



RESEARCH PAPER

The high grain protein content gene *Gpc-B1* accelerates senescence and has pleiotropic effects on protein content in wheat

Cristobal Uauy¹, Juan Carlos Brevis¹ and Jorge Dubcovsky^{1,*}

¹ Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Received 27 January 2006; Accepted 27 April 2006

Abstract

High grain protein content (GPC) is a frequent target of wheat breeding programmes because of its positive effect on bread and pasta quality. A wild wheat allele at the *Gpc-B1* locus with a significant impact on this trait was identified previously. The precise mapping of several senescence-related traits in a set of tetraploid recombinant substitution lines (RSLs) segregating for *Gpc-B1* is reported here. Flag leaf chlorophyll degradation, change in peduncle colour, and spike water content were completely linked to the *Gpc-B1* locus and to the differences in GPC within a 0.3 cM interval corresponding to a physical distance of only 250 kb. The effect of *Gpc-B1* was also examined in different environments and genetic backgrounds using a set of tetraploid and hexaploid pairs of isogenic lines. The results were consistent with those observed in the RSLs. The high GPC allele conferred a shorter duration of grain fill due to earlier flag leaf senescence and increased GPC in all four genetic backgrounds. The effect on grain size was more variable, depending on the genotype–environment combinations. These results are consistent with a model in which the wild-type allele of *Gpc-B1* accelerates senescence in flag leaves producing pleiotropic effects on nitrogen remobilization, total GPC, and grain size.

Key words: Grain protein content, nitrogen remobilization, senescence, thousand kernel weight. *Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides*, wheat.

Introduction

Grain protein content (GPC) is an important determinant of bread and pasta quality and is a frequent target of hard common and durum wheat breeding programmes. Despite its significance, efforts to increase GPC have been hindered by a high environmental effect and a complex genetic system governing this trait (Simmonds, 1995). More recently, quantitative trait loci (QTLs) studies have been used to start dissecting the different loci governing this complex trait and to provide selection tools for breeders (Blanco *et al.*, 1996; Joppa *et al.*, 1997; Prasad *et al.*, 1999; Börner *et al.*, 2002). Of particular interest is the *Gpc-B1* gene mapped on chromosome arm 6BS (Joppa *et al.*, 1997; Olmos *et al.*, 2003) which has shown consistent GPC increases (on average 14 g kg⁻¹) in both tetraploid and hexaploid wheat (Mesfin *et al.*, 1999; Chee *et al.*, 2001) as well as across diverse environments (Joppa *et al.*, 1997; Olmos *et al.*, 2003).

The *Gpc-B1* allele for high GPC was originally identified in wild emmer wheat *Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides* accession FA15-3 (referred to hereafter as DIC; Avivi, 1978). Joppa and Cantrell (1990) developed substitution lines of the DIC chromosomes in the cultivar ‘Langdon’ (LDN) and showed that a locus for high GPC was present on chromosome 6B. Using a population of recombinant substitution lines (RSLs) from a LDN(DIC 6B)×LDN cross, Joppa *et al.* (1997) mapped a QTL for GPC on the proximal region of chromosome arm 6BS. Using isogenic recombinant lines and a large number of replications, Olmos *et al.* (2003) mapped this QTL as a single Mendelian locus (designated *Gpc-B1*) within a 2.7 cM region. A more precise map was later produced by Distelfeld *et al.* (2004)

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jdubcovsky@ucdavis.edu

Abbreviations: BAC, bacterial artificial chromosome; DAA, days after anthesis; DIC, wild emmer wheat (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides*) accession FA15-3; GPC, grain protein content; LDN, tetraploid wheat cultivar Langdon; N, nitrogen; QTL, quantitative trait locus; RSL, recombinant substitution line; SEM, standard error of the mean; TKW, thousand kernel weight; UCD, University of California at Davis.

using wheat–rice micro-colinearity, which narrowed the *Gpc-B1* region to a 0.3 cM interval. A complete physical map spanning a 250 kb region encompassing the *Gpc-B1* gene has recently been completed (Distelfeld *et al.*, 2006).

During field experiments aimed to map the *Gpc-B1* gene, differences in senescence in the tetraploid RSLs segregating for the *Gpc-B1* locus were observed. This was an important observation because senescence, the programmed degradation of cell constituents, makes nutrients available for remobilization to developing seeds (Mae, 2004) and therefore can have a significant impact on GPC. Previous work by Kade *et al.* (2005) showed increased levels of soluble protein and amino acids in flag leaves at anthesis and increased efficiency in N remobilization in lines carrying the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele. Our preliminary observation, together with the results from Kade *et al.* (2005), suggested that the effect of this locus on GPC could be a pleiotropic effect of the observed differences in senescence.

The first objective of the present study was to validate the observed differences in senescence and to characterize better the effects of these differences in other senescence-related parameters such as chlorophyll degradation and grain water content, as well as on GPC. The second objective was to map these traits precisely, relative to *Gpc-B1* in selected tetraploid RSLs carrying critical recombination events flanking the *Gpc-B1* region. The third and final objective was to validate these observations in different genetic backgrounds using a set of tetraploid and hexaploid isogenic lines.

Materials and methods

Plant material

The tetraploid RSLs included in this study came from several sources but are all in the same genetic background. RSL 28 and 65 are part of the original mapping population developed by Joppa *et al.* (1997) and were provided by LR Joppa. RSLs 121, 129, and 135 were generated by Olmos *et al.* (2003) from the RSL65×LDN cross, while RSL 209 was generated by Distelfeld *et al.* (2004) from the same cross. A new RSL (RSL 300) was generated by screening 132 additional F₂ plants from the original cross by Olmos *et al.* (2003) and selecting for plants with recombination events between polymerase chain reaction (PCR) markers *Xucw79* (completely linked to *Xuhw89*) and *Xucw71* (Distelfeld *et al.*, 2004). The selected F₂ RSL was self-pollinated and F₃ homozygous recombinant plants were selected and seed increased for replicated field trials. Twelve hundred gametes were screened with flanking molecular markers to select all the recombinant lines used in this study.

