 0.05$ ).

## Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the cognitive benefits of an acute flavonoid intervention in a sample of children ages 8 to 10 y. Contrary to previous adult research, we failed to find significant effects on response inhibition, response interference, and visual memory tasks [13,14]. We did, however, find a significant improvement in delayed auditory recall performance alongside a negative PI effect following the flavonoid intervention. This gives a preliminary indication that anthocyanin intervention within this particular age group is sensitive to auditory recall memory measures.

Although a number of mechanisms of action have been proposed for flavonoids, two of the most influential ways of explaining the ways in which they affect cognitive function are by facilitating an increase in CBF following acute intervention [15] or by facilitating an up-regulation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) [6]. Given that levels of attention are known to be positively related to children's performance on the RAVLT [22], it is possible that a CBF-facilitated increase in oxygen is responsible for improved attentional ability at the point of encoding list A material during the RAVLT. Alternatively, the anthocyanin intervention may have facilitated up-regulation of BDNF levels aiding stronger encoding of the words contained in list A of the RAVLT. This would, in turn, have facilitated the improved delayed-recall effects seen in these results. Regardless of mechanism, these delayed-memory effects are encouraging in relation to the effects of flavonoids on improving retention of verbally delivered material within a learning environment such as a classroom.

Furthermore, it could be argued that the positive effects on recall and possible underlying mechanisms may have contributed, in part, to the seemingly negative PI effect. PI is defined as the negative effect of previously encoded material on the encoding of new material and here, it is possible that, following blueberry intervention, the more strongly encoded list A interfered with subsequent encoding of the list B material. Additional research is required to further test this finding. However, it should be noted that a direct comparison of interference list performance on its own proved to be nonsignificant indicating that the PI effect may not be as strong as the RAVLT PI calculation would imply.

Of the cognitive areas investigated in one study [4], declarative memory seemed to be the most sensitive to polyphenol intervention, which is, in part, what was found in this study. However, no significant effects were found for any other task from our relatively large cognitive battery where one might also have expected to see improvements in relation to previous adult studies [13,14]. The attention-related response interference/inhibition tasks used on this occasion, however, were relatively simple. This may have been pertinent given that other flavonoid-related studies have shown performance after intervention to be particularly sensitive to task [23]. Indeed, where the cognitive task is simple, it has been found that after flavonoid intervention, participants demonstrate increased brain activation during the task, however, this may not necessarily translate into a differentially better performance [15]. Furthermore, being a pilot study, the small sample size in this study may also have precluded finding significant effects in the less sensitive tasks. It is therefore recommended that future studies should bear task sensitivity in mind along with a larger sample to reliably replicate the effects found here.

It should be noted that, on this occasion, only one dose and one time point were investigated. This is particularly relevant

given that other flavonoid-related studies have shown that attention-related performance can be influenced both positively and negatively in relation to dose [14]. Further multidose/time-point studies are therefore required to fully understand the effect of flavonoid intervention on cognitive performance.

## Conclusion

This pilot study reporting the effects of blueberry anthocyanins on the cognitive behavior of primary school-aged children indicated that a 143-mg blueberry anthocyanin dose benefits delayed recall but may negatively influence PI in 8 to 10 y olds. There was, however, little evidence of an effect for more direct measures of attention and visuospatial working memory and further research is recommended to test the acute cognitive effects of blueberry anthocyanins at different dose and duration within this age group.

