BRAID GROUPS ARE LINEAR

STEPHEN J. BIGELOW

ABSTRACT. The braid group B_n can be defined as the mapping class group of the *n*-punctured disk. A group is said to be linear if it admits a faithful representation into a group of matrices over **R**. Recently Daan Krammer has shown that a certain representation of the braid groups is faithful for the case n = 4. In this paper, we show that it is faithful for all n.

1. INTRODUCTION

The braid group B_n can be defined as the group generated by $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ with defining relations

- $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ if |i j| > 1, and
- $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-2$.

These groups were originally introduced by Emil Artin in 1926. They have many interpretations, for example, as the group of geometric braids in \mathbb{R}^3 , as the Artin group of type A_n , as the fundamental group of a certain hyperplane arrangement, as a subgroup of the automorphism group of a free group, and so on. In this paper we will use the interpretation of B_n as the mapping class group of an *n*-times punctured disk.

A group is said to be *linear* if it admits a faithful representation into $GL(m, \mathbf{R})$ for some natural number m. The question of whether braid groups are linear probably dates back to 1935 when Burau [Bur36] discovered an n-dimensional representation of B_n . For a long time this was thought to be a possible candidate for a faithful representation. A simple proof that it is faithful in the case n = 3 gave some reason for optimism. However in 1991, Moody [Moo91] showed that the Burau representation is not faithful for $n \geq 9$. This was later brought down to $n \geq 6$ and then $n \geq 5$ in the papers [LP93] and [Big99].

It therefore came as a pleasant surprise when Krammer [Kra99] proved that another representation of the braid groups is faithful in the case n = 4. The representation Krammer used is essentially the same as one used by Lawrence in [Law90] to give a topological definition of a certain summand of the Jones representation. We call this representation the Lawrence-Krammer representation. In this paper, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. The Lawrence-Krammer representation of B_n is faithful for all n.

This proves that all braid groups are linear. Our proof can be seen as a sort of converse to the construction of elements of the kernel of the Burau representation given in [Moo91], [LP93] and [Big99]. Our methods are topological, and very different from the algebraic methods used by Krammer for the case n = 4. Recently,

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F36; Secondary 57M07, 20C15.

Key words and phrases. Braid group, linear, representation.

Krammer [Kra00] has extended his algebraic methods to obtain a new proof of the above theorem as well as some new ways to read information about a braid from its Lawrence-Krammer representation.

1.1. **Outline.** In Section 1 we define a certain covering space \tilde{C} of the configuration space C of unordered pairs of distinct points in the *n*-times punctured disk. The Lawrence-Krammer representation is defined to be the induced action of the braid group B_n on the second homology group of \tilde{C} .

In Section 2 we define forks and noodles. These are one-dimensional objects in the disk designed to represent elements of the second homology and cohomology of \tilde{C} . We define an intersection pairing between a noodle and a fork. We prove that if F is a fork and σ is an element of the kernel of the Lawrence-Krammer representation then F and $\sigma(F)$ have the same pairing with any fixed noodle.

In Section 3 we prove that the pairing between a noodle and a fork detects geometric intersection between the corresponding edges in the disk. We use this to show that a braid in the kernel of the Lawrence-Krammer representation must be trivial.

In Section 4 we compute the Lawrence-Krammer representation explicitly in terms of generators and basis elements.

1.2. **Definitions.** Let D be an oriented disk in the complex plane. Fix a set $P \subset D$ consisting of n distinct points p_1, \ldots, p_n in the interior of D. We will call these puncture points. Let $\mathcal{H}(D, P)$ be the group of all homeomorphisms $h: D \to D$ such that h(P) = P and h fixes ∂D pointwise. Let $\mathcal{I}(D, P)$ be the group of all such homeomorphisms which are isotopic to the identity relative to $\partial D \cup P$. We define the braid group B_n to be the group $\mathcal{H}(D, P)/\mathcal{I}(D, P)$. This is also called the mapping class group of the disk with n puncture points. See [Bir74] for other equivalent definitions of these groups and a good introduction to their basic properties.

Let C denote the space of all unordered pairs of distinct points in $D \setminus P$. In other words,

$$C = \frac{\left((D \setminus P) \times (D \setminus P) \right) \setminus \{(x, x)\}}{(x, y) \sim (y, x)}.$$

Let d_1 and d_2 be distinct points in ∂D . Let $c_0 = \{d_1, d_2\}$ be a basepoint for C. We now define a map ϕ from $\pi_1(C, c_0)$ to the free Abelian group with basis $\{q, t\}$. Let α be a closed curve in C based at c_0 representing an element $[\alpha]$ of $\pi_1(C, c_0)$. We can write α in the form

$$\alpha(s) = \{\alpha_1(s), \alpha_2(s)\}$$

for some arcs α_1 and α_2 in $D \setminus P$. Let

$$a = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\int_{\alpha_1} \frac{dz}{z - p_j} + \int_{\alpha_2} \frac{dz}{z - p_j} \right).$$

 Let

$$b = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \frac{dz}{z}.$$

Let $\phi([\alpha]) = q^a t^b$.

This definition requires some explanation. If α_1 and α_2 are closed loops then a is the sum of the winding numbers of α_1 and α_2 around each of the puncture points, and b is twice the winding number of α_1 and α_2 around each other. However α_1 and α_2 are not necessarily closed loops, but may "switch places". In this case, a is the

sum of the winding numbers of the closed loop $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$ around each of the puncture points. Furthermore, $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ satisfies

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(1) = -(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(0),$$

which implies that b is an odd integer.

Let \tilde{C} be the covering space of C whose fundamental group is the kernel of ϕ . Let Λ denote the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$. The homology group $H_2(\tilde{C})$ can be considered as a Λ -module, where q and t act by covering transformations.

Any homeomorphism $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}(D, P)$ induces a homeomorphism from C to itself, also denoted σ . It is easy to check that σ fixes c_0 and the induced action of σ on $\pi_1(C, c_0)$ satisfies $\phi\sigma = \phi$. Thus σ lifts uniquely to a map $\tilde{\sigma}$ from \tilde{C} to itself which fixes the fiber over c_0 pointwise. Moreover, this lift $\tilde{\sigma}$ commutes with the covering transformations q and t. It follows that the induced action $\tilde{\sigma}_*$ of $\tilde{\sigma}$ on $H_2(\tilde{C})$ is a Λ -module automorphism. The Lawrence-Krammer representation is the map from B_n to $GL(H_2(\tilde{C}))$ taking $[\sigma]$ to $\tilde{\sigma}_*$.

