

Revision and update on clinical practice guideline for liver cirrhosis

Ki Tae Suk¹, Soon Koo Baik^{2*}, Jung Hwan Yoon^{3*}, Jae Youn Cheong⁴, Yong Han Paik⁵,
Chang Hyeong Lee⁶, Young Seok Kim⁷, Jin Woo Lee⁸, Dong Joon Kim¹, Sung Won Cho⁴,
Seong Gyu Hwang⁹, Joo Hyun Sohn¹⁰, Moon Young Kim², Young Bae Kim¹¹, Jae Geun Kim¹²,
Yong Kyun Cho⁵, Moon Seok Choi⁵, Hyung Joon Kim¹³, Hyun Woong Lee¹³, Seung Up Kim¹⁴,
Ja Kyung Kim¹⁴, Jin Young Choi¹⁵, Dae Won Jun¹⁶, Won Young Tak¹⁷, Byung Seok Lee¹⁸,
Byoung Kuk Jang¹⁹, Woo Jin Chung¹⁹, Hong Soo Kim²⁰, Jae Young Jang²¹, Soung Won Jeong²¹,
Sang Gyune Kim⁷, Oh Sang Kwon²², Young Kul Jung²², Won Hyeok Choe²³, June Sung Lee²⁴,
In Hee Kim²⁵, Jae Jun Shim²⁶, Gab Jin Cheon²⁷, Si Hyun Bae²⁸, Yeon Seok Seo²⁹,
Dae Hee Choi³⁰, and Se Jin Jang³¹ (random order)

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Hallym University College of Medicine, Chuncheon; ²Department of Internal Medicine and Cell Therapy and Tissue Engineering Center, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju; ³Department of Internal Medicine and Liver Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul; ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Ajou University College of Medicine, Suwon; ⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Sungkyunkwan University College of Medicine, Seoul; ⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Catholic University of Daegu College of Medicine, Daegu; ⁷Department of Internal Medicine, Soonchunhyang University Hospital Bucheon, Soonchunhyang University College of Medicine, Bucheon; ⁸Department of Internal Medicine, Inha University College of Medicine, Incheon; ⁹Department of Internal Medicine, Cha University College of Medicine, Seongnam; ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Hanyang University Guri Hospital, Hanyang University College of Medicine, Guri; Departments of ¹¹Pathology and ¹²Radiology, Ajou University College of Medicine, Suwon; ¹³Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Seoul; Departments of ¹⁴Internal Medicine and ¹⁵Radiology, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul; ¹⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Hanyang University Seoul Hospital, Hanyang University College of Medicine, Seoul; ¹⁷Department of Internal Medicine, Kyungpook National University College of Medicine, Daegu; ¹⁸Department of Internal Medicine, Chungnam National University College of Medicine, Daejeon; ¹⁹Department of Internal Medicine, Keimyung University College of Medicine, Daegu; ²⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Soonchunhyang University Hospital Cheonan, Soonchunhyang University College of Medicine, Cheonan; ²¹Department of Internal Medicine, Soonchunhyang University Hospital Seoul, Soonchunhyang University College of Medicine, Seoul; ²²Department of Internal Medicine, Gachon University of Medicine and Science, Incheon; ²³Department of Internal Medicine, Konkuk University College of Medicine, Seoul; ²⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University College of Medicine, Goyang; ²⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Chonbuk National University College of Medicine, Jeonju; ²⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Kyung Hee University College of Medicine, Seoul; ²⁷Department of Internal Medicine, Ulsan University College of Medicine, Gangneung; ²⁸Department of Internal Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea College of Medicine, Seoul; ²⁹Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul; ³⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Kangwon National University College of Medicine, Chuncheon; ³¹Department of Preventive Medicine, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Korea

Keywords: Liver cirrhosis; Clinical practice guideline

Received February 12, 2012; Accepted March 5, 2012

Abbreviations: CHB, chronic hepatitis B; CHC, chronic hepatitis C; EVL, endoscopic variceal ligation; EVO, endoscopic variceal obturation; GOV, gastroesophageal varices; IGV, isolated gastric varices; LC, liver cirrhosis; LOLA, L-ornithine-L-aspartate; PMN, polymorphonuclear leukocyte; SBP, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; TIPS, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt

*Co-corresponding author:

Soon Koo Baik

Division of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine and Cell Therapy and Tissue Engineering Center, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, 162 Ilsan-ro, Wonju 220-701, Korea

Tel. +82-33-741-1229, Fax. +82-33-741-1228, E-mail; baiksk@medimail.co.kr

Jung Hwan Yoon

Department of Internal Medicine and Liver Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 110-744, Korea

Tel. +82-2-2072-2731, Fax. +82-2-743-6701, E-mail; yoonjh@snu.ac.kr

Copyright © 2012 by The Korean Association for the Study of the Liver

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Liver cirrhosis (LC) is a disease with a high rate of prevalence and one of the most common causes of mortality in the Republic of Korea (hereafter "Korea"). In Korea, the main etiologies of LC have been found to be chronic hepatitis B (CHB), alcohol, and chronic hepatitis C (CHC). In patients with complications such as ascites, variceal bleeding, and encephalopathy, the 5-year survival rates were 32%, 21%, and 40%, respectively, reflecting the poor prognosis of patients with LC. Consequently, a clinical practice guideline appropriate for the medical milieu of Korea is important for both patients and clinicians.

In 2005, the Korean Association for the Study of the Liver established a guideline for the treatment of LC that is now widely used. However, it is currently necessary to revise and update the clinical practice guideline based on new evidence over the past 6 years regarding the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of LC. Therefore, the Korean Association for the Study of the Liver undertook a revision and update of the clinical practice guideline co-organized by the Liver Cirrhosis Clinical Research Center. This guideline was based on an interdisciplinary (hepatology, radiology, pathology, and preventive medicine) approach. A panel of experts selected by the Korean Association for the Study of the Liver and Liver Cirrhosis Clinical Research Center met several times to discuss and write this guideline during 2005-2011. This guideline was written in light of published studies retrieved from MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library. The panel aimed to address 5 subjects: diagnosis of LC, anti-fibrotic therapy for LC, variceal bleeding, ascites, and hepatic encephalopathy.

The evidence and recommendations made in this guideline have been graded according to the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment Development and Evaluation) system. The strength of evidence has been classified into 3 levels: A (high-quality evidence), B (moderate-quality evidence), and C (low-quality evidence). The strength of recommendation has been classified into 2 categories: strong and weak (Table 1). Where there was no clear evidence, the recommendations were based on the consensus expert opinion(s) in literature and that of the writing committee.

1. Diagnosis of LC

LC is a pathologically defined disease, and is clinically classified as compensated and decompensated LC. Decompensated LC includes cases with ascites, variceal bleeding, hepatic encephalopathy, or jaundice. Image studies for diagnosing LC are CT, abdominal ultrasound, and MRI. Typical findings of these images are nodular liver surface, splenomegaly, and the presence of intra-abdominal collateral vessels, which mean increasing portal venous pressure. Although there are not established criteria for the diagnosis of compensated LC, imaging studies may be helpful for the diagnosis of LC by integrating laboratory findings such as albumin, bilirubin, or prothrombin time and platelet values.

