Regulated Synthesis and Functions of Laminin 5 in Polarized Madin-Darby Canine Kidney Epithelial Cells
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Renal tubular epithelial cells synthesize laminin (LN5) during regeneration of the epithelium after ischemic injury. LN5 is a truncated laminin isoform of particular importance in the epidermis, but it is also constitutively expressed in a number of other epithelia. To investigate the role of LN5 in morphogenesis of a simple renal epithelium, we examined the synthesis and function of LN5 in the spreading, proliferation, wound-edge migration, and apical–basal polarization of Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells. MDCK cells synthesize LN5 only when subconfluent, and they degrade the existing LN5 matrix when confluent. Through the use of small-interfering RNA to knockdown the LN5 gene, we demonstrated that LN5 is necessary for cell proliferation and efficient wound-edge migration, but not apical–basal polarization. Surprisingly, suppression of LN5 production caused cells to spread much more extensively than normal on uncoated surfaces, and exogenous keratinocyte LN5 was unable to rescue this phenotype. MDCK cells also synthesized laminin α5, a component of LN10, that independent studies suggest may form an assembled basal lamina important for polarization. Overall, our findings indicate that LN5 is likely to play an important role in regulating cell spreading, migration, and proliferation during reconstitution of a continuous epithelium.

INTRODUCTION

Epithelia line the surfaces of multicellular organisms, acting as semipermeable barriers between the internal milieu and the outside world. To carry out this function, epithelial cells are structurally and functionally polarized (Nelson, 2003). Epithelial cell polarity arises during embryonic development and regenerates after injury (Fish and Molitoris, 1994; Zuk et al., 1998; Nelson, 2003). Although the details are still not clear, it seems many of the factors responsible for signaling polarization of epithelial cells are shared with other types of cells that respond asymmetrically to particular stimuli (Nelson, 2003). What sets epithelial cells apart, however, is that they are capable of forming a continuous sheet of polarized cells, each with exactly the same orientation relative to each other and the extracellular environment.

There is abundant evidence that the environmental signals or “spatial cues” for coordinated polarization of epithelial cells are adhesive interactions, both between cells and with the extracellular matrix (Nelson, 2003). When suspended individually, epithelial cells are depolarized; cell–cell or cell–matrix interactions alone induce at least a degree of polarity, whereas both classes of adhesion are required for full polarization (Vega-Salas et al., 1987). Cell–cell adhesion is facilitated primarily by E-cadherin and its associated proteins, and signals from these molecules are required for polarization (Vega-Salas et al., 1987; Nelson, 2003). In contrast, the exact identities and particular functions of both extracellular matrix receptors and ligands responsible for signaling polarization from the substratum are unknown.

In tissues, epithelial cells reside on a basal lamina composed primarily of isoforms of laminin (LN), collagen type IV, and heparan sulfate proteoglycans (Yurchenco, 1994; Li et al., 2005). Of these molecules, there is evidence that laminins are critically involved in polarization (Klein et al., 1988; Sorokin et al., 1990; Zinkl et al., 1996; Ekblom et al., 1999; Li et al., 2003; Miner and Yurchenco, 2004). In particular, laminin has been implicated in the polarization of both the developing kidney tubular epithelium and the Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cell line through the use of function-blocking antibodies (Klein et al., 1988; Sorokin et al., 1990; Zinkl et al., 1996). Furthermore, deletion of the γ1 subunit of laminin in mouse embryonic stem cells blocks epiblast polarization in vitro, whereas mutations in genes for both laminin subunits and laminin receptors lead to disrupted epithelial polarity in Caenorhabditis elegans and Drosophila (Li et al., 2003; Miner and Yurchenco, 2004).

Laminins are a family of large heterotrimeric glycoproteins consisting of at least 15 members composed of combinations of at least 15 members composed of combinations of five α, three β, and three γ subunits (Colognato and Yurchenco, 2000; Miner and Yurchenco, 2004). The prototypic laminin structure is cruciform, with three arms contributed by fibrous and globular domains, and a rigid stalk made up of a coiled-coil of all three subunits. The terminal regions of each arm are capable of associating with similar domains on other molecules to form a polymer network, whereas the end of the stalk interacts with a variety of cellular receptors (Miner and Yurchenco, 2004). Assembly of
laminin into a basal lamina is believed to be initiated by monomer association with the cell surface, followed by polymerization dependent on laminin concentration and divalent cations (Yurchenco, 1994; Cheng et al., 1997; Colognato et al., 1999; Odenthal et al., 2004; Li et al., 2005). Some laminin isoforms diverge from this model by possessing one or more truncated subunits that render them less able to polymerize (Cheng et al., 1997; Miner and Yurchenco, 2004; Odenthal et al., 2004).

Our laboratory has been studying the involvement of laminin in the polar morphogenesis of epithelia both in vitro using MDCK cells and during regeneration of the renal epithelium after ischemic injury in vivo. In the rat kidney, we found that LN5, a truncated isoform moderately expressed at high levels in many regions of the kidney during regeneration (Carter et al., 1999; Odenthal et al., 2005). LN5, 1 integrin and was used for immunoprecipitation. Mouse 1 integrin was prepared as described previously (Eble et al., 1987). Rabbit polyclonal anti-laminin B1 (0.25 mg/ml), directed against the β3 laminin subunit, was purchased from BD Biosciences Transduction Laboratories (West Grove, PA). When available, all secondary antibodies were highly cross-adsorbed against other species.

Other Reagents
Collagen (type I from rat tail tendon, 4 mg/ml for coating culture surfaces was purchased from Upstate Biotechnology (Lake Placid, NY) (catalog no. 08-115). LN5 was purified from human keratinocyte conditioned culture medium by affinity chromatography using the 6F12 mAb against the laminin β3 chain and was described previously (Yurchenco et al., 1998). The preparation was highly pure and intact as judged by SDS-gel electrophoresis (our unpublished data) and supported the adhesion of epidermal carcinoma cells. Phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor-488 was purchased from Molecular Probes-Invitrogen and used for fluorescence microscopy by diluting a methanol stock (200 U/ml) 1:80. All other chemicals were reagent grade.

**SDS-Gel Electrophoresis and Immunoblotting**
SDS-PAGE was conducted in a minigel apparatus (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using Laemmli buffers (Laemmli, 1970). For immunoblotting, cell cultures were extracted directly with SDS extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-cl, pH 8.8, 2% SDS, and 5 mM EDTA) supplemented with Complete protease inhibitors (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). The extract was then sheared by multiple passes through a 22-gauge syringe needle, immediately heated for 3 min at 90°C in a 1:3 ratio of sample to 2× SDS sample buffer containing 100 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.08% bromphenol blue, and reheated for 3 min at 95°C. Samples were then alkylated at 37°C for 15 min with 4 mM iodoacetamide before loading on the SDS gel. MDCK cell endogenous extracellular matrix was prepared by removing the cell layer with Triton X-100 and NH4OH as described previously (Le Beyec et al., 1997) and then extracting the matrix proteins directly with SDS extraction buffer.

