

# Influence of pre-storage incubation on hatchability traits, thyroid hormones, antioxidative status and immunity of newly hatched chicks at two chicken breeder flock ages

T. A. Ebeid<sup>1,2†</sup>, F. A. Twfeek<sup>3</sup>, M. H. Assar<sup>3</sup>, A. M. Bealish<sup>3</sup>, R. E. Abd El-Karim<sup>3</sup> and M. Ragab<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University, 33516 Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt; <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Production and Breeding, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Qassim University, 51452 Buraydah, Saudi Arabia; <sup>3</sup>Animal Production Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, 12618 Dokki, Egypt; <sup>4</sup>Genetica i Millora Animal, Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries, Torre Marimon s/n, E-08140 Caldes de Montbui, Barcelona, Spain

(Received 19 November 2016; Accepted 10 March 2017; First published online 17 April 2017)

*Egg storage longer than 7 days is associated with negative effects on hatchability traits. Pre-storage incubation has been a suggested method to reduce the negative effects of long-term storage times by enhancing the developmental stage of the embryo and probably reducing the embryonic stress. The objective of the present study was to investigate the effects of pre-storage incubation and storage time on hatchability characteristics, chick quality and serum thyroid hormones, antioxidative properties and immunoglobulin Y (IgY) concentrations of newly hatched chicks at two breeder flock ages. A total of 8000 fertile eggs were obtained from two different ages of chicken breeder hens (Egyptian local cross, Inshas). Half of the eggs were collected from young breeder hens (28 weeks old) and the other half from old breeder hens (50 weeks old). In each breeder flock age, eggs were distributed in a completely randomized experimental design in a 2 × 4 factorial arrangement, with two storage periods (4 or 14 days) and four pre-storage incubation durations (0, 4, 6 or 8 h at 37.5°C). At 28 and 50 weeks of age, pre-storage incubation and its interaction with storage period influenced significantly the apparent fertility, hatchability of set eggs and hatchability of fertile eggs and this improvement in hatchability is attributed to the reduction in embryonic mortality (early, intermediate and late). Pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h elevated significantly the grade A chicks and reduced the grade B chicks in comparison with non-heated controls. Interestingly, for eggs stored for 14 days, pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h enhanced serum triiodothyronine, thyroxine, glutathione peroxidase activity, total antioxidant capacity and IgY concentrations significantly and decreased serum malondialdehyde concentration significantly in the newly hatched chicks. It could be concluded that pre-storage incubation enhanced the hatching results, improved the antioxidative properties, reduced lipid peroxidation and elevated the humoral immunity in the newly hatched chicks. Hence, several benefits might be gained by pre-storage incubation when fertilized eggs will be stored for long periods.*

**Keywords:** pre-storage incubation, hatchability, antioxidative status, thyroid hormones, immunity

## Implications

Pre-storage incubation has been suggested as a useful tool to reduce the negative effects of prolonged storage times by advancing the developmental stage of the embryo. This study clarified that pre-storage incubation could enhance the livability of the developing embryos via reducing the stress of prolonged egg storage. Pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h had a positive effects on hatchability traits, thyroid hormones, antioxidative properties, lipid peroxidation and humoral immunity in the newly hatched chicks in prolonged

egg storage which could be applied in broiler parent and grandparent hatcheries.

## Introduction

Cool temperature storage of hatching eggs before incubation is a common practice in commercial breeding farms and hatcheries. According to the variable market demand for 1-day-old chicks and the hatchery capacity, the total length of egg storage varies between a few days and several weeks. However, it is well known that prolonged storage periods beyond 7 days leads to an increase in the incubation duration (Tona *et al.*, 2003; Reijrink *et al.*, 2010a) and had negative

† E-mail: tarkamin@gmail.com

effects on hatchability (Fasenko *et al.*, 2001b; Tona *et al.*, 2004) and chick quality (Tona *et al.*, 2003 and 2004). In addition, previous studies determined that the negative effects of prolonged egg storage more than a week on embryonic growth rate, viability, hatchability and chick quality vary with the age of breeder flocks (Lapao *et al.*, 1999; Tona *et al.*, 2003). Several studies noted that prolonged egg storage decreased hatchability in the eggs of old breeder hens more than in eggs of young breeder hens (Lapao *et al.*, 1999; Tona *et al.*, 2004). It was explained that the negative effects of prolonged egg storage more than a week might be attributed to the deterioration of internal egg quality characteristics, mainly albumen viscosity (Reijrink *et al.*, 2009). Lapao *et al.* (1999) observed that albumen pH was increased with egg storage time, and this effect was more pronounced in older than younger breeder hens. Also, albumen height was decreased with increase of the age of the breeder hens and egg storage (Lapao *et al.*, 1999) and yolk sac membrane elasticity was decreased with the increasing of the storage time (Jones and Musgrove, 2005). Moreover, Nahm (2001) demonstrated that embryonic mortality during storage was highly related with egg weight loss and increased with storage time.

Warming of hatching eggs before storage (pre-storage incubation) has been a suggested method to reduce the negative effects of long-term storage times by enhancing the developmental stage of the embryo. Some previously published studies indicated that pre-storage incubation was associated with improvements in hatchability of chickens (Fasenko *et al.*, 2001b; Silva *et al.*, 2008; Gucbilmez *et al.*, 2013), turkeys (Fasenko *et al.*, 2001a) and quails (Petek and Dikmen, 2004) and these improvements were connected with the stage of embryonic development in the egg at the time the egg is stored. Fasenko *et al.* (2001a and 2001b) and Reijrink *et al.* (2009) confirmed that embryos that have completed hypoblast formation have a survival advantage over embryos that are undergoing, or have just completed, the area pellucida formation which finally translated into higher hatchability rates.

Previous studies have shown that prolonged egg storage may induce embryonic stress in form of blastodermal cell apoptosis and necrosis (Bloom *et al.*, 1998; Hamidu *et al.*, 2011; Dymond *et al.*, 2013) which consequently manifested in increased embryonic mortality, depressed embryonic metabolism and developmental delays (Hamidu *et al.*, 2010). Thus, it could be hypothesized that pre-storage incubation could advance the developmental stage of the embryo and might enhance the livability of the developing embryos via reducing the stress of prolonged egg storage. To our knowledge, no previously conducted research has investigated the effects of pre-storage incubation and its interactions with the age of breeder hens and length of storage period on thyroid activity, antioxidative status and immunity of neonate chicks. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to investigate the effects of pre-storage incubation and egg storage on hatchability characteristics, chick quality and serum thyroid hormones, antioxidative properties and immunoglobulin Y (IgY) concentrations of newly hatched

chicks at two ages of breeder hens in Egyptian local cross chicken.

## Material and methods

The present experiment was carried out in Inshas Research Station, Animal Production Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. All experiments and animal husbandry were performed in accordance with the institutional guidelines concerning animal use and welfare.