1-1. Diagnostic approach—patient history, physical examination, and laboratory tests

When dealing with patients with LC, evaluation of the cause, severity, and stage is the first step. In patients with chronic liver disease, history taking (drug use, blood transfusion, or alcohol use), physical examination (jaundice, ascites,

Table 1. Grading evidence and recommendations

Evidence	Notes	
High quality	Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect	A
Moderate quality	Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate	B
Low quality	Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Any change of estimate is uncertain	C
Recommendation	Notes	
Strong	Factors influencing the strength of the recommendation included the quality of the evidence, presumed patient-important outcomes, and cost	1
Weak	Variability in preferences and values, or more uncertainty. Recommendation is made with less certainty, higher cost or resource consumption	2

spider angioma, hepatomegaly, or splenomegaly), and symptom such as fatigue from hepatitis should be assessed. In patients with LC, a whole blood test including platelet count, liver function test (albumin, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, and gamma glutamyl transpeptidase), prothrombin time, abdominal ultrasound, abdominal CT, and endoscopy should be carried out to confirm the presence or absence of cirrhosis. In addition, laboratory tests for hepatitis B or C virus infection are needed for the evaluation of its cause. Generally, the Child-Pugh score is used to assess the severity of LC. In clinical practice for the diagnosis of LC, findings of portal hypertension such as ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, or varices, imaging findings, and laboratory findings are common diagnostic tools. Recently, it was found that nodularity of the liver surface, a platelet count of less than 100,000/mm³, albumin less than 3.5 g/dL, and an international normalized ratio of 1.3 or more are related to the presence of LC. Presence of one condition of these findings showed a specificity of 90.42% and a sensitivity of 61.11%.¹

1-2. Imaging modalities for the diagnosis of LC

Abdominal ultrasound is safe and less expensive, and it can be easily used as a screening test for the diagnosis of LC. Abdominal ultrasound confirms the size of the liver, echogenicity of the liver parenchyme, morphological changes of the liver, ascites, and thrombus of the portal vein. Typical ultrasound finding of LC is a coarse echo pattern by fibrosis and regeneration. This finding ranges from mild coarsening to visible nodules. The accuracy of CT in diagnosing LC is 66-95%.²⁻⁶ The most important CT finding for the diagnosis of LC is nodularity caused by regenerative nodules, fibrosis scars, and lobar non-uniform atrophy and hypertrophy. Nodularity of the liver surface showed a specificity of 95%.^{2-4,7} Additionally, other radiologic findings such as splenomegaly, blunt angle, morphological changes (nodularity of liver surface, atrophy of right lobe, hypertrophy of left and caudate lobe, expansion of periportal space, and intrahepatic nodule), velocity of portal flow, shape of hepatic vein waveform (Doppler test),^{2,4,8} and caudate lobe/right lobe ratio (CT or MRI) are useful indices.⁹ If the caudate lobe/right lobe ratio is above 0.65, it can indicate LC, and its sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy reach 84%, 100%, and 94%, respectively.¹⁰

1-3. Pathological diagnosis of liver cirrhosis

The gold standard for confirming the diagnosis of LC is liver biopsy, but it is invasive and susceptible to a sampling error and inter-observer discrepancy. Therefore, liver biopsy has not been widely used in clinical practice. Liver biopsy can be carried out selectively for evaluating activity of the underlying disease and fibrosis. Particularly, when cirrhosis is diagnosed by clinical findings and imaging studies, but not compatible with laboratory data, a liver biopsy is especially helpful for diagnosing LC.¹¹

1-4. Others

The serum markers for liver fibrosis directly or indirectly reflect extracellular matrix metabolism. However, their clinical utility in the diagnosis of LC has not been verified.¹² FibroScan has been introduced to measure liver elasticity noninvasively and is an objective diagnostic tool for the diagnosis of LC. However, there is no exact guideline on how to apply it clinically, and there is some variation in its diagnostic accuracy for the diagnosis of LC. Therefore, the practical use of FibroScan is limited.

Recommendations

1. What test is needed for the diagnosis of LC in patients with chronic liver disease?
 - Find evidence for LC by history taking and physical examination. (A1)
 - In patients with chronic liver disease, to find LC, the following tests are recommended and the findings in parentheses should be checked. (A1)
 - (1) Complete blood count with platelet count (thrombocytopenia)
 - (2) Liver function test (hypoalbuminemia)
 - (3) Prolonged prothrombin time
 - (4) Imaging studies (nodularity of liver surface and findings of LC)
 - (5) Endoscopy (presence of varices)
 - The cause of LC should be examined including hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus tests. (A1)
 - The severity of LC could be assessed by Child-Pugh classification with score. (B1)
 2. Which findings are compatible with the diagnosis of LC in the imaging studies?
 - For the diagnosis of LC, compatible findings of imaging studies (abdominal ultrasound, CT, and MRI) are morphologic changes (nodularity of liver surface, atrophy of the right lobe, hypertrophy of the left and caudate lobe, expansion of the periportal space, and intrahepatic nodule), ascites, and presence of portal hypertension (collateral vessel or splenomegaly). (B1)
-

-
3. When should liver biopsy be performed in patients with chronic liver disease for the diagnosis of LC?
- Liver biopsy should be selectively performed to detect the cause of LC and assess the disease activity and fibrosis. Liver biopsy is necessary on patients in whom the diagnosis remains unclear from the conventional test (B1)
-

2. Anti-fibrotic therapy for LC

LC is a pathological condition of liver fibrosis generated by continuous scar formation and recovery due to chronic liver injury, eventually leading to the development of regenerative nodules around the fibrotic scar.¹³ If liver injury is chronically repeated, damaged liver cells cannot regenerate and instead migrate to the extracellular matrix such as collagen.¹⁴ The mechanism of liver fibrosis is variable, depending on causes such as alcohol, hepatitis virus, or bile acids. Hepatic stellate cells, which are located in the space of Disse, play a major role in liver fibrosis.¹⁴ Histological changes associated with LC have long been believed to be irreversible. However, in patients receiving antiviral therapy for CHB, liver fibrosis has been observed to be significantly improved.¹⁵ Similarly, the primary approach to treating LC is treatment of the underlying disease. The second approach in the treatment of LC is anti-fibrotic therapy that targets the liver fibrosis-generating mechanism irrespective of the cause of LC. In order to develop effective treatments for liver fibrosis, the molecular pathogenesis and treatment of liver fibrosis have been exhaustively investigated over the last 2 decades. Subsequently, a number of substances or drugs with anti-fibrotic effects in animal experiments have been found; however, most of these have not been verified for use in human beings.

2-1. Chronic hepatitis B

Antiviral therapy that regulates inflammation via the inhibition of viral proliferation is recommended for improving liver fibrosis.¹⁵⁻²¹ There were no significant differences in the degree of improvement in fibrosis according to antiviral drugs.²²⁻²⁴ As liver fibrosis progresses, the rate of improvement by antiviral treatment increases.^{18,25} Therefore, in patients with advanced liver fibrosis, antiviral treatment is needed in the case with viral proliferation. In patients with LC due to CHB, antiviral therapy with a sufficient treatment period can be recommended to reduce liver fibrosis from virus proliferation. However, in the case of drug-resistant viral infection, the benefits of antiviral treatment are reduced.^{19,22,26}

The extent of liver fibrosis was reduced by 49% when treated with interferon α or peginterferon α .²⁰ In patients with HBeAg-positive CHB, hepatic fibrosis improved in 39% of patients after initial treatment with entecavir, whereas in HBeAg-negative CHB, improvement was observed in 36% of patients.^{23,27} With 1-year tenofovir treatment, inflammation improved without aggravation in 74% of HBeAg-positive CHB patients and in 71% of HBeAg-negative CHB patients.^{21,24,28}

2-2. Chronic hepatitis C

Interferon treatment for CHC reduces the hepatic necrotic inflammatory reaction; particularly, in patients with a sustained virological response, the reductions in serum hepatitis C virus RNA and intrahepatic necrotic inflammatory reactions are correlated.²⁹ In particular, antiviral treatment should be actively considered for patients presenting with genotype 2 or 3 and a Child-Pugh score <7. In patients with a Child-Pugh score of 7-9, antiviral treatment can be considered with careful attention to hepatic dysfunction.³⁰⁻³⁴

2-3. Alcohol

Abstinence is the most important treatment of alcoholic liver disease.³⁵ Abstinence not only improves fibrosis in hepatic tissue but also reduces portal pressure and inhibits progression to LC. Ultimately, the survival rate of patients in all stages of alcoholic liver disease increases following abstinence, with improvements being observed in up to 66% of patients.³⁵ Sustained drinking increases the risk of variceal bleeding by portal hypertension and is closely related with mortality.

In severe alcoholic hepatitis, steroid and pentoxifylline therapy have been recommended by several studies. However, the effect of these drugs on fibrosis has not been confirmed; therefore, these medications are not recommended in the treatment of fibrosis as anti-fibrotic therapy.