Immunoblotting was carried out with a Bio-Rad semidry apparatus exactly as described previously (Zuk and Matlin, 2002). Primary antibodies were incubated with membranes sealed in plastic bags overnight at 4°C followed, after washing, by a 1-h incubation with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody diluted at 1:5000. Bands were visualized by reacting membranes with enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom) and exposing them to x-ray film. Primary antibody dilutions were 1:5000 for polyclonal anti-LN5 and 1:1000 for polyclonal anti-β1 integrin.
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**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell Culture**
Stock cultures of MDCK cells (strain II, Heidelberg isolate, passages 7–35) were grown in DMEM (high glucose) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.3, at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 as described previously (Matlin et al., 1987). Antibodies

**Antibodies**
**Laminin Isoforms.** Antibody 8LN5 (affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal anti-laminin; 0.43 mg/ml) was obtained from Dr. Robert Burgeson (Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA), and was used for immunofluorescence, immunoprecipitation, and immunoblotting. This antibody reacts with all three subunits of human LNS by immunoblotting and specifically stains the basement membrane underlying human epidermis (Zuk and Matlin, 2002). Mouse monoclonal anti-laminin B1 (0.25 mg/ml), directed against the β3 laminin subunit, was purchased from BD Biosciences Transduction Laboratories (Lexington, KY) (catalog no. 610424) and used for immunoblotting; it was not suitable for immunofluorescence or immunoprecipitation. Affinity-purified rabbit anti-LN1 (0.6 mg/ml) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) (catalog no. L9399) and used for immunofluorescence and immunoprecipitation.

**Integrins.** Antibody A1IB2 (monoclonal rat anti-mouse β1 integrin) was used for fixation assays as a culture supernatant prepared from hybridomas obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA) (Hall et al., 1990). Antibody GoH3 (monoclonal rat anti-human α6 integrin; 1 mg/ml) was purchased from BD Biosciences PharMingen (San Diego, CA) (catalog no. 555734) and used for adhesion assays and immunoprecipitation (Sonnenberg et al., 1987). Rabbit polyclonal anti-β1 integrin was prepared against a synthetic peptide corresponding to the carboxy-terminal 37 amino acids of human β1 integrin and was used for immunoblotting.
with Enhance (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Boston, MA), dried, and exposed to x-ray film.

In some cases, LNS5 was immunoprecipitated not only from extracts of long-term labeled cells but also from the culture medium. To accomplish this, culture medium was collected, centrifuged to remove any particulate matter, and antibodies were added directly to the supernatant.

**Immunofluorescence and Confocal Microscopy**

Indirect immunofluorescence staining of MDCK cells grown on glass coverslips was conducted on formaldehyde-fixed cells as described previously (Prahallad et al., 2004). LNS5 was detected by staining with 10 µg/ml 8LN5; presumptive LN10 was detected with a 1:100 dilution of anti-LN1. Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies were diluted 1:500. Stained coverslips were viewed on a Zeiss Axioskop fluorescence microscope with a 63x, 1.4 numerical aperture (NA) PlanApo or 40x, 1.3 NA PlanNeofluor oil immersion objective and Nomarski optics, and photographed with an Axioimam MRm digital camera using Axiosvision software (Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY).

MDCK cells grown in Transwell chambers were fixed for 30 min at room temperature with 3% formaldehyde in PBS at room temperature and quenched for 15 min with freshly prepared 0.1% NaBH4/PBS at room temperature with gentle agitation. Cells were permeabilized by incubation with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 4 min. At this point, the filters were cut from their plastic support with a scalpel blade, transferred cell side up to individual 35-mm glass Petri dishes, blocked for 30 min at room temperature with 10% goat serum in PBS, and cut into quarters for staining.

Antibody staining was conducted in a moist chamber at room temperature by incubation for 1 h at room temperature, followed by a 10-min incubation with 4% normal goat serum in ice-cold PBS to block unspecific bindings to sheets of Parafilm and then gently covering the cell side with an additional 100 µl of antibody. The filters were then incubated with the antibody for 30 min at room temperature and washed four times with PBS for 5 min each with agitation in individual 35-mm dishes. Staining with secondary antibodies was conducted identically. When filamentous actin was labeled, dilute fluorescent phallodin was included with the secondary antibody.

After antibody staining, filter quarters were washed with PBS and 0.1% Triton X-100/PBS and postfixed with 3% formaldehyde/PBS. They were then mounted on glass slides in hard-set Vectashield Antifade mounting solution (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) under a 22-mm square coverslip supported by four hardened nail polish “feet,” and edges sealed with additional nail polish.

Stained filter quarters were imaged with a Zeiss LSM-510 confocal laser scanning microscope in either the XY plane or as a Z-line orthogonal image using a 63x, 1.4 NA PlanNeofluor oil immersion objective. For XY images, the optical slice was ~1.0 µm with a frame size of 1024 x 1024 pixels. For Z-lines, the frame size was 2048 x 2048 pixels with a step size of 0.48 µm. For each experiment, images were collected at identical dynamic range settings. Conventional and confocal digital images were optimized with Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA) using linear adjustments of levels, contrast, and brightness.

**Adhesion Assay**

Adhesion assays in 96-well microtiter plates with and without function-blocking anti-integrin antibodies were conducted essentially as described previously (Schoenenberger et al., 1994).

**Polymerase Chain Reaction**

Laminin α3 and α5 transcripts were detected by combined reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR. Total MDCK cell RNA was prepared with an RNaseasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RT-PCR was conducted with 1.0 µg of RNA/50 µl reaction with a 10-min annealing step at 25°C, a 12-min reverse transcriptase reaction at 42°C, and 30–40 PCR cycles. Laminin primers were based on the reaction with a 10-min annealing step at 25°C, a 12-min reverse transcriptase step gradients of 15–25–40–54% centrifuged overnight at 100,000 × g and 4°C. The viral band was harvested between the 25 and 40% layers and used directly without dialysis. Standard stock virus titers were 107–1010 infectious units/ml.

For laminin α3 knockdown experiments, MDCK cells were plated for 1–2 h on uncoated surfaces until well-attached, gently washed twice with PBS, and infected with virus suspended in a small volume of serum-free Opti-MEM (Invitrogen) for 1 h at 37°C, 5% CO2. Complete MDCK cell growth medium was then added, and incubation continued. In these experiments, the activity of infection was checked to verify infection by Western blotting for the presence of 1.5–24 h.

**Analysis of Cell Spreading**

Control of MDCK cell or cultures infected with either Ad-D5 or Ad-Lac-Z for 18 h were trypsinized and replated at low density on coverslips and incubated overnight. The next day, cultures were incubated with 50 µM BrDU in complete medium for 6 h. To stain for BrDU, cells were washed, fixed with 3% formaldehyde in PBS, blocked and permeabilized with 5% goat serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, and stained with a 1:500 dilution of anti-BrdU in the same blocking buffer supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 (0.1% T) and 4°C. The coverslips were mounted in hard-set Vectashield containing 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Digital micrographs of total (DAPI-stained) nuclei and anti-BrdU-stained nuclei were counted with MetaMorph (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), and the fraction of BrdU-positive cells calculated. Labeling experiments were conducted three times with an average of seven fields/treatment (control, Ad-LacZ, and Ad-D5) and 119 cells/field counted.