We will see in Section 4 that $H_2(\tilde{C})$ is a free Λ -module of rank $\binom{n}{2}$. Thus the Lawrence-Krammer representation can be thought of as a map from B_n to the group of $\binom{n}{2}$ by $\binom{n}{2}$ matrices with entries in Λ . We can obtain a faithful representation into $\operatorname{GL}(\binom{n}{2}, \mathbf{R})$ by substituting algebraically independent real numbers for q and t.

In [Law90], Lawrence defines a representation by a similar construction using the space of ordered k-tuples of distinct points in $D \setminus P$. In the case k = 2, the resulting representation is the same map from B_n to $\operatorname{GL}(H_2(\tilde{C}))$ as defined above, except that $H_2(\tilde{C})$ is to be considered as a module over $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 2}]$. As a result, the representation defined by Lawrence has rank n(n-1), which is double that of the Lawrence-Krammer representation. The variable α in [Law90] corresponds to t^2 in this paper.

In [Kra99], Krammer defines a representation of B_n as the induced action on a module of formal Λ -linear combinations of *forks* modulo certain relations. These forks are of central importance in this paper, and will be defined in Section 2. Krammer's representation is the same as our Lawrence-Krammer representation except that the variable t in [Kra99] corresponds to -t in this paper.

1.3. Notation. Throughout this paper, I will denote the interval [0, 1].

If α and β are arcs in $D \setminus P$ such $\alpha(s) \neq \beta(s)$ for all $s \in I$ then denote by $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ the arc in C given by

$$\{\alpha,\beta\}(s) = \{\alpha(s),\beta(s)\}.$$

If y is a point in $D \setminus P$ and α is an arc in $D \setminus (P \cup \{y\})$ then denote by $\{\alpha, y\}$ the arc in C given by

$$\{\alpha, y\}(s) = \{\alpha(s), y\}.$$

The same arc can also be denoted by $\{y, \alpha\}$.

If g and h are elements of a group then we use the notation

$$g^h = h^{-1}gh$$

and

$$[g,h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$$

Braids compose from right to left. Arcs compose from left to right.



FIGURE 1. A fork F and a parallel copy F'.

2. Forks and Noodles

In this section we define forks and noodles and a pairing between them. The idea of using a fork to represent an element of $H_2(\tilde{C})$ is due to Krammer [Kra99].

A fork is an embedded tree $F \subset D$ with four vertices d_1 , p_i , p_j and z such that $F \cap \partial D = \{d_1\}, F \cap P = \{p_i, p_j\}$, and all three edges have z as a vertex. The edge containing d_1 is called the *handle* of F. The union of the other two edges is a single edge, which we call the *tine edge* of F and denote by T(F). We orient T(F) in such a way that the handle of F lies to the right of T(F).

For a given fork F we can define a *parallel copy* of F to be an embedded tree F' as shown in Figure 1. The five puncture points at the top of the figure may be replaced by any number, and any orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism may be applied to the entire disk. The time edge T(F') of F' is defined analogously to that of F.

For any fork F, we define a surface $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$ in \tilde{C} as follows. Let F' be a parallel copy of F. Let z be the vertex contained in all three edges of F, and let z' be the vertex contained in all three edges of F'. Define a surface $\Sigma(F)$ in C to be the set of all points which can be written in the form $\{x, y\}$, where $x \in T(F) \setminus P$ and $y \in T(F') \setminus P$. Let β_1 be an arc from d_1 to z along the handle of F and let β_2 be an arc from d_2 to z' along the handle of F'. Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be the lift of $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ to \tilde{C} beginning at \tilde{c}_0 . Let $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$ be the lift of $\Sigma(F)$ to \tilde{C} which contains $\tilde{\beta}(1)$.

There is an obvious homeomorphism from $\Sigma(F)$ to the interior of the square $T(F) \times T(F')$. The orientations on T(F) and T(F') give rise to an orientation on $T(F) \times T(F')$, and hence on $\Sigma(F)$. This lifts to an orientation on $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$.

A noodle is an embedded edge $N \subset D \setminus P$ with endpoints d_1 and d_2 . Orient N so that it goes from d_1 to d_2 . For a given noodle N we define the surface $\Sigma(N)$ to be the set of points $\{x, y\} \in C$ such that x and y are distinct points in N. Let $\tilde{\Sigma}(N)$ be the lift of $\Sigma(N)$ to \tilde{C} which contains \tilde{c}_0 .

There is an obvious homeomorphism from $\Sigma(N)$ to the subset of $N \times N$ consisting of those points (x, y) such that x is closer to d_1 along N than y. The orientation on N gives rise to an orientation on $N \times N$, and hence on $\Sigma(N)$. This lifts to an orientation on $\tilde{\Sigma}(N)$.

2.1. A pairing. Let N be a noodle and let F be a fork. We use the surfaces $\tilde{\Sigma}(N)$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$ to define an element $\langle N, F \rangle$ of Λ as follows.

If necessary, apply a preliminary isotopy so that T(F) intersects N transversely. Let z_1, \ldots, z_l denote the points of intersection between N and T(F). Let F' be a parallel copy of F such that T(F') intersects N transversely at z'_1, \ldots, z'_l where z_i and z'_i are joined by a short arc in N which lies in the narrow strip bounded by T(F)and T(F'). For $i, j = 1, \ldots, l$, there exists a unique monomial $m_{i,j} = q^{a_{i,j}} t^{b_{i,j}}$ such that $m_{i,j} \tilde{\Sigma}(N)$ intersects $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$ at a point lying over $\{z_i, z'_j\} \in C$. Let $\epsilon_{i,j}$ be the sign of that intersection. Then we define the *pairing* between N and F as follows.

$$\langle N, F \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \epsilon_{i,j} q^{a_{i,j}} t^{b_{i,j}}.$$

We need to prove that this does not depend on our choice of preliminary isotopy of F. First we describe how to explicitly compute the pairing.

2.2. Computing the Pairing. We compute $m_{i,j}$ as follows. Define the following embedded arcs in $D \setminus P$.

- α_1 from d_1 to z along the handle of F,
- α_2 from d_2 to z' along the handle of F',
- β_1 from z to z_i along T(F),
- β_2 from z' to z'_i along T(F'),
- γ_1 from z_i to \check{d}_k along N, where $k \in \{1, 2\}$ is such that γ_1 does not pass through z'_i ,
- γ_2 from z'_j to $d_{k'}$ along N, where $k' \in \{1, 2\}$ is such that γ_2 does not pass through z_i .

