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Abstract—There are numerous of programming resources on the Internet, such as programming problems on online judge systems and program codes that solve these problems. Although these resources are valuable for students to practice programming, they are not effectively organized to facilitate students learning. Students and teachers may both hope that all these programming resources are organized as a tutoring sequence. For this purpose, an approach which is based on neural computing is proposed here to organize the programming resources automatically into a tutoring sequence. 2456 source codes were mined in our experiment, resulting in 97 mainstream solutions to 105 programming problems, respectively. These mainstream solutions were sorted by their complexities to form a tutoring sequence which organizes the problems together with their program codes from easy to difficult.

Index Terms—programming resource, data mining, lobe component analysis, self-organizing maps, knowledge representation

I. INTRODUCTION

There are lots of online judges [1] that provide thousands of problems for students to solve on a purpose of programming practice. This results in abundant program source codes (submitted by students as solutions to the problems) on the Internet. All these problems and their program source codes, however, are not organized effectively for teaching and learning. It is often difficult for students to choose suitable problems to practice. To organize these programming resources, a method [2] based on Formal Conception Analysis (FCA [3]) was proposed to discover the knowledge behind the source codes of the problem solutions. Another method [4] was proposed on a basis of predefined knowledge structures to identify the programming knowledge points in the solution reports. However, they are both based on predefined knowledge bases. Methods that depend on a predefined knowledge base have several disadvantages. For example, it is difficult to determinate how many knowledge points are needed to put into the knowledge base in advance [5].

To address such issue, we propose an approach to organize these programming resources automatically without any predefined knowledge base. The main idea of this approach is to mine the program source codes by neural computing to discover mainstream solutions to programming problems. Moreover, the discovered mainstream solutions are sorted to form a sequence from simple to complex. This sorted sequence, in which each of the programming problems can find its mainstream solution, functions as a tutoring sequence which arranges the programming problems together with their program source codes from easy to difficult.

However, the program source code, a sequence of strings, or a sequence of alphabets, is always trusted as a non-vectorial item. This makes it difficult to mind the program source codes by neural computing, which is often based on vector computation. For this reason, we use a vector, called code vector, to present the program source code. The code vector indicates whether or not the abstract syntax tree (AST [6-8]) of the program source code contains some special sub-trees, called central sub-trees.

Fig.1 shows the procedure to organize programming problems and their program source codes into a tutoring sequence by the proposed neural computing. The first step is to convert the program source codes into their abstract syntax trees by using the Java Compiler (JavaCC [7,8]).

Usually, an AST is composed of several sub-trees. The second step is to cut the ASTs into their sub-trees. We find that most sub-trees are similar to others, so that we try to use a central sub-tree to represent a group of similar sub-trees. In the third step, we introduce a clustering method which is called Self-Organizing Maps (SOM [9-13]) to mine the central sub-trees for groups of similar sub-trees. SOM is a clustering method considered as an unsupervised variation of the artificial neural network.

In the forth step, we construct the code vector of each program code on a basis of the central sub-trees. The number of components of the code vector is equal to the number of the central sub-trees. Each component of the code vector is corresponding to a central sub-tree, and
vice versa. The value of a component of the code vector is
the similarity value between a sub-tree of the program
code and the central sub-tree corresponding to the
component.

After obtaining the code vectors of program source
codes, we could apply Lobe Component Analysis (LCA
[14,15]) to mining them to discover the mainstream
solutions to the programming problems in the fifth step.
LCA is another type of the artificial neural network.

Finally, we generate a tutoring sequence by sorting the
discovered the mainstream solutions (represented by
vectorial templates) from simple to complex and
associating each of the mainstream solutions with its
corresponding problems as well as its corresponding
group of program source codes.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In
Section II, we introduce the abstract syntax tree (AST)
brieﬂy and present two methods to measure the similarity
between ASTs. In Section III, we introduce the concept
of the central sub-tree, and explain how to obtain a
central sub-tree from similar sub-trees by SOM. In
Section IV, we convert source codes into their code
vectors on a basis of central sub-trees and mine the
solutions to problems from the code vectors by LCA. In
Section V, we propose a method to generate a tutoring
sequence by associating the problems with the mined
solutions. Section VI shows the experiment results which
demonstrate that our approach is feasible. Finally, we
draw the conclusion for our paper.