### *Breeder flock ages, egg collection and experimental design*

A total of 8000 fertile eggs were obtained from two different ages of chicken breeder hens (Egyptian local cross, Inshas). Half of the eggs were collected from young breeder hens (28 weeks old) and the other half from older breeder hens (50 weeks old). All eggs were cleared from the nests before egg collection to ensure that eggs laid late on the previous day were not included. In each age of hens, eggs were distributed in a completely randomized experimental design in a 2 × 4 factorial arrangement, with two storage periods (4 or 14 days) and 4 pre-storage incubation durations (0, 4, 6 or 8 h at 37.5°C). In each age of hens, eggs were randomly distributed into 8 experimental groups of 500 eggs each. Eggs in each treatment were randomly allocated into 10 replicates of 50 eggs each. For the incubation measurements, a group of 50 eggs was used as the experimental unit. Eggs for the 14 days storage group were collected 10 days before the eggs collected for the 4 days storage group so that the eggs from both storage periods could be set in the incubator at the same time. The 4 days storage was chosen, as this emulates the current industry conditions, whereas 14 days was consider the long storage period. Before storage, eggs were numbered and weighed. All eggs were placed small-end down in an egg cooler maintained at an average of 12°C and 75% relative humidity. This storage conditions were chosen to optimize the hatchability in the experimental groups.

### *Egg weight loss*

To calculate egg weight loss, all eggs were numbered and weighed individually at the day of egg collection, at the last day of storage and at day 18 of incubation. Egg weight loss during storage was determined by calculating the difference in egg weight between the day of egg collection and the last day of storage as a percentage of egg weight at collection. Egg weight loss during incubation was determined by calculating the difference in egg weight between the last day of storage and day 18 of incubation as a percentage of egg weight at the last day of storage. Total egg weight loss was the sum of egg weight loss during storage and incubation.

### *Egg incubation, fertility, hatchability, embryonic mortality and chick quality*

At the end of storage time, eggs were transferred from storage room to the egg room and stayed in it for 18 h before setting to allow the eggs to equilibrate to room temperature.

Eggs were thereafter set in a single-stage egg incubator (Model 576 setter and a Model 192 hatcher; Petersime®, Zulte, Belgium). The setter air temperature set points were  $37.4 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$  dry bulb and  $28.9 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$  wet bulb. The hatcher air temperature set points were  $37.2 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$  dry bulb and  $30 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$  wet bulb. Eggs were turned through an angle of  $90^\circ$  hourly and temperature settings within the setter and hatcher were automatically regulated. Eggs from the different treatments were labeled and placed in the standard incubator trays (150 eggs per tray) that were distributed throughout all positions in the setter and hatcher to distribute possible minor machine position effects across treatments, which could be due to small differences in air flow. After day 21 of incubation, live hatched chicks were graded and counted, while, unhatched eggs were broken and examined macroscopically to determine fertility and the stage of embryonic mortality. Because fertility was determined macroscopically, it is possible that an embryo that died during storage was classified as an infertile egg. Stages of embryonic mortality were determined according to Reijrink *et al.* (2009). Embryonic mortality was divided into three categories: embryonic mortality from days 0 to 9 (early), from days 10 to 17 (middle) and from days 18 to 21 (late). Fertility was calculated as a percentage of set eggs. Hatchability was calculated as a percentage of set eggs and as a percentage of fertile eggs. Embryonic mortality was calculated as a percentage of fertile eggs. Chick quality was determined in each experimental treatment. All chicks were graded into first 'A' and second 'B' grade chicks. A chick was classified as a grade A chick when the chick was clean, dry, free of deformities or lesions and bright eyes as well as navel was completely closed and clean (Tona *et al.*, 2004). The other chicks were classified grade B chicks. The first and the second grade of chicks were calculated as a percentage of total hatched chicks.

#### Blood collections and chemical analyses

Ten newly hatched chicks from each treatment were anesthetized with carbon dioxide and blood samples (0.5 ml/chick) were collected by cardiac puncture for serum chemical analyses. Serum was separated by centrifugation at  $5900 \times g$  for 10 min and frozen at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$  until analysis. Serum triiodothyronine ( $T_3$ ) and thyroxine ( $T_4$ ) concentrations were measured using commercial ELISA kits (BioCheck®, Foster City, USA). Serum levels of IgY were determined using chicken IgY ELISA quantitative kits (GenWay Biotech Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Lipid peroxidation in blood serum was measured in the form of malondialdehyde (MDA) as described by Richard *et al.* (1992). MDA is a product of the oxidative degradation of polyunsaturated fatty acids, and is thus used as an index of oxidative stress. The activity of the antioxidative enzyme glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) and total antioxidant capacity of blood serum were measured according to Paglia and Valentine (1967) and Koracevic *et al.* (2001), respectively, using kits produced by Bio-Diagnostic, Giza, Egypt. The analyses were carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed separately at each hen age as a  $2 \times 4$  factorial design with storage time, pre-storage incubation, and their interaction as class variables. All the traits were analyzed by using the following univariate linear model:

$$Y_{ijk} = S_i + I_j + S \times I_{ij} + e_{ijk}$$

where  $S_i$  is the effect of the egg storage time treatment (two levels, 4 and 14 days of egg storage),  $I_j$  the effect of the pre-storage incubation (four levels, pre-storage incubation for 0, 4, 6 and 8 h),  $S \times I_{ij}$  the effect of the interaction (eight levels, egg storage time and pre-storage incubation) and  $e_{ijk}$  the residual effect. To test the significant of the used effects in the model, factorial ANOVA was applied using the GLM procedure of SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., 2008). The different levels of each effect included in the models were compared by using Duncan's multiple range test where significance levels were detected as first class error at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

## Results

#### Egg weight and egg weight loss

The main effects of pre-storage incubation treatments and egg storage time on fresh egg weight, egg weight loss during storage, incubation and total experimental period in eggs from breeder hens at 28 and 50 weeks of age are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. No significant differences were observed in fresh egg weights at 28 and 50 weeks of age. At both of ages, egg weight loss percentage during storage was increased when storage time was increased significantly. At 28 and 50 weeks of age, pre-storage incubation increased egg weight loss significantly during storage, while, egg weight loss during incubation and total egg weight loss were not significantly affected by pre-storage incubation (Tables 1 and 2). At 28 weeks of age, a significant interaction between length of storage time and pre-storage incubation was observed for egg weight loss percentage during storage, incubation and total experimental period (storage + incubation, Table 1). Similarly, at 50 weeks of age, pre-storage incubation and storage duration interacted significantly in egg weight loss during storage and the whole experimental period, while, this significance was disappeared during the incubation period (Table 2). As egg storage time and length of pre-storage incubation increased, egg weight loss during storage significantly increased and consequently total egg weight loss was increased. Eggs stored for 14 days presented higher weight loss during storage when heated for 6 and 8 h as compared with non-heated eggs (Tables 1 and 2).

#### Fertility, hatchability and embryonic mortality

The results of apparent fertility, hatchability of set eggs, hatchability of fertile eggs and embryonic mortality are shown in Tables 1 and 2. At 28 weeks of age, when storage time was increased from 4 to 14 days, apparent fertility, hatchability of set eggs and hatchability of fertile eggs were significantly decreased by 6.64%, 8.86% and 5.36%, respectively.