Isogenic lines for *Gpc-B1* in different tetraploid and hexaploid genetic backgrounds were developed by six backcrosses to their respective recurrent parents followed by two generations of self-pollination to produce BC₆F₃ homozygous lines (Chicaiza *et al.*, 2006). The *Gpc-B1* DIC allele was selected with molecular markers during the different steps of the introgression process (Khan *et al.*, 2000). RSL 65 was the source of *Gpc-B1* for the tetraploid cultivars ‘Kofa’ and ‘Kronos’ and the breeding line UC1113 (Chicaiza *et al.*, 2006). Common wheat cultivar ‘Glupro’ (Khan *et al.*, 2000) was the source of *Gpc-B1* for the hexaploid hard red spring cultivars ‘Anza’ and ‘RSI5’ and the breeding line UC1041.

Field experiments

Experiments 1 and 2: Field trials for the tetraploid RSLs were conducted in the experimental field of the University of California, Davis, USA (UCD, Sacramento Valley, 38°32' N, 121°46' W). Planting dates for the experiments were 6 November 2003 (experiment 1) and 9 November 2004 (experiment 2). The field conditions and agronomic practices have been described before (Olmos *et al.*, 2003). Both experiments were fertilized with 100 kg ha⁻¹ of N prior to planting. The two experiments were organized in a randomized complete block design with 10 blocks. Experimental units consisted of 1 m row plots, spaced 0.3 m apart, and with adjacent RSL rows separated by a row of dwarf common wheat to avoid mixing. Both experiments consisted of four RSLs (RSL 28, 121, 135, and 209 for experiment 1; RSL 121, 129, 209, and 300 for experiment 2) along with the two parental lines (LDN and RSL 65) included as controls.

Experiments 3 and 4: Field trials with the isogenic lines were conducted only at UCD in experiment 3, and at three locations (UCD, Kings, and Imperial Valley) in experiment 4. Both experiments were harvested in 2004 and were organized in a split-plot design in five (Anza, Kofa, Kronos, UC1041, and UC1113) or 10 (RSI5) randomized complete blocks. The pairs of isogenic lines were sown such that cultivar or breeding lines were assigned to the main plots, whereas the presence or absence of *Gpc-B1* was assigned to the subplots. This design was adopted to maximize the sensitivity of the comparison within the isogenic pairs. For experiment 3 (isogenic pairs of Anza, UC1041, and Kofa) and experiment 4 (isogenic pairs of Kofa, Kronos, and UC1113), the experimental units consisted of 7 m² plots. Due to seed limitations for the isogenic lines of RSI5 (experiment 3), the large plots were replaced by 1 m rows. This difference in the experimental units invalidates comparisons between varieties but, since only comparisons within each variety were of interest, RSI5 was included as part of experiment 3. These plots were fertilized with 112 kg ha⁻¹ of N prior to planting, while agronomic practices for the three sites for experiment 4 can be found in Table 1 of Jackson *et al.* (2005).

In experiments 1, 2, and 3, stripe rust (*Puccinia striiformis*) was controlled by applying propiconazole [120 g ha⁻¹ active ingredient (a.i.) at Feekes stage 10 (Large, 1954)] and azoxystrobin (180 g ha⁻¹ a.i. at Feekes stage 10.5) using a CO₂ backpack sprayer model D (R & D Sprayers, Opelousas, LA, USA) with 2.8 bar of pressure. Plots in experiment 4 were not sprayed, but weekly visual examinations recorded no significant presence of stripe rust at the three sites.

Determination of relative chlorophyll content

Experiment 2: Anthesis occurred on 29 April 2005 for all six lines, and 15 main spikes were tagged per plot on this date. The

Table 1. Average chlorophyll content (SPAD units) from 13 to 35 DAA (experiment 2)

Contrasts compared the three lines with the LDN allele at the *Gpc-B1* locus (LDN, 129, and 209) with the three lines with the DIC allele at the *Gpc-B1* locus (65, 300, and 121). Significant contrasts are presented in bold.

	Days after anthesis						
	13	19	24	26	28	32	35
LDN	42.09	43.17	32.74	22.70	13.75	8.23	4.22
129	41.07	42.67	28.66	20.63	13.03	8.93	4.09
209	43.28	43.78	32.21	22.72	13.72	7.17	3.55
65	42.35	40.75	25.01	12.60	8.41	5.25	3.95
300	43.73	45.52	27.22	14.52	9.62	5.04	4.17
121	41.60	42.21	24.92	11.65	7.33	4.03	3.78
Contrast	0.23	0.79	0.0056	0.0012	0.0008	<0.0001	0.82

corresponding flag leaf of each spike was later used to measure chlorophyll content in a non-destructive manner using a hand-held chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502, Minolta, UK). For each sampling date [13, 19, 24, 26, 28, 32, and 35 d after anthesis (DAA)], the value of each replication consisted of the average readings of each of the 15 flag leaves, which in turn consisted of the average of 10 sampling positions per flag leaf. This large number of subsamples allowed us to reduce experimental variation.

Experiment 3: Anthesis was recorded and was similar for each of the two lines within each isogenic pair (Anza, 11 April; RSI5, 3 April; UC1041, 31 March; and Kofa, 2 April). Fifteen individual spikes were tagged per plot at anthesis and the average chlorophyll content was determined in a manner similar to experiment 2. All chlorophyll content measurements are given in relative SPAD units.