II. ABSTRACT SYNTAX TREES AND THEIR SIMILARITIES

A. Abstract Syntax Trees

In the ﬁeld of computer science, an abstract syntax
tree (AST), or just a syntax tree, is a tree representation
of the abstract syntactic structure of a program source
code written in a programming language. An AST is
often the output of a parser and it forms the input to
semantic analysis and code generation. The Java
Compiler Compiler (JavaCC [7,8]) is a tool of parser-
generator written in Java that allows the parser to
produce ASTs. Each source code can be converted into
its AST. Fig.2 shows an AST of a simple source code
(dispayed in the up right corner) generated by JavaCC.
Each node in the tree represents a constant, variable,
operator, or statement.

B. Tree Similarity

We deﬁne that the size of a tree is the number of
nodes that the tree contains. We deﬁne the tree size
similarity to measure the similarity between two trees.

Given two trees \( X \) and \( Y \), the tree size similarity,
denoted by \( \text{TreeSizeSimilarity}(X, Y) \), measures the degree
that the tree \( Y \) is similar to the tree \( X \) in size, which is
defined as follows:

\[
\text{TreeSizeSimilarity}(X, Y) = \frac{\text{Node}(X) - \text{Node}(Y)}{\text{Node}(X)}
\]

where \( \text{Node}(T) \) denotes the number of nodes in the tree \( T \).

It is not enough to measure the similarity between two
trees only by the tree size similarity. Two trees that have
the same size may have different structures. For this
reason, we deﬁne the similarity between two structures,
called tree structure similarity. The tree structure
similarity is based on the tree edit distance [16] (TED).

![Diagram](image_url)

Figure 2. The abstract syntax tree of a simple source code
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The tree edit distance is a measurement used to measure the similarity between tree structured data. The tree edit distance is defined as the minimum-cost sequence of node edit operations that transform one tree into another. If $T$ is an ordered tree, these edit operations are defined as follows:

- Rename the label of a node $x$ in $T$;
- Delete a non-root node $x$ in $T$ with parent $x'$, making the children of $x$ become the children of $x'$;
- Insert a node $x$ as a child of $x'$ in $T$, making $x$ the parent of a consecutive subsequence of the children of $x'$.

Given two trees $X$ and $Y$, the tree structure similarity, denoted by $TreeStructureSimilarity(X, Y)$, measures the degree that the tree $Y$ is similar to the tree $X$ in structure. It is defined as follows:

$$TreeStructureSimilarity(X, Y) = \frac{Node(X) - TED(X, Y)}{Node(X)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $TED(X, Y)$ is the tree edit distance between $X$ and $Y$. Obviously, the smaller the tree edit distance $TED(X, Y)$ is, the bigger the tree structure similarity value will be.

III. MINING CENTRAL SUB-TREES

A. Sub-tree and Central Sub-tree

In computer programming, a block is a section of code which is grouped together. Usually, a block is composed of one or more declarations and statements. Each block implements a special functionality. In an AST, each block is corresponding to a sub-tree. The blocks in C/C++ programming are delimited by curly braces. We cut the AST into its sub-trees such that each of its sub-trees represents a block of the source code.

We find that most sub-trees of ASTs are similar to others, so that we try to use a central sub-tree to represent a group of similar sub-trees. Fig.3 shows three groups of similar sub-trees. They are represented by circles, stars and squares. Each circle represents a sub-tree. The sub-trees that the circles represent are similar to each other. We can see that the circles are clustered together. So are the stars and squares. The circle nearest to the center of the cluster of circles is regarded as the central one. The central circle represents the central sub-tree of the similar sub-trees that the circles represent.

B. Self-Organizing Maps

The Self-Organizing Map (SOM) is a clustering method considered as an unsupervised variation of the artificial neural network. Compared with other artificial neural networks, SOM uses neighborhood function [17] to preserve the topological properties of the input space. In maps consisting of thousands of nodes, it is possible to perform cluster operations [18-19] on the map itself.