**Wound Healing Assay**

Control (uninfected) and Ad-D5– and Ad-Lac-Z–infected cells were trypsinized and replated on grid-connected glass coverslips (Belco Biotechnology, Vineland, NJ). After incubating overnight, approximately one-half of each coverslip was scraped off using a rubber policeman. After changing medium, four regions per coverslip at the wound edge were digitally photographed on an inverted phase microscope at low power, and the cultures incubated for 24 h at 37°C, 5% CO2. After 24 h, the same regions were photographed. To quantify movement of the wound edge, digital photographs were printed, and the distances measured between fixed points of the grid and the wound edge at the two different time points. Results of three independent experiments with two to four measurements/experiment/treatment condition were averaged.

**Analysis of Cell Spreading**

Control cultures of MDCK cells or cultures infected with either Ad-D5 or Ad-Lac-Z for 18 h were trypsinized and replated at low density on glass
coverslips and incubated overnight. The next day, the cells were fixed and permeabilized, and the actin cytoskeleton visualized with fluorescent phalloidin and the nuclei with DAPI. Total spread area was measured from digital micrographs using phalloidin staining and the threshold setting in MetaMorph. Area/cell was then calculated by counting DAPI-stained nuclei.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted using SigmaStat (SPSS, Chicago, IL) by either the Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) on rank with multiple comparisons done by Dunn’s test, or by a one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons carried out by the Holm–Sidak method. Graphs of means ± SEs were generated with Sigma-Plot (SPSS).

**Sequence Identification**

The canine laminin α3 sequence provided here has the EMBL accession no. AY 552590.

**RESULTS**

**MDCK Cells Synthesize LN5 Only When Subconfluent**

In the injured kidney, synthesis of LN5 is turned on during regeneration of the epithelium (Zuk and Matlin, 2002). With epithelial cell lines such as MDCK, the equivalent of this regenerative phase is a subconfluent culture, where cells must spread and proliferate to reestablish a continuous epithelium. To determine whether MDCK cells synthesize LN5 under these conditions, cells were plated at low density in uncoated plastic culture dishes. At various times up to 24 h, cells were detached by treatment with Triton X-100 and NH4OH, and endogenous matrix proteins deposited on the culture dish extracted with SDS. These were then separated by SDS gel electrophoresis and Western blotted with an antibody against the β3 subunit of LN5.

As illustrated in Figure 1A, small amounts of deposited β3 were detectable as little as 6 h after plating, with increasing amounts evident throughout the remaining time points. In addition to the β3 band, another smaller, faint band was also detected with the antibody (Figure 1A, *). This presumably corresponds to a β3 proteolytic fragment.

When such cultures were grown to complete confluence for up to 7 d, and the deposited matrix proteins were examined, the amount of laminin β3 on the culture dish declined by 4 d and was almost undetectable by 7 d (Figure 1B). This suggested that not only was no new LN5 being secreted into the extracellular matrix underlying the cells but also that LN5 deposited earlier was being degraded.

To confirm this, similar cultures on glass coverslips were fixed and stained with a polyclonal antibody against LN5. As shown in Figure 1C, most cells plated for 18 h stained extensively with anti-LN5 antibody (Figure 1C, red) in a dispersed pattern, suggesting that of the endoplasmic reticulum, consistent with continued biosynthesis of LN5. By 4 d of culture, staining was largely absent, being confined to only a few reactive vesicles per cell. By 7 d, staining was almost completely absent (Figure 1C).

To look more directly at the biosynthesis of LN5, cells plated for various times were metabolically labeled for 30 min, and immunoprecipitated LN5 was visualized by fluorography. As shown in Figure 1D, 18 h after plating, all three LN5 subunits, α3, β3, and γ2, were detected. At all other times corresponding to fully confluent cultures, only small amounts of α3 and γ2 were observed, whereas significant quantities of β3 continued to be made. Thus, the Western in LN5 production and deposition observed by Western blotting and immunofluorescence (Figure 1, A–C) was due to differential inhibition of LN5 chain synthesis. Presumably, the β3 chain detected by metabolic labeling in confluent cells (4–10 d after plating; Figure 1D) was degraded in the endoplasmic reticulum and was not secreted in the absence of the other chains because it was not observed at these times by either immunofluorescence (Figure 1C) or Western blotting of deposited matrix proteins (Figure 1B). To determine whether the differential regulation of LN5 chain synthesis was regulated at the transcriptional level, RT-PCR was performed on RNA isolated from subconfluent and confluent cultures. In subconfluent cultures, mRNA for all three chains was detected, whereas only β3 mRNA was found in confluent cultures (our unpublished data).

**MDCK Cells Also Synthesize at Least One Other Laminin Isoform**

Previous studies from other laboratories demonstrated that MDCK cells synthesize laminin, although the particular isoform was not identified (Caplan et al., 1987; Boll el al., 1991; O’Brien et al., 2001). In most, if not all cases, the antibodies used in these studies were made against mouse LN1 (α1β1γ1), and some were specifically reactive with the γ1
subunit. Because no subunits of LN1 are shared with LN5, it is unlikely that the laminin observed in these previous studies was LN5. However, as at least 10 of the 15 known laminin isoforms contain the \( \alpha_5 \) subunit, the identity of this other MDCK cell laminin could not be determined based upon existing data (Miner and Yurchenco, 2004).

Studies of the kidney suggest that LN10 (\( \alpha_5 \beta_1 \gamma_1 \)) is a prominent isofrom in the tubular basement membrane (Miner, 1999). To determine whether MDCK cells, which are of renal origin, express LN10 in addition to LN5, RT-PCR analysis of MDCK RNA was conducted using primers derived from the human laminin \( \alpha_5 \) sequence. As shown in Figure 2, MDCK cells synthesize the laminin \( \alpha_5 \) subunit. (A) PCR products corresponding to laminin \( \alpha_5 \) and \( \beta \)-actin were detected in RNA from MDCK cells by RT-PCR using primers specific to human laminin \( \alpha_5 \) and canine \( \beta \)-actin. Lanes 1 and 2, laminin \( \alpha_5 \) primers; lane 3, actin primers. (B) The PCR product shown in A was sequenced and the sequence compared with that of human and mouse laminin \( \alpha_5 \). The canine sequence is 85% identical to the human sequence and 81% identical to the mouse sequence. (C) MDCK cells (7.5 \times 10^6/35-mm dish) were plated for 42 h and then fixed and stained for either LN5 or putative LN10, the latter using a polyclonal antibody against LN1. Confocal sections from either the extreme base of the cells (top) or through the center of cells (bottom) are illustrated. In the case of LN5, significant amounts of deposited LN5 are visible at the base, but the cytoplasm has only limited amounts of perinuclear staining. For LN10, both the base and cytoplasmic compartments are strongly stained, indicating not only deposition of the protein but also continued synthesis. Bar, 20 \( \mu \)m.
Figure 2A, RT-PCR yielded a product of the appropriate size predicted by the human sequence. When sequenced, this product was highly homologous to both the human and mouse laminin α5 sequences, strongly suggesting that this corresponded to the canine homologue (Figure 2B).