Determination of peduncle colour and spike or grain water content

In experiment 1, anthesis occurred simultaneously for all six lines included in the trial (28 April 2004). At 30 DAA, six main spikes per plot were collected and sealed in plastic bags. The fresh weight was determined and then the samples were dried to constant weight at 60 °C. The percentage water content was determined as the difference between the fresh and dry weight (total water content) divided by the fresh weight. The percentage of yellow peduncles was determined at 30 DAA by counting the number of peduncles that were completely yellow from a random sample of 10 peduncles per plot.

In experiment 3, 50 spikes per plot were tagged and numbered at anthesis. Sampling was performed on a 2–5 d basis according to the maturity of the plot and the temperature conditions. For each sampling date, five random spikes per plot were collected, sealed in plastic bags, and kept under constant temperature inside a cooler. From each spike, the fresh weight of 10 grains from the central spikelets was determined. The 50 grains from each plot were then dried to constant weight at 60 °C and grain moisture content was calculated.

Nitrogen determinations

For experiments 1 and 2, nitrogen determinations were performed according to Olmos *et al.* (2003). For experiments 3 and 4, a sample of 600 g of grain was used to determine protein using an Infratec 1241 near infra-red grain analyser (Foss Tecator AB, Höganäs, Sweden).

Determination of thousand kernel weight (TKW)

For experiments 3 and 4, 1000 grains from each plot were counted using an electronic seed counter Model 2500 (The Old Mill Company, Savage, MD, USA). These samples were manually cleaned to discard broken grains and impurities in order to ensure that only whole kernels were in the sample. The 1000 grains were dried to constant weight and this value is reported throughout the text.

Statistical analysis

Analyses of variance were performed using the SAS Version 9.1 program (SAS Institute, 2003). The general linear model (PROC GLM) was used to assess the effect of the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele in the tetraploid RSLs and the DIC segment in the isogenic lines. In order to meet the assumptions of the model, data were transformed when necessary using logarithmic and power transformations. For protein content, lines were classified as high and low protein at the end of the experiment by comparison with low and high GPC controls as described before (Olmos *et al.*, 2003). The same analysis was performed for spike water content, peduncle colour, and chlorophyll degradation to classify the RSLs with recombination events flanking *Gpc-B1* into early and late senescing lines. Briefly, a line was classified only if it was significantly different from one control line

and not significantly different from the other. For the time courses of chlorophyll degradation and grain maturity in the isogenic lines, each time point was analysed separately.

Results

Effect of Gpc-B1 on senescence in tetraploid RSLs

Experiment 1: In this experiment, RSLs 28 and 121 had significantly higher GPC than the low GPC control LDN ($P < 0.001$) and were not significantly different from high GPC control RSL 65 ($P > 0.9$) (Fig. 1a). Based on these results, these lines were classified as high GPC (DIC allele) for the *Gpc-B1* locus, a similar result to that obtained by Olmos *et al.* (2003). The other two lines, RSL 135 and RSL 209, showed the opposite profile. They had significantly lower GPC than the high GPC control RSL 65 ($P < 0.01$) and were not significantly different from low GPC control LDN ($P > 0.65$). These lines were classified as low GPC (LDN allele) for the *Gpc-B1* locus. The average GPC increase in the lines with the DIC allele at the *Gpc-B1* locus relative to the lines with the LDN allele was 19 g kg⁻¹ ($P < 0.001$). These results confirmed that the experimental conditions of experiment 1 were appropriate to express the differences between the *Gpc-B1* alleles (Fig. 1a).

A few days after the first visual symptoms of senescence (flag leaf yellowing), 10 rows (replications) from each RSL were evaluated for the percentage of yellow peduncles and water content in the spikes (Fig. 1b, c). The analysis of variance for these two traits showed that RSLs with the DIC allele at *Gpc-B1* had a significantly higher ($P < 0.001$) percentage of yellow peduncles (95%) and lower water content in the spikes (26%) than RSLs with the *Gpc-B1* LDN allele (54% yellow peduncles, 30% water content). No significant differences were detected in ear emergence or time of anthesis between the two alleles, indicating that the observed differences were the results of differences in senescence or maturity rates.

RSLs 28 and 121 are of particular interest since the closest flanking crossovers in these lines delimit a region of 250 kb (0.3 cM), between markers *Xuhw89* and *Xucw71*, including the *Gpc-B1* gene (Fig. 2; Distelfeld *et al.*, 2006). These two lines were not significantly different from the high protein control RSL 65 for protein content ($P > 0.05$), percentage of yellow peduncles ($P > 0.05$), and water content in the spikes ($P > 0.05$), but differ significantly in these three parameters from the low protein control LDN ($P < 0.001$ for both RSLs). Based on these results, it was concluded that the locus controlling differences in peduncle colour and spike water content was completely linked to the 250 kb region including the *Gpc-B1* gene (Fig. 2).

Experiment 2: The second experiment included the new recombination event discovered in RSL 300, which further delimited the *Gpc-B1* region to the *Xuhw89–Xuhw84* interval (0.2 cM, Fig. 2). RSLs 121 and 300 showed significantly higher GPC than the low GPC control LDN




Fig. 1. Experiment 1. (a) Grain protein content in RSLs (209, 135, 28, and 121) and control lines (LDN and RSL 65). RSLs 209 and 135 were similar to the low protein control LDN and were assigned the *Gpc-B1* low protein allele (black bars), whereas RSLs 28 and 121 were similar to the high protein control RSL 65 and were assigned the *Gpc-B1* high protein allele (grey bars). (b) Percentage of water content in 10 spikes of RSLs and controls at 30 DAA. (c) Percentage of yellow peduncles of RSLs at 30 DAA. Error bars are SEMs.