Let us briefly introduce the idea of Self-Organizing Maps in terms of our trees. An SOM map consists of a group of neurons. Each neuron includes two parts: its corresponding tree on the SOM map, and a list of trees under the neuron. The trees in the list under the neuron are similar to its corresponding tree on the SOM map, so that we think that its corresponding tree on the map represents all the trees under the neuron. The SOM algorithm forms a semantic map where similar trees are mapped closely together and dissimilar ones apart.

These are the variables needed in the SOM algorithm.

1) $t$ is the index of the input tree;
2) $T(t)$ is an input tree;
3) $n$ is a neuron in the map;
4) $T_n$ is the tree corresponding to the neuron $n$;
5) $L_n$ is the list of trees under the neuron $n$ including the neuron tree $T_n$;
6) $s$ is the current iteration, and $e$ is the iteration limit;
7) $similarity(x, y)$ is the formula to calculate the similarity between the tree $x$ and the tree $y$;
8) $neighbor(n)$ is the neighborhood of the neuron $n$;
9) $trees(n)$ is a set of all trees in the neighborhood of the neuron $n$.

The SOM algorithm is described as follows.

1) Initialize the map such that each neuron $n$ has a randomly-chosen tree $T_n$ and an empty list $L_n$ of trees under the neuron (i.e., $L_n = \emptyset$).
2) For each input tree $T(t)$, do the following:
   a) For each neuron $n$ in the map, calculate the similarity between the input tree $T(t)$ and the neuron tree $T_n$ by the formula $similarity(T_n, T(t))$;
   b) Choose the neuron $m$ whose corresponding tree $T_m$ has the largest similarity value of $similarity(T_m, T(t))$. Add the input tree $T(t)$ into the list $L_m$ of trees under the neuron $m$.
3) For each neuron $n$, do the following:
   a) Choose the tree $M_n$ from the set $trees(n)$ of all trees in the neighborhood $neighbor(n)$ such that the tree $M_n$ has the biggest sum $S$ of similarities
   
   $$S = \sum_{T \in \text{trees}(n)} similarity(M_n, T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
   b) Replace the neuron tree $T_n$ by the chosen tree $M_n$.
4) Empty the list $L_n$ of every neuron $n$, and increase $s$. 

Figure 3. The clusters of sub-trees
5) Repeat from Step 2) to Step 4) while \( s < e \).

Each neuron tree \( T_n \) in the map is a central tree which represents the trees in the list \( L_n \) at the end of the above algorithm.

C. Obtaining Central Sub-trees by SOM

For a given set of sub-trees, we apply the SOM algorithm in two steps to obtain the central sub-trees, as is shown in Fig.4.

We take a 1-dimensional SOM method to divide all sub-trees into several classes. We use a tree size similarity to measure the similarity between two sub-trees, e.g., the similarity between the input sub-tree \( T(t) \) and the neuron tree \( T_n \) is measured by \( \text{TreeSizeSimilarity}(T_n, T(t)) \). For each neuron \( n \), we treat neurons at its left side and right side including itself as its neighborhood \( \text{neighbor}(n) \). When the 1-dimensional SOM operation is finished, the list \( L_n \) of sub-trees under each neuron \( n \) is a class of sub-trees (e.g., \( \text{Class 1, Class 2} \) in Fig.4). The sub-trees in the same class are similar in size.

Moreover, each class obtained by the 1-dimensional SOM is further divided into several subclasses by a 2-dimensional SOM method. We use the tree structure similarity to measure the similarity between two sub-trees in the same class, e.g., the similarity between the input sub-tree \( T(t) \) and the neuron tree \( T_n \) is measured by \( \text{TreeStructureSimilarity}(T_n, T(t)) \). For each neuron \( n \), we use Moore neighborhood algorithm [20] to determine the neurons including itself as its neighborhood \( \text{neighbor}(n) \). When the 2-dimensional SOM operation is finished, the list \( L_n \) of sub-trees under each neuron \( n \) is a subclass of sub-trees (e.g., \( \text{Subclass 1 and Subclass m} \) in Fig.4). The sub-trees in the same subclass are similar not only in size but also in structure. Each neuron tree \( T_n \) in the 2-dimensional SOM is a central sub-tree (e.g., \( C_1 \) and \( C_m \) in Fig.4) at the end of the 2-dimensional SOM operation.