As stated previously, polyclonal antibodies against LN1 detect a laminin isoform in MDCK cells. We have also immunoprecipitated a laminin isoform from metabolically labeled MDCK cells with monoclonal anti-γ1 antibody whose subunits correspond in size to a full-size laminin isoform of the type exemplified by both LN1 and LN10 (our unpublished data). Because, RT-PCR of MDCK cell RNA failed to detect laminin α1 mRNA (Yu et al., 2005; our unpublished data), we believe it is likely that the second laminin isoform expressed by MDCK cells is LN10.

To determine whether the synthesis of putative LN10 is regulated the same or differently from LN5, newly confluent cultures of MDCK cells were stained for immunofluorescence with either a polyclonal antibody against LN5 or an antibody prepared against LN1 that reacts with the laminin β1 and γ1 subunits (Yu et al., 2005). The stained samples were examined in the confocal microscope to visualize either the cytoplasmic focal plane or the basal focal plane where deposited matrix molecules can be detected. As illustrated in Figure 2C, under these conditions LN5 staining is present in the basal plane, corresponding to deposited matrix, but nearly absent from the intracellular plane (Figure 2C). In contrast, staining with the anti-LN1 antibody, which presumably detects LN10, is evident both on the basal surface and intracellularly (Figure 2C). Thus, synthesis of LN5 and putative LN10 are clearly induced at different times during MDCK cell morphogenesis.

**MDCK Cells Use Two Different Integrins to Attach to and Spread on LN5**

Keratinocytes and other epithelial cell types have been shown to attach to LN5 via the integrins α6β1 and α6β4 (Niessen et al., 1994; Kreidberg, 2000; Kreidberg and Symons, 2000; Nguyen et al., 2001). MDCK cells express both of these integrins as well as α2β1 and αvβ3 (Schoenenberger et al., 1994). To determine which integrins MDCK cells use for adhesion to LN5, purified LN5 was coated on microtiter plates and adhesion of MDCK cells measured after 90 min in the presence or absence of function-blocking anti-integrin antibodies. As shown in Figure 3, MDCK cells attached readily to wells coated with collagen I to an extent corresponding to nearly 100% adhesion of the applied cells (our unpublished data). Adhesion to collagen was completely inhibited by treatment of cells before assay with a function-blocking antibody against β1 integrin, αlIB2, indicating that it is mediated by β1 integrins (Figure 3). Cells added to wells coated with purified LN5 adhered to the same extent as to collagen (Figure 3). However, in contrast to collagen, anti-β1 had no effect on adhesion to LN5 (Figure 3). A function-blocking antibody against the integrin α6 subunit, GoH3, inhibited adhesion of MDCK cells to LN5 by ~33% (Figure 3). However, when GoH3 and αlIB2 were combined, inhibition increased to about two-thirds (Figure 3), suggesting that both β1- and α6-containing integrin receptors are involved in MDCK cell adhesion to LN5.

To determine why the anti-β1 integrin antibody alone did not affect adhesion to LN5, cells treated with either αlIB2 or GoH3 were plated on glass coverslips coated with LN5, and their morphology was compared with untreated cells plated on coverslips coated with either collagen I or LN5 by Nomarski differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. On collagen, cells were asymmetrically spread, with some exhibiting an extended lamellipodium from one side (Figure 4A, i). When plated on a LN5 coated surface, cells were extensively and symmetrically spread (Figure 4A, ii). Staining of such cells with fluorescent phalloidin revealed uncharacteristic thick bundles of filamentous actin at the periphery of the round cells (Figure 4A, ii, inset). When cells were treated with anti-β1 integrin antibody before plating on LN5, they still attached readily, but they failed to spread, often exhibiting small blebs at the edge of the cell (Figure 4A, iii, arrowheads). When treated instead with anti-α6 integrin antibody, cells still spread on LN5, but not to the same extent as untreated cells, and had a subtly changed, less uniform cytoplasmic morphology by DIC microscopy (Figure 4A, iv). In separate experiments, quantitation of spreading by MDCK cells plated on uncoated glass, or coverslips coated with either collagen I or LN5 confirmed that LN5 induced significantly more spreading than the other two surfaces (Figure 4B).

Based upon these findings, it seems clear that MDCK cells, like other epithelial cells, use both a β1 integrin and integrin α6β4 to bind to LN5. MDCK cells do not express α6β1 (Schoenenberger et al., 1994). Furthermore, the β1 integrin is likely responsible for spreading of MDCK cells over LN5 coated surfaces, because antibody blocking of β1 integrins prevents spreading but not adhesion (Figure 4A, iii).

**Inhibition of Laminin α3 Synthesis with siRNA**

To investigate further the function of LN5 in MDCK cell morphogenesis, LN5 synthesis was disrupted by expression of a siRNA targeted to the laminin α3 subunit (Elbashir et al., 2001, 2002). In preliminary experiments, a synthetic RNA duplex based on the canine α3 sequence was transiently transfected into MDCK cells. A control RNA duplex was also transfected. As shown in Figure 5A, transfection of RNA targeted to laminin α3 eliminates mRNA detectable by RT-PCR, whereas transfection of the control RNA or the transfection reagent alone had no effect.
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antibody (iv). Bar, 10 μm. (i) or LN5 (ii–iv) for 2 h at 37°C in the presence or absence of function-blocking anti-β1 integrin (AIIB2; iii) or α6 integrin (GoH3; iv). At the end of the incubation, the cells were fixed and stained with fluorescent phalloidin and viewed by Nomarski DIC microscopy (i–iv) or fluorescence microscopy (ii; inset). On collagen, cells spread asymmetrically and extend narrow lamellipodia (i); on LN5, cells spread more extensively and symmetrically than on collagen (ii; arrowheads mark cell edges). By fluorescence, the spread cells on LN5 display thick bundles of filamentous actin at the cell periphery (ii; inset). In the presence of anti-β1 integrin, cells attach to LN5 but do not spread, and blebs are present at the cell peripheries (arrowheads; iii). In the presence of anti-α6 integrin, the cells on LN5 spread but not as extensively or uniformly as in the absence of antibody (iv). Bar, 10 μm. (B) MDCK cells were plated at subconfluent density for 24 h at 37°C on uncoated glass coverslips or coverslips coated with either collagen I or LN5. At the end of the incubation, the cells were fixed and stained with fluorescent phalloidin and DAPI. The area occupied by spread cells was then measured using MetaMorph. Cells plated on LN5 spread significantly more than those plated on either glass or collagen I. *p < 0.001 relative to uncoated control and collagen coating.