($P < 0.05$) and were not significantly different from the high GPC control RSL 65 ($P > 0.70$). On the other hand, RSLs 129 and 209 showed significantly lower GPC than the high GPC control RSL 65 ($P < 0.01$) but were not significantly different from the low GPC control LDN ($P > 0.99$). Based on these results, RSLs 121 and 300 were assigned the *Gpc-B1* DIC allele and RSLs 129 and 209 were assigned the LDN allele for this locus. The average GPC increase in the lines with the DIC allele relative to the lines with the LDN allele at the *Gpc-B1* locus was 12 g kg^{-1} ($P < 0.001$, Fig. 3a), confirming that the conditions of experiment 2 were appropriate for the expression of the differences attributable to the *Gpc-B1* locus.

To characterize better the senescence process in these lines, a time-course of chlorophyll content in the flag leaves of plants grown under field conditions was established (Fig. 3b). Plants with the DIC allele at *Gpc-B1* underwent earlier chlorophyll degradation than plants with the LDN allele, starting 19 DAA. Significant differences in flag leaf chlorophyll content were detected in the measurements performed at 24 DAA ($P < 0.006$), 26 DAA ($P < 0.002$), 28 DAA ($P < 0.001$), and 32 DAA ($P < 0.001$) (Table 1). Measurements for both alleles converged when the flag leaves were completely yellow (35 DAA). As in the previous experiment, no differences were detected between RSLs and controls for time of anthesis.

The recombination events in RSLs 121 and 300 used in experiment 2 further delimited the candidate region for the *Gpc-B1* locus to a region within the 250 kb of the physical map and excluded *Xuhw84* as a candidate gene (Fig. 2). Contrasts were used to classify the flag leaf chlorophyll degradation profile of these two lines as similar to either LDN (late senescence) or RSL 65 (early senescence) (Table 1). In RSL 121, significant differences were detected with respect to LDN for 24 ($P=0.02$), 26 ($P=0.03$), 28 ($P=0.003$) and 32 DAA ($P=0.003$), while no significant differences were detected between RSL 121 and early senescing parent RSL 65 at these sampling dates ($P > 0.45$ for all four dates). In RSL 300, significant differences were detected 24 ($P=0.04$), 26 ($P=0.047$), and 32 DAA ($P=0.01$) with respect to LDN, while no significant differences were found with RSL65 at any time point ($P > 0.65$ for all dates). Therefore, these two RSLs were classified as early senescing lines (similar to RSL 65), and it was concluded that both the locus controlling the differences in senescence and the locus controlling GPC were completely linked within the region delimited by flanking markers *Xuhw84* and *Xuhw89*. Based on the sizes of the bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs) 409D13 and 916O17, this region is less than 250 kb long.

The two other RSLs used in this experiment (129 and 209) were classified as late senescing lines similar to LDN (Table 1). These lines share the LDN genotype within the complete 250 kb region (Fig. 2), thereby supporting the proposed map position of the locus responsible for the differences in chlorophyll degradation.

Effect of Gpc-B1 on senescence in tetraploid and hexaploid isogenic lines

Experiment 3: The effect of *Gpc-B1* and the senescence locus in different genetic backgrounds was tested in three hexaploid (Anza, RSI5, and UC1041) and one tetraploid (Kofa) pairs of isogenic lines (BC_6F_3) (Table 2). The presence of the *Gpc-B1* DIC allele significantly increased GPC ($P < 0.01$) in the three hexaploid isogenic lines (Anza, RSI5, and UC1041) with respect to the recurrent parent by an average of 12.1 g kg^{-1} . The tetraploid line Kofa carrying the DIC allele showed a smaller increase of




Fig. 2. Genetic and physical maps of the *Gpc-B1* region and graphical genotypes of RSLs used in experiments 1 and 2. The genetic map on the left shows the position of *Gpc-B1* and *Yr36* on chromosome arm 6BS, with a detailed high-density map of the targeted region to the right. The central figure represents the graphical genotypes of the RSLs used in this study. Bars represent chromosome arms, with dark grey bars representing DIC markers and light grey bars representing LDN markers. Recombination events are represented by a change between dark and light grey bars. The figure on the right represents the 250 kb physical map of the *Gpc-B1* region (Distelfeld *et al.*, 2006).




Fig. 3. Experiment 2. (a) Grain protein content of RSLs (209, 129, 300, and 121) and control lines (LDN and 65). RSLs 209 and 129 were similar to the low protein control LDN and were assigned the *Gpc-B1* low protein allele (black bars), whereas RSLs 300 and 121 were similar to the high protein control RSL 65 and were assigned the *Gpc-B1* high protein allele (grey bars). (b) Chlorophyll content profile (SPAD units) from 13 to 35 DAA. The grey line represents lines with the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele (control line 65 and RSLs 300 and 121) and the black line represents lines with the LDN *Gpc-B1* allele (LDN and RSLs 209 and 129). Error bars are SEMs.

GPC (3.3 g kg^{-1} , $P=0.26$) relative to the recurrent parent (a high protein content variety), and the differences were not significant in this experiment (Table 2).

In all four genetic backgrounds, the chlorophyll degradation time-course (Fig. 4) showed more rapid chlorophyll degradation in the lines with the DIC allele than in the

isogenic lines with the recurrent parental allele. These differences did not originate in flowering time variability since the lines for each isogenic pair reached anthesis at the same time. During the first 20–30 DAA, no significant differences in chlorophyll content were detected in the flag leaves, but after that, chlorophyll degradation was faster in

Table 2. Effect of *Gpc-B1* on GPC, TKW, and total protein in isogenic lines of Anza, RSI5, UC1041, and Kofa (experiment 3)

Differences between the means of the isogenic lines ($\Delta\%$) are reported in terms of change with respect to the isogenic line carrying the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele. Significant values are presented in bold.