2-4. Nonalcoholic fatty liver

Treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver comprises improving insulin resistance, removing risk factors of metabolic syndrome, lifestyle modifications, drug therapy, and operative treatment.³⁶⁻⁵² Weight loss appears to help in liver steatosis as well as relieves hepatic inflammation and fibrosis.³⁶⁻³⁹ Therefore, the first choice of therapy in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is weight loss through diet therapy and lifestyle

modifications, with more than 7% weight loss being the recommended target.³⁹ For nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, clinical trials using multiple drugs have currently been attempted. However, data regarding its effectiveness, safety, and dosing period remain limited.⁴⁰

2-5. Primary biliary cirrhosis

Ursodeoxycholic acid has been proven to improve primary biliary cirrhosis and is the only drug recognized for its treatment.⁵³⁻⁵⁶ Ursodeoxycholic acid reduces serum bilirubin levels, which is an important prognostic factor, and delays the progression and deterioration of fibrosis^{55,56} 13-15 mg/kg/day is the most effective dose in terms of biological effect and cost-effectiveness. Improvements of liver function tests appear within 6-9 months of therapy in more than 90% of patients.⁵⁷ Ursodeoxycholic acid treatment is not known to improve fatigue, itching, bone diseases, or autoimmune reactions associated with primary biliary cirrhosis.⁵⁷

Recommendations

1. What is anti-fibrotic therapy according to the causes of LC?
 - In patients with LC, it is recommended that the cause of disease should be treated to improve liver fibrosis. (A1)
 - In patients with LC due to CHB, antiviral therapy with a sufficient treatment period can be recommended to reduce liver fibrosis from virus proliferation. (B1)
 - In patients with LC due to CHC, antiviral therapy with a combination of peginterferon and ribavirin can be recommended, if the normal range of liver function with viral proliferation. In addition, monitoring for side effects is needed. (B1)
 - In patients with alcoholic LC, strict abstinence is recommended to prevent worsening of disease. (A1)
 - In patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, losing weight, diet therapy, and exercise can be recommended. (B1)
 - In patients with primary biliary cirrhosis, ursodeoxycholic acid is recommended with a dose of 13-15 mg/kg/day. (A1)
-

3. Variceal bleeding

Esophageal variceal bleeding is found in approximately 40% of patients with Child-Pugh A class LC, and in approximately 80% of patients with Child-Pugh C class LC.⁵⁸ The risk of variceal bleeding depends on the size of varices, red color sign, and the Child-Pugh classification. Variceal bleeding due to portal hypertension can be prevented when the value of hepatic venous pressure gradient is lower

than 12 mmHg or when it is decreased to lower than 20% of the baseline measurement.⁵⁹

3-1. Diagnosis

It is recommended that all patients undergo endoscopy when they are first diagnosed with LC in order to evaluate the presence of esophageal varix and the risk of bleeding.⁶⁰ Esophageal varices are classified based on the diameter of varices and their morphology as follows: varices with the findings of larger than 5 mm⁶¹ or F2-F3 (beaded varices - crystallized varices) are defined as large and varices with the findings of smaller than 5 mm or F1 (straight varices) are defined as small.⁶² Treatment to prevent esophageal variceal bleeding should be performed when large varices are present. For patients with compensated LC should be considered for endoscopy every 2 to 3 years, and patients with decompensated LC every 1 to 2 years in order to evaluate the occurrence and progression of varix.⁶³ Patients with compensated LC with small varices not using nonselective beta-blocker, should be considered for endoscopy every 2 years to evaluate the progression of varix.

Recommendations

1. What is the role of endoscopy in patients with LC?
 - It is recommended that all patients undergo endoscopy when they are first diagnosed with LC in order to evaluate the presence of esophageal varix and the risk of bleeding. (A1)
 - Esophageal varices are classified into small (F1) and large (F2 and F3). Also, red color sign should be checked during endoscopy. (B1)
 - For patients with compensated LC should be considered for endoscopy every 2 to 3 years, and patients with decompensated LC every 1 to 2 years in order to evaluate the occurrence and progression of varices. (B1)
 - Patients with compensated LC with small varices not using nonselective beta-blocker, should be considered for endoscopy every 2 years to evaluate the progression of varices. (B1)
 - The frequency of endoscopy can be adjusted according to the cause and progression of LC.
-

3-2. Acute esophageal variceal bleeding

3-2-1. Definition and diagnosis

Acute variceal bleeding is defined as hematemesis within last 24 hours of presentation, and/or ongoing melena, with the last melanic stool within last 24 hours before the hospital visit in a known or suspected case of portal hypertension.

Endoscopy is the most definite diagnostic method for identifying acute esophageal variceal bleeding. Variceal bleeding can be diagnosed as follows: direct visualization of blood issuing from esophageal varices, presence of signs of recent bleeds on varices such as white nipple sign or overlying clot, or presence of blood in the stomach in the absence of another source of bleeding.⁶⁴

3-2-2. Treatment

The first line of therapy includes vasoconstrictors such as terlipressin and somatostatin.⁶⁵ Antibiotics are recommended for patients admitted in the hospital.⁶⁶ Antibiotic therapy is recommended for 5-7 days to prevent sepsis and rebleeding following endoscopy (Table 2).⁶⁷

Medication and endoscopic therapy are recommended in patients with acute variceal bleeding for the first time.⁶⁸ If active bleeding is present, banding of the culprit vessel or that just below the ooze should be performed endoscopically. After ligation of the active bleeding site, even in the absence of active bleeding, banding should be performed starting from just above the gastro-esophageal junction (5-10 mm) in a sequential manner up to 5 cm. Endoscopic injection sclerotherapy is recommended if endoscopic variceal ligation is technically impossible or has failed.

Recommendations

2. How should acute variceal bleeding be treated?

- It is recommended that patients with acute variceal bleeding initially be administered vasoconstrictor and antibiotic treatment. (A1)
- Endoscopic treatment is recommended for patients with acute variceal bleeding. (A1)
- TIPS can be recommended if drugs and endoscopic therapy have failed or endoscopic treatment is impossible. (B1)

Table 2. Drugs for acute esophageal variceal bleeding

Type	Effect	Dose, usage, duration
Terlipressin	Side effect (cardiac ischemia) Improve survival rate Effect on hepatorenal syndrome	Inject 2 mg at first and inject 1-2 mg every 4-6 hours until control bleeding or use for 3 days
Somatostatin	Side effects (intestinal vessel constriction, vomiting, or hyperglycemia) Do not improve survival rate	Somatostatin: IV 250 µg at first and 250 µg/hour for 2-3 days
Antibiotics	Reduce bacterial infection Improve survival rate	Ceftriaxone: IV 1g/day for 5-7 days Norfloxacin: PO 400 mg twice a day for 5-7 days

Balloon tamponade can be used as a rescue therapy if active variceal bleeding cannot be controlled. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) is recommended if medication and endoscopic therapy have failed or if endoscopic therapy is impossible.

3-3. Prevention

3-3-1. Prevention of first bleeding in patients without esophageal varices

Nonselective beta-blockers are not recommended in patients without esophageal varices.^{69,70}

3-3-2. Prevention of first bleeding in patients with small esophageal varices

Nonselective beta-blockers do not lower the incidence of bleeding in patients with small esophageal varices.⁷¹ However, patients with a high risk of bleeding (Child-Pugh class B/C or endoscopic red color sign), are considered for nonselective beta-blocker therapy.⁷⁰ The dose of nonselective beta-blockers is adjusted for a reduction in the resting heart rate by 25%, to 55 beats/minute, or until the occurrence of side effects. In Koreans, the mean adjusted dose of propranolol is 160 mg/day.^{72,73}

3-3-3. Prevention of first bleeding in patients with large esophageal varices

In large esophageal varices, endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL) and nonselective beta-blockers are effective in preventing the first bleeding occurrence.^{74,75} In patients with large varices (F2 or F3), which have never been observed to bleed, nonselective beta-blockers or EVL is recommended. Repeated EVL is recommended until the disappearance of esophageal varices.⁷⁶ Low doses of carvedilol have been shown to lower the frequency of variceal bleeding

with lesser side effects as compared with EVL. However, additional research is needed before they can be routinely used.^{66,77} Combination therapy with EVL and nonselective beta-blockers for the prevention of first bleeding has shown no differences as compared with monotherapy.⁷⁸

Recommendations

3. How can a first variceal bleeding be prevented in patients with LC?

- In patients without varices, a nonselective beta-blockers are not recommended for the purpose of preventing the formation of varix and first bleeding of esophageal varix. (B1)
- Nonselective beta-blockers should be considered for patients with small varices which have never bled but have a high risk of bleeding (Child-Pugh class B/C or red color sign on endoscopy). (B1)
- In patients with large varices (F2 or F3) in which bleeding has never been observed, nonselective beta-blockers or EVL are recommended. (A1)

4. How should nonselective beta-blockers be administered to patients with LC?

- Nonselective beta-blockers are adjusted at the dose of reduction in resting heart rate by 25% or 55 beats/minute, or until the side effects occur. (B1)
-

3–4. Prevention of variceal rebleeding

3-4-1. Definition and diagnosis

Variceal rebleeding is defined as bleeding after 5 days of recovery from acute variceal bleeding.⁷⁰ It is diagnosed similar to acute variceal bleeding.