IV. MINING SOLUTIONS

A. Code Vectors

Fig.5 shows the procedure to construct the code vector \( V = (v_2, v_3...v_s) \) for a given source code, which is described as follows.

1) Convert the source code to its abstract syntax tree \( X \), and cut the abstract syntax tree \( X \) into its sub-trees \( x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \) such that each of them represents a block of the source code, denoted by \( X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\} \).

2) For each sub-tree \( x \) in \( X \), do the following:
   a) Construct a set \( S(x) \) of all central sub-trees that are similar to the sub-tree \( x \) in size such that every central sub-tree \( c \) in \( S(x) \) satisfies \( \text{TreeSizeSimilarity}(c, x) > K \), where \( K \) is between 0.7 and 0.9 (e.g., 0.8).
   b) If the set \( S(x) \) is not empty, choose the central sub-tree \( c_m \) that is the most similar to the sub-tree \( x \) in structure, and set \( v_m = \text{TreeStructureSimilarity}(c_m, x) \), where \( v_m \) is the component of the code vector \( V \) corresponding to the central sub-tree \( c_m \).

3) Set each of the rest components of the code vector \( V \) equal to 0.

B. Lobe Component Analysis for Mining Solutions

The Lobe Component Analysis (LCA) is a type of artificial neural network that supports incremental learning. Its idea is to use as little as possible of the vectors to represent the entire space of the data.

Now, let’s introduce the principle of LCA briefly. Given a limited resource, LCA divides the sample space \( R \) into \( c \) mutually non-overlapping regions called lobe regions:

\[
R = r_1 \cup r_2 \cup ... \cup r_c
\]

(4)

where \( r_i \cap r_j = \emptyset \), if \( i \neq j \). Each region \( r_i \) is represented by a single unit feature vector called lobe vector.
As we have mentioned earlier, each code vector corresponds to a program code that solves a programming problem. The solution to the problem is implemented by the program code. Some program codes may implement the same solution. LCA would divide all code vectors into several mutually non-overlapping lobe regions. Each of the lobe regions is a group of code vectors that implement the same solution. Thus, we think that each lobe vector represents a solution whereas each code vector in the lobe region represents an instance of that each lobe vector represents a solution whereas each code vector in the lobe region represents an instance of the solution (i.e., each program code is a solution instance). The lobe vector is called vectorial template in Fig. 1.

Each component of the lobe vector is a real number. To make it more clearly to analysis, we convert the lobe vector into a zero-one vector, called solution vector. For a given lobe vector \( L = (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_l) \), its corresponding solution vector \( O = (o_1, o_2, \ldots, o_l) \) is such that \( o_i = 1 \) if \( l_i \) is greater than 0, or \( o_i = 0 \) otherwise. For example, \( L = (0.95, 0, 0.9, 0.65, 0) \), its solution vector \( O = (1, 0, 1, 1, 0) \). It is easy to see that the more ones in the solution vector, the more complexity the solution is.

C. Mainstream Solutions

Some solutions have many instances (i.e., code vectors) in their corresponding lobe regions. Others have few instances. The more instances in its corresponding region, the more frequently used the solution is.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( s_1 )</td>
<td>110-5(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_2 )</td>
<td>121-2(4), 110-5(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_3 )</td>
<td>131-3(16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second column indicates the information of the problems in the solution. For example, 110-5 has 15 source codes in the solution \( s_1 \).

A problem may several solutions, among which the one that is used most frequently to solve the problem is called the mainstream solution of the problem. For example, suppose there are three solutions \( s_1, s_2 \) and \( s_3 \) obtained by LCA as shown in Table I. The solution \( s_1 \) can be used to solve a problem whose ID number is 110-5. The solution \( s_2 \) can be used to solve two problems whose ID numbers are 121-2 and 110-5, respectively. The solution \( s_3 \) can be used to solve a problem whose ID number is 131-3. For the problem “110-5”, there are two solutions \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) that can be used to solve it. However, the problem “110-5” has 15 code vectors in the solution \( s_1 \) and 2 code vectors in the solution \( s_2 \). So we treat the solution \( s_1 \) as the mainstream solution of the problem “110-5”.