Line	Allele at <i>Gpc-B1</i>	GPC (%)			TKW (g)			Total protein (g/1000 seeds)		
		Mean	Δ (%)	<i>P</i> -value	Mean	Δ (%)	<i>P</i> -value	Mean	Δ (%)	<i>P</i> -value
Anza	Anza	11.74	4.98	<0.01	40.85	-0.06	0.98	4.79	4.92	0.02
	DIC	12.32			40.82			5.03		
RSI 5	RSI 5	13.44	15.77	<0.01	57.02	-4.29	0.04	7.66	10.81	<0.01
	DIC	15.56			54.58			8.49		
UC1041	UC1041	13.30	6.93	<0.01	44.14	-13.02	<0.01	5.87	-7.01	0.03
	DIC	14.22			38.40			5.46		
Kofa	Kofa	13.96	2.39	0.26	58.01	-3.59	0.10	8.10	-1.25	0.47
	DIC	14.30			55.93			8.00		




Fig. 4. Experiment 3: chlorophyll content. Effect of the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele on the chlorophyll content profile of isogenic lines of hexaploid wheat Anza (a), RSI5 (b), and UC1041 (c), and tetraploid wheat Kofa (d). Chlorophyll content is measured in relative SPAD units from 20–25 DAA until complete yellowing (50–60 DAA). Black lines with filled squares represent the original recurrent parent, and grey lines with open diamonds represent the corresponding isogenic line with the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele. Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between isogenic pairs are represented by arrows on the corresponding date. Error bars are SEMs.

the isogenic lines carrying the DIC chromosome segment (Fig. 4, grey lines) compared with the original recurrent parent (Fig. 4, black lines). The differences were similar across genotypes, with the isogenic lines carrying the DIC segment being on average 3–4 d more advanced in their senescence process than the corresponding recurrent parent. The significant differences in chlorophyll content ($P < 0.05$, indicated by arrows in Fig. 4) were maintained until the flag leaves of the isogenic pairs approached complete yellowing.

In addition to chlorophyll degradation, the progression of grain moisture content was also measured, and it was

observed that the isogenic lines with the DIC chromosome segment presented significantly lower grain moisture content than the isogenic lines without the DIC segment ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 5). Although isogenic lines of UC1041 were not included in Fig. 5 because they were sampled at only one time point (54 DAA), they also showed significant differences in grain moisture content ($P = 0.02$) between the recurrent UC1041 line (20.2% moisture content) and the UC1041 isogenic line carrying the DIC allele (8.9% moisture content). These results indicate that the more rapid chlorophyll degradation in the isogenic lines carrying




Fig. 5. Experiment 3: grain moisture content. Effect of DIC *Gpc-B1* on grain moisture content in isogenic lines of hexaploid wheat Anza (a) and RS15 (b), and tetraploid wheat Kofa (c). Moisture content was measured from 42 to 60 DAA depending on the variety. Black lines with filled squares represent the original recurrent parent, and grey lines with open diamonds represent the corresponding isogenic line with the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele. Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between isogenic pairs are represented by arrows on the corresponding date. Error bars are SEMs.

the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele was associated with a shorter grain-filling period in all four genotypes. This result is consistent with those observed from the tetraploid recombinant substitution lines (experiments 1 and 2).

To test if the differences in the length of the grain-filling period were associated with differences in grain size, thousand kernel weight (TKW) was evaluated in the different pairs of isogenic lines (Table 2). The effect of the DIC segment on TKW and total protein was less consistent and was dependent on the variety. TKW decreased significantly in the RS15 and UC1041 isogenic lines carrying the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele compared with the recurrent parents ($P < 0.05$). The Kofa isogenic line with *Gpc-B1* presented a small decrease in TKW, although not significant ($P = 0.10$), while the isogenic lines of Anza had a similar TKW (Table 2).

To analyse the combined effects of the changes in GPC and grain size, the differences in total protein (TKW \times GPC) were also tested (Table 2). The Anza and RS15 isogenic lines with the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele showed a significant increase in total protein in 1000 grains relative to their recurrent parents. By contrast, the introgression of *Gpc-B1* in UC1041 resulted in a significantly lower amount of total protein ($P = 0.03$) due to the large negative effect that the gene had on grain size. Isogenic lines of Kofa presented no significant differences in total protein ($P = 0.47$).

Experiment 4: This last experiment was aimed to test the effect of different environments on GPC, TKW, and total protein (Table 3). The *Gpc-B1* DIC allele increased GPC by an average of 10.8 g kg^{-1} (7.75%) with respect to the recurrent parent alleles. Although the presence of the DIC allele was associated with an increase in GPC in all the genotype–environment combinations, the results varied in magnitude and were not significant in some of them (Table 3).

The effect of the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele on TKW was less consistent than its effect on GPC, and varied across genotypes and environments (Table 3). The DIC *Gpc-B1*

allele had a tendency to decrease grain size in UC1113 across environments (although non-significantly), while it significantly increased TKW at two locations ($P < 0.01$) when present in the Kofa background. Kronos, on the other hand, experienced a significant decrease in TKW in the isogenic line carrying *Gpc-B1* at Imperial, while the gene had no significant effect on grain size at Davis and Kings.

Despite the variable effect of *Gpc-B1* on TKW, a general tendency for the DIC allele to increase total protein in 1000 grains with respect to the recurrent parent was observed due to the positive effect that this allele has on GPC. The magnitude of the increase in total protein depended on the relative importance of the increase in GPC and the effect of that allele on TKW for each genotype–environment combination. For example, significant increases in GPC and TKW in isogenic lines of Kofa carrying *Gpc-B1* at Davis and Kings led to a significant increase in total protein in 1000 grains compared with the recurrent parent at both locations. In contrast, the significant increase in GPC in UC1113 at Imperial (11.79%) was offset by the decrease in TKW (−9.77%) yielding a non-significant effect of the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele on total protein ($P = 0.91$). Only three genotype–environment combinations presented significant increases in total protein content.