Consider the following arc in C.

$$\delta_{i,j} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}.$$

Let $\delta_{i,j}$ be the lift of $\delta_{i,j}$ beginning at \tilde{c}_0 . This goes from \tilde{c}_0 to the lift of $\{z_i, z_j\}$ which lies in $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$, and hence in $m_{i,j}\tilde{\Sigma}(N)$, then to the lift of c_0 which lies in $m_{i,j}\tilde{\Sigma}(N)$. Thus $\tilde{\delta}_{i,j}$ goes from \tilde{c}_0 to $m_{i,j}\tilde{c}_0$, so

$$m_{i,j} = \phi(\delta_{i,j}).$$

We now calculate $\epsilon_{i,j}$. This is the sign of the intersection of $\Sigma(N)$ with $\Sigma(F)$ at the point $\{z_i, z'_j\}$. A direct computation using our choice of orientations for $\Sigma(N)$ and $\Sigma(F)$ shows that $\epsilon_{i,j} = -abc$, where

- a is the sign of the intersection of N and T(F) at z_i ,
- b is the sign of the intersection of N and T(F') at z'_i ;
- c is 1 if z_i is closer to d_1 along N than z'_i , and -1 otherwise.

Now $b_{i,j}$ is odd if and only if the two points switch places in the path $\delta_{i,j}$. This happens if and only if z'_j is closer than z_i to d_1 along N. Thus $c = (-1)^{b_{i,j}}$. Similarly, $b_{i,i}$ is odd if and only if z'_i is closer than z_i to d_1 along N. This happens if and only if the intersection between N and T(F) at z_i is negative. Thus $a = (-1)^{b_{i,i}}$. Similarly $b = (-1)^{b_{j,j}}$. We conclude that

(1)
$$\epsilon_{i,j} = -(-1)^{b_{i,i}+b_{j,j}+b_{i,j}}$$

The following formula will be useful later.

Lemma 2.1. $a_{i,j} = (a_{i,i} + a_{j,j})/2$.

Proof. For i = 1, ..., l let ξ_i be the arc in $D \setminus P$ which goes from d_1 to z_i in F and then back to d_1 in N. Let a_i be the sum of the winding numbers of ξ_i around each of the puncture points. Let ξ be the arc in $D \setminus P$ which goes from d_1 to d_2 along N and then back to d_1 counterclockwise around ∂D . Let a be the sum of the winding numbers of ξ around each of the puncture points. I claim that $a_{i,j} = a_i + a_j + a$.

Let $\delta_{i,j} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ be as above. If $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_1$ and $\alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_2$ are closed arcs then $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_1 = \xi_i$ and $\alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_2$ is freely homotopic to $\xi_j\xi$. On the other hand, if $\alpha_1\beta_1\gamma_1\alpha_2\beta_2\gamma_2$ is a single closed loop then it is freely homotopic to $\xi_i\xi\xi_j$. In either case, the exponent of q in $\phi(\delta_{i,j})$ is the sum of the winding numbers of ξ_i , ξ_j and ξ around each of the puncture points. Thus $a_{i,j} = a_i + a_j + a$.

Similarly, $a_{i,i} = 2a_i + a$ and $a_{j,j} = 2a_j + a$. Thus $a_{i,j} = (a_{i,i} + a_{j,j})/2$.

2.3. The Pairing is Well-defined. We now prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose F_1 and F_2 are isotopic relative to $\partial D \cup P$ such that $T(F_1)$ and $T(F_2)$ intersect N transversely. Then $\langle N, F_1 \rangle = \langle N, F_2 \rangle$.

A generic isotopy of F_1 can be split into a finite sequence of moves of two types:

- isotopies keeping T(F) transverse to N,
- isotopies pushing a small subarc of T(F) across a subarc of N so that a pair of intersection points is either added to or removed from $N \cap T(F)$.

. The first type has no effect on the computation of $\langle N, F \rangle$. It suffices to consider the case in which a pair of intersection points z_{l+1} and z_{l+2} is added to $N \cap T(F_1) = \{z_1, \ldots, z_l\}$.

There are now two cases to consider. First, suppose z'_{l+1} and z'_{l+2} lie between z_{l+1} and z_{l+2} on N. It follows from the definitions and the discussion above that for $i = 1, \ldots, l+2$ we have $m_{i,l+1} = m_{i,l+2}$ and $\epsilon_{i,l+1} = -\epsilon_{i,l+2}$. Also, for $j = 1, \ldots, l$ we have $m_{l+1,j} = m_{l+2,j}$ and $\epsilon_{l+1,j} = -\epsilon_{l+2,j}$. Thus we have complete cancellation of the terms $\epsilon_{i,j}m_{i,j}$ for which either i or j are equal to either l+1 or l+2. A similar argument works if z_{l+1} and z_{l+2} lie between z'_{l+1} and z'_{l+2} on N. Therefore the calculation of $\langle N, F_1 \rangle$ gives the same answer before and after the move.

2.4. The Basic Lemma. We now prove the following lemma, which explains why the pairing between noodles and forks is a useful tool for studying the Lawrence-Krammer representation.

Lemma 2.3 (The Basic Lemma). If $[\sigma]$ lies in the kernel of the Lawrence-Krammer representation then

$$\langle N, F \rangle = \langle N, \sigma(F) \rangle$$

for every noodle N and fork F.

Let $[\sigma]$ be an element of the kernel of the Lawrence-Krammer representation. We can assume that the tine edges of F and $\sigma(F)$ intersect N transversely. Then $\Sigma(F)$ intersects $\Sigma(N)$ transversely. Thus $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$ intersects any lift $q^a t^b \tilde{\Sigma}(N)$ transversely. Let $(q^a t^b \tilde{\Sigma}(N), \tilde{\Sigma}(F))$ denote the algebraic intersection number between these surfaces. Then an equivalent definition of the pairing between N and F is as follows.

$$\langle N, F \rangle = \sum_{a,b \in \mathbf{Z}} (q^a t^b \tilde{\Sigma}(N), \tilde{\Sigma}(F)) q^a t^b.$$

We must show that $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$ and $\sigma(\tilde{\Sigma}(F))$ have the same algebraic intersection number with $q^a t^b \tilde{\Sigma}(N)$. The difficulty comes from the fact that the surfaces $\tilde{\Sigma}(N)$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$



FIGURE 2. Some arcs in D.

are not closed, but are only properly embedded. The algebraic intersection between to properly embedded surfaces can be problematic, since it may be possible to push intersections off to infinity. To solve this problem, we will prove the existence of an immersed closed surface $\tilde{\Sigma}_2(F)$ which is equal to $(1-q)^2(1+qt)\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$ outside a small neighborhood of the puncture points.