For additional experiments, the RNA sequence against the target was engineered as a short hairpin in an adenoviral vector, and an adenovirus stock was prepared. When this “D5” stock was used to infect MDCK cells, and LN5 immunoprecipitated with a polyclonal antibody from metabolically labeled control MDCK cells (Co) or cells infected with siRNA-expressing adenovirus (D5) or a control virus (LZ). In both Co and LZ samples, all three LN5 bands are visible; in the D5 siRNA sample, little laminin α3 can be seen, whereas the levels of laminin β3 and γ2 chains are increased (arrowheads). The laminin γ2 chain is not detected by the antibody. (D and F) The labeled cell extracts used to immunoprecipitate LN5 in B were sequentially immunoprecipitated with anti-α6 integrin (D) and anti-LN1 (F). No significant differences between the samples are evident, suggesting that siRNA-mediated knockdown of laminin α3 is specific. The two bands in D running more slowly than α6 (* and arrows) are unidentified but may correspond to forms of integrin α4. In F, the higher molecular weight band is likely laminin α5 and the lower doublet β1 and γ1. (E) The immunoblot shown in C was stripped and reprobed with anti-β1 integrin. No significant differences between samples can be seen. β1, mature β1 integrin; β1p, precursor β1.

Figure 5. Knockdown of laminin α3 with siRNA. (A) RNA was harvested from MDCK cells transfected for 2 d with either a synthetic RNA duplex specific for a target sequence in canine laminin α3 (siRNA), a control duplex not representing any known gene (coRNA), or transfection reagent alone (TRS). RNA was also harvested from confluent (Cnfl) or subconfluent (SCnfl) MDCK cell cultures. Laminin α3 and actin transcripts were detected by RT-PCR. RT, reverse transcriptase added to the reaction. Note the absence of a laminin α3 band with transfected siRNA. (B) LN5 was immunoprecipitated from detergent extracts of metabolically labeled control MDCK cells (Co) or cells infected with siRNA-expressing adenovirus (D5) or a control virus (LZ). In both Co and LZ samples, all three LN5 bands are visible; in the D5 siRNA sample, little laminin α3 can be seen, whereas the levels of laminin β3 and γ2 chains are increased (arrowheads). (C) Extracts from control (Co), Ad-LacZ–infected, and Ad-D5–infected MDCK cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-LN5. Laminin α3, which is weakly detected by the antibody, is absent in cells expressing siRNA (arrowhead). The laminin γ2 chain is not detected by the antibody. (D and F) The labeled cell extracts used to immunoprecipitate LN5 in B were sequentially immunoprecipitated with anti-α6 integrin (D) and anti-LN1 (F). No significant differences between the samples are evident, suggesting that siRNA-mediated knockdown of laminin α3 is specific. The two bands in D running more slowly than α6 (* and arrows) are unidentified but may correspond to forms of integrin α4. In F, the higher molecular weight band is likely laminin α5 and the lower doublet β1 and γ1. (E) The immunoblot shown in C was stripped and reprobed with anti-β1 integrin. No significant differences between samples can be seen. β1, mature β1 integrin; β1p, precursor β1.
Knockdown of laminin α3 seemed to be specific. In addition to the continued expression of the other LN5 chains, there were little or no differences between control, LZ, or D5 samples in the amounts of integrin α6 or presumptive LN10 immunoprecipitated from metabolically labeled cells (Figure 5, D and F), or in the amount of integrin β1 detected by Western blotting of cell extracts (Figure 5E).

**Knockdown of Laminin α3 Affects Cell Spreading**

Based upon the observation that plating of normal MDCK cells on surfaces coated with LN5 led to extensive cell spreading, our expectation was that knockdown of laminin α3 and the resulting disruption of LN5 production would yield cells unable to spread effectively. Instead, when cells were infected overnight with the D5 virus, and then replated on uncoated surfaces, they spread much more extensively than either uninfected control cells or cells infected with the LacZ-expressing virus, yielding round, flat cells resembling fried eggs (Figure 6A). Spreading was so extreme that nuclei were flattened, looking enlarged, and was apparently facilitated by extensive formation of actin stress fibers (Figure 6A, arrows). The amount of spreading also did not seem to be affected by the formation of cell–cell contacts (Figure 6A, Ad-D5, inset). Quantitation of spreading indicated that cells with α3 synthesis knocked down occupied, on average, three times more area than either control group (Figure 6B).

To determine whether exogenous LN5 would cause the unusual “fried egg” phenotype to revert to normal spreading, uninfected, Ad-LacZ–infected, and Ad-D5–infected cells were plated on glass coverslips coated with purified human LN5, and the spread areas were measured. As shown in Figure 6B, plating on LN5 induced increased spreading of uninfected and Ad-LacZ–infected cells relative to uncoated controls (Figure 4B). However, plating of the Ad-D5 cells on LN5 further increased spreading relative to not only controls (2.7-fold) but also relative to D5 cells plated on uncoated surfaces (1.6-fold).

Based upon these observations, we conclude that LN5 does not simply induce spreading of cells but also may regulate spreading in a manner that cannot be duplicated by exogenous LN5. Indeed, provision of exogenous LN5 seems to further exacerbate the dysregulation of cell spreading.

**Inhibition of Laminin α3 Synthesis Leads to Secretion of Laminin β3 and γ2**

When uninfected control cells and Ad-LacZ–infected cells were stained with polyclonal anti-LN5 and examined in the confocal microscope, deposition of LN5 was observed under the cells in a typical uneven pattern with the largest amounts tending to occur near the edges of multicell islands (Figure 7A). Cells infected with Ad-D5, in which laminin α3 synthesis is knocked down, also deposit antibody-reactive material on the substratum. Although this pattern of deposition, although still uneven, is whorled to resemble a rose blossom. This pattern may result from circular movement of cells as they deposit the proteins on the substratum. However, the pattern of this deposition, although still uneven, often is whorled to resemble a rose blossom. This pattern may result from circular movement of cells as they deposit the proteins on the substratum.

To examine this, control uninfected cells and cells infected with Ad-LacZ and Ad-D5 overnight were replated for 24 h and then metabolically labeled overnight. After this, both

---

**Figure 6.** Knockdown of laminin α3 leads to increased spreading of MDCK cells. (A) Uninfected MDCK cells and cells infected with control Ad-LacZ virus or siRNA-expressing Ad-D5 virus were replated on uncoated glass coverslips overnight and then fixed and stained with fluorescent phalloidin (green) and DAPI (blue) to visualize the actin cytoskeleton and nuclei, respectively. Micrographs show that cells infected with Ad-D5 spread much more than either control or Ad-LacZ–infected cells and are so flat that the nucleus is distorted and seems larger. Bundles of actin filaments and extensive actin stress fibers are also apparent (arrows). Bar, 20 μm. Inset in Ad-D5 panel, formation of cell–cell contacts does not suppress extensive cell spreading. Bar, 20 μm. (B) Control MDCK cells or cells infected with Ad-LacZ or Ad-D5 were replated on uncoated glass coverslips (−) or coverslips coated with purified human LN5 (LN5). Fixed cells were stained with fluorescence phalloidin and DAPI, and spread area/cell was measured from digital micrographs. Cells expressing siRNA targeted against laminin α3 spread much more than either control or Ad-LacZ–infected cells. Spreading of all cells is stimulated further by plating on LN5-coated coverslips. *p < 0.05 relative to uncoated control and Ad-LacZ. **p < 0.05 relative to LN5-coated control and Ad-LacZ replated on LN5. Ad-D5 on uncoated glass (∗) compared with Ad-D5 on LN5-coated glass (∗∗) p < 0.001 by t test.
the culture medium and cell extracts were immunoprecipitated with anti-LN5 antibody. As shown in Figure 7B, little or no labeled laminin α3 is present in Ad-D5–infected cells at the time of extraction relative to controls, and both the β3 and γ2 chains have accumulated in the cell to an abnormal extent (Figure 7B). In the medium, all three LN5 chains are evident in both knockdown cells and controls. In Ad-D5 cells, however, the amount of laminin α3 is significantly reduced relative to the other chains in the same cells and in controls, and the amounts of both β3 and γ2 are increased relative to controls. This clearly suggests that, at the time examined, Ad-D5 cells secrete not only some residual heterotrimeric LN5 but also both β3 and γ2 chains.