Discussion

Mapping of senescence-related parameters to *Gpc-B1*

Gpc-B1 has been shown previously to affect GPC in tetraploid and hexaploid wheat, and recently to influence the remobilization of nitrogen from flag leaves to ears during grain filling (Kade *et al.*, 2005). It was demonstrated here that additional traits, including chlorophyll degradation in the flag leaf, changes in peduncle colour, and grain moisture content, were also associated with the presence of the same DIC segment including the *Gpc-B1* locus.

Table 3. Effect of *Gpc-B1* on GPC, TKW, and total protein in isogenic lines of Kofa, Kronos, and UC1113 at Davis, Imperial Valley, and Kings (experiment 4)

Differences between the means of the isogenic lines ($\Delta\%$) are reported in terms of change with respect to the isogenic line carrying the DIC *Gpc-B1* allele. Significant values are presented in bold.

Line	Location	Allele at <i>Gpc-B1</i>	GPC (%)			TKW (g)			Total protein (g/1000 seeds)		
			Mean	Δ (%)	<i>P</i> -value	Mean	Δ (%)	<i>P</i> -value	Mean	Δ (%)	<i>P</i> -value
Kofa	Davis	Kofa	13.99	9.72	0.02	46.7	14.51	<0.01	6.53	25.6	<0.01
		DIC	15.35			53.5			8.21		
	Imperial	Kofa	14.76	8.64	<0.01	50.6	-3.46	0.35	7.46	4.90	0.31
		DIC	16.04			48.8			7.83		
	Kings	Kofa	14.72	5.03	0.05	43.1	11.14	<0.01	6.34	16.8	<0.01
		DIC	15.46			47.9			7.41		
Kronos	Davis	Kronos	15.34	5.08	0.08	57.1	-2.02	0.55	8.76	2.91	0.60
		DIC	16.12			55.9			9.01		
	Imperial	Kronos	15.08	5.67	0.02	50.6	-9.14	0.04	7.63	-4.00	0.16
		DIC	15.94			46.0			7.33		
	Kings	Kronos	15.28	3.11	0.15	43.0	5.00	0.24	6.57	8.19	0.03
		DIC	15.75			45.2			7.11		
UC1113	Davis	UC1113	13.59	5.45	0.07	49.0	-0.05	0.99	6.65	5.40	0.28
		DIC	14.33			48.9			7.01		
	Imperial	UC1113	13.21	11.79	0.04	48.6	-9.77	0.07	6.43	0.92	0.91
		DIC	14.77			43.9			6.48		
	Kings	UC1113	12.85	15.27	0.02	41.7	-3.78	0.60	5.36	10.85	0.25
		DIC	14.82			40.1			5.94		

Using RSLs carrying critical recombination events within the *Gpc-B1* region, we were able to demonstrate that all these traits map to a region delimited by flanking markers *Xuhw89* and *Xuhw84*, which are 0.2 cM apart within a chromosome region that is less than 250 kb long (Distelfeld *et al.*, 2004, 2006). The same RSLs can be used to demonstrate that the observed differences are not the result of the presence of *Yr36*, an adult-plant stripe rust resistance gene present in the DIC chromosome segment proximal to *Xucw71* (Fig. 2; Uauy *et al.*, 2005). Since it is well known that stripe rust can affect GPC and green flag leaf area (Dimmock and Gooding, 2002), the field experiments were sprayed with a systemic fungicide to minimize the confounding effects of this pathogen. In addition, RSLs 28, 209, and 300 included in experiments 1 and 2 carry recombination events between *Gpc-B1* and *Yr36*, allowing the separation of the effects of these two genes (Fig. 2). In both experiments, the allele present at the *Gpc-B1* locus determined the phenotype, whereas *Yr36* had no significant effect on chlorophyll degradation (Table 1), peduncle colour, or spike water content (Fig. 1).

Other studies have shown that a major gene affecting GPC in the Triticeae is located in a similar region of the short arms of group 6 homoeologous chromosomes (reviewed in Distelfeld *et al.*, 2006). A major QTL for TKW and GPC was identified in this region by Elouafi and Nachit (2004) in a cross between a cultivated durum variety Omrabi 5 (high TKW, low GPC) and a wild accession of *T. turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides* (low TKW, high GPC). The peak of these QTLs was associated with *Xgwm518*, which was mapped between *Xgwm508* and *Xgwm193*, two markers that flank the *Gpc-B1* locus (Olmos *et al.*, 2003).

To our knowledge, no QTLs for flag leaf senescence have been identified previously in the *Gpc-B1* region. A study published by Verma *et al.* (2004) identified two QTLs for flag leaf senescence, but both were in different chromosomes (2B and 2D).

Effect of *Gpc-B1* on grain size and total grain protein

GPC is a function of the total amount of N remobilized to the grain and the total amount of dry matter accumulated in the seed. Therefore, the increase in GPC in lines carrying the DIC allele could be determined by a decrease in grain size (thereby concentrating a similar amount of protein in a smaller grain) or, alternatively, by a net increase in the total amount of protein in the grain through more efficient N remobilization. The association of the DIC segment with differences in senescence can explain both mechanisms. A shorter duration of the grain-filling period can reduce TKW under favourable environmental conditions for grain filling, but also might result in a more efficient N translocation by initiating the remobilization process earlier (Kade *et al.*, 2005).