Let F be a fork. Let the endpoints of T(F) be p_i and p_j . Let $\nu(p_i)$ and $\nu(p_j)$ be disjoint ϵ -neighborhoods of p_i and p_j respectively such that $\nu(p_k) \cap P = \{p_k\}$ for k = i, j. Let U be the set of $\{x, y\} \in C$ such that at least one of x and y lies in $\nu(p_i) \cup \nu(p_j)$. Fix a basepoint $u_0 = \{u_1, u_2\} \in U$, where $u_1 \in \nu(p_i)$ and $u_2 \in \nu(p_j)$. Let \tilde{U} be the pre-image of U in \tilde{C} . Choose a lift \tilde{u}_0 of u_0 to \tilde{C} . Note that \tilde{U} is connected.

Now $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$ represents an element of the relative homology group $H_2(\tilde{C}, \tilde{U})$. Our goal is to find a corresponding element of the homology group $H_2(\tilde{C})$. To do this, we start by analyzing $\pi_1(U, u_0)$. This will give us information about the subgroup $\pi_1(\tilde{U}, \tilde{u}_0)$. This in turn will give us information about $H_1(\tilde{U})$. Finally, we will use the long exact sequence of relative homology to obtain the required element of $H_2(\tilde{C})$.

Using the arcs shown in Figure 2, we define the following elements of $\pi_1(U, u_0)$.

$$a_{1} = \{\gamma_{1}, u_{2}\},\$$

$$a_{2} = \{u_{1}, \gamma_{2}\},\$$

$$b_{1} = \{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\beta_{2}\beta_{3}\}\{\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}, u_{1}\}\$$

$$b_{2} = \{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}, \beta_{1}\}\{u_{2}, \beta_{2}\beta_{3}\}$$

Note that b_1 and b_2 are homotopic in C, but not in U.

The following relations hold in $\pi_1(U, u_0)$.

(2)
$$[a_1, a_2] = 1$$

$$[a_1, b_1 a_1 b_1] = 1$$

$$[a_2, b_2 a_2 b_2] = 1$$

The first of these is obvious. The second follows from the fact that $b_1a_1b_1$ is equal in $\pi_1(U, u_0)$ to $\{u_1, \delta\}$, where δ is a curve based at u_2 which passes counterclockwise around p_1 and u_1 . The third follows by a similar argument.

We now analyze $\pi_1(\tilde{U}, \tilde{u}_0)$, considered as a subgroup of $\pi_1(U, u_0)$. Let $i: U \to C$ be the inclusion map and let i_* be the induced map on fundamental groups. Then $\pi_1(U, \tilde{u}_0)$ is the kernel of the map ϕ_{i_*} . We define the following elements of $\pi_1(U, \tilde{u}_0)$.

$$a = a_2^{-1}a_1,$$

$$b = b_2^{-1}b_1,$$

$$c = a_1^{-1}b_1^{-1}a_1b_1,$$

$$d = a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}a_2b_2.$$

If $x \in \pi_1(\tilde{U}, \tilde{u}_0)$ and $y \in \pi_1(U, u_0)$ then the conjugate $x^y = y^{-1}xy$ is also an element of $\pi_1(\tilde{U}, \tilde{u}_0)$. The following relations hold in $\pi_1(\tilde{U}, \tilde{u}_0)$.

$$(5) a^{a_1} = a$$

(6)
$$c^{b_1 a_1} c = 1$$

 $a^{b_1a_1}c^{a_1}c^{a_1}c^{a_1}c^{a_1}c^{a_1}c^{a_1}c^{a_1}c^{a_1}}c^{a_1a$ (7)

$$(8) dba^{b_1} = ab^{a_1}c.$$

To see this, rewrite these relations in terms of a_1 , a_2 , b_1 and b_2 . The first three translate into equations (2) to (4). The fourth translates into a trivial identity.

If $x \in \pi_1(\tilde{U}, \tilde{u}_0)$, let [x] denote the corresponding element of $H_1(\tilde{U})$. Note that if $x \in \pi_1(\tilde{U}, \tilde{u}_0)$ and $y \in \pi_1(U, u_0)$ then $[x^y] = \phi(y)^{-1}[x]$. The relations given in equations (5) to (8) give rise to the following relations in $H_1(\tilde{U})$.

$$(q^{-1} - 1)[a] = 0,$$

$$(q^{-1}t^{-1} + 1)[c] = 0,$$

$$(q^{-1}t^{-1} + 1)[d] = 0,$$

$$(q^{-1} - 1)[b] = (t^{-1} - 1)[a] - [c] + [d].$$

Combining these relations, we obtain the following.

$$(1-q)^2(1+qt)[b] = 0.$$

Let $[\tilde{\Sigma}(F)]$ be the element of $H_2(\tilde{C}, \tilde{U})$ represented by $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$. The long exact sequence of relative homology gives us the following exact sequence of Λ -modules

$$H_2(\tilde{C}) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_2(\tilde{C}, \tilde{U}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_1(\tilde{U}).$$

But $\partial[\tilde{\Sigma}(F)] = [b]$. It follows that

$$(1-q)^2 (1+qt)[\tilde{\Sigma}(F)] = j_*[\tilde{\Sigma}_2(F)]$$

for some $[\tilde{\Sigma}_2(F)] \in H_2(\tilde{C})$ represented by some immersed closed surface $\tilde{\Sigma}_2(F)$. Then $\tilde{\Sigma}_2(F)$ is setwise equal to $(1-q)^2(1+qt)\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$ outside U.

Let N be a noodle which intersects T(F) transversely. Choose $\nu(p_i)$ and $\nu(p_i)$ small enough so as not to intersect N. Then

$$(1-q)^2(1+qt)\langle N,F\rangle = \sum_{a,b\in\mathbf{Z}} (q^a t^b \tilde{\Sigma}(N), \tilde{\Sigma}_2(F)) q^a t^b$$

Now σ is an element of the kernel of the Lawrence-Krammer representation, so acts as the identity on $H_2(\tilde{C})$. Thus the surfaces $\tilde{\Sigma}_2(F)$ and $\sigma(\tilde{\Sigma}_2(F))$ represent the same element of homology, so have the same algebraic intersection with any $q^a t^b \tilde{\Sigma}(N)$. Since Λ is a domain, it follows that $\langle N, \sigma(F) \rangle = \langle N, F \rangle$.