## References

- [1] Vita JA. Polyphenols and cardiovascular disease: effects on endothelial and platelet function. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;81:292S–7S.
- [2] Miller MG, Shukitt-Hale B. Berry fruit enhances beneficial signaling in the brain. *J Agric Food Chem* 2012;60:5709–15.
- [3] Macready AL, Kenney OB, Ellis JA, Williams CM, Spencer JPE, Butler LT. Flavonoids and cognitive function: a review of human randomized controlled trial studies and recommendations for future studies. *Genes Nutr* 2009;4:227–42.
- [4] Lamport DJ, Dye L, Wightman JD, Lawton CL. The effects of flavonoid and other polyphenol consumption on cognitive performance: a systematic research review of human experimental and epidemiologic studies. *Nutr Aging* 2012;1:5–25.
- [5] Van Praag H, Lucero MJ, Yeo GW, Stecker K, Heivand N, Zhao C, et al. Plant-derived flavanol (-)epicatechin enhances angiogenesis and retention of spatial memory in mice. *J Neurosci* 2007;27:5869–78.
- [6] Rendeiro C, Vazour D, Rattray M, Waffo-Téguo P, Métrillon JP, Butler LT, et al. Dietary levels of pure flavonoids improve spatial memory performance and increase hippocampal brain derived neurotrophic factor. *PLoS One* 2013;8:E63535.
- [7] Barros D, Amaral O, Izquierdo I, Geracitano L, Raseira M, Henriques A, et al. Behavioural and genoprotective effects of vaccinium berries intake in mice. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2006;84:229–34.
- [8] Ryan J, Croft K, Wesnes K, Spong J, Downey L, Kure C, et al. An examination of the effects of the antioxidant Pycnogenol® on cognitive performance, serum lipid profile, endocronological and oxidative stress biomarkers in an elderly population. *J Psychopharmacol* 2008;22:553–62.
- [9] Pipingas A, Silberstein RB, Vitetta L, Rooy CV, Harris EV, Young JM, et al. Improved cognitive performance after dietary supplementation with a *Pinus radiata* bark extract formulation. *Phytother Res* 2008;22:1168–74.
- [10] Krikorian R, Nash TA, Shidler MD, Shukitt-Hale B, Joseph JA. Concord grape juice supplementation improves memory function in older adults with mild cognitive impairment. *Br J Nutr* 2010;103:730–4.
- [11] Krikorian R, Shidler MD, Nash TA, Kalt W, Vinqvist-Tymchuk MR, Shukitt-Hale B, et al. Blueberry supplementation improves memory in older adults. *J Agric Food Chem* 2010;58:3996–4000.
- [12] Mix JA, Crews D. An examination of the efficacy of ginkgo biloba extract EGB 761 on the neuropsychologic functioning of cognitively intact older adults. *J Altern Complement Med* 2000;6:219–29.
- [13] Field DT, Williams CM, Butler LT. Consumption of cocoa flavanols results in an acute improvement in visual and cognitive functions. *Physiol Behav* 2011;103:255–60.
- [14] Scholey AB, French SJ, Morris PJ, Kennedy DO, Milne AL, Haskell CF. Consumption of cocoa flavanols results in acute improvements in mood and cognitive performance during sustained mental effort. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* 2010;24:1505–14.
- [15] Francis ST, Head K, Morris PG, Macdonalds IA. The effect of flavanol-rich cocoa on the fMRI response to a cognitive task in healthy young people. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 2006;47:S215–20.
- [16] Shukitt-Hale B. Blueberries and neuronal aging. *Gerontology* 2012;58:518–23.
- [17] Rodriguez-Mateos A, Rendeiro C, Bergillos-Meca T, Tabatabaee S, George TW, Heiss C, et al. Intake and time dependence of blueberry flavonoid-induced improvements in vascular function: a randomized, controlled, double-blind, crossover intervention study with mechanistic insights into biological activity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013;98:1179–91.
- [18] Benton D. The influence of children's diet on their cognition and behaviour. *Eur J Nutr* 2008;47:25–36.
- [19] Anderson P. Assessment and development of executive function (EF) during childhood. *Child Neuropsychol* 2002;8:71–82.
- [20] Lezak MD, Howieson DB, Loring DW. *Neuropsychological assessment*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004.
- [21] Silverman I, Eals M. Sex differences in spatial abilities: Evolutionary theory and data. In: Barkow JH, Cosmides L, Tooby J, editors. *The adapted mind: evolutionary psychology and the generation of culture*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1992. p. 533–49.
- [22] Greenstein Y, Blachstein H, Vakil E. Interrelations between attention and verbal memory as affected by developmental age. *Child Neuropsychol* 2010;16:42–59.
- [23] Crews DW, Harrison DW, Wright JW. A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized trial of the effects of dark chocolate and cocoa on variables associated with neuropsychological functioning and cardiovascular health: clinical findings from a sample of healthy, cognitively intact older adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;87:872–80.