**Table 1** Effect of egg storage time (EST) and pre-storage incubation (PSI) on egg weight loss, fertility and hatchability traits in Egyptian local strain chickens (Inshas) at 28 weeks of age

	EST (days)		PSI (h)			EST (days) × PSI (h)								RMSE	P-value	
	4	14	0	4	6	8	4 days × 0 h	4 days × 4 h	4 days × 6 h	4 days × 8 h	14 days × 0 h	14 days × 4 h	14 days × 6 h			14 days × 8 h
Fresh egg weight (g)	43.39	43.61	43.63	43.21	43.56	43.60	43.74	43.26	43.16	43.39	43.52	43.16	43.96	43.81	2.08	0.842
Egg weight loss (%)																
Storage	0.51 <sup>b</sup>	0.97 <sup>a</sup>	0.47 <sup>d</sup>	0.59 <sup>c</sup>	0.87 <sup>b</sup>	1.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.20 <sup>e</sup>	0.34 <sup>d</sup>	0.65 <sup>c</sup>	0.85 <sup>b</sup>	0.75 <sup>bc</sup>	0.85 <sup>b</sup>	1.09 <sup>a</sup>	1.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.17	<0.0001
Incubation	9.62 <sup>b</sup>	10.15 <sup>a</sup>	10.01	9.73	9.95	9.84	9.68 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.42 <sup>d</sup>	9.84 <sup>abcd</sup>	9.51 <sup>cd</sup>	10.34 <sup>a</sup>	10.03 <sup>abc</sup>	10.03 <sup>abc</sup>	10.03 <sup>abc</sup>	0.86	0.004
Total	10.15 <sup>b</sup>	11.04 <sup>a</sup>	10.81	10.39	10.53	10.65	9.270 <sup>d</sup>	9.80 <sup>cd</sup>	10.92 <sup>ab</sup>	10.59 <sup>bc</sup>	10.71 <sup>ab</sup>	10.97 <sup>ab</sup>	11.14 <sup>a</sup>	11.34 <sup>a</sup>	1.64	<0.0001
Fertility (%)	92.06 <sup>a</sup>	85.42 <sup>b</sup>	87.55 <sup>b</sup>	87.06 <sup>b</sup>	90.56 <sup>a</sup>	89.79 <sup>a</sup>	90.62 <sup>c</sup>	91.02 <sup>bc</sup>	94.22 <sup>a</sup>	92.38 <sup>b</sup>	84.48 <sup>e</sup>	83.09 <sup>e</sup>	86.91 <sup>d</sup>	87.20 <sup>d</sup>	2.74	<0.0001
Hatchability of set eggs (%)	79.69 <sup>a</sup>	70.83 <sup>b</sup>	71.50 <sup>c</sup>	74.74 <sup>b</sup>	77.49 <sup>a</sup>	77.32 <sup>a</sup>	74.83 <sup>d</sup>	77.99 <sup>c</sup>	84.05 <sup>a</sup>	81.90 <sup>b</sup>	68.18 <sup>g</sup>	71.48 <sup>ef</sup>	70.92 <sup>f</sup>	72.74 <sup>e</sup>	2.30	<0.0001
Hatchability of fertile eggs (%)	85.91 <sup>a</sup>	80.55 <sup>b</sup>	80.69 <sup>c</sup>	82.92 <sup>b</sup>	84.70 <sup>a</sup>	84.61 <sup>a</sup>	83.03 <sup>c</sup>	85.92 <sup>b</sup>	88.41 <sup>a</sup>	86.28 <sup>b</sup>	78.34 <sup>e</sup>	79.92 <sup>d</sup>	81.00 <sup>d</sup>	82.94 <sup>c</sup>	2.02	<0.0001
Embryonic mortality (%)																
Early	5.93 <sup>b</sup>	8.58 <sup>a</sup>	8.31 <sup>a</sup>	7.54 <sup>b</sup>	6.67 <sup>c</sup>	6.52 <sup>c</sup>	6.63 <sup>e</sup>	6.04 <sup>f</sup>	5.36 <sup>g</sup>	5.71 <sup>fg</sup>	10.00 <sup>a</sup>	9.04 <sup>b</sup>	7.97 <sup>c</sup>	7.32 <sup>d</sup>	0.93	<0.0001
Intermediate	2.64 <sup>b</sup>	4.70 <sup>a</sup>	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	3.84 <sup>b</sup>	3.13 <sup>c</sup>	3.30 <sup>c</sup>	3.38 <sup>c</sup>	2.59 <sup>d</sup>	1.97 <sup>d</sup>	2.59 <sup>d</sup>	5.42 <sup>a</sup>	5.08 <sup>a</sup>	4.29 <sup>b</sup>	4.00 <sup>bc</sup>	1.09	<0.0001
Late	4.25 <sup>b</sup>	5.60 <sup>a</sup>	5.76 <sup>a</sup>	5.00 <sup>b</sup>	4.70 <sup>bc</sup>	4.24 <sup>c</sup>	4.66 <sup>bc</sup>	4.51 <sup>bcd</sup>	4.26 <sup>cd</sup>	3.56 <sup>d</sup>	6.86 <sup>a</sup>	5.49 <sup>b</sup>	5.14 <sup>bc</sup>	4.91 <sup>bc</sup>	1.60	<0.0001

RMSE = root mean squared error.

Values are least-squares means.

a,b,c,d,e,f,g Means within a row with no common superscript differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table 2** Effect of egg storage time (EST) and pre-storage incubation (PSI) on egg weight loss, fertility and hatchability traits in Egyptian local strain chickens (Inshas) at 50 weeks of age

	EST (days)		PSI (h)			EST (days) × PSI (h)								RMSE	P-value	
	4	14	0	4	6	8	4 days × 0 h	4 days × 4 h	4 days × 6 h	4 days × 8 h	14 days × 0 h	14 days × 4 h	14 days × 6 h			14 days × 8 h
Fresh egg weight (g)	52.13	52.35	51.95	52.24	52.22	52.55	51.81	52.38	51.80	52.53	52.09	52.10	52.63	52.57	2.54	0.91
Egg weight loss (%)																
Storage	0.82 <sup>b</sup>	1.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.73 <sup>c</sup>	0.80 <sup>c</sup>	1.17 <sup>a</sup>	1.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.45 <sup>e</sup>	0.69 <sup>d</sup>	1.10 <sup>ab</sup>	0.87 <sup>c</sup>	1.01 <sup>bc</sup>	0.92 <sup>c</sup>	1.20 <sup>a</sup>	1.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.25	<0.0001
Incubation	10.13	10.28	10.16	10.33	10.27	10.07	10.23	10.31	10.00	10.01	10.09	10.36	10.55	10.55	0.95	0.52
Total	11.47	11.07	11.64	11.19	11.09	11.14	10.68 <sup>cd</sup>	10.78 <sup>cd</sup>	11.90 <sup>ab</sup>	10.99 <sup>cd</sup>	10.91 <sup>cd</sup>	10.48 <sup>d</sup>	11.41 <sup>bcd</sup>	11.49 <sup>bc</sup>	1.39	<0.0001
Fertility (%)	87.83 <sup>a</sup>	78.52 <sup>b</sup>	82.97 <sup>ab</sup>	82.60 <sup>b</sup>	84.07 <sup>a</sup>	83.25 <sup>ab</sup>	85.73 <sup>b</sup>	87.95 <sup>a</sup>	88.74 <sup>a</sup>	88.89 <sup>a</sup>	80.41 <sup>c</sup>	77.24 <sup>d</sup>	79.40 <sup>c</sup>	77.02 <sup>d</sup>	3.05	<0.0001
Hatchability of set eggs (%)	69.46 <sup>a</sup>	59.17 <sup>b</sup>	63.55 <sup>b</sup>	61.48 <sup>c</sup>	66.33 <sup>a</sup>	65.90 <sup>a</sup>	67.96 <sup>c</sup>	66.83 <sup>c</sup>	72.96 <sup>a</sup>	70.10 <sup>b</sup>	59.15 <sup>e</sup>	56.14 <sup>f</sup>	59.71 <sup>e</sup>	61.70 <sup>d</sup>	2.78	<0.0001
Hatchability of fertile eggs (%)	78.66 <sup>a</sup>	73.34 <sup>b</sup>	75.32 <sup>b</sup>	74.91 <sup>b</sup>	77.30 <sup>a</sup>	76.48 <sup>a</sup>	79.29 <sup>a</sup>	77.17 <sup>b</sup>	79.76 <sup>a</sup>	78.43 <sup>ab</sup>	71.34 <sup>d</sup>	72.65 <sup>d</sup>	74.83 <sup>c</sup>	74.54 <sup>c</sup>	2.27	<0.0001
Embryonic mortality (%)																
Early	4.01 <sup>b</sup>	5.98 <sup>a</sup>	6.21 <sup>a</sup>	5.08 <sup>b</sup>	4.70 <sup>b</sup>	4.00 <sup>c</sup>	5.02 <sup>c</sup>	4.23 <sup>d</sup>	3.80 <sup>d</sup>	2.99 <sup>e</sup>	7.40 <sup>a</sup>	5.93 <sup>b</sup>	5.60 <sup>bc</sup>	5.01 <sup>c</sup>	1.02	<0.0001
Intermediate	1.18 <sup>b</sup>	1.87 <sup>a</sup>	2.43 <sup>a</sup>	1.71 <sup>b</sup>	1.04 <sup>c</sup>	0.93 <sup>c</sup>	1.95 <sup>b</sup>	1.29 <sup>c</sup>	0.71 <sup>d</sup>	0.77 <sup>d</sup>	2.91 <sup>a</sup>	2.13 <sup>b</sup>	1.37 <sup>c</sup>	1.09 <sup>c</sup>	0.49	<0.0001
Late	4.38 <sup>b</sup>	6.08 <sup>a</sup>	6.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.82 <sup>a</sup>	4.43 <sup>b</sup>	4.53 <sup>b</sup>	5.75 <sup>bc</sup>	4.17 <sup>de</sup>	3.54 <sup>e</sup>	4.07 <sup>e</sup>	6.55 <sup>b</sup>	7.47 <sup>a</sup>	5.32 <sup>c</sup>	4.98 <sup>cd</sup>	1.39	<0.0001