2.5. Alternative proofs. There are many possible approaches to proving the Basic Lemma. The proof given above is a compromise of sorts, since it proves the existence of an appropriate element of $H_2(\tilde{C})$, but does so in a non-constructive way.

It is possible to give a more constructive proof which uses an explicit computation of $H_2(\tilde{C})$. One obtains a concrete description of an immersed genus two surface which can be seen to be the same as $(1-q)^2(1+qt)\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$ away from the puncture points. This is perhaps best done in private, since the details are only convincing to the person who figures them out. Some details of a computation of $H_2(\tilde{C})$ will be given in Section 4. See also [Law90], where similar methods are used to calculate the middle homology of a covering space of the space of ordered k-tuples of distinct points in the n-times punctured disk, where k can be any positive integer.

It is tempting to seek a less constructive proof which makes no reference to $\tilde{\Sigma}_2(F)$. It is intuitively obvious that the problem of pushing intersections off to infinity does not arise in the context of forks and noodles. However this line of reasoning runs into some technical difficulties which I feel distract from the true nature of the problem at hand. A proof that B_n acts faithfully on $H_2(\tilde{C})$ should refer to an element of $H_2(\tilde{C})$.

It is possible to prove that braid groups are linear without reference to C, let alone $H_2(\tilde{C})$. The Lawrence-Krammer representation can be defined to be the action of B_n on a Λ -module consisting of formal linear combinations of forks subject to certain relations, as described by Krammer in [Kra99]. The pairing $\langle N, F \rangle$ can be defined solely in terms of winding numbers. One must check that this pairing respects the relations between forks. The Basic Lemma then follows immediately. The rest of the proof that the Lawrence-Krammer representation is faithful proceeds virtually unchanged.

3. The representation is faithful

In this section, we prove that the Lawrence-Krammer representation is faithful. We start by reviewing some of the basic theory of curves on surfaces.

3.1. Curves on Surfaces. The following well-known lemma means that we don't need to worry about the difference between homotopy and isotopy.

Lemma 3.1. If α and β are embedded arcs in a surface Σ which are homotopic relative to endpoints then they are isotopic relative to endpoints.

Definition 3.2. Let α and β be embedded arcs or simple closed curves on an orientable surface Σ . A *digon* cobounded by α and β is an embedded disk *B* in Σ whose boundary consists of one subarc of α and one subarc of β .

Note that B is not required to satisfy $B \cap (\alpha \cup \beta) = \partial B$. However if α and β cobound a digon then it is easy to show that they cobound an "innermost digon" with this property.

Lemma 3.3. Let α and β be simple closed curves in an orientable surface Σ which intersect transversely. The following are equivalent.

- α is isotopic to a simple closed curve which intersects β at fewer points,
- α and β cobound a digon.

A proof can be found in [PR99, Proposition 3.7], or [FLP91, Proposition 3.10].

Note that this lemma as stated does not apply to the case of a noodle and the tine edge of a fork, since these are embedded edges and not simple closed curves. However these edges can be easily extended to simple closed curves by attach handles to the surface $D \setminus P$. We will therefore use the above lemma in the context of noodles and forks without further comment.

Lemma 3.4. Let α and β be embedded arcs in an orientable surface Σ which intersect transversely and are isotopic relative to endpoints. Then α and β cobound a digon.

Proof. Let α' be the "double" of α , that is the boundary of a small regular neighborhood of α . Similarly, let β' be the double of β . Let Σ' be the surface Σ with the endpoints of α removed. Then α' and β' are simple close curves which are isotopic in Σ' . Thus β' is isotopic to a curve which does not intersect α' . But α' and β' do intersect. By Lemma 3.3, α' and β' cobound a digon in Σ' . Thus α and β cobound a digon in Σ .

3.2. The Key Lemma. We now prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5 (The Key Lemma). Let N be a noodle and let F be a fork. Then $\langle N, F \rangle = 0$ if and only if T(F) is isotopic relative to $\partial D \cup P$ to an arc which is disjoint from N.

Let N be a noodle and let F be a fork. By applying a preliminary isotopy, we can assume that T(F) intersects N transversely at z_1, \ldots, z_l , where l is the minimal possible number of points of intersection. Let F' be a parallel copy of F such that T(F') intersects N transversely at z'_1, \ldots, z'_l , where z_i and z'_i are joined by a short arc in N which lies in the narrow strip bounded by $T(F) \cup T(F')$. Let $m_{i,j} = q^{a_{i,j}} t^{b_{i,j}}$ be such that $m_{i,j} \tilde{\Sigma}(N)$ intersects $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$ at a point lying over $\{z_i, z'_i\} \in C$. Let $\epsilon_{i,j}$ be the sign of that intersection. Recall

(9)
$$\langle N, F \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \epsilon_{i,j} q^{a_{i,j}} t^{b_{i,j}}.$$

If l = 0 then clearly $\langle N, F \rangle = 0$. We now assume l > 0 and show that $\langle N, F \rangle \neq 0$. We use the following lexicographic ordering on the set of monomials $q^a t^b$.

Definition 3.6. We say $q^a t^b \leq q^{a'} t^{b'}$ if and only if either

- a < a', or
- a = a' and $b \leq b'$.

For $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ we say that $m_{i,j}$ is maximal if $m_{i,j} \geq m_{i',j'}$ for all $i', j' \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$.

Claim 3.7. If $m_{i,j}$ is maximal then $m_{i,i} = m_{j,j} = m_{i,j}$.

By equation (1) we have that $\epsilon_{i,j} = -m_{i,i}m_{i,j}m_{j,j}|(q = 1, t = -1)$. The above claim implies that if $m_{i,j}$ is maximal then $\epsilon_{i,j} = -m_{i,j}|(q = 1, t = -1)$. Thus all maximal monomials occur with the same sign in equation (9). Therefore $\langle N, F \rangle$ cannot equal zero. It remains only to prove the above claim.

Suppose $m_{i,j}$ is maximal. Then $a_{i,j}$ is maximal among all the integers $a_{i',j'}$. By Lemma 2.1 it follows that $a_{i,i} = a_{j,j} = a_{i,j}$.

We now show that $b_{i,i} = b_{i,j}$. Since $m_{i,j}$ is maximal and $a_{i,i} = a_{i,j}$ it follows that $b_{i,i} \leq b_{i,j}$. Suppose, seeking a contradiction, that $b_{i,i} < b_{i,j}$. Let α be an embedded arc from z'_i to z'_j along T(F'). Let β be an embedded arc from z'_j to z'_i along N.