Because these experiments indicate that the Ad-D5–induced knockdown was incomplete in the time period tested, infected cells were incubated an additional 24 h, and LN5 was visualized by immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy. As shown in Figure 7C, Ad-D5–infected cells continued to spread even further relative to control cells as incubation was continued, providing additional evidence that knockdown of laminin α3 leads to dysregulation of spreading (Figure 7C, basal image). Under these conditions, deposited immunoreactive material was still apparent, but it was somewhat diminished and spotty relative to earlier times (Figure 7A). In confocal optical sections traversing the cytoplasm, extensive bright staining with the LN5 antibody was observed, apparently corresponding to the endoplasmic reticulum engorged with the β3 and γ2 chains.

On the basis of these experiments, we believe that knockdown of laminin α3 likely leads to secretion and deposition of the other LN5 chains. This secretion, however, seemed somewhat inefficient, leading to their accumulation in the endoplasmic reticulum. Although it is likely that secreted β3 and γ2 are present in a heterodimeric complex (Kariya et al., 2002), this cannot be ascertained definitively with the current data.

Knockdown of Laminin α3 Inhibits Proliferation and Wound-Edge Migration

A previous study used function-blocking antibodies against LN5 to demonstrate that LN5 stimulated cell proliferation (Gonzales et al., 1999). To determine whether this was also the case in MDCK cells in which synthesis of laminin α3 was suppressed, MDCK cells infected with the D5 adenovirus or the LacZ adenovirus, or noninfected control cells, were replated at equal subconfluent densities onto uncoated coverslips and labeled with BrdU for 6 h. Afterward, cultures were fixed and stained with a specific antibody against BrdU and DAPI to mark all nuclei, and the fraction of positive nuclei scored by fluorescence microscopy. As shown in Figure 8, both control cells and cells infected with the LacZ

---

**Figure 7.** Laminin β3 and γ2 are secreted after siRNA-mediated knockdown of laminin α3. (A) Control MDCK cells or cells infected for 18 h with Ad-LacZ or Ad-D5 were replated on uncoated glass coverslips for 24 h and stained with antibodies against LN5 (red), fluorescent phalloidin (green), and DAPI (blue), and viewed by conventional immunofluorescence microscopy. Anti-LN5 antibody staining is visible at the base in all three cases, although the staining pattern under cells expressing siRNA (Ad-D5) exhibits a characteristic “rose petal” pattern. Bar, 20 μm. (B) Control MDCK cells or cells infected with Ad-LacZ or Ad-D5 were metabolically labeled overnight. Detergent cell extracts and culture medium were immunoprecipitated with anti-LN5, and precipitated polypeptides were visualized on SDS-gels by fluorography. In extracts from Ad-D5–infected cells, the laminin α3 band is absent and laminin β3 and γ2 are increased in intensity (D5 lane). In the medium, laminin α3 is detectable but diminished, and the ratio of the β3 and γ2 bands to α3 is higher than controls, suggesting secretion of β3 and γ2 uncomplexed to α3 (compare D5 with Co and LZ). (C) Control MDCK cells or cells infected for 42 h with Ad-D5 were replated on uncoated glass coverslips for 24 h, stained with antibodies against LN5 (red) and fluorescent phalloidin (green), and viewed by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Individual optical sections taken at either the base of the cells or through the cell center are shown. Cells infected with Ad-D5 are exceedingly spread and still exhibit some staining with anti-LN5 at the base of the cell. Inside the same cells, anti-LN5 staining is intense in what seems to be the endoplasmic reticulum (arrow). Control (uninfected) cells seem normal with some anti-LN5 staining both within the cell and at the cell base. Ad-LacZ–infected cells seemed identical to uninfected controls (our unpublished data). Bar, 10 μm.

**Figure 8.** Knockdown of laminin α3 blocks proliferation. Control MDCK cells or cells infected for 18 h with Ad-LacZ or Ad-D5 were replated on uncoated glass coverslips for 24 h, incubated with BrdU for 6 h, stained with antibodies against BrdU and DAPI (blue), and viewed by conventional immunofluorescence microscopy. Proliferation was measured by counting total and BrdU-positive nuclei. *p < 0.05.
virus incorporated BrdU into ~50% of their nuclei, whereas D5-infected cell nuclei were <10% BrdU positive. Clearly, knockdown of laminin α3 synthesis and its resulting effects on LN5 production block cell proliferation.

LN5 plays an important role in wound healing in the skin and has also been implicated in the migration of a variety of other cells (Zhang and Kramer, 1996; Giannelli et al., 1997; Goldfinger et al., 1998; Decline and Rousselle, 2000; Koshikawa et al., 2000; Frank and Carter, 2004; Hintermann and Quaranta, 2004). Furthermore, its expression in the kidney after ischemic injury occurs at a time when the restoration of an intact epithelium occurs. To test the involvement of LN5 in processes related to wound healing in MDCK cells, we replated cells infected with either D5 or control adenoviruses, or noninfected control cells, on uncoated, gridded coverslips at confluent density. The next day, the resulting monolayer was wounded by scraping, and migration of the wound edge was measured after 24 h. Note that wound-edge movement occurred under all three conditions but was significantly reduced in cells expressing siRNA (Ad-D5). *p < 0.05.

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate that MDCK cells synthesize and deposit LN5 while reconstituting a confluent epithelium, and then they turn off its synthesis upon reaching confluence. We also show that MDCK cells spread more extensively on surfaces coated with exogenous LN5 than on either an uncoated surface or a surface coated with collagen I, and, like other epithelial cells, they interact with LN5 through a β1 integrin and integrin α6β4. The β1 integrin, which is likely α3β1, is largely responsible for cell spreading, because a function-blocking anti-β1 integrin antibody prevents spreading but not cell adhesion.

Through the inhibition of laminin α3 synthesis using siRNA, we have also uncovered additional clues to the functions of LN5 in MDCK cells. On knockdown of laminin α3

**Knockdown of Laminin α3 Does Not Affect Apical–Basal Polarization of the MDCK Cell Epithelium**

As described in the introduction, laminin has been implicated in epithelial cell polarization (Klein et al., 1988; Zinkl et al., 1996; Li et al., 2003; Miner and Yurchenco, 2004). The synthesis of LN5 seems to be confined mainly to the period before and perhaps just after the formation of a complete epithelium. Nevertheless, it was possible that it still plays an essential role in generating apical–basal polarity, either by directly signaling to the cells setting up the epithelium, or by influencing the assembly of a nascent basal lamina that, in turn, provides essential polarization signals. To determine whether LN5 is involved in apical–basal polarization of MDCK cells, control, noninfected cells and cells infected with the D5 adenovirus or LacZ adenovirus were plated at near confluent density on uncoated permeable supports and cultured overnight. Under these conditions, MDCK cells are known to polarize with respect to specific apical and basolateral antigens by 18 h. Polarization and overall organization was then assessed by confocal immunofluorescence microscopy using antibodies against the apical marker gp135 and the basolateral protein E-cadherin, and fluorescent phalloidin to stain the actin cytoskeleton.