Based on the results of experiments 3 and 4, it is proposed that a combination of both mechanisms is responsible for the observed differences. The different genotype by environment combinations would determine the relative importance of the modifications in TKW and N remobilization processes to the final total N content. For example, in environments where the grain is affected by severe environmental stresses by the end of the grain-filling period, a shorter maturity time can help the plant escape from those stressful conditions, resulting in an increase in

both TKW and GPC (e.g. Kofa, Table 3). From the results presented for the isogenic lines in different environments (Tables 2, 3), it is fair to conclude that the DIC allele at the *Gpc-B1* locus is associated with a consistent increase in GPC across genotypes and environments, but that the final effect on total protein content would depend on the more variable effect of the accelerated senescence on TKW. For the 13 genotype–environment combinations tested in experiments 3 and 4, the total protein was significantly higher in the isogenic lines carrying the DIC allele in five cases and lower in one (Tables 2, 3).

Gpc-B1 plays a role in flag leaf senescence and nitrogen remobilization

In contrast to the progress in the genetic and physical mapping of this gene, there is limited knowledge regarding the physiological basis of the effect of the *Gpc-B1* locus on GPC. Higher GPC contents can be accomplished by an increase in total N uptake by the plant and/or a more efficient remobilization of N to the grain. Deckard *et al.* (1996) identified significant contributions of the complete 6B chromosome from DIC to both effects, but the differences in N uptake were significant in only one of the three LDN(DIC6B) lines analysed, and were the result of a lower total dry weight rather than a higher total N. Kade *et al.* (2005) defined the *Gpc-B1* effect on N uptake and remobilization more precisely by using RSL 68 (similar to RSL 65 used in this study), rather than the complete substitution line as in Deckard *et al.* (1996). Their work showed that the main effect of *Gpc-B1* is a more efficient translocation of N from leaves to ears during grain filling. More importantly, they revealed that the differences between the high and low GPC lines were manifested in the form of higher soluble protein concentration in the flag leaves of RSL 68 compared with LDN as early as anthesis (Kade *et al.*, 2005).

The discovery that the 250 kb region including *Gpc-B1* has significant effects on senescence-related parameters provides a possible explanation for the multiple effects associated with this small chromosome region. An effect on the regulation of senescence can explain not only the observed differences in parameters usually associated with this process, such as chlorophyll degradation, spike and grain water content, and changes in peduncle colour, but also those in the amount of soluble protein concentration, nitrogen remobilization, GPC, and TKW.

The accumulation of nitrogen in the grain is likely to be the result of events happening at both the sink (developing grains) and at the source (leaves). However, several studies suggest that source regulation plays a significant role in grain protein accumulation (Barneix and Guitman, 1993; Martre *et al.*, 2003). The increased level of total soluble amino acids in flag leaves at anthesis in the lines carrying the DIC allele provides evidence that *Gpc-B1* is active in

leaves before grain formation (Kade *et al.*, 2005) and suggests a role for *Gpc-B1* at the N source level, rather than at the sink level. The co-localization of several nitrogen metabolism QTLs in barley to the *Gpc-B1* homologous locus (data by Yang *et al.*, 2004, re-analysed by Distelfeld *et al.*, 2005) supports this model of *Gpc-B1* affecting senescence at the source level and having pleiotropic effects on parameters in the grain, such as GPC and grain size.

Recent molecular studies in *Arabidopsis* have shown that senescence is driven by transcription factor networks that regulate the timely expression of hundreds of genes (Guo *et al.*, 2004; Lin and Wu, 2004). Members of this regulatory network would be expected to affect several senescence-related parameters simultaneously, similar to the pleiotropic effects documented here for the *Gpc-B1* locus. It would be interesting to determine if *Gpc-B1* is part of the network involved in the regulation of the senescence cascade. This would not be an unusual result, since half of the cloned and validated plant QTLs have been found to be transcription factors (reviewed in Salvi and Tuberosa, 2005).

At this point, the possibility of multiple genes present within the 250 kb region governing different aspects of the responses observed here cannot be ruled out. However, due to the interrelatedness of the mapped phenotypes, we speculate that it is more likely that these multiple traits are pleiotropic effects of a single gene rather than the result of multiple independent genes. The final isolation and characterization of *Gpc-B1* will be essential to test these alternative hypotheses and to understand the genetic mechanisms responsible for the complex quantitative processes involved in senescence, nitrogen remobilization, and grain size in polyploid wheat.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Research Grant no. US-3573-04C from BARD, the United States–Israel Binational Agricultural Research and Development Fund, and equipment grants from Israel Science Foundation (nos 048/99 and 1478/04).

References

- Avivi L. 1978. High protein content in wild tetraploid *Triticum dicoccoides* Korn. In: Ramanujam S, ed. *Proceedings of the 5th International Wheat Genetics Symposium*. New Delhi, India: Indian Society of Genetics and Plant Breeding (ISGPB), 372–380.
- Barneix AJ, Guitman MR. 1993. Leaf regulation of the nitrogen concentration in the grain of wheat plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **44**, 1607–1612.
- Blanco A, Giovanni CD, Laddomada B, Sciancalepore A, Simeone R, Devos KM, Gale MD. 1996. Quantitative trait loci influencing grain protein content in tetraploid wheats. *Plant Breeding* **115**, 310–316.
- Börner A, Schumann E, Furste A, Coster H, Leithold B, Roder MS, Weber WE. 2002. Mapping of quantitative trait