If β does not pass through the point z_i , let $\delta = \alpha\beta$ and let w be the winding number of δ around z_i . I claim that $b_{i,j} - b_{i,i} = 2w$. To see this, consider the arc $\delta' = \{z_i, \delta\}$ in C. Let $\tilde{\delta}'$ be the lift of this which starts in $\tilde{\Sigma}(F)$. Then $\tilde{\delta}'$ goes from $m_{i,i}\tilde{\Sigma}(N)$ to $m_{i,j}\tilde{\Sigma}(N)$. Thus $m_{i,j}m_{i,i}^{-1} = \phi(\delta')$. Taking the exponent of t in both sides gives the required equality.

If β does pass through z_i , first modify β in a small neighborhood of z_i so that z_i lies to its left. Next let $\delta = \alpha\beta$ and let w be the winding number of δ around z_i . I claim that $1 + b_{i,j} - b_{i,i} = 2w$. We use a similar argument to that of the previous case. However β deviates from N to pass around z_i in a counterclockwise (positive) direction. As a result, $\tilde{\delta}'$ goes from $m_{i,i}\tilde{\Sigma}(N)$ to $tm_{i,j}\tilde{\Sigma}(N)$. The extra factor of t accounts for the addition of one to the left-hand side of the equation.

In either case, our assumption that $b_{i,i} < b_{i,j}$ implies that w is greater than zero. Let $D_1 = D \setminus \{z_i\}$. Let $\pi: \tilde{D}_1 \to D_1$ be the universal (infinite cyclic) cover. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be a lift of α to \tilde{D}_1 . Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be the lift of β to \tilde{D}_1 which starts at $\tilde{\alpha}(1)$. Let γ be a loop in D_1 based at z'_i which winds w times around z_i in the clockwise (negative) direction such that γ is null-homotopic in $D \setminus P$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the lift of γ to an arc from $\tilde{\beta}(1)$ to $\tilde{\alpha}(0)$. Choose γ so that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is an embedded arc which intersects $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ only at its endpoints.

Let \tilde{z}'_k be the first point on $\tilde{\alpha}$ which intersects $\tilde{\beta}$ (possibly $\tilde{\alpha}(1)$). Then $\pi(\tilde{z}'_k) = z'(k)$ for some $k = 1, \ldots, l$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}'$ be the initial segment of $\tilde{\alpha}$ ending at \tilde{z}'_k . Let $\tilde{\beta}'$ be the final segment of $\tilde{\beta}$ starting at \tilde{z}'_k . Let $\tilde{\delta}' = \tilde{\alpha}' \tilde{\beta}' \tilde{\gamma}$.

Now $\tilde{\delta}'$ is a simple closed curve in \tilde{D}_1 , so by the Jordan curve theorem it must bound a disk \tilde{B} . Since γ passes clockwise around z_i , there is a non-compact region to the right of $\tilde{\delta}'$. Thus $\tilde{\delta}'$ must pass counterclockwise around \tilde{B} .

Let α', β' and δ' be the projections of $\tilde{\alpha}', \tilde{\beta}'$ and $\tilde{\delta}'$ to D_1 . Then $a_{i,k} - a_{i,i}$ is equal to the sum of the winding numbers of δ' around each of the points in P. This is equal to the cardinality of $\tilde{B} \cap \pi^{-1}(P)$. Since $a_{i,i}$ is maximal among all integers $a_{i',j'}$, we must have $a_{i,k} = a_{i,i}$. Thus $\tilde{B} \cap \pi^{-1}(P) = \emptyset$. It follows that the arc $\delta' = \alpha'\beta'\gamma$ is null-homotopic in $D \setminus P$. But β' is homotopic relative to endpoints to a subarc of N, and γ was chosen to be null-homotopic in $D \setminus P$. Thus α' is homotopic relative to endpoints to a subarc of N in $D \setminus P$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4, α and N cobound a digon in $D \setminus P$. But α' is a subarc of T(F'). This contradicts the fact that T(F') intersects N a minimal number of times. Therefore our assumption that $b_{i,j} > b_{i,i}$ must have been false, so $b_{i,j} = b_{i,i}$.

The proof that $b_{i,j} = b_{j,j}$ is similar. This completes the proof of the claim, and hence of the Key Lemma.

3.3. **Proof of the Theorem.** We now use the Basic Lemma and the Key Lemma to prove that the Lawrence-Krammer representation is faithful.

Suppose $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}(D, P)$ is a homomorphism representing an element of the kernel of the Lawrence-Krammer representation. We will show that σ is isotopic relative to $\partial D \cup P$ to the identity map.

Let *D* be the unit disk centered at the origin in the complex plain. Let p_1, \ldots, p_n lie on the real axis and satisfy $-1 < p_1 < \cdots < p_n < 1$. Let d_1 and d_2 lie in the lower half plane, with d_1 to the left of d_2 . For $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, let E_i be the horizontal



FIGURE 3. The edge E_1 and the noodle N_4 .

edge from p_i to p_{i+1} . For i = 1, ..., n, let N_i be the noodle which winds around p_i and no other puncture points, intersecting the real axis twice. See Figure 3.

Let F be a fork such that $T(F) = E_1$. Then $\langle N_3, F \rangle = 0$. By the Basic Lemma, $\langle N_3, \sigma(F) \rangle = 0$. By the Key Lemma, it follows that $\sigma(E_1)$ is isotopic relative to $\partial D \cup P$ to an arc which is disjoint from N_3 . By composing σ with an element of $\mathcal{I}(D, P)$ if necessary, we can assume that $\sigma(E_1)$ is disjoint from N_3 .

Similarly, $\sigma(E_1)$ can be isotoped so as to be disjoint from N_4 . By Lemma 3.3, this isotopy can be performed by a sequence of moves which consist of eliminating digons, and hence do not introduce any new intersections with N_3 . Thus we can assume that $\sigma(E_1)$ is disjoint from both N_3 and N_4 .

Continuing in this way, we can assume that $\sigma(E_1)$ is disjoint from N_i for all $i = 3, \ldots, n$. By applying one final isotopy relative to $\partial D \cup P$, we can assume that $\sigma(E_1) = E_1$, (although we have not yet eliminated the possibility that σ reverses the orientation of E_1).

We can repeat the above procedure to isotope $\sigma(E_2)$ to E_2 while leaving E_1 fixed. Continuing in this way, we can assume that $\sigma(E_i) = E_i$ for all i = 1, ..., n - 1. It follows that σ must be isotopic relative to $\partial D \cup P$ to $(\Delta^2)^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where Δ^2 is a Dehn twist about a curve which is parallel to ∂D .