As shown in Figure 10, both control and LacZ adenovirus-infected cells formed uniform monolayers that were completely polarized relative to apical (Figure 10A) and basolateral (Figure 10B) proteins. The monolayer formed by the D5 adenovirus-infected cells, although generally intact, was very heterogeneous in cell size and shape. Nevertheless, apical and basolateral proteins were still segregated to the appropriate plasma membrane domains.

**Figure 9.** Knockdown of laminin α3 slows wound-edge migration. Control MDCK cells or cells infected for 18 h with Ad-LacZ or Ad-D5 were replated at confluent density on gridded, uncoated glass coverslips for 24 h, and wounded by scraping. Wound-edge migration relative to the time of wounding was measured on micrographs after 24 h. Note that wound-edge movement occurred under all three conditions but was significantly reduced in cells expressing siRNA (Ad-D5). *p < 0.05.

**Figure 10.** Knockdown of laminin α3 affects epithelial morphogenesis but not apical–basal polarization. Control MDCK cells or cells infected for 18 h with Ad-LacZ or Ad-D5 were replated at confluent density on uncoated Transwell supports for 24 h and stained with antibodies against either the apical antigen gp135 (A; red) or the basolateral protein E-cadherin (B; red) and fluorescent phalloidin (green). The stained samples were imaged as orthogonal Z-sections by confocal fluorescence microscopy. The extent of movement of the D5 cells was significantly less than controls, suggesting that LN5 is important in MDCK cell wound healing (Figure 9).
α3, MDCK cells spread more rather than less, and this behavior is not suppressed but rather stimulated upon plating on exogenous LN5. Furthermore, in agreement with previous studies (Gonzales et al., 1999), our results indicate that suppression of LN5 production severely inhibits cell proliferation and reduces wound-edge migration, possibly as a partial consequence of the proliferation block. Finally, we show that LN5 is not critically required for apical–basal polarization of MDCK cells, at least in monolayer cultures, despite significant disruption of normal morphogenesis.

**Regulated Synthesis of LN5**

Our observation that LN5 synthesis only occurs in subconfluent MDCK cells is novel, and, to our knowledge, it has not been reported for other LN5-producing cell lines. These results with MDCK cells closely parallel our previous observation that LN5, which is not expressed to any great extent in the normal adult kidney, is strongly induced in the rat kidney after ischemic injury (Zuk and Matlin, 2002). In that instance, increased LN5 production occurs 24–48 h after injury at the beginning of the period in which the tubular epithelium regenerates. Thus, the implication of both our in vitro results with MDCK cells and our previous in vivo findings is that LN5 is a key participant in the reestablishment of a continuous epithelium. Induction of LN5 has also been reported in human polycystic kidney epithelium, suggesting, perhaps, that LN5 plays a role in the pathogenesis of the disease by causing tubular epithelial cells to be locked in a chronically regenerative state (Joly et al., 2003).

Pulse-labeling experiments indicate that the shutdown of LN5 synthesis may occur by blocking either the transcription or translation of mRNA for the α3 and β2 chains without affecting β3 synthesis. A similar mechanism for regulation of LN5 production may also function in keratinocytes as well as normal and ras-transformed HaCat cells (Aumailley, personal communication; Korang et al., 1995). In addition, this unusual regulatory scheme was previously observed in the normal human mammary epithelial cell line MCF-10A stably overexpressing the p300 transcriptional coactivator (Miller et al., 2000). Under normal conditions, MCF-10A cells constitutively express LN5. When p300 is overexpressed, however, mRNAs for laminin α3 and β2 are significantly decreased, whereas the message for β3 is not, paralleling our results with MDCK cells. Although there is no evidence that p300 is involved in the regulation of transcription after kidney injury or in MDCK cells, its ubiquitous expression certainly makes it a possibility.

LN5 has been previously implicated in the healing of cutaneous wounds (Decline and Rousseau, 2000; Nguyen et al., 2000b, 2001; Aumailley et al., 2003; Frank and Carter, 2004). In the epidermis, however, LN5 not only plays a transient role in wound healing but also is a constitutive structural component linking the epidermis to the dermis. On wounding, LN5 synthesis increases, and its deposition facilitates healing by regulating cell migration and reassembly of the basal lamina. In contrast to the skin, what is unique about MDCK cells is that LN5 is completely removed from the substratum shortly after synthesis ceases. How this occurs is not known, but it may involve matrix metalloproteinases. Based upon our observations, it will be of interest to examine other cell types and tissues not previously suspected of making LN5 to determine whether it plays a discrete role during regeneration after injury, with the evidence of its function then destroyed by degradation.

LN5 secreted from MDCK cells has α3, β3, and β2 chains of approximately 200, 140, and 155 kDa, respectively. These are essentially identical in size to the chains immunoprecipitated from extracts of MDCK cells, suggesting that little or no proteolytic processing occurs upon secretion. In the postischemic rat kidney, we also observed that the laminin α3 chain was not proteolytically processed. LN5 in mature, quiescent skin as well as that found in conditioned culture medium from human keratinocytes is predominantly composed of α3 and β2 chains processed to 165 and 105 kDa, respectively (Marinkovich et al., 1992; Nguyen et al., 2000b; Aumailley et al., 2003). In wounded skin, keratinocytes at the wound edge are stimulated to secrete “precursor” LN5 resembling that seen in MDCK cells (Nguyen et al., 2000b). This form of LN5 is considered to be promigratory, whereas LN5 with processed α3 and unprocessed β2 is thought to stimulate more stable adhesion (Ghosh and Stack, 2000). Given that LN5 only occurs in MDCK cells and the postischemic kidney in association with reformation of the intact epithelium, our results are consistent with these interpretations.

**LN5 and the Regulation of Cell Spreading**

Knockdown of laminin α3 using siRNA led to extensive spreading of MDCK cells plated on uncoated surfaces. When such cells were plated on surfaces coated with exogenous LN5, the spreading phenotype was not reversed, but enhanced (Figure 6). Although these surprising results are difficult to explain at this time, several factors are worth considering.

Matrix proteins other than LN5 may contribute to excessive cell spreading in the knockdown cells. In the experiments described here, MDCK cells in which laminin α3 was suppressed were replated in FBS-containing medium on either uncoated surfaces or surfaces coated with LN5. Serum may provide sufficient fibronectin or vitronectin (or both) to ligate integrin αvβ3 in MDCK cells and cluster it in basal adhesion complexes (Waechter and Matlin, unpublished data). In addition, we cannot exclude aberrant effects of other matrix proteins, such as collagen IV, LN10, or proteoglycans, on cell spreading in the absence of LN5. It is possible that one of the functions of LN5 is to modulate the activities of other integrins such as αvβ3, and, in the absence of LN5, these integrins and their associated proteins promote uncontrolled spreading.