The algorithm to discover the mainstream solutions is described as follows.

1) For each problem \( p_i \) in the set \( P \), do the following:
   1) Empty the set \( N \), i.e., \( N \leftarrow \emptyset \);
   2) Select from the set \( S \) all the solutions that can solve the problem \( p_i \), and put them into the set \( N \);
   3) For each solution in the set \( N \), calculate the number of code vectors of the problem \( p_i \);
   4) Choose a solution \( s_i \) from the set \( N \) that has the largest number of code vectors of the problem \( p_i \) as the mainstream solution of the problem \( p_i \).

Each problem will find its mainstream solution by the algorithm above. Moreover, a mainstream may solve more than one problem.

V. ORGANIZING PROGRAM SOURCE CODES

In this section, we present a method to generate the tutoring sequence according to the mainstream solutions and their corresponding problems.

These are the variables and operations needed in the method.

1) \( mask \) is a variable of a zero-one vector, initialized all ones, i.e., \( mask = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \).
2) \& denotes the bitwise AND operation of two zero-one vectors.
3) \( * \) denotes the dot product operation of two vectors.
4) ~ denotes the reverse operation for a given zero-one vector.
5) \( S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m\} \) is the set of mainstream solutions
6) \( O = \{o_1, o_2, \ldots, o_m\} \) is the set of the solution vectors. Each solution vector \( o_i \) in the set \( O \) corresponds to a solution \( s_i \) in the set \( S \).
7) \( SP \) is the set that are used to store the temporary solutions
8) \( Sequence \) is the list to store the sorted solutions.

Figure 6 depicts the algorithm to generate a tutoring sequence, which is described as follows.

1) For each solution \( s_i \) in the set \( S \), update its solution vector \( o_i \) by \( o_i \leftarrow o_i \& mask \).
2) For each solution \( s_i \) in the set \( S \), calculate the dot product of the vector variable \( mask \) and its solution vector \( o_i \) by \( x_i = mask * o_i \) (\( x_i \) is an integer). Choose the
solutions which have the smallest value of the dot product, and add these solutions into the set \( SP \).

3) Choose a solution \( s_1 \) from the set \( SP \) that has more instances than each of others in the set \( SP \) has, and append the solution \( s_1 \) at the end of the list \( Sequence \).

4) Update the vector variable \( mask \) by \( mask \leftarrow \neg o_1 \).

5) Remove the solution \( s_1 \) from the set \( S \), and empty the set \( SP \).

6) Repeat from Step 1) to Step 5) until \( S = \emptyset \).

By applying the algorithm above, we can get a sequence of mainstream solutions in the list \( Sequence \) sorted from simple to complex. A tutoring sequence is each problem with its mainstream solutions. We can correspond to the list \( Sequence \) of problems in the solution. For example, 110-5 (17) indicates that the problem whose ID number is 110-5 has 17 source codes in the solution \( s_5 \).

For example, suppose we have four mainstream solutions shown in Table II. The solution \( s_1 \) can be used to solve a problem whose ID number is 203-20. The solutions \( s_2 \) and \( s_3 \) can solve the problems “123-1028” and “206-42”, respectively. The solution \( s_4 \) can be used to solve two problems whose ID numbers are 110-5 and 203-24, respectively. During the first iteration, the set \( SP = \{ s_1, s_2 \} \). However, the solution \( s_3 \) has 12 instances, which are more than 7 instances that the solution \( s_1 \) has. So we append the solution \( s_3 \) at the end of the list \( Sequence \).

After removing the solution \( s_3 \) from the set \( S \), the rest of solutions are shown in Table III, where the solution vectors has been updated by the bitwise AND operation \( o_1 \leftarrow o_1 \& mask \).

TABLE II MAINSTREAM SOLUTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Solution vector</th>
<th>Problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( s_1 )</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)</td>
<td>203-20(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_2 )</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1, 0, 0, 0, 1)</td>
<td>123-1028(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_3 )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(1, 0, 0, 0, 1)</td>
<td>206-42(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_4 )</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(1, 0, 0, 1, 1)</td>
<td>110-5(17), 203-24(8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number is the number of source codes in the solution. Problem vector is the vector of the solution.