RMSE = root mean squared error.

Values are least-squares means.

a,b,c,d,e,f Means within a row with no common superscript differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

Similar trend was observed in eggs produced from old breeder hens (50-week-old). At 28 and 50 weeks of age, pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h enhanced significantly the apparent fertility, hatchability of set eggs and hatchability of fertile eggs. At 28 and 50 weeks of age, the significant interaction between pre-storage incubation and storage period influenced the apparent fertility, hatchability of set eggs and hatchability of fertile eggs (Tables 1 and 2). In young breeder flock (28-week-old), eggs stored for 4 days showed higher significant fertility, hatchability of set eggs and hatchability of fertile eggs when heated for 6 h, while, when eggs were stored for 14 days, the highest apparent fertility, hatchability of set eggs and hatchability of fertile eggs were observed in eggs heated for 8 h comparing with non-heated eggs (Table 1). At 50 weeks of age, eggs stored for 4 and 14 days presented higher fertility, hatchability of set eggs and hatchability of fertile eggs when heated for 6 or 8 h as compared with non-heated eggs (Table 2). The results of the present study showed that, in eggs stored for 14 days, the pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h had a beneficial effect on hatchability when compared with the non-heated eggs. The improvement in hatchability was attributed to the reduction in embryonic mortality (Tables 1 and 2). The pre-storage incubation obviously decreased early (0 to 9 days), intermediate (10 to 17 days) and late (18 to 21 days) embryonic mortality as compared with non-heated controls at 28 and 50 weeks of age. As shown in Tables 1 and 2, early, intermediate and late embryonic mortality were significantly affected by the interaction between pre-storage incubation and egg storage. When storage time was 14 days, early, intermediate and late embryonic mortality were decreased for the 6 or 8 h pre-storage incubation than for the control eggs (0 h pre-storage incubation) in young and old breeder hens. This indicates that within eggs stored for long period (14 days), pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h appears to enhance the survive of the embryos which translated to reducing the embryonic mortality.

**Chick quality**

The main effects of pre-storage incubation treatments and egg storage time on chick quality in eggs from breeder hens of 28 and 50 weeks of age are presented in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. At both of ages, percentage of grade A chicks was higher for eggs stored for 4 days than for eggs stored for 14 days and pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h elevated significantly the grade A chicks and reduced the grade B chicks in comparison with non-heated controls. There were significant pre-storage incubation × egg storage interactions for the grade A chicks in young (Table 3) and old breeder hens (Table 4). Pre-storage incubation increased chick weight significantly in young and old breeder hens. The interaction of pre-storage incubation and storage time had a significant effect on chick weight (Tables 3 and 4). Heating eggs for 6 and 8 h before storage resulted in higher chick body weight as compared with heating for 0 h when eggs were stored for 14 days at 28 and 50 weeks of age.

**Thyroid hormones**

Results concerning the effects of pre-storage incubation treatments and egg storage time on serum thyroid hormones

**Table 3** Effect of egg storage time (EST) and pre-storage incubation (PSI) on chick quality, serum thyroid hormones, antioxidant properties, lipid peroxidation and immunoglobulin Y (IgY) in newly hatched chicks in Egyptian local strain chickens (Inshas) at 28 weeks of age