Recent observations with keratinocytes expressing a β4 integrin mutant are consistent with this interpretation (Nikolopoulos et al., 2005). Primary keratinocytes from a mouse homozygous for β4 integrin deleted in the substrate domain of the carboxy-terminal cytoplasmic tail spread more extensively than wild-type cells when plated on LN5 in serum-free conditions. They also exhibited reduced spreading on LN5 from extracts of MDCK cells, suggesting that little or no proteolytic processing occurs upon secretion. In the postischemic rat kidney, we also observed that the laminin α3 chain was not proteolytically processed. LN5 in mature, quiescent skin as well as that found in conditioned culture medium from human keratinocytes is predominantly composed of α3 and β2 chains processed to 165 and 105 kDa, respectively (Marinkovich et al., 1992; Nguyen et al., 2000b; Aumailley et al., 2003). In wounded skin, keratinocytes at the wound edge are stimulated to secrete “precursor” LN5 resembling that seen in MDCK cells (Nguyen et al., 2000b). This form of LN5 is considered to be promigratory, whereas LN5 with processed α3 and unprocessed β2 is thought to stimulate more stable adhesion (Ghosh and Stack, 2000). Given that LN5 only occurs in MDCK cells and the postischemic kidney in association with reformation of the intact epithelium, our results are consistent with these interpretations.
promotes adhesion rather than migration, processing of γ2 in addition to α3, as occurs in keratinocytes, again renders LN5 promigratory (Ghosh and Stack, 2000). Indeed, processed γ2 and the resulting peptides are widely considered to be markers of metastatic and invasive carcinomas (Giannelli and Antonacci, 2000).

A number of previous studies have implicated LN5 in cell migration (Zhang and Kramer, 1996; O’Toole et al., 1997; Goldfinger et al., 1998; Ghosh and Stack, 2000; Nguyen et al., 2000a, b, 2001; Frank and Carter, 2004; Hintermann and Quaranta, 2004). Although at first glance some of this literature seems contradictory, with LN5 postulated to be either promigratory or proadhesive (that is, antimigratory), an appropriate general conclusion may be that LN5 regulates cell migration by not only directly affecting adhesion complexes involving its own integrin receptors αβ1 and α6β4 but also by damping or stimulating the activities of other adhesion receptors ligated to matrix components such as collagens, fibronectin, and even vitronectin. Furthermore, each of these activities is likely modulated to varying extents by proteolytic processing of the α3 and γ2 laminin chains (Ghosh and Stack, 2000). Ideas similar to these have been previously proposed in relation to keratinocyte migration and the healing of cutaneous wounds, in particular (Nguyen et al., 2000b).

At this time, it is unknown which signaling pathways might be implicated in the stimulated spreading of MDCK cells that occurs upon knockdown of laminin α3 expression. An obvious possibility is the Rho-GTPase family (Jaffe and Hall, 2005). In particular, Rac1 affects the formation of lamellipodia and focal complexes, whereas RhoA regulates the formation of focal adhesions and stress fibers. Nevertheless, preliminary experiments in our laboratory suggest that knockdown of laminin α3 does not particularly stimulate the activity of Rac1, RhoA, or Cdc42 relative to controls (Buschmann, Waechter, and Matlin, unpublished data). Furthermore, expression of dominant-negative Rac1 or RhoA in MDCK cells does not suppress the spread phenotype (Buschmann, Waechter, and Matlin, unpublished data). Other recent experiments, however, have detected differences in the tyrosine phosphorylation of some unidentified proteins that might be implicated in the spreading phenotype. Future experiments will explore the apparent dysregulation of signaling caused by laminin α3 knockdown in more detail.

**LN5 and Cell Proliferation**

In our experiments, proliferation of MDCK cells in which synthesis of laminin α3 is suppressed is severely inhibited (Figure 8). Others previously observed that function-blocking antibodies against laminin α3 inhibited proliferation of both the rat bladder carcinoma cell line 804G and the human mammary carcinoma cell line MCF-10A (Gonzales et al., 1999). The proliferation signal was believed to be transduced from the laminin α3 G domain through integrin αβ1, and seemed to involve ERK signaling. A proliferation defect was also found in mouse keratinocytes expressing deleted β4 integrin when plated on LN5, suggesting, in this case, that the proliferation signal was transduced by α6β4 integrin instead of α3β1 (Nikolopoulou et al., 2005). Although our results with MDCK cells generally agree with these findings, we have not yet determined which integrin receptors or signaling intermediates are involved in proliferation.

**LN5 and Apical–Basal Polarization**

When MDCK cells in which laminin α3 synthesis was suppressed were plated at high density on permeable supports, they were able to polarize, even though the epithelium formed was abnormal in morphology (Figure 10). This result, along with our other observations, would seem to suggest that LN5 is important for reestablishing the continuity of an incomplete epithelium, but not for determining orientation of the apical-basal axis once epithelial integrity is restored. This conclusion would seem to be reinforced by recent experiments suggesting that assembly of laminin into a basal lamina is critical for polarization of MDCK cells grown in three-dimensional collagen I gels. Because biochemical studies indicate that LN5 is incapable of self-assembly (Cheng et al., 1997), it is more likely that another laminin isoform, such as LN10, is responsible for polarization. LN10 is structurally similar to LN1, which can self-assemble and is required for polarization of mouse embryonic stem cell epiblasts (Li et al., 2003; Miner and Yurchenco, 2004).

On the other hand, it may be premature to conclude that LN5 plays no role in the overall process of polarization. LN5 is unable to either self-assemble or copolymerize with other, full-length laminin isoforms in solution (Cheng et al., 1997). However, recent biochemical studies with recombinant laminin amino-terminal globular domains have demonstrated interactions between both a recombinant laminin β3 fragment and complete keratinocyte LN5 and laminin γ1, a component of both LN1 and LN10, by surface plasmon resonance (Odenthal et al., 2004). On this basis, it may be reasonable to postulate that the provisional LN5 matrix deposited during epithelial regeneration serves as a template for a more rigid and permanent LN10 basal lamina.

If true, then why would knockdown of LN5 not block polarization of MDCK cells? Our polarization experiments were conducted on Transwell permeable supports (Figure 10). Despite the presence of pores, areas of the support between the pores might form a permeability barrier that traps and concentrates secreted LN10 beneath the cell. Under these conditions, assembly of LN10 into a basal lamina might occur spontaneously without the need for a LN5 provisional matrix. In contrast, such a permeability barrier would not exist in three-dimensional collagen gels, where the requirement for basal lamina assembly for polarization has been demonstrated. The observation that both function-blocking antibodies against β1 integrin and expression of dominant-negative Rac1 block laminin assembly and MDCK cell polarization in three-dimensional collagen gels but not in two-dimensional cultures is consistent with this interpretation (O’Brien et al., 2001; Yu et al., 2005). Future experiments in our laboratory designed to look more directly at the relationships between LN5 expression and assembly of LN10 into a basal lamina are designed to test these ideas.
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