- loci determining agronomic important characters in hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **105**, 921–936.
- Chee PW, Elias EM, Anderson JA, Kianian SF.** 2001. Evaluation of a high grain protein QTL from *Triticum turgidum* L. var. *dicoccoides* in an adapted durum wheat background. *Crop Science* **41**, 295–301.
- Chicaiza O, Khan IA, Zhang X, Brevis JC, Jackson L, Chen X, Dubcovsky J.** 2006. Registration of five wheat isogenic lines for leaf rust and stripe rust resistance genes. *Crop Science* **46**, 485–487.
- Deckard EL, Joppa LR, Hammons JJ, Hareland GA.** 1996. Grain protein determinants of the Langdon durum-*dicoccoides* chromosome substitution lines. *Crop Science* **36**, 1513–1516.
- Dimmock JP, Gooding MJ.** 2002. The influence of foliar diseases, and their control by fungicides, on the protein concentration in wheat grain: a review. *Journal of Agricultural Science* **138**, 349–366.
- Distelfeld A, Blake TK, Korol A, Dubcovsky J, Uauy C, Fahima T.** 2005. The barley grain protein content (GPC) QTL on chromosome arm 6HS is colinear with wheat *Gpc-B1* and colocalizes with barley QTLs associated with nitrogen metabolism. 4th Plant Genomics European Meeting. Amsterdam, 20–23 September 2005.
- Distelfeld A, Uauy C, Fahima T, Dubcovsky J.** 2006. Physical map of the wheat high-grain protein content gene *Gpc-B1* and development of a high-throughput molecular marker. *New Phytologist* **169**, 753–763.
- Distelfeld A, Uauy C, Olmos S, Schlatter AR, Dubcovsky J, Fahima T.** 2004. Microcolinearity between a 2-cM region encompassing the grain protein content locus *Gpc-6B1* on wheat chromosome 6 and a 350-kb region on rice chromosome 2. *Functional and Integrative Genomics* **4**, 59–66.
- Elouafi I, Nachit MM.** 2004. A genetic linkage map of the Durum×*Triticum dicoccoides* backcross population based on SSRs and AFLP markers, and QTL analysis for milling traits. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **108**, 401–413.
- Guo Y, Cai Z, Gan S.** 2004. Transcriptome of *Arabidopsis* leaf senescence. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **27**, 521–549.
- Jackson LF, Dubcovsky J, Gallagher LW, et al.** 2005. 2005 Regional barley, common wheat and triticale, durum wheat, and cereal forage performance tests in California. Agronomy Research and Information Center, Agronomy Progress Report, *Agricultural Experiment Station Cooperative Extension* No. 290 (<http://agric.ucdavis.edu>).
- Joppa LR, Cantrell RG.** 1990. Chromosomal location of genes for grain protein content in wild tetraploid wheat. *Crop Science* **30**, 1059–1064.
- Joppa LR, Du C, Hart GE, Hareland GA.** 1997. Mapping gene(s) for grain protein in tetraploid wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L.) using a population of recombinant inbred chromosome lines. *Crop Science* **37**, 1586–1589.
- Kade MA, Barneix J, Olmos S, Dubcovsky J.** 2005. Nitrogen uptake and remobilization in tetraploid Langdon durum wheat and a recombinant substitution line with the high grain protein gene *Gpc-B1*. *Plant Breeding* **124**, 343–349.
- Khan IA, Procunier JD, Humphreys DG, Tranquilli G, Schlatter AR, Marcucci-Poltri S, Frohberg R, Dubcovsky J.** 2000. Development of PCR-based markers for a high grain protein content gene from *Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides* transferred to bread wheat. *Crop Science* **40**, 518–524.
- Large EC.** 1954. Growth stages in cereals: illustration of the Feekes scale. *Plant Pathology* **3**, 128–129.
- Lin JF, Wu SH.** 2004. Molecular events in senescing *Arabidopsis* leaves. *The Plant Journal* **39**, 612–628.
- Mae T.** 2004. Leaf senescence and nitrogen metabolism. In: Noodén LD, ed. *Plant cell death processes*. San Diego, CA: Elsevier Academic Press, 157–168.
- Martre P, Porter JR, Jamieson PD, Triböi E.** 2003. Modeling grain nitrogen accumulation and protein composition to understand the sink/source regulation of nitrogen remobilization for wheat. *Plant Physiology* **133**, 1959–1967.
- Mesfin A, Frohberg RC, Anderson JA.** 1999. RFLP markers associated with high grain protein from *Triticum turgidum* L. var. *dicoccoides* introgressed into hard red spring wheat. *Crop Science* **39**, 508–513.
- Olmos S, Distelfeld A, Chicaiza O, Schlatter AR, Fahima T, Echenique V, Dubcovsky J.** 2003. Precise mapping of a locus affecting grain protein content in durum wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **107**, 1243–1251.
- Prasad M, Varshney RK, Kumar A, Balyan HS, Sharma PC, Edwards KJ, Singh H, Dhaliwal HS, Roy JK, Gupta PK.** 1999. A microsatellite marker associated with a QTL for grain protein content on chromosome arm 2DL of bread wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **99**, 341–345.
- Salvi S, Tuberosa R.** 2005. To clone or not to clone plant QTLs: present and future challenges. *Trends in Plant Science* **10**, 297–304.
- SAS Institute.** 2003. *SAS user's guide, version 9.1*. Cary, NC: SAS Institute, Inc.
- Simmonds N.** 1995. The relation between yield and protein in cereal grain. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **67**, 309–315.
- Uauy C, Brevis JC, Chen X, Khan IA, Jackson L, Chicaiza O, Distelfeld A, Fahima T, Dubcovsky J.** 2005. High-temperature adult plant (HTAP) stripe rust resistance gene *Yr36* from *Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides* is closely linked to the grain protein content locus *Gpc-B1*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **112**, 97–105.
- Verma V, Foulkes MJ, Worland AJ, Sylvester-Bradley R, Caligari PDS, Snape JW.** 2004. Mapping quantitative trait loci for flag leaf senescence as a yield determinant in winter wheat under optimal and drought-stressed environments. *Euphytica* **135**, 255–263.
- Yang L, Mickelson S, Deven S, Blake TK, Fischer AM.** 2004. Genetic analysis of the function of major leaf proteases in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) nitrogen remobilization. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **55**, 2607–2616.