Chick quality	EST (days)					PSI (h)					EST (days) × PSI (h)					RMSE	P-value
	4	14	0	4	8	4	6	8	4 days × 0 h	4 days × 4 h	4 days × 6 h	4 days × 8 h	14 days × 0 h	14 days × 4 h	14 days × 6 h		
Grade A	93.56 <sup>a</sup>	89.31 <sup>b</sup>	90.59 <sup>c</sup>	91.13 <sup>bc</sup>	91.77 <sup>ab</sup>	92.26 <sup>a</sup>	92.52 <sup>c</sup>	93.31 <sup>bc</sup>	94.55 <sup>a</sup>	93.86 <sup>ab</sup>	88.66 <sup>e</sup>	88.96 <sup>e</sup>	88.99 <sup>e</sup>	90.65 <sup>d</sup>	1.93	<0.0001	
Grade B	6.63 <sup>b</sup>	7.97 <sup>a</sup>	9.08 <sup>a</sup>	7.91 <sup>b</sup>	6.23 <sup>c</sup>	5.99 <sup>c</sup>	8.05 <sup>bc</sup>	7.59 <sup>bc</sup>	5.00 <sup>e</sup>	5.90 <sup>d</sup>	10.12 <sup>a</sup>	8.23 <sup>b</sup>	7.46 <sup>c</sup>	6.08 <sup>d</sup>	1.061	<0.0001	
Chick weight (g)	29.57 <sup>a</sup>	28.06 <sup>b</sup>	27.31 <sup>c</sup>	28.23 <sup>b</sup>	30.12 <sup>a</sup>	29.61 <sup>a</sup>	27.94 <sup>d</sup>	28.72 <sup>cd</sup>	31.71 <sup>a</sup>	29.92 <sup>b</sup>	26.69 <sup>e</sup>	27.75 <sup>de</sup>	28.52 <sup>cd</sup>	29.29 <sup>bc</sup>	1.783	<0.0001	
T <sub>3</sub> (ng/ml)	4.04 <sup>a</sup>	3.55 <sup>b</sup>	3.43 <sup>c</sup>	3.65 <sup>b</sup>	4.07 <sup>a</sup>	3.98 <sup>a</sup>	3.72 <sup>c</sup>	4.05 <sup>b</sup>	4.39 <sup>a</sup>	3.98 <sup>bc</sup>	3.14 <sup>d</sup>	3.25 <sup>d</sup>	3.74 <sup>c</sup>	3.97 <sup>c</sup>	0.424	<0.0001	
T <sub>4</sub> (ng/ml)	7.06 <sup>a</sup>	6.30 <sup>b</sup>	4.91 <sup>c</sup>	6.23 <sup>b</sup>	7.71 <sup>a</sup>	7.86 <sup>a</sup>	5.46 <sup>d</sup>	6.51 <sup>c</sup>	8.47 <sup>a</sup>	7.79 <sup>ab</sup>	4.36 <sup>e</sup>	5.94 <sup>d</sup>	6.95 <sup>c</sup>	7.93 <sup>b</sup>	0.907	<0.0001	
GSH-Px (μ/ml)	308.50 <sup>a</sup>	270.97 <sup>b</sup>	258.20 <sup>c</sup>	258.11 <sup>c</sup>	314.69 <sup>b</sup>	377.93 <sup>a</sup>	268.05 <sup>d</sup>	296.44 <sup>c</sup>	347.88 <sup>a</sup>	321.63 <sup>b</sup>	248.36 <sup>e</sup>	219.79 <sup>f</sup>	281.50 <sup>cd</sup>	334.24 <sup>ab</sup>	29.463	<0.0001	
TAC (μ/ml)	15.39 <sup>a</sup>	14.30 <sup>b</sup>	13.47 <sup>b</sup>	14.06 <sup>b</sup>	15.98 <sup>a</sup>	15.87 <sup>a</sup>	13.56 <sup>d</sup>	14.86 <sup>c</sup>	17.25 <sup>a</sup>	15.90 <sup>b</sup>	13.39 <sup>d</sup>	13.27 <sup>d</sup>	14.72 <sup>c</sup>	15.84 <sup>b</sup>	1.578	<0.0001	
MDA (nmol/ml)	3.99 <sup>b</sup>	4.29 <sup>a</sup>	4.76 <sup>a</sup>	4.67 <sup>a</sup>	3.63 <sup>b</sup>	3.49 <sup>b</sup>	4.74 <sup>a</sup>	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	3.22 <sup>d</sup>	3.64 <sup>c</sup>	4.78 <sup>a</sup>	4.99 <sup>a</sup>	4.05 <sup>b</sup>	3.33 <sup>cd</sup>	0.621	<0.0001	
IgY (mg/ml)	1.49 <sup>a</sup>	1.37 <sup>b</sup>	1.20 <sup>c</sup>	1.26 <sup>c</sup>	1.70 <sup>a</sup>	1.56 <sup>b</sup>	1.29 <sup>d</sup>	1.34 <sup>d</sup>	1.85 <sup>a</sup>	1.47 <sup>c</sup>	1.10 <sup>e</sup>	1.18 <sup>e</sup>	1.55 <sup>bc</sup>	1.66 <sup>b</sup>	0.194	<0.0001	

RMSE = root mean squared error; T3 = triiodothyronine; T4 = thyroxine; MDA = malondialdehyde; GSH-Px = glutathione peroxidase; TAC = total antioxidant capacity; IgY = immunoglobulin Y. Values are least-squares means. a,b,c,d,e,f Means within a row with no common superscript differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05).

**Table 4** Effect of egg storage time (EST) and pre-storage incubation (PSI) on chick quality, serum thyroid hormones, antioxidant properties, lipid peroxidation and immunoglobulin Y (IgY) in newly hatched chicks in Egyptian local strain chickens (Inshas) at 50 weeks of age

Chick quality	EST (days)				PSI (h)				EST (days) × PSI (h)				RMSE	P-value			
	4	14	0	4	4	6	8	8	4 days × 0 h	4 days × 4 h	4 days × 6 h	4 days × 8 h			14 days × 0 h	14 days × 4 h	14 days × 6 h
Grade A	92.51 <sup>a</sup>	88.47 <sup>b</sup>	89.36 <sup>b</sup>	89.61 <sup>b</sup>	91.45 <sup>a</sup>	91.54 <sup>a</sup>	91.54 <sup>a</sup>	91.54 <sup>a</sup>	92.01 <sup>b</sup>	93.80 <sup>a</sup>	92.28 <sup>b</sup>	86.80 <sup>e</sup>	87.20 <sup>e</sup>	89.09 <sup>d</sup>	90.80 <sup>c</sup>	1.75	<0.0001
Grade B	4.44 <sup>b</sup>	7.16 <sup>a</sup>	7.15 <sup>a</sup>	6.23 <sup>b</sup>	4.88 <sup>c</sup>	4.94 <sup>c</sup>	4.94 <sup>c</sup>	4.94 <sup>c</sup>	4.65 <sup>e</sup>	3.19 <sup>f</sup>	4.12 <sup>e</sup>	8.51 <sup>a</sup>	7.81 <sup>b</sup>	6.57 <sup>c</sup>	5.75 <sup>d</sup>	1.08	<0.0001
Chick weight (g)	34.71 <sup>a</sup>	32.85 <sup>b</sup>	32.93 <sup>c</sup>	33.41 <sup>bc</sup>	33.63 <sup>b</sup>	35.17 <sup>a</sup>	35.17 <sup>a</sup>	35.17 <sup>a</sup>	34.31 <sup>b</sup>	34.17 <sup>b</sup>	36.68 <sup>a</sup>	32.16 <sup>d</sup>	32.50 <sup>d</sup>	33.09 <sup>cd</sup>	33.65 <sup>bc</sup>	1.54	<0.0001
T <sub>3</sub> (ng/ml)	4.27 <sup>a</sup>	3.85 <sup>b</sup>	3.69 <sup>b</sup>	3.83 <sup>b</sup>	4.37 <sup>a</sup>	4.36 <sup>a</sup>	4.36 <sup>a</sup>	4.36 <sup>a</sup>	3.95 <sup>d</sup>	4.74 <sup>a</sup>	4.30 <sup>bc</sup>	3.27 <sup>f</sup>	3.71 <sup>e</sup>	4.01 <sup>d</sup>	4.41 <sup>b</sup>	0.34	<0.0001
T <sub>4</sub> (ng/ml)	6.94 <sup>a</sup>	6.30 <sup>b</sup>	5.85 <sup>b</sup>	5.95 <sup>b</sup>	7.37 <sup>a</sup>	7.32 <sup>a</sup>	7.32 <sup>a</sup>	7.32 <sup>a</sup>	5.84 <sup>c</sup>	7.92 <sup>a</sup>	7.22 <sup>b</sup>	4.91 <sup>d</sup>	6.06 <sup>c</sup>	6.81 <sup>b</sup>	7.42 <sup>ab</sup>	0.98	<0.0001
GSH-Px (μ/ml)	297.13 <sup>a</sup>	275.96 <sup>b</sup>	256.67 <sup>d</sup>	274.78 <sup>c</sup>	314.08 <sup>a</sup>	300.65 <sup>b</sup>	300.65 <sup>b</sup>	300.65 <sup>b</sup>	287.05 <sup>c</sup>	341.00 <sup>a</sup>	292.30 <sup>b</sup>	245.17 <sup>e</sup>	262.51 <sup>d</sup>	287.17 <sup>c</sup>	309.00 <sup>b</sup>	27.41	<0.0001
TAC (μ/ml)	15.84 <sup>a</sup>	14.54 <sup>b</sup>	13.95 <sup>c</sup>	14.68 <sup>b</sup>	16.07 <sup>a</sup>	16.07 <sup>a</sup>	16.07 <sup>a</sup>	16.07 <sup>a</sup>	15.36 <sup>bc</sup>	17.30 <sup>a</sup>	16.04 <sup>b</sup>	13.23 <sup>e</sup>	13.99 <sup>de</sup>	14.84 <sup>c</sup>	16.11 <sup>b</sup>	1.31	<0.0001
MDA (nmol/ml)	3.95 <sup>b</sup>	4.32 <sup>a</sup>	4.87 <sup>a</sup>	4.74 <sup>a</sup>	3.55 <sup>b</sup>	3.39 <sup>b</sup>	3.39 <sup>b</sup>	3.39 <sup>b</sup>	4.50 <sup>c</sup>	3.18 <sup>e</sup>	3.49 <sup>e</sup>	5.10 <sup>a</sup>	4.98 <sup>ab</sup>	3.92 <sup>d</sup>	3.28 <sup>e</sup>	0.68	<0.0001
IgY (mg/ml)	1.55	1.58	1.35 <sup>c</sup>	1.55 <sup>b</sup>	1.67 <sup>a</sup>	1.69 <sup>a</sup>	1.69 <sup>a</sup>	1.45 <sup>d</sup>	1.45 <sup>d</sup>	1.73 <sup>ab</sup>	1.50 <sup>d</sup>	1.21 <sup>e</sup>	1.65 <sup>bc</sup>	1.58 <sup>cd</sup>	1.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.23	<0.0001

