Improved Algorithm for Maximizing Service of Carousel Storage

Chung-Lun Li
Department of Logistics
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University
Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China
Phone: +852-2766-7410; Fax: +852-2334-1765
Email: lgtclli@polyu.edu.hk

Guohua Wan
Faculty of Business Administration
University of Macao
Taipa, Macao, China
Phone: +853-397-4571; Fax: +853-838320
Email: ghwan@umac.mo

Abstract
We consider a problem of maximizing service of a carousel storage system from which items are removed in groups, where each group consists of a certain given number of items of each type. Kim [4] has developed an algorithm for solving this problem with a running time of $O(j^2)$. In this article, we present an algorithm with an improved complexity of $O(j \log j)$.
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1. Introduction

We consider a problem encountered in carousel storage systems that hold cases of items, with an objective of maximizing the number of groups of items that can be retrieved from the system before running out of stock. This problem was originally proposed by Jacob et al. [2] and is defined as follows: A storage system can hold $N$ cases of items. The cases are of fixed size, regardless of their contents. There are $j$ different types of items. Within each case, the items are identical. The storage system is to be stocked with full cases, each containing $c_i$ items of type $i$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, j)$. Items will be removed from the system in groups, each consisting of $n_i$ items of type $i$. The objective is to determine the appropriate values for $m_i$, the number of cases containing items of type $i$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, j$, so as to maximize the number of groups that can be removed from the system. The number of groups of items, $g$, removable from the system is limited by the availability of each item $i$. In other words, $g$ must not exceed $c_i m_i / n_i$, where $c_i m_i$ is the total number of items of type $i$. Thus, the problem is to maximize $g$ subject to the constraints

$$g \leq \frac{c_1 m_1}{n_1}, \quad g \leq \frac{c_2 m_2}{n_2}, \ldots, \quad g \leq \frac{c_j m_j}{n_j}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{j} m_i = N,$$

where the decision variables $g, m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_j$ are all integers.

Jacob et al. [2] developed a heuristic algorithm for solving this problem. Yeh [6] proposed another heuristic that has a higher accuracy than Jacob et al.’s. Jacob et al. [3] provided an optimal algorithm for solving the problem in polynomial time. Their algorithm uses binary search to obtain the optimal value of $g$ and has a running time of $O(j \log(\alpha_{\min}N))$, where $\alpha_{\min} = \min_{i=1,\ldots,j}\{c_i m_i / n_i\}$. Kim [4] modified Yeh’s heuristic to form an optimal algorithm which runs in $O(j^2)$ time. This strongly polynomial algorithm is significantly more efficient than Jacob et al.’s [3] algorithm for problems with a large number of item types. In what follows, we develop a modified version of Kim’s algorithm and show that our algorithm has a running time of $O(j \log j)$. 


2. The Algorithm

We first present Kim’s [4] algorithm. In his algorithm, the solution to the LP relaxation problem is first obtained by setting \( m_i = \left( \frac{n_i}{c_i} \right) / \sum_{\ell=1}^{j} \left( \frac{n_{\ell}}{c_{\ell}} \right) \) \( N \). It is followed by an iterative procedure to determine the optimal solution of the original problem. A detailed description of the algorithm is given below.

Kim’s Algorithm:

**Step 0:** Set

\[
x_i = \left( \frac{n_i / c_i}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{j} n_{\ell} / c_{\ell}} \right) N \quad \text{and} \quad y_i = \lceil x_i \rceil \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, j,
\]

and set

\[
t = \sum_{i=1}^{j} y_i - N.
\]

**Step 1:** If \( t = 0 \), then stop. Otherwise, compute \( h_i = c_i (y_i - 1) / n_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, \ldots, j) \) and choose \( k \) such that \( h_k = \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,j} \{h_i\} \). If more than one such \( k \), break ties arbitrarily.

**Step 2:** Set \( y_k \leftarrow y_k - 1 \) and \( t \leftarrow t - 1 \). Go to Step 1.

The final values of \( g \) and \( m_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, \ldots, j) \) are obtained as

\[
g = \left\lfloor \min \left\{ \frac{c_1 y_1}{n_1}, \frac{c_2 y_2}{n_2}, \ldots, \frac{c_j y_j}{n_j} \right\} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad m_i = y_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, j).
\]

Kim [4] proved that the solution generated by this algorithm is always optimal. The running time of this algorithm is \( O(j^2) \). Note that in this algorithm, a linear search for \( h_k \) (among \( h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_j \)) is required in every iteration, even when only one of the \( h_i \) values is changed. One way to improve the running time of this algorithm is to maintain a sorted list of \( h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_j \) so that the maximum value of this list can be obtained quickly. However, once the value of an \( h_k \) is changed, we need a method to update the sorted list efficiently. In what follows, we present a modified algorithm with an improved complexity.
of $O(j \log j)$. In this modified algorithm, we use a balanced binary tree data structure (also called AVL tree, named after its discoverers G.M. Adel’son-Vel’skii and E.M. Landis [1]) to store the values of $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_j$ so that $h_k$ can be searched and updated efficiently. A description of the algorithm is given below.