3-4-2. Prevention

Nonselective beta-blockers alone or combination therapy with isosorbide mononitrate are known to be effective in the prevention of rebleeding.⁷⁹ EVL shows better outcomes than endoscopic injection sclerotherapy in endoscopic therapy for prevention of rebleeding.⁸⁰ Rebleeding has been reported in 32% of patients after EVL. Combination therapy of EVL and nonselective beta-blockers has been shown to have better outcomes than EVL alone.^{81,82}

TIPS shows a significantly low rebleeding rate as compared with endoscopic therapy. However, it shows a significantly high incidence of hepatic encephalopathy. TIPS is not recommended as a first-line therapy for esophageal variceal bleeding and is recommended only as a rescue therapy when combination therapy fails.⁸³ Liver transplantation should be considered for patients who meet indications for liver

transplantation.^{84,85}

If the hepatic venous pressure gradient is reduced to less than 12 mmHg or to more than 20% reduction in baseline levels by medical therapy, the incidence rate of rebleeding is low (10%).⁸⁶ In such cases, further endoscopic therapy may not be required.

Recommendations

5. How to prevent recurrence of variceal bleeding?

- Patients who experience acute variceal bleeding need treatment to prevent rebleeding. (A1)
 - EVL alone or in combination with nonselective beta-blockers should be considered for the prevention of rebleeding. (B1)
 - TIPS should be considered as a rescue therapy in Child-Pugh A/B patients in whom other therapies have failed. (B1)
 - Liver transplantation should be considered for patients who meet indications for liver transplantation. (B1)
-

3–5. Gastric varices

3-5-1. Definition and diagnosis

Gastric varices are enlarged submucosal veins of the stomach that cause critical upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Gastric varices occur in approximately 20% of patients with portal hypertension, and the bleeding rate in 2 years is known to be 25%.⁸⁷ Diagnosis is performed by endoscopy. Endoscopic ultrasound can also be helpful.⁸⁸

3-5-2. Classification

Gastroesophageal varices are classified depending on whether esophageal varices are extended along lesser curvature (gastroesophageal varices, GOV1) or gastric fundus (GOV2). Gastric varices alone are classified as varices located in the fundus (isolated gastric varices, IGV1) and any other regions, i.e., stomach or duodenum (IGV2).⁸⁷

3-5-3. Treatment and prevention

EVL is recommended for the treatment of GOV1. Endoscopic variceal obturation (EVO) can be an alternative.⁸⁹⁻⁹³ EVO involves the injection of tissue adhesives such as N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (histoacryl[®]) into the varices via endoscopy and can be recommended in treating GOV2 and IGV.^{91,94-96} Endoscopic injection sclerotherapy is not recommended in treating GOV2 or IGV1 because of its low rate of hemostasis, high rate of rebleeding, and other complications.⁹⁷⁻¹⁰⁰ If endoscopic treatment is not possible,

TIPS can be performed.^{101,102} If a gastrorenal shunt is present, balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration can be performed.¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁶ Surgery, such as distal splenorenal shunting or vascular shunting, can be attempted if endoscopic treatment is not possible in case of Child-Pugh A/B patients, while liver transplantation is considered in the case of Child-Pugh B/C patients.¹⁰⁷

Empirical use of nonselective beta-blockers, balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration, and EVO can prevent first gastric variceal bleeding, while EVO, TIPS, and balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration are considered to prevent gastric variceal rebleeding.^{70,108}

Recommendations

6. How should gastric variceal bleeding be treated?
- In gastric variceal bleeding, EVL or EVO are considered for GOV1 accompanying esophageal varices extended along the lesser curvature. (B1)
 - EVO is preferable in patients with GOV2 or IGV1. If endoscopic treatment is not possible, TIPS can be used. If gastric varix is accompanied with gastrorenal shunt, balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration can be considered. (B1)
 - Surgery such as distal splenorenal shunt or vascular shunt should be considered for patients (Child-Pugh A/B) and liver transplantation for patients (Child-Pugh B/C) who are not eligible for endoscopic treatment.

3-6. Portal hypertensive gastropathy

3-6-1. Diagnosis

Portal hypertensive gastropathy is diagnosed when gastric mucosal changes of snake-skin appearance (or mosaic pattern) are found on endoscopy in patients with portal hypertension.¹⁰⁹ When gastric mucosal changes alone are found, this is diagnosed as a mild form. When red or dark brown viscous changes with changes in gastric mucosa are found, it is considered severe.⁷² Severe portal hypertensive gastropathy causes more chronic bleeding than does the mild form.¹¹⁰

3-6-2. Treatment

In chronic bleeding due to portal hypertensive gastropathy, the goal of treatment is lowering the portal pressure with nonselective beta-blockers. In addition, iron supplementation is recommended.¹¹¹ Despite these treatments, if repeated transfusions are needed for the treatment of chronic anemia, TIPS can be considered.¹¹²

Recommendations

7. How should portal hypertensive gastropathy be treated?
- If chronic bleeding due to portal hypertensive gastropathy presents, nonselective beta-blockers can be used. (B1)

4. Ascites

Ascites is the most common complication in LC, occurring in 60% of the patients with compensated LC within 10 years.¹¹³ Ascites appears in 2/3 of the patients who required admission due to LC,¹¹⁴ and 60% of the patients requiring paracentesis is because of LC.¹¹⁵

4-1. Diagnosis

Abdominal ultrasound can diagnose ascites with only 100 mL.¹¹⁶ Ascites is classified by the amount of fluid as follows: Grade 1 is diagnosed through imaging study, Grade 2 through inspection and physical examination, Grade 3 based on marked abdominal expansion. Paracentesis is the most useful and simple diagnostic tool in Grade 2 or 3 ascites. Furthermore, paracentesis should be performed in cirrhotic patients with fever, abdominal pain, bleeding, encephalopathy, hypotension, or kidney dysfunction to assess for spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP), since 10-27% of the patients with ascites have SBP.¹¹⁷ The main purpose of paracentesis is to discriminate the cause of ascites. Therefore, screening tests should include total cell count and differential, albumin, and total protein. Blood cell count is the most useful test for diagnosing SBP. For differential diagnosis of ascites, a serum-ascites albumin gradient can be calculated. If the serum-ascites albumin gradient is greater than or equal to 1.1 g/dL, ascites is ascribed to portal hypertension with an accuracy of 97%.