Problems indicate the problems in the solution. For example, 110-5(17) indicates that the problem whose ID number is 110-5 has 17 source codes in the solution \( s_5 \).

At the end of the algorithm, we get a sequence of mainstream solutions stored in the list \( Sequence = \{ s_5, s_1, s_2, s_3 \} \). A tutoring sequence is obtained by associating each problem with its mainstream solutions. We can denote the tutoring sequence by problem ID numbers, e.g., (206-42, 203-20, 123-1028, 110-5, 203-24), which corresponds to the list \( Sequence = \{ s_5, s_1, s_2, s_3 \} \).

VI. EXPERIMENTS

Our experiment is based on 105 problems which consist of 45 simple problems for freshmen and 60 medium problems for sophomores. We gathered 2456 corresponding C language source codes submitted by 118 students. All of these source codes were downloaded from the online judge at http://acm.dhu.edu.cn/dhuoj.

A. Central Sub-trees

We converted the 2456 source codes to their ASTs. 11837 sub-trees were obtained after cutting the 2456 ASTs according to their curly braces.

TABLE IV INFORMATION OF CLASSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>[8, 11]</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>[12, 14]</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>[15, 18]</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>[19, 22]</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>[23, 25]</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>[26, 29]</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>[30, 34]</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>[35, 39]</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>[40, 44]</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>[45, 49]</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number is the number of sub-trees in the class; Scope is the range of sizes of sub-trees in the class; Minimum is the smallest value of tree size similarities; Average is the average value of tree size similarities.

By the clustering method of a 1-dimensional SOM, we obtained twenty-five classes, ten of which are listed in Table IV. We can see that sub-trees which are similar in size are gathered in one class. Take the second class for example. There are 687 sub-trees in this class. The size of each sub-tree in the class is between 12 and 14 inclusive. The minimum tree size similarity between these sub-trees is 0.923.

118 subclasses were generated by applying a 2-dimensional SOM to the twenty-five classes. Thus, we obtained 118 central sub-trees. Table V lists 4 central sub-trees of them. Take the forth row for example, the ID number of the central sub-tree is 593. There are 348 sub-trees which are similar to this central sub-tree. The minimum value of the tree structure similarities between the central sub-tree and these sub-trees is 0.929.

B. Solutions

2456 code vectors were constructed on a basis of the 118 central sub-trees according to the method presented in Section IV. In the LCA progress, we randomly select 400 solution instances (code vectors) to initialize their corresponding solution vector.
400 solutions were obtained after 3000 times training of the LCA, and 97 mainstream solutions were obtained from these solutions according to the method presented in Section IV.

C. Tutoring Sequence

A tutoring sequence was generated in our experiment which organized the problems together with their program codes from easy to difficult. It is shown in Table VII in Appendix. We can see that the simple problems appear in the front of the tutoring sequence, whereas the medium problems appear in the back of the tutoring sequence. However, a medium problem (i.e., 202-18 Accumulate Formula) appears near the front of the sequence, and a simple problem (i.e., 110-46 Deal) appears near the back of sequence. Such phenomenon is normal, because a simple problem may need more code blocks to solve it (i.e., if…else if…else statements). For the medium problem, there is a clever approach to solve it.

VII. CONCLUSION

There are lots of archives of problems for programming practice on the Internet. The problems in these archives, however, are not organized effectively for programming tutoring. Teachers may hope that the problems are organized into a tutoring sequence from easy to difficult. In this paper, we proposed an approach to organize programming problems and their program source codes into a tutoring sequence by neural computing. This approach includes converting the source codes into their corresponding abstract syntax trees, applying Self-Organizing Maps to extracting central sub-trees from the abstract syntax trees, mining the program codes to obtain the mainstream solutions by Lobe Component Analysis, and producing a tutoring sequence of the mainstream solutions from simple to complex. It is hoped that such a tutoring sequence is useful for teachers and students.
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TABLE VII
TUTORING SEQUENCE