RMSE = root mean squared error; T<sub>3</sub> = triiodothyronine; T<sub>4</sub> = thyroxine; MDA = malondialdehyde; GSH-Px = glutathione peroxidase; TAC = total antioxidant capacity; IgY = immunoglobulin Y.

Values are least-squares means.

<sup>a,b,c,d,e,f</sup>Means within a row with no common superscript differ significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

(T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>) concentrations in newly hatched chicks at 28 and 50 weeks of breeder hens age are presented in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. Pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h elevated significantly serum T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> concentrations in comparison with non-heated controls. The interaction of pre-storage incubation and egg storage time had significant effects on serum T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> concentrations. At 28 weeks of age, within eggs stored for 14 days, pre-storage incubation had a significant positive effect on serum T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> concentrations (Table 3). Similar significant positive effect on serum T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> concentrations was detected at 50 weeks of age (Table 4).

#### Antioxidative properties

Respecting to the influence of pre-storage incubation or the interaction between the egg storage time and pre-storage incubation on chick serum antioxidative properties including GSH-Px activity and total antioxidant capacity as well as lipid peroxidation index (Tables 3 and 4), it could be noted that egg storage for 14 v. 4 days significantly depressed both GSH-Px activity and total antioxidant capacity and elevated MDA concentration which used as an index of lipid peroxidation in blood serum in the newly hatched chicks. At 28 and 50 weeks of age, pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h improved significantly serum GSH-Px activity and total antioxidant capacity as well as decreased serum MDA in the newly hatched chicks. A significant interaction between the pre-storage incubation and storage duration was detected on antioxidative properties in the newly hatched chicks, showing that eggs stored for 4 and 14 days presented higher serum GSH-Px activity and total antioxidant capacity, while serum MDA was decreased in the newly hatched chicks when heated for 6 or 8 h in comparison with non-heated eggs in young and old breeder hens (Tables 3 and 4).

#### Humoral immunity

Results of immune response, presented in Tables 3 and 4, show that pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h had a positive effect on humoral immunity as measured by serum IgY concentration in the newly hatched chicks. At 28 and 50 weeks of age, there was a significant effect of the interaction between pre-storage incubation and storage duration on serum IgY concentration in the newly hatched chicks. When eggs were stored for 14 days, heating eggs for 6 and 8 h increased significantly serum IgY concentration in the newly hatched chicks as compared with non-heated eggs.

#### Discussion

##### Egg weight loss

As shown in Tables 1 and 2, pre-storage incubation increased egg weight loss significantly during storage, however, egg weight loss during incubation and total egg weight loss were not significantly affected by pre-storage incubation in young and old breeder hens. These results are in agreement with several previous studies (Fasenko *et al.*, 2001b; Reijrink *et al.*, 2009 and 2010b). Reijrink *et al.* (2009) showed that

pre-storage incubation increased egg weight loss during storage by 0.18% ( $P < 0.0001$ ). Moreover, Fasenko *et al.* (2001b) elucidated that exposure to pre-storage incubation and a long time of storage would increase the opportunity for water vapor to escape from the egg. Results of the present study indicated that a significant interaction between length of storage time and pre-storage incubation was observed for egg weight loss percentage during storage, incubation and total experimental period in young breeder hens (Table 1). It could be assumed that, in the present study, total egg weight loss increased when storage time increased and length of pre-storage incubation increased. Similarly, Silva *et al.* (2008) proved that pre-storage heating  $\times$  storage period interaction significantly ( $P < 0.0001$ ) affected egg weight loss during storage period, incubation period and the whole experimental period (storage + incubation). However, Fasenko *et al.* (2001b) and Reijrink *et al.* (2009) observed that egg weight loss during incubation and total egg weight loss were not significantly affected by the pre-storage incubation  $\times$  storage interaction.

#### *Fertility and hatchability*

In young and old breeder hens, the significant interaction between pre-storage incubation and storage period influenced the apparent fertility, hatchability of set eggs and hatchability of fertile eggs (Tables 1 and 2). These results are in correspondence with Petek and Dikmen (2006) who elucidated that there were significant pre-storage incubation  $\times$  egg storage interactions ( $P < 0.001$ ) for the apparent fertility, hatchability of total and fertile eggs. Also, results of the present study revealed that pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h had a positive effect on hatchability of set eggs and hatchability of fertile eggs and these results are in agreement with several previous studies (Petek and Dikmen, 2004; Silva *et al.*, 2008; Gucbilmez *et al.*, 2013). Fasenko *et al.* (2001b) showed that the pre-storage incubation treatment of 6 h improved hatchability (81.9%) in comparison with the control treatment (72.2%) when eggs were stored for 14 days. Reijrink *et al.* (2009) elucidated that the effect of pre-storage incubation on hatchability is most beneficial when the majority of the embryos are below hypoblast developmental stage at egg collection. Similarly, Fasenko *et al.* (2001b) revealed that advancing embryos to complete the hypoblast formation via pre-storage incubation restored hatchability to varying degrees over a 14-day storage period because the optimal stage of embryonic development to resist prolonged egg storage might be when the hypoblast was formed and embryos in this stage of development are interred in a quiescent developmental stage. In addition, the ability of the slightly developmental embryos to resist storage-induced effects is probably due to the increase in the total cell numbers and the maintenance of the pre-gastrulation embryos, which might provide a larger reservoir of available cells to compensate for increased cell death induced by prolonged storage (Bloom *et al.*, 1998; Hamidu *et al.*, 2011). Furthermore, Reijrink *et al.* (2010b) explained that an embryo that increased development during storage due to pre-storage incubation may be better able to form an effective barrier between the inside of

the embryo (pH ranges from 7.9 to 8.4) and its exterior (albumen pH around 9.0 and yolk pH around 6.5) during early incubation than a less developed embryo.