Recommendations

1. How should ascites of LC be diagnosed?
- Paracentesis should be performed when Grade 2 or 3 ascites occurs, when there is clinical suspicion of infection, or when there are complications of LC such as encephalopathy or kidney dysfunction. (A1)
 - When the initial paracentesis is performed, a total cell count and differential, albumin, and total protein tests should be performed. A culture of ascitic fluid in blood culture bottles at the bedside is recommended. (A1)
 - If serum-ascites albumin gradient is greater than or equal to 1.1 g/dL, it indicates ascites by portal hypertension. (B1)

4-2. Treatment

Although controversial,¹¹⁸ a low salt diet is considered effective for controlling ascites and shortening hospitalization. Less than 5 g/day of salt (sodium for 2 g, 88 mEq) is recommended. When plasma sodium is lower than 120-125 mEq/L, water intake should be restricted to 1-1.5 L/day.¹¹⁹

4-2-1. Medications

If severe ascites is present, diuretic therapy should be used for negative sodium balance.^{120,121} Secondary hyperaldosteronism in patients with LC induces reabsorption of sodium and water in the distal renal tubule and collecting tubule, consequently causing hypokalemia. Aldosterone antagonists inhibit this mechanism, and hence is mainly used for controlling ascites in patients with LC. Spironolactone has a long half-life but has a slow onset of action, and therefore requires 3-4 days to achieve a stable concentration. It is initiated at a dose of 50-100 mg/day, with a maximum dose of 400 mg/day. Side effects include hyperkalemia, gynecomastia, mastalgia, hyposexuality, and erectile dysfunction.¹²²

Loop diuretics operate by blocking Na-K-2Cl receptors in the thick ascending limb of Henle's loop. They are mostly used along with aldosterone antagonists in patients with LC. Hypokalemia may occur as a side effect, but via such mechanism, hyperkalemia caused by the aldosterone antagonist can be corrected. The starting dose is 20-40 mg/day, with the maximum dose being 160 mg/day. Monotherapy with loop diuretics is less effective than aldosterone antagonist monotherapy. Spironolactone monotherapy can be used initially on patients with ascites.¹²³ Combination therapy of an aldosterone antagonist and a loop diuretic is recommended at first in a ratio of 100:40, which stabilizes plasma potassium levels. Combination therapy shows a faster effect in controlling ascites and lowers the possibility of hyperkalemia as compared to aldosterone monotherapy.¹²⁴

When peripheral edema is present, the rate of weight loss

should be no greater than 1 kg/day. For patients without edema, 0.5 kg/day of weight loss is ideal.^{120,125} If there is no weight loss even with 5 g/day of salt intake, the diet and diuretic dose should be evaluated by examining the amount of urinary sodium excretion per day.^{120,126} Patients with low salt intake should not excrete more than 78 mEq of urinary sodium in 24 hours. In such patients, if urinary sodium excretion is more than 78 mEq, low salt intake is judged not to be followed. When the excretion is less than 78 mEq, the diuretic is considered to be inadequate and the dose needs to be increased. Spot urine Na/K ratio of more than 1 represents 24 hours urine sodium excretion more than 78 mEq.¹²⁷

It is important to check the weight loss, vital sign, changes in consciousness level, and the level of plasma sodium, potassium, and creatinine during diuretic administration. When plasma sodium is more than 126 mEq/L, diuretics can be used without the restriction of water intake. However, when plasma sodium is less than 125 mEq/L, the physician should consider cessation or reduction in the dose of the diuretic and restriction of water intake. If plasma sodium is less than 120 mEq/L, the diuretic and water intake should be stopped and a plasma expander such as albumin should be administered. If plasma sodium is less than 125 mEq/L with kidney dysfunction, the diuretic should be stopped and a plasma expander should be administered.¹²⁸ If plasma potassium is less than 3.5 mEq/L, the dose of loop diuretic should be reduced or stopped. If plasma potassium is more than 5.5 mEq/L, the dose of aldosterone antagonist should be reduced. And if plasma potassium is more than 6.0 mEq/L, the aldosterone antagonist should be ceased.¹²⁰

4-2-2. Therapeutic paracentesis (reference to intractable ascites section)

Therapeutic paracentesis is an effective treatment for tension-type ascites, because it relieves the symptoms more quickly than diuretics and shortens hospitalization (Table 3).¹²⁹

Table 3. Treatment of ascites depending on the grade

	Grade 1, mild	Grade 2, moderate	Grade 3, severe
Low-salt diet	●	○	○
Diuretic		●	○
Large volume paracentesis			●

Grade 1, a small amount of ascites detected in the ultrasound test; Grade 2, ascites of the amount which distends abdomen symmetrically; Grade 3, ascites of the large volume which distends abdomen; ●, major treatment; ○, recommended.

 Recommendations

2. How should ascites of LC be treated?

- Patients with cirrhotic ascites should be advised to take in less than 5 g of salt a day. (B1)
 - When the serum sodium is normal, restriction of water intake is not necessary. (B1)
 - Bed rest is not recommended for the treatment of ascites. (B1)
 - The first-choice diuretic for patients with cirrhotic ascites is aldosterone antagonist. (A1) Loop diuretics can be used along with aldosterone antagonist. (B1) Spironolactone can be used with a starting dose of 50-100 mg/day up to 400 mg/day. To increase the diuretic effects and maintain a normal serum potassium level, 20-40 mg of furosemide should be used with spironolactone (40:100) beginning at the initial stage.
 - When peripheral edema is present, the rate of weight loss should be recommended up to 1 kg/day. For patients without edema, 0.5 kg/day of weight loss should be recommended. (A1)
 - In cases of severe hyponatremia, kidney dysfunction, encephalopathy, or severe muscle spasms, diuretics should be stopped. (B1)
 - In cases of hypokalemia, loop diuretic should be reduced or stopped, and if hyperkalemia occurs, the dose of aldosterone antagonist should be adjusted. (B1)
 - Therapeutic large volume paracentesis is recommended as the first-line treatment for tension-type ascites. (A1)
-

4-3. Refractory ascites and hyponatremia

4-3-1. Refractory ascites

Refractory ascites¹³⁰ is defined as fluid overload that (1) is not controlled despite restriction of sodium intake and the maximum dose of diuretics, and (2) recurs rapidly after paracentesis. There are 2 types of refractory ascites-diuretic resistant and diuretic intractable (Table 4).

Large-volume paracentesis is not considered for every patient as a first-line treatment. It can be selectively performed in occasions in which the patient has difficulties eating or breathing because of abdominal distension. After relieving the symptoms by paracentesis, the maintenance treatment should be administered. In the case of large-volume paracentesis over 5 L, an infusion of 8-10 g of albumin per L is recommended. In the case of paracentesis less than 5 L, although the occurrence of circulatory dysfunction is not frequent, an infusion of volume expander can be considered. Albumin can also be used for this purpose.^{125,131} Medications such as midodrine,¹³² noradrenaline,¹³³ or terlipressin¹³⁴ can be used. Compared with repetitive paracenteses, TIPS is an effective method to prevent the recurrence of ascites and the occurrence hepatorenal syndrome. However, hepatic encephalopathy occurs in 30-50% of cases after TIPS. As 21% of the patients with refractory ascites die within 6 months and the median survival period is also less than 1 year, they should be considered for liver transplantation.¹³⁵

4-3-2. Hyponatremia

The diagnostic criterion for hyponatremia is less than 130 mEq/L.¹¹⁹ A hypervolemic state can be corrected to normal by a negative water balance. Eventually, dilutional hyponatremia can be improved.¹³⁶ Restriction of water intake can prevent a decrease in the serum sodium level.¹²⁵ Hypertonic sodium injection can worsen ascites and edema.¹³⁷ Plasma expanders can be useful in the treatment of hyponatremia.¹³⁸ Vaptan,¹³⁹ a selective vasopressin 2 receptor antagonist of arginine vasopressin, leads to the excretion of

Table 4. Definition and diagnosis of refractory ascites²⁰⁶

Diuretic resistant ascites	Being not responsive to sodium limit and diuretic, ascites is not controlled, and recurs early
Diuretic intractable ascites	Since sufficient amount of diuretic cannot be given for its complication, ascites is not controlled, and recurs early
Required conditions	
Treatment period	For over a week, give sufficient amount of diuretics (spironolactone 400 mg/day and furosemide 160 mg/day) and restriction of sodium intake to 5 g/day
Treatment response	Body weight loss is less than 800 g during 4 days, and the amount of urine sodium excretion is less than the amount taken
Early recurrence of ascite	Within 4 weeks after paracentesis, grade 2 or 3 ascites recurs
Complications	Hepatic encephalopathy Renal dysfunction: after giving diuretics, serum creatinine goes up over 100%, and exceeds 2.0 mg/dL Hyponatremia: serum sodium reduces by over 10 mEq/L, and goes down below 125 mEq/L Hypokalemia or hyperkalemia: serum potassium goes down below 3 mEq/L, or goes up beyond 6 mEq/L

solute-free water. This agent can be used in the treatment of hyponatremia caused by inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion, heart failure, or liver cirrhosis. In the United States and Europe, tolvaptan and conivaptan are approved for the treatment of severe hyponatremia (<125 mEq/L).