No. | Problem title | No. | Problem title | No. | Problem title
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
1 | 112-59 Date | 36 | 200-3 Pure composite number | 71 | 201-11 Translate letters
2 | 107-13 The day number of a month | 37 | 207-46 Different date | 72 | 201-9 Spiral square
3 | 123-1028 Count decimals-2 | 38 | 109-40 Complex formula | 73 | 203-19 Three ships
4 | 112-43 Palindrome digital | 39 | 106-24 Bred problem | 74 | 203-22 Chess board
5 | 206-42 Josephus-2 | 40 | 106-25 Sum | 75 | 204-25 Magic ratio
6 | 111-35 Real value calculate | 41 | 201-7 Special Four-digit | 76 | 113-39 Reverse order
7 | 110-55 Count letter | 42 | 205-35 The three prime numbers | 77 | 207-45 Seek prime
8 | 112-32 Encryption | 43 | 202-14 Series | 78 | 200-4 Count Series number
9 | 203-24 Lost pages | 44 | 109-2 Magic number | 79 | 209-60 Gold Bach’s Conjecture
10 | 202-18 Accumulate Formula | 45 | 106-25 Sum | 80 | 203-23 Construct sequence
11 | 113-8 Count decimals-1 | 46 | 208-51 Tree | 81 | 209-58 The multiple of 7
12 | 202-16 Formula result | 47 | 205-34 Ring | 82 | 208-54 Adder
13 | 201-12 A multiple of T | 48 | 105-53 Prime | 83 | 209-59 Prime
14 | 203-20 Multiplication of tribal people | 49 | 105-19 Sum of approximate | 84 | 110-5 Absolute value
15 | 106-21 Seek big, small, average | 50 | 112-18 Data reversal | 85 | 105-38 Strange number
16 | 203-21 Bus | 51 | 107-36 How about you score | 86 | 204-28 Decimal
17 | 111-28 Shipping rate | 52 | 205-32 Table tennis | 87 | 206-38 Palindrome-1
18 | 111-37 Busy dog robber | 53 | 209-57 Maximum | 88 | 105-9 Check prime number
19 | 108-14 Print letter | 54 | 200-1 Triangle number | 89 | 206-39 Moto
20 | 113-7 Count reverse letter | 55 | 208-53 Palindrome-2 | 90 | 201-10 Array
21 | 111-27 Function value | 56 | 209-56 Series-2 | 91 | 204-27 Security system
22 | 105-10 Count zero | 57 | 108-47 Print* | 92 | 123-1026 Strange Shape
23 | 105-17 Sum of number | 58 | 108-26 Back and front of letter | 93 | 200-2 pure prime
24 | 107-11 Class problem | 59 | 123-1025 Data division | 94 | 110-46 Deal
25 | 106-56 Open/close light | 60 | 209-55 Series-1 | 95 | 207-47 Magic square
26 | 110-45 SysConvert-1 | 61 | 204-26 Output Diamond-1 | 96 | 105-6 Greatest common divisor
27 | 110-48 SysConvert-2 | 62 | 202-15 Abc | 97 | 200-6 Print sequence in order
28 | 202-17 Maximum benefits | 63 | 106-44 Binary | 98 | 207-44 Count String
29 | 200-5 Highest frequency | 64 | 206-41 Josephus-1 | 99 | 207-43 The start of String
30 | 107-20 Which day | 65 | 207-48 Longest letter | 100 | 204-30 A multiple of N
31 | 111-52 A simple problem | 66 | 106-22 Fibonacci | 101 | 205-36 Matrix transfer
32 | 107-58 Triangle | 67 | 201-8 Seek the biggest | 102 | 206-37 Letter sort
33 | 204-29 Sparse matrix | 68 | 109-12 Ticket price | 103 | 205-31 poker
34 | 208-52 Triangle | 69 | 208-50 Sequence | 104 | 202-13 Divisible
35 | 205-33 Max and min | 70 | 206-40 Numeric string processing | 105 | 208-49 Scholarship

The problem title which starts with “1” indicates an easy problem, e.g., “112-59 Date” is an easy problem. The problem title which starts with “2” indicates a medium problem, e.g., “208-50 Sequence” is a medium problem. When two programs have the same mainstream solution, put the one that has more program codes before the other.
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