Let F be a fork with time edge E_1 whose handle is a straight line from d_1 to E_1 . Then $\langle N_1, F \rangle = -q$. But one can easily check that $\langle N, (\Delta^2)^k(F) \rangle = -q(q^{2n}t^2)^k$. By the Basic Lemma, it follows that k = 0, so σ represents the trivial braid.

4. MATRICES FOR THE LAWRENCE-KRAMMER REPRESENTATION

In this section we give an explicit description of the Lawrence-Krammer representation in terms of matrices.

Theorem 4.1. $H_2(\tilde{C})$ is a free Λ -module of rank $\binom{n}{2}$. There is a basis

$$\{v_{j,k} : 1 \le j < k \le n\}$$

on which the braid σ_i acts as follows.

$$\sigma_{i}(v_{j,k}) = \begin{cases} v_{j,k} & i \notin \{j-1, j, k-1, k\}, \\ qv_{i,k} + (q^{2} - q)v_{i,j} + (1 - q)v_{j,k} & i = j - 1, \\ v_{j+1,k} & i = j \neq k - 1, \\ qv_{j,i} + (1 - q)v_{j,k} + (1 - q)qtv_{i,k} & i = k - 1 \neq j, \\ v_{j,k+1} & i = k \\ -tq^{2}v_{j,k} & i = j = k - 1. \end{cases}$$

We prove this theorem by constructing a two-complex which is homotopy equivalent to C. Our methods require some geometric intuition (read: "hand-waving"), and some details are left to the reader.

We describe a set of generators for $\pi_1(C)$. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let ξ_j be a closed curve in D based at d_1 and passing counterclockwise around p_j , and let x_j be the arc $\{\xi_j, d_2\}$ in C. Let τ_1 be an arc from d_1 to d_2 and τ_2 an arc from d_2 to d_1 such that $\tau_1 \tau_2$ is a simple closed curve which is oriented counterclockwise and encloses no puncture points. Let y be the arc $\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ in C. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n, y\}$.

We now describe some relations between these generators. For $1 \leq j \leq n$, let

$$r_{j,j} = [x_j, yx_jy]$$

For $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, let

$$r_{j,k} = [x_j, yx_k y^{-1}].$$

Let $\mathcal{R} = \{r_{j,k} : 1 \leq j \leq k \leq n\}.$

We will see that $\langle \mathcal{G} | \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is a presentation for $\pi_1(C)$. In fact, we will show something stronger. Let K be the Cayley complex of the presentation $\langle \mathcal{G} | \mathcal{R} \rangle$. In other words, K has one vertex, one edge for each $g \in \mathcal{G}$, and one face f_r for each $r \in \mathcal{R}$, where ∂f_r is attached to the 1-skeleton according to the word r. We will show that C is homotopy equivalent to K.

Let \overline{C} be the set of *ordered* pairs of distinct points in $D \setminus P$. This is the double cover of C whose fundamental group is normally generated by x_1, \ldots, x_n and y^2 .

Let $X_j = yx_jy^{-1}$. Let $Y = y^2$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, X_1, \dots, X_n, Y\}$. For $1 \leq j \leq n$, let

$$\bar{r}_{j,j} = [x_j, X_j Y],$$

$$\bar{r}'_{j,j} = [X_j, Y x_j].$$

For $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, let

$$\bar{r}_{j,k} = [x_j, X_k],$$

 $\bar{r}'_{j,k} = [X_j, Y x_k Y^{-1}].$

Let

$$\mathcal{R} = \{ \bar{r}_{j,k} : 1 \le j \le k \le n \} \cup \{ \bar{r}'_{j,k} : 1 \le j \le k \le n \}.$$

Let \overline{K} be the Cayley complex of $\langle \overline{\mathcal{G}} | \overline{\mathcal{R}} \rangle$. Then \overline{K} is homotopy equivalent to the double cover of K whose fundamental group is normally generated by x_1, \ldots, x_n and y^2 . To show that C is homotopy equivalent to K, it suffices to show that \overline{C} is homotopy equivalent to \overline{K} .

Let $\pi: \overline{C} \to D \setminus P$ be the map obtained by projection onto the first coordinate. When restricted to the interior of \overline{C} , this is a fiber bundle over the interior of $D \setminus P$ whose fiber is an (n + 1)-times punctured open disk.

The base $D \setminus P$ is homotopy equivalent to a graph with one vertex and n edges corresponding to x_1, \ldots, x_n . The fiber is homotopy equivalent to a graph with

one vertex and n + 1 edges corresponding to X_1, \ldots, X_n and Y. The fiber bundle structure of \bar{C} implies that it is homotopy equivalent to the Cayley complex of a presentation $\langle \bar{\mathcal{G}} | \bar{\mathcal{R}}' \rangle$, where $\bar{\mathcal{R}}'$ is a set of relations equating Y^{x_k} and $X_j^{x_k}$ to words in $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y\}$, for $j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. One can compute these relations $\bar{\mathcal{R}}'$ by explicitly manipulating arcs in \bar{C} . They are as follows.

$$Y^{x_k} = X_k Y X_k^{-1},$$

$$X_j^{x_k} = \begin{cases} X_j Y X_j Y^{-1} X_j^{-1}, & j = k \\ X_k Y X_k^{-1} Y^{-1} X_j Y X_k Y^{-1} X_k^{-1}, & j < k \\ X_j & j > k \end{cases}$$

One can transform the relations $\overline{\mathcal{R}}'$ to $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ using moves which can be realized by isotopy of the attaching maps of the faces in the Cayley complex. Thus \overline{C} is homotopy equivalent to \overline{K} , and hence C is homotopy equivalent to K.