Recommendations

3. How should intractable ascites be treated?

- Repetitive large volume paracentesis is recommended in patients with refractory ascites. (A1)
 - In the case of large volume paracentesis, 8-10 g/L (albumin/ascites) is recommended for the prevention of postparacentesis circulation dysfunction. (A1)
 - TIPS can be used for the treatment of refractory ascites. (B1)
 - Because of poor prognosis, liver transplantation is recommended in patients with refractory ascites. (A1)
 - If the serum sodium concentration is less than 120-125 mEq/L, restriction of fluid intake to 1-1.5 L/day is recommended. (A1)
 - Albumin or vaptan can be used in severe dilutional hyponatremia (<125 mEq/L). (B2)
-

4-4. Hepatorenal syndrome

4-4-1. Definition and diagnosis

Renal failure in LC occurs in 2 forms. First, type 1 hepatorenal syndrome is a rapid progressive acute renal dysfunction which occurs due to the strong contraction of the renal vasculature. Type 2 hepatorenal syndrome is a relatively slow process with a rather moderate renal dysfunction. The most important mechanism involved in the occurrence of hepatorenal syndrome is decreased effective blood volume due to the dilation of the splanchnic and peripheral circulation. This situation activates the sympathetic nervous system as well as the renin-angiotensin system and causes functional renal disorder.¹⁴⁰⁻¹⁴²

In 1994, the International Ascites Club announced the diagnostic criteria for hepatorenal syndrome; in 2007, they revised these criteria to providing clearer diagnostic methods and including infectious diseases (Table 5).^{130,143}

4-4-2. Treatment

Dilatation of splanchnic artery and decrease in effective arterial blood volume are the primary mechanisms of hepatorenal syndrome. The effect of albumin monotherapy is not sufficient in this situation.^{144,145} Vasoconstrictors have been used in the treatment of the hepatorenal syndrome, and combination therapy with vasoconstrictors and albumin is effective in hepatorenal syndrome. The combination therapy of terlipressin and albumin improves renal function in 60-75% of the patients.^{144,146-152} Maintenance therapy is possible up to 15 days or until the serum creatinine levels decrease (<1.5 mg/dL). Further, long-term usage (approximately 2 months) can be considered as a bridging therapy prior to liver transplantation.^{153,154} The combination therapy of noradrenaline and albumin can also improve renal function in hepatorenal syndrome.^{155,156} Combination therapy of midodrine and octreotide or triple therapy of midodrine, octreotide, and albumin also meaningfully improve renal function in patients with hepatorenal syndrome.¹⁵⁷⁻¹⁶⁰

After administration of terlipressin, hepatic venous pressure gradient is known to decrease while the renal blood flow increases.^{161,162} Therefore, it can also be used for treatment in patients with secondary renal insufficiency due to variceal bleeding.^{147,163,164}

Although TIPS^{157,165,166} reduces the serum creatinine levels in most patients with hepatorenal syndrome, the effect is slower than the combined use of terlipressin and albumin. In a group that used molecular adsorbent recirculating system¹⁶⁷ in the treatment of hepatorenal syndrome, laboratory findings (serum creatinine, bilirubin, and prothrombin time) and 30-days survival rate were improved compared to the group that underwent intermittent dialysis and drug therapy. Continuous arterio-venous hemofiltration and continuous veno-venous hemofiltration can be considered to minimize the changes in blood pressure.^{168,169}

Table 5. New International Ascites Club's diagnostic criteria of hepatorenal syndrome

-
- 1) Cirrhosis with ascites
 - 2) Serum creatinine >133 mmol/L (1.5 mg/dL)
 - 3) No improvement of serum creatinine (decrease to a level of 133 mmol/L) after at least 2 days with diuretic withdrawal and volume expansion with albumin; the recommended dose of albumin is 1 g/kg of body weight per day up to a maximum of 100 g/day
 - 4) Absence of shock
 - 5) No current or recent treatment with nephrotoxic drugs
 - 6) Absence of parenchymal kidney disease as indicated by proteinuria >500 mg/day, microhematuria (>50 red blood cells/high-power field), and/or abnormal renal ultrasound.
-

4-4-3. Prevention

Hepatorenal syndrome can be prevented by inhibiting the decrease of plasma volume. Diuretics and lactulose should be used carefully to prevent excessive fluid loss.^{132,133,170,171}

In patients with SBP, the use of albumin and antibiotics reduce the incidence of hepatorenal syndrome.^{172,173} The use of oral norfloxacin has been shown to reduce the occurrence of hepatorenal syndrome and increase 3-month survival rates in patients with low serum protein (<1.5 g/dL) or renal insufficiency (creatinine \geq 1.2 mg/dL, or blood urea nitrogen \geq 25 mg/dL, or serum Na \leq 130 mEq/L).¹⁷⁴ Administration of pentoxifylline improved survival rates as compared to corticosteroids in severe acute alcoholic hepatitis patients (Maddrey's discriminant factor \geq 32).¹⁷⁵⁻¹⁷⁷

Recommendations

4. How should hepatorenal syndrome be treated?

- In type 1 hepatorenal syndrome, combination therapy of terlipressin and albumin can improve renal function. (A1)
 - In type 1 hepatorenal syndrome, combination therapy of midodrine, octreotide, and albumin can be considered. (B2)
 - The best treatment for type 1 hepatorenal syndrome is liver transplantation. (A1)
 - In high risk patients who have ascites accompanied by SBP, the use of albumin can decrease the incidence of hepatorenal syndrome. (A1)
-

4-5. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

4-5-1. Definition and diagnosis

SBP is bacterial infection of ascites, without an evident intra-abdominal, surgically treatable source of infection. It occurs in 10-30% of the patients with cirrhotic ascites and recurs in 70% of the patients within 1 year even if treated.^{126,178}

SBP can be diagnosed in patients with findings of ascitic polymorphonuclear leukocyte (PMN) \geq 250/mm³ and bacteria in the ascitic culture without an evident intra-abdominal infection. If ascites fluid contains red blood cells, PMN is calculated by subtracting 1/mm³ per red blood cell 750/mm³. Culture-negative neutrocytic ascites is a condition where ascitic PMN \geq 250/mm³ but no cultured bacteria are observed. These patients show a clinical course mostly similar to patients with SBP. Empiric antibiotics treatment is recommended. Monomicrobial non-neutrocytic bacterascites is a condition with ascitic PMN <250/mm³, but cultured single-strain bacteria being observed.

4-5-2. Treatment

Administration of third generation cephalosporin is recommended. Cefotaxime is recommended at a dose of 2 g every 6-8 hours by intravenous injection. Subsequently, selective antibiotic therapy based on the result of ascites culture should be administered for 5-10 days.¹⁷⁹ Treatment duration varies according to the symptoms and/or results of antibiotic sensitivity. Albumin during the treatment of SBP, especially in patients with renal dysfunction, can be helpful.¹⁷³

4-5-3. Prevention

If a patient presents acute gastrointestinal bleeding, administration of norfloxacin at a dose of 400 mg twice daily for 7 days orally or ceftriaxone at a dose of 1 g daily for 7 days intravenously is effective in preventing SBP. In patients with a concentration of protein in ascites fluid less than 1.5 g/dL or bilirubin in plasma is more than 2.5 mg/dL, administration of norfloxacin at a dose of 400 mg daily for longer than 6 months orally is effective in prolonging the survival.

Recommendations

5. How should SBP be diagnosed and treated?

- If SBP is suspected and the result of paracentesis show a PMN of more than 250/mm³, empirical antibiotic therapy should be started immediately without results of ascitic fluid culture. (A1)
 - Third-generation cephalosporine is recommended as an initial antibiotic. (A1)
 - The patient with symptoms or signs of infection should receive empirical antibiotics while awaiting of ascitic fluid culture even if the number of PMN is less than 250/mm³. (A1)
 - If the secondary bacterial peritonitis suspected, an imaging study such as a CT should be performed (A1), and additional examinations such as those for total protein, LDH, glucose, or gram staining can be performed. (B1)
 - If the patient has a history of SBP, gastrointestinal bleeding, or protein in ascites less than 1.5 g/dL, although there is no gastrointestinal bleeding, prophylactic antibiotics should be considered because the chance of SBP is high. (B1)
-

5. Hepatic encephalopathy

5-1. Definition

Hepatic encephalopathy is a neuropsychiatric syndrome that follows liver dysfunction. Patients with hepatic encephalopathy can show various neurological illnesses

Table 6. Classification of hepatic encephalopathy¹⁸⁰

HE type	Nomenclature	Subcategory	Subdivisions
A	Encephalopathy associated with acute liver failure		
B	Encephalopathy associated with portal-systemic bypass and no intrinsic hepatocellular disease		
C	Encephalopathy associated with cirrhosis and portal hypertension/or systemic shunts	Episodic HE	Precipitated Spontaneous Recurrent
		Persistent HE	Mild Severe Treatment-dependent
		Minimal HE	

HE, hepatic encephalopathy.