**Modified Algorithm:**

**Step 0:** Set

$$x_i = \left( \frac{n_i / c_i}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{j} n_\ell / c_\ell} \right) N \quad \text{and} \quad y_i = \lceil x_i \rceil \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, j,$$

and set

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^{j} y_i - N.$$

If $t = 0$, then stop. Otherwise, go to step 1.

**Step 1:** Compute $h_i = c_i (y_i - 1) / n_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, j$, and sort all the $h_i$ values in ascending order. Use a balanced binary tree to store these $j$ sorted values.

**Step 2:** Choose the largest value in the balanced binary tree, i.e., the rightmost leaf node in the tree. Suppose this largest value is $h_k$. Then, set $y_k \leftarrow y_k - 1$, $h_k \leftarrow c_k (y_k - 1) / n_k$, and $t \leftarrow t - 1$. If $t = 0$, then stop. Otherwise, insert the new $h_k$ value into the balanced binary tree, and repeat Step 2.

The final values of $g$ and $m_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, j$) are obtained in the same way as Kim’s algorithm. Note that the only difference between this modified algorithm and Kim’s algorithm is that in this algorithm a balanced binary tree data structure is employed to store the $h_i$ values so as to improve the efficiency in the searching and updating the value of $h_k$ in Step 2. Hence, the solution generated by the modified algorithm is the same as that generated by Kim’s algorithm, which has been proven to be optimal.

We now provide a brief description of balanced binary trees (see Knuth [5] for details). A binary search tree is a binary tree (with no more than two subtrees at each node) having
a value associated with each node, such that the value at each node is greater than or equal to any value in the left subtree and is less than or equal to any value in the right subtree. On average, searching a value in a binary search tree with $n$ nodes takes $O(\log n)$ time, but in the worst case, it takes $O(n)$ time when the tree degenerates into a linear list. In order to prevent the tree from degenerating into a linear list, we need to balance the tree. For any nonempty binary tree $T$, we define

$$LeftHeight(T) = \begin{cases} \text{Height}(LS(T)) + 1, & \text{if } LS(T) \neq \emptyset; \\ 0, & \text{if } LS(T) = \emptyset; \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Height}()$ denotes the height of a tree and $LS(T)$ denotes the left subtree of tree $T$. $RightHeight(T)$ is defined similarly. Also, for any node $v$ of $T$, we define $LeftHeight(v)$ as the $LeftHeight$ of its left subtree rooted at $v$, and we define $RightHeight(v)$ similarly. Thus, a leaf has $LeftHeight$ and $RightHeight$ both equal to 0, and the height of any node is the maximum of its $LeftHeight$ and $RightHeight$. The “balance” of node $v$ is defined as $RightHeight(v)$ minus $LeftHeight(v)$. A binary tree $T$ is balanced if every node has a balance of $-1$, $0$, or $1$. A balanced binary tree with $n$ nodes has the following desirable properties [5]:

(i) Its height is $O(\log n)$.

(ii) A node can be added to or deleted from the tree in $O(\log n)$ time, while preserving all properties of a balanced binary tree.

Therefore, if we use a balanced binary tree to store the values of $h_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, j$) in Step 2 of the Modified Algorithm, then choosing the largest values of $h_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, j$) from and inserting the new $h_k$ value into the balanced binary tree can be done in $O(\log j)$ time.

We now consider the running time of the modified algorithm. Clearly, Steps 0 and 1 take $O(j \log j)$ time. Step 2 is iterated at most $j - 1$ times. In each iteration, searching for the element with the largest value of $h_i$ in the balanced binary tree requires $O(\log j)$
time, removing it from the tree takes $O(1)$ time, and inserting a new value of $h_k$ into the tree requires $O(\log j)$ time. Hence, the overall complexity of the modified algorithm is $O(j \log j)$.

3. Conclusion

We have presented an optimal algorithm for the problem of maximizing service of carousel storage. Our algorithm has a running time of $O(j \log j)$. This low complexity enables us to solve large-sized problems more efficiently than Jacob's [3] and Kim's [4] algorithms.
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