#### *Embryonic mortality*

The improvement in hatchability in current study was mainly attributed to the reduction in embryonic mortality (early, intermediate and late) due to pre-storage incubation and its interaction with storage period (Tables 1 and 2). Similarly, Reijrink *et al.* (2010b) showed that pre-storage incubation decreased embryonic mortality during the first 2 days of incubation by 0.8% ( $P = 0.01$ ) and decreased embryonic mortality on day 20 of incubation by 0.3% ( $P = 0.05$ ). These results are consistent with the findings of Fasenko *et al.* (2001a and 2001b), Reijrink *et al.* (2009) and Gucbilmez *et al.* (2013) who explained that pre-storage incubation enhanced the embryo viability, decreased the embryonic mortality and increased the hatchability. Gucbilmez *et al.* (2013) reported that hatchability of fertile eggs obtained from a 29-week-old flock stored for 11 days was increased by heating at either 1 or 5 days of storage, with an accompanying significant decrease in early dead and numerical decrease in late dead as compared with the control. Therefore, it might be noted that heating of fertilized eggs before storage improved the livability of the developing embryos and resulted in lowering the embryonic mortality (Fasenko *et al.*, 2001a and 2001b; Petek and Dikmen, 2004), which finally leading to enhance the hatchability (Fasenko *et al.*, 2001b; Silva *et al.*, 2008; Reijrink *et al.*, 2010b) of eggs stored for long periods.

#### *Chick quality*

Results of the current study indicated that percentage of grade A chicks was higher for eggs stored for 4 days than for eggs stored for 14 days significantly and pre-storage incubation for 6 or 8 h elevated significantly the grade A chicks percentage and reduced the grade B chicks percentage in comparison with non-heated controls (Tables 3 and 4). It was shown that egg storage longer than 7 days is associated with a decline in chick quality (Tona *et al.*, 2003 and 2004). Previous studies noted that pre-storage incubation can be positive or negative for chick quality independent of storage time (Reijrink *et al.*, 2010b). Reijrink *et al.* (2010b) showed that pre-storage incubation did not improve chick quality. While, Reijrink *et al.* (2009) confirmed that pre-storage incubation increased percentage of second grade chicks ( $P = 0.0007$ ). Therefore, it might be mentioned that the declining in chick quality due to long storage period could be relieved by using pre-storage incubation treatments.

#### *Thyroid hormones*

It is well known that thyroid hormones ( $T_3$  and  $T_4$ ) are involved in numerous physiological processes such as regulating heat production of chick embryos during the incubation (McNabb, 2000), transition from allantoic to lung respiration (McNabb, 2000; Reyns *et al.*, 2003) and preparation for pipping and hatching process (De Smit *et al.*, 2008) as well as they are

important for regulating metabolic rate during the post-hatch period (Decuyper *et al.*, 2000). This time is a critical for the embryo to survive because the embryos need oxygen and energy for hatching and after hatch to face the new life. Lu *et al.* (2007) reported that plasma  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  concentrations were significantly elevated before hatching and their levels increased with age after hatching. This means that thyroid hormones are important for successful hatching and also for stimulating a variety of developmental and metabolic processes after hatching (Reyns *et al.*, 2003; Lu *et al.*, 2007). Interestingly, data of the present study (Tables 3 and 4) showed that pre-storage incubation increased serum  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  concentrations significantly in the newly hatched chicks which might influence the chick postnatal growth.

#### *Antioxidative properties*

One of the major results in the present study was the improvement of the antioxidative properties illustrated by the significant increase in serum GSH-Px and total antioxidant capacity and the decrease of lipid peroxidation index (serum MDA) in the neonate chicks due to 6 and 8 h pre-storage incubation (Tables 3 and 4). This better antioxidative status of the newly hatched chicks is very important in the protection against free radicals attack, which in turn, involved in positive effects in the postnatal development, immune response and resistance to various diseases (Surai, 2002; Ebeid *et al.*, 2013). The main role of GSH-Px is to remove hydrogen peroxide and lipid hydroperoxides and thereby protects cells against damage caused by free radicals *in vivo* (Surai *et al.*, 2016). GSH-Px protects the neutrophils from oxygen-derived radicals that are produced to kill ingested foreign organisms (Arthur, 2000) and maintenance of redox balance is important for the health (Surai, 2002). The improvement in the antioxidative status in the current study is probably attributed to serum  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  concentrations. In this context, Laurberg *et al.* (2005) proved that thyroid hormones play a crucial role in the regulation of antioxidant enzymes activity. In addition, Lin *et al.* (2008) stated that plasma levels of MDA tended to be decreased by circulating  $T_3$ . Therefore, it could be mentioned that pre-storage incubation may enhance the antioxidative status and reduce lipid peroxidation, leading to reduce the oxidative stress during embryogenesis which probably resulted in improving the immunity in the newly hatched chicks in the present study.

#### *Humoral immunity*

To our knowledge, this is the first study indicating an enhanced serum IgY concentration in newly hatched chicks when fertilized eggs were incubated for 6 or 8 h before storage (Tables 3 and 4). Getting such benefits in newborn chicks is a necessity because at hatch the chick's immune system is not completely mature and the chick depends on maternal antibodies (IgY) received from the egg yolk. These results confirmed the association between immune response and thyroid hormones, as it is well established that the normal levels of  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  are necessary for proper function of both the humoral and cellular immune response (Klecha *et al.*, 2006). Furthermore, it was postulated that

thyroid hormones are involved in the intensity of immune response through activating of the immunological system components (including natural killer cells and macrophages, Botella-Carretero *et al.*, 2005) and also cytokines secretion (including interleukin-2 and interferon- $\gamma$ , Klecha *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, it could be assumed that pre-storage incubation would influence the viability and immune function in the newly hatched chicks via its positive effects on  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  concentrations in the present study. This assumption is in agreement with Akhlaghi *et al.* (2013) who concluded that higher antibody levels during early post-hatch life might be a response to higher thyroid hormones level at internal pipping, as thyroid hormones accelerated the speed of yolk sac absorption and consequently the maternal immunoglobulins. Furthermore, by taking into account our results in antioxidative properties and lipid peroxidation, it seems more likely that pre-storage incubation may enhance the immune responsiveness and the protection against diseases in the newly hatched chicks.

Based on the data presented above, it could be concluded that pre-storage incubation enhanced the hatching results, improved the antioxidative properties, reduced lipid peroxidation and elevated the humoral immunity in the newly hatched chicks. Hence, several benefits might be gained by pre-storage incubation when fertilized eggs will be stored for long periods.

#### **Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by Animal Production Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. The authors wish to acknowledge Prof. Mohamed El-Habbak, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt, for his help and support.