Table 7. West-Haven criteria for hepatic encephalopathy¹⁸³

Grade	Consciousness	Intellect and behavior	Neurologic findings
0	Normal	Normal	Normal examination; if impaired psychomotor testing then MHE
1	Mild lack of awareness	Shortened attention span; impaired addition or subtraction	Mild asterixis or tremor
2	Lethargic	Disoriented; inappropriate behavior	Obvious asterixis; slurred speech
3	Somnolent but arousable	Gross disorientation; bizarre behavior	Muscular rigidity and clonus; hyperreflexia
4	Coma	Coma	Decerebrate posturing

MHE, minimal hepatic encephalopathy.

such as cognition and orientation disorders. Hepatic encephalopathy is classified into 3 groups according to the causative liver disease (Table 6).^{180,181}

5-2. Diagnosis

Hepatic encephalopathy is generally accompanied by advanced liver disease; therefore, muscle weakness, jaundice, ascites, palmar erythema, edema, spider telangiectasias, and fetor hepaticus can be noted on physical examination. Clinicians should check for gastrointestinal hemorrhage, uremia, use of anti-psychotics or diuretics, protein hyperingestion, infection, constipation, dehydration, electrolyte imbalance, etc.¹⁸² Common symptoms include concentration disorders, sleep disorders, and movement disorders, including lethargy or coma. The severity of hepatic encephalopathy can be evaluated using the West Haven criteria (Table 7).¹⁸³

Venous levels of ammonia are not helpful because they are not proportional to the severity of hepatic encephalopathy and some patients with severe hepatic encephalopathy have

normal venous ammonia levels.¹⁸⁴

Brain MRI is considered better than brain CT in the diagnosis of brain edema accompanying hepatic failure, but this is not true for hepatic encephalopathy. Brain CT is useful when differentiating between organic causes of neuropsychiatric disorders such as intracranial hemorrhage.¹⁸⁵

5-3. Treatment

The goal of treatment is to prevent secondary damage caused by decreased consciousness, normalize the patient's state of consciousness, prevent recurrence, and to improve the prognosis and quality of life by eliminating the social and economic restrictions caused by hepatic encephalopathy. The precipitating factor can be identified in more than 80% of patients with hepatic encephalopathy.¹⁸² The currently known precipitating factors of hepatic encephalopathy and the corresponding tests and treatments are shown in Table 8.¹⁸⁶

Table 8. Precipitating factors, tests, and treatment of hepatic encephalopathy¹⁸⁶

Precipitating factor	Tests	Treatment
Gastrointestinal bleeding	Endoscopy, complete blood count, digital rectal examination, stool blood test	Transfusion, bleeding treatment, vasoactive drugs
Infection	Complete blood count, chest x-ray, urine analysis, culture, diagnostic paracentesis	Broad-spectrum antibiotics
Constipation	History taking, abdomen x-ray	Enema or drug therapy
Protein intake	History taking	Limiting protein intake
Dehydration	Skin elasticity, blood pressure, pulse rate, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine	Stop diuretics or reduction, fluid therapy
Renal dysfunction	Blood urea nitrogen, creatinine	Stop diuretics or reduction, albumin, fluid therapy
Hyponatremia	Serum sodium concentration	Restriction water consumption, diuretics dose adjustment or stop
Hypokalemia	Serum potassium concentration	Diuretics dose adjustment or stop
Benzodiazepines	History taking	Stop drug, flumazenil
Acute liver dysfunction	Liver function test	Conservative treatment

5-3-1. Medications

The primary treatment of hepatic encephalopathy is nonabsorbable disaccharides such as lactulose (β -galactosidofructose) or lactitol (β -galactoside sorbitol). These treatments lead to the recovery of 70-90% of patients with hepatic encephalopathy. Although nonabsorbable disaccharides have been reported to have no significant effect on hepatic encephalopathy,¹⁸⁷ randomized controlled studies have indicated a positive effect of lactulose in the treatment and prevention of hepatic encephalopathy.^{188,189} Enema with nonabsorbable disaccharides can be used until the consciousness is recovered. After consciousness is restored, nonabsorbable disaccharides (15-45 mL, orally 2-4 times/day) should be recommended for loose stool defecation 2-3 times a day.

Antibiotics such as neomycin, metronidazole, and rifaximin that are not absorbed by the intestine, affect urea-producing bacteria and reduce the generation of ammonia, thereby improving hepatic encephalopathy. Neomycin and metronidazole are not recommended as atreatment of hepatic encephalopathy because of their side effects such as intestinal malabsorption, nephrotoxicity, and ototoxicity for neomycin and peripheral neuropathy for metronidazole.¹⁹⁰ Rifaximin maintains high levels of concentration in the intestine because it is not absorbed by the intestine and remains in an active form until it is excreted. It has a broad antimicrobial activity on aerobic and anaerobic gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. It has been proven effective and safe in hepatic encephalopathy,¹⁹¹⁻¹⁹³

and recently has been focused upon as a first-line treatment for hepatic encephalopathy with a maximum dose of 1,200 mg/day.

Ornithine and aspartate are important substrates used to metabolize ammonia to urea and glutamine. L-ornithine-L-aspartate (LOLA) can therefore be administered to patients with hepatic encephalopathy for reducing blood ammonia levels, with subsequent improvements in hepatic encephalopathy. LOLA is available in oral and injection forms, both of which are available in Korea currently.

5-3-2. Liver transplantation

Liver transplantation is indicated in patients with severe hepatic encephalopathy, who do not respond to the above treatments. Patient with acute liver failure who shows hepatic encephalopathy are also considered for liver transplantation because of the poor prognosis.¹⁹⁴

5-3-3. Prevention of relapse

Because the recurrence rate of hepatic encephalopathy is 50-70%,^{189,195,196} therapy for the prevention of recurrence should be considered. Lactulose¹⁸⁹ or rifaximin¹⁹⁶ have been used for the prevention of recurrence.

5-4. Minimal hepatic encephalopathy

5-4-1. Definition and diagnosis

Minimal hepatic encephalopathy is a mild form of hepatic encephalopathy that is defined as a cognitive dysfunction presenting an abnormal psychometric tests without clinical

symptoms.¹⁸⁰ Overall, 22-74% of patients with non-fulminant hepatic encephalopathy have minimal hepatic encephalopathy,¹⁹⁷ and its frequency is proportional to patient age and severity of liver disease.¹⁹⁷ It is impossible to diagnose minimal hepatic encephalopathy on clinical examination alone. Only mild disturbances in cognitive and psychomotor functions can be observed. Patients with minimal hepatic encephalopathy exhibit disability in most functional behaviors such as social connection, alertness, emotional behavior, sleep, work, and leisure.^{188,198}

Mini-Mental State Examination can be useful in differentiating West Haven criteria 0 and stage 1-2, and if the score is 23 or lower, it suggests that the patient has overt hepatic encephalopathy causing a cognitive disorder and is not a primary target for psychometric tests.¹⁹⁹ Since there are still no decisive diagnostic tests, psychometric hepatic encephalopathy score battery is recommended as a standard method consisting of number connection test-A, number connection test-B, line drawing test, serial dotting test, and digit symbol test, and its benefits have been proven in studies from Spain, Germany, India, and Korea.^{181,200-202}

5-4-2. Treatment

Cognition and health-related quality of life improve significantly in the treatment group compared to placebo group.¹⁸⁸ It has been reported that microviral agents (e.g., probiotics, synbiotics, etc.) improve minimal encephalopathy by changing intestinal normal flora and suppressing the production of ammonia.²⁰³ Even though reports are displayed that LOLA²⁰⁴ and acetyl L-carnitine²⁰⁵ improved minimal encephalopathy, there is no evidence regarding its effectiveness.