We are now ready to compute $H_2(\hat{C})$. Let \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 be the free Λ -modules with bases $\{[g] : g \in \mathcal{G}\}$ and $\{f_r : r \in \mathcal{R}\}$ respectively. For any word w in \mathcal{G} we define $[w] \in \mathcal{C}_1$ inductively according to the following rules

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [1] &=& 0, \\ [gw] &=& [g] + \phi(g)[w], \\ [g^{-1}w] &=& \phi(g)^{-1}([w] - e_g). \end{array}$$

for any $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $H_2(\tilde{C})$ is the kernel of the map $\partial : \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_1$ given by $\partial f_r = [r]$. We calculate the following.

$$\partial f_r = \begin{cases} (1+q^{-1}t^{-1})((1-t)[x_j] + (q-1)[y]) & \text{if } r = r_{j,j}, \\ (q^{-1}-q^{-2})(-[x_j] + t[x_k] - (q-1)[y]) & \text{if } r = r_{j,k}, \text{ where } j < k. \end{cases}$$

It is now an exercise in linear algebra to compute the kernel of this map. It is a free Λ -module with bases $\{v_{j,k} : 1 \leq j < k \leq n\}$, where

$$v_{j,k} = (q-1)f_{j,j} - (q-1)tf_{k,k} + (1-t)(1+qt)f_{j,k}$$

We now define certain forks $F_{j,k}$ which will correspond to the basis vectors $v_{j,k}$. Let D be the unit disk centered at the origin in the complex plain. Let p_1, \ldots, p_n lie on the real axis and satisfy $-1 < p_1 < \cdots < p_n < 1$. Let d_1 and d_2 lie in the lower half plane, with d_1 to the left of d_2 . For each $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, let $F_{j,k}$ be a fork which lies entirely in the closed lower half plane such that the endpoints of T(F) are p_j and p_k . Such an $F_{j,k}$ is uniquely determined up to isotopy by j and k, and will be called a *standard fork*.

Let $D' \subset D$ be a disk containing $F_{j,k}$ such that $D' \cap P = \{p_j, p_k\}$. Let C' be the set of unordered pairs of distinct points in D'. Let \tilde{C}' be the pre-image of C' in \tilde{C} . We can consider $v_{j,k}$ as an element of $H_2(\tilde{C}')$, in which case it generates $H_2(\tilde{C}')$ as a Λ -module. The surface $\tilde{\Sigma}_2(F_{j,k})$ lies in \tilde{C}' , so must represent the homology class $\lambda v_{j,k}$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The value of λ does not depend on j and k. (Actually $\lambda = 1$, but we will not need this fact.)

To write $\sigma_i(v_{j,k})$ in terms of basis vectors, we must find a Λ -linear combination of standard forks which represents the same element of $H_2(\tilde{C})$ as the fork $\sigma_i(F_{j,k})$.

In the cases $i \notin \{j-1, j, k-1, k\}$, $i = j \neq k$, and i = k, there is no problem because $\sigma_i(F_{j,k})$ is a standard fork.

In the case i = j = k - 1, the fork $\sigma_i(F_{j,k})$ has the same time edge as $F_{j,k}$. It follows that it represents the same surface in \tilde{C} , up to a change in orientation and application of a covering transformation. With some thought, or by pairing with an appropriate noodle, it is not hard to check that the correct formula is $\sigma_i(v_{j,k}) = -tq^2 v_{j,k}$.

The remaining cases are i = j - 1 and $i = k - 1 \neq j$. We will use the following claim.

Claim 4.2. $\sigma_i(v_{j,k})$ is a linear combination of basis vectors $v_{j',k'}$ which satisfy $j', k' \in \{i, i+1, j, k\}$.

Proof. There exists a disk $D' \subset D$ such that D' contains $\sigma(F_{j,k})$, D' contains $F_{j',k'}$ for all $j', k' \in \{i, i+1, j, k\}$ with j' < k', and $D' \cap P = \{p_i, p_{i+1}, p_j, p_k\}$. Let C' be the set of unordered pairs of distinct points in D'. Let \tilde{C}' be the pre-image of C' in \tilde{C} . Then $H_2(\tilde{C}')$ is a free Λ -module with basis consisting of all $v_{j',k'}$ with $j', k' \in \{i, i+1, j, k\}$ and j' < k'. But $\sigma(v_{j,k})$ can be considered as an element of $H_2(\tilde{C}')$, so must be a linear combination of these basis vectors.

In the case i = j - 1, this claim implies that $\sigma_i(F_{j,k})$ represents the same element of $H_2(\tilde{C})$ as some Λ -linear combination of the three standard forks $F_{i,j}$, $F_{i,k}$, and $F_{j,k}$. By pairing with some appropriate noodles it is not hard to check that the correct linear combination is as stated in Theorem 4.1. Similar methods can be used to verify Theorem 4.1 in the last remaining case, $i = k - 1 \neq j$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

We conclude with some remarks on the BMW representation of braid groups, defined independently by Birman and Wenzl in [BW89], and by Murakami in [Mur87]. V. Jones noticed a striking resemblance between the matrices described in Theorem 4.1 and those of a certain irreducible summand of the BMW representation. He asserted that the two representations should be the same after some renormalization. The details are worked out by Zinno in [Zin]. At present, there seems to be no deep explanation for this coincidence.

References

- [Big99] Stephen Bigelow, The burau representation is not faithful for n = 5, Geometry and Topology **3** (1999), 397-404.
- [Bir74] Joan S. Birman, Braids, links, and mapping class groups, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 82.
- [Bur36] W. Burau, Über Zopfgruppen und gleichsinnig verdrillte Verkettungen, Abh. Math. Sem. Ham. II (1936), 171-178.
- [BW89] Joan S. Birman and Hans Wenzl, Braids, link polynomials and a new algebra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 313 (1989), no. 1, 249-273.
- [FLP91] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach, and V. Poénaru, Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces, Société Mathématique de France, Montrouge, 1991, Séminaire Orsay, Reprint of Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1979 [MR 82m:57003], Astérisque No. 66-67 (1991).
- [Kra99] Daan Krammer, The braid group B_4 is linear, (Preprint), 1999.
- [Kra00] Daan Krammer, Braid groups are linear, (Preprint), 2000.
- [Law90] R. J. Lawrence, Homological representations of the Hecke algebra, Comm. Math. Phys. 135 (1990), no. 1, 141–191.
- [LP93] D. D. Long and M. Paton, The Burau representation is not faithful for $n \ge 6$, Topology **32** (1993), no. 2, 439-447.
- [Moo91] John Atwell Moody, The Burau representation of the braid group B_n is unfaithful for large n, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **25** (1991), no. 2, 379–384.
- [Mur87] Jun Murakami, The Kauffman polynomial of links and representation theory, Osaka J. Math. 24 (1987), no. 4, 745–758.

STEPHEN J. BIGELOW

- [PR99] L. Paris and D. Rolfsen, Geometric subgroups of surface braid groups, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 49 (1999), no. 2, 417-472.
- [Zin] Matthew G. Zinno, On Krammer's Representation of the Braid Group, (arxiv: math.RT/ 0002136).

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720 *E-mail address*: bigelow@math.berkeley.edu

16