#### **References**

- Akhlaghi A, Zamiri MJ, Jafari Ahangari Y, Atashi H, Ansari Pirsaraei Z, Deldar H, Eghbalian AN, Akhlaghi AA, Navidshad B, Yussefi Kelarikolaei K and Hashemi SR 2013. Oral exposure of broiler breeder hens to extra thyroxine modulates early adaptive immune responses in progeny chicks. *Poultry Science* 92, 1040–1049.
- Arthur JR 2000. The glutathione peroxidases. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 57, 1825–1835.
- Bloom SE, Muscarella DE, Lee MY and Rachlinski M 1998. Cell death in the avian blastoderm: resistance to stress-induced apoptosis and expression of anti-apoptotic genes. *Cell Death and Differentiation* 5, 529–538.
- Botella-Carretero JI, Prados A, Manzano L, Montero MT, Escibano L, Sancho J and Escobar-Morreale HF 2005. The effects of thyroid hormones on circulating markers of cell-mediated immune response, as studied in patients with differentiated thyroid carcinoma before and during thyroxine withdrawal. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 153, 223–230.
- Decuyper E, Buyse J and Buys N 2000. Ascites in broiler chickens: exogenous and endogenous structural and functional causal factors. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 56, 367–376.
- De Smit L, Bruggeman V, Debonne M, Tona JK, Kamers B, Everaert N, Witters A, Onagbesan O, Arckens L, De Baerdemaeker J and Decuyper E 2008. The effect of nonventilation during early incubation on the embryonic development of chicks of two commercial broiler strains differing in ascites susceptibility. *Poultry Science* 87, 551–560.
- Dymond J, Vinyard B, Nicholson AD, French NA and Bakst MR 2013. Short periods of incubation during egg storage increase hatchability and chick quality in long-stored broiler eggs. *Poultry Science* 92, 2977–2987.



- Ebeid TA, Zeweil HS, Basyony MM, Dosoky WM and Badry H 2013. Fortification of rabbit diets with vitamin E or selenium affects growth performance, lipid peroxidation, oxidative status and immune response in growing rabbits. *Livestock Science* 155, 323–331.
- Fasenko GM, Christensen VL, Wineland MJ and Petite JN 2001a. Examining the effects of prestorage incubation of turkey breeder eggs on embryonic development and hatchability of stored for four or fourteen days. *Poultry Science* 80, 132–138.
- Fasenko GM, Robinson FE, Whelan AI, Kremeniuk KM and Walker JA 2001b. Prestorage incubation of long-term stored broiler eggs. 1. Effects on hatchability. *Poultry Science* 80, 1406–1411.
- Gucbilmez M, Ozlu S, Shiranjang R, Elibol O and Brake J 2013. Effects of preincubation heating of broiler hatching eggs during storage, flock age, and length of storage period on hatchability. *Poultry Science* 92, 3310–3313.
- Hamidu JA, Rieger AM, Fasenko GM and Barreda DR 2010. Dissociation of chicken blastoderm for examination of apoptosis and necrosis by flow cytometry. *Poultry Science* 89, 901–909.
- Hamidu JA, Uddin Z, Li M, Fasenko GM, Guan LL and Barreda DR 2011. Broiler egg storage induces cell death and influences embryo quality. *Poultry Science* 90, 1749–1757.
- Jones DR and Musgrove MT 2005. Effects of extended storage on egg quality factors. *Poultry Science* 84, 1774–1777.
- Klecha AJ, Genaro AM, Gorelik G, Barreiro Arcos ML, Silberman DM, Schuman M, Garcia SI, Pirola C and Cremaschi GA 2006. Integrative study of hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid-immune system interaction: thyroid hormone-mediated modulation of lymphocyte activity through the protein kinase C signaling pathway. *Journal of Endocrinology* 189, 45–55.
- Koracevic D, Koracevic G, Djordjevic V, Andrejevic S and Cosic V 2001. Method for the measurement of antioxidant activity in human fluids. *Journal of Clinical Pathology* 54, 356–361.
- Lapao C, Gama LT and Chaveiro Soares M 1999. Effects of broiler breeder age and length of egg storage on albumen characteristics and hatchability. *Poultry Science* 78, 640–645.
- Laurberg P, Andersen S, Bülow Pedersen I and Carlé A 2005. Hypothyroidism in the elderly: pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment. *Drugs Aging* 22, 23–38.
- Lin H, Decuypere E and Buyse J 2008. Effect of thyroid hormones on the redox balance of broiler chickens. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 21, 794–800.
- Lu JW, McMurtry JP and Coon CN 2007. Developmental changes of plasma insulin, glucagon, insulin-like growth factors, thyroid hormones, and glucose concentrations in chick embryos and hatched chicks. *Poultry Science* 86, 673–683.
- McNabb FMA 2000. Thyroids. In *Sturkie's avian physiology* (ed. G Whittow), pp. 461–471. Academic Press, New York, USA.
- Nahm KH 2001. Effects of storage length and weight loss during incubation on the hatchability of ostrich eggs (*Struthio camuelus*). *Poultry Science* 80, 1667–1670.
- Paglia DE and Valentine WN 1967. Studies on the quantitative and qualitative characterization of erythrocyte glutathione peroxidase. *Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine* 70, 158–169.
- Petek M and Dikmen S 2004. The effects of prestorage incubation of quail breeder eggs on hatchability and subsequent growth performance of progeny. *Animal Research* 53, 527–534.
- Petek M and Dikmen S 2006. The effects of prestorage incubation and length of storage of broiler breeder eggs on hatchability and subsequent growth performance of progeny. *Czech Journal of Animal Science* 51, 73–77.
- Reijrink IAM, Berghmans D, Meijerhof R, Kemp B and van den Brand H 2010a. Influence of egg storage time and preincubation warming profile on embryonic development, hatchability, and chick quality. *Poultry Science* 89, 1225–1238.
- Reijrink IAM, Meijerhof R, Kemp B, Graat EAM and van den Brand H 2009. Influence of prestorage incubation on embryonic development, hatchability, and chick quality. *Poultry Science* 88, 2649–2660.
- Reijrink IAM, Meijerhof R, Kemp B and van den Brand H 2010b. Influence of egg warming during storage and hypercapnic incubation on egg characteristics, embryonic development, hatchability, and chick quality. *Poultry Science* 89, 2470–2483.
- Reyns GE, Venken K, de Escobar GM, Kuhn ER and Darras VM 2003. Dynamics and regulation of intracellular thyroid hormone concentrations in embryonic chicken liver, kidney, brain, and blood. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 134, 80–87.
- Richard MJ, Portal B, Meo J, Coudray C, Hadjian A and Favier A 1992. Malondialdehyde kit evaluated for determining plasma and lipoprotein fractions that react with thiobarbituric acid. *Clinical Chemistry* 38, 704–709.
- SAS Institute Inc. 2008. The SAS system for windows. Release 9.2. Cary, NC, USA.
- Silva FHA, Faria DE, Torres KAA, Faria Filho DE, Coelho AAD and Savino VJM 2008. Influence of egg pre-storage heating period and storage length on incubation results. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science* 10, 17–22.
- Surai PF 2002. Selenium in poultry nutrition. I. Antioxidant properties, deficiency and toxicity. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 58, 333–347.
- Surai PF, Fisinin VI and Karadas F 2016. Antioxidant systems in chick embryo development. Part 1. Vitamin E, carotenoids and selenium. *Animal Nutrition* 2, 1–11.
- Tona K, Bamelis F, De Ketelaere B, Bruggeman V, Moreas VMB, Buyse J, Onagbesan O and Decuypere E 2003. Effects of egg storage time on spread of hatch, chick quality, and chick juvenile growth. *Poultry Science* 82, 736–741.
- Tona K, Onagbesan O, De Ketelaere B, Decuypere E and Bruggeman V 2004. Effects of age of broiler breeders and egg storage on egg quality, hatchability, chick quality, chick weight, and post hatch growth to forty-two days. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* 13, 10–18.