Recommendations

1. What are the precipitating factors of hepatic encephalopathy?
 - Precipitating factors of hepatic encephalopathy are gastrointestinal bleeding, infection, constipation, excessive intake of protein, dehydration, renal function disorder, electrolyte imbalance, psychoactive medication, and acute hepatic injury. (A1)
 2. How should hepatic encephalopathy be treated?
 - Nonabsorbent disaccharides (ex. lactulose, lactitol) (A1) and rifaximin (B1) are recommended for treating patients with hepatic encephalopathy. Nonabsorbable disaccharides can be used to adjust the bowel movement-loose stool (2-3 times/day), and rifaximin 1,200 mg should be given
-

orally in 2-3 divided doses for 1-3 weeks.

- A lactulose enema is recommended in severe hepatic encephalopathy (West Haven grade \geq III). (A1)
 - LOLA can be used in patients with hepatic encephalopathy, and LOLA of 20 g can be injected daily for 1-2 weeks or LOLA of 6 g can be given orally 3 times per day for 1-2 weeks. (B2)
 - Flumazenil can be used in patients with hepatic encephalopathy caused by benzodiazepine for the improvement of consciousness. (B2)
 - In patients who do not respond to treatment or acute liver injury with hepatic encephalopathy, liver transplantation is recommended. (A1)
 - In patients with a history of hepatic encephalopathy, nonabsorbable disaccharide can be used until patients have loose stools 2-3 times a day (A1), or 600 mg of rifaximin can be used twice a day (B1).
-

3. How protein be supplied to patients with hepatic encephalopathy?

- Protein intake should be restricted in patients with initial hepatic encephalopathy, and gradually can be increased according to the patient's condition. (B1)
 - Oral branched-chain amino acids can be used as a protein source in case of worsening or recurrence of hepatic encephalopathy due to as a consequence of high protein intake. (B2)
-

4. Is it necessary to examine and treat minimal hepatic encephalopathy for patients with LC?

- In patients with LC, if there are any symptoms of low cognitive function, tests and treatment for minimal hepatic encephalopathy can be considered. (B1)
-

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea (A102065) and the Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL).

The Korean version of this guideline is available on the KASL web site (<http://www.kasl.org/>). This version is revision and update of the clinical practice guideline established by the Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL) in 2005.

Conflict of interest

Authors attest that there are no commercial associations that might be a conflict of interest in relation to the submitted manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Lee HS, Kim JK, Cheong JY, Han EJ, An SY, Song JH, et al. Prediction of compensated liver cirrhosis by ultrasonography and routine blood tests in patients with chronic viral hepatitis. *Korean J Hepatol* 2010;16:369-375.
2. Aubé C, Oberti F, Korali N, Namour MA, Loisel D, Tanguy JY, et al. Ultrasonographic diagnosis of hepatic fibrosis or cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 1999;30:472-478.
3. Colli A, Fraquelli M, Andreoletti M, Marino B, Zuccoli E, Conte D. Severe liver fibrosis or cirrhosis: accuracy of US for detection--analysis of 300 cases. *Radiology* 2003;227:89-94.
4. Gaiani S, Gramantieri L, Venturoli N, Piscaglia F, Siringo S, D'Errico A, et al. What is the criterion for differentiating chronic hepatitis from compensated cirrhosis? A prospective study comparing ultrasonography and percutaneous liver biopsy. *J Hepatol* 1997;27:979-985.
5. Hung CH, Lu SN, Wang JH, Lee CM, Chen TM, Tung HD, et al. Correlation between ultrasonographic and pathologic diagnoses of hepatitis B and C virus-related cirrhosis. *J Gastroenterol* 2003;38:153-157.
6. Kudo M, Zheng RQ, Kim SR, Okabe Y, Osaki Y, Iijima H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of imaging for liver cirrhosis compared to histologically proven liver cirrhosis. A multicenter collaborative study. *Intervirol* 2008;51(Suppl 1):17-26.
7. Di Lelio A, Cestari C, Lomazzi A, Beretta L. Cirrhosis: diagnosis with sonographic study of the liver surface. *Radiology* 1989;172:389-392.
8. Baik SK, Kim JW, Kim HS, Kwon SO, Kim YJ, Park JW, et al. Recent variceal bleeding: Doppler US hepatic vein waveform in assessment of severity of portal hypertension and vasoactive drug response. *Radiology* 2006;240:574-580.
9. Harbin WP, Robert NJ, Ferrucci JT Jr. Diagnosis of cirrhosis based on regional changes in hepatic morphology: a radiological and pathological analysis. *Radiology* 1980;135:273-283.
10. Awaya H, Mitchell DG, Kamishima T, Holland G, Ito K, Matsumoto T. Cirrhosis: modified caudate-right lobe ratio. *Radiology* 2002;224:769-774.
11. Park YN, Kim HG, Chon CY, Park JB, Sohn JH, Yang SH, et al. Histological grading and staging of chronic hepatitis. *Korean J Pathol* 1999;33:337-346.
12. Rockey DC, Bissell DM. Noninvasive measures of liver fibrosis. *Hepatology* 2006;43(Suppl 1):S113-S120.
13. Friedman SL. Molecular regulation of hepatic fibrosis, an integrated cellular response to tissue injury. *J Biol Chem* 2000;275:2247-2250.
14. Bataller R, Brenner DA. Liver fibrosis. *J Clin Invest* 2005;115:209-218.
15. Dienstag JL, Goldin RD, Heathcote EJ, Hann HW, Woessner M, Stephenson SL, et al. Histological outcome during long-term lamivudine therapy. *Gastroenterology* 2003;124:105-117.
16. Yokosuka O, Takaguchi K, Fujioka S, Shindo M, Chayama K, Kobashi H, et al. Long-term use of entecavir in nucleoside-naïve Japanese patients with chronic hepatitis B infection. *J Hepatol* 2010;52:791-799.
17. Marcellin P, Chang TT, Lim SG, Sievert W, Tong M, Arterburn S, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2008;48:750-758.
18. Schiff E, Simsek H, Lee WM, Chao YC, Sette H Jr, Janssen HL, et al. Efficacy and safety of entecavir in patients with chronic hepatitis B and advanced hepatic fibrosis or cirrhosis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;103:2776-2783.
19. Hadziyannis SJ, Tassopoulos NC, Heathcote EJ, Chang TT, Kitis G, Rizzetto M, et al. Long-term therapy with adefovir dipivoxil for HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B for up to 5 years. *Gastroenterology* 2006;131:1743-1751.
20. Poynard T, Massard J, Rudler M, Varaud A, Lebray P, Moussalli J, et al. Impact of interferon-alpha treatment on liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B: an overview of published trials. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 2009;33:916-922.
21. Bourlière M, Kahloun A, Gascou-Tessonier G. Analogs and fibrosis regression in hepatitis B. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 2009;33:923-929.
22. Chang TT, Gish RG, de Man R, Gadano A, Sollano J, Chao YC, et al. A comparison of entecavir and lamivudine for HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:1001-1010.
23. Lai CL, Shouval D, Lok AS, Chang TT, Cheinquer H, Goodman Z, et al. Entecavir versus lamivudine for patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:1011-1020.
24. Marcellin P, Heathcote EJ, Buti M, Gane E, de Man RA, Krastev Z, et al. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate versus adefovir dipivoxil for chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2008;359:2442-2455.
25. Buster EH, Hansen BE, Buti M, Delwaide J, Niederau C, Michielsen PP, et al. Peginterferon alpha-2b is safe and effective in HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B patients with advanced fibrosis. *Hepatology* 2007;46:388-394.
26. Liaw YF, Sung JJ, Chow WC, Farrell G, Lee CZ, Yuen H, et al. Lamivudine for patients with chronic hepatitis B and advanced liver disease. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:1521-1531.
27. Chang TT, Liaw YF, Wu SS, Schiff E, Han KH, Lai CL, et al. Long-term entecavir therapy results in the reversal of fibrosis/cirrhosis and continued histological improvement in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2010;52:886-893.
28. Lai CL, Gane E, Liaw YF, Hsu CW, Thongsawat S, Wang Y, et al. Telbivudine versus lamivudine in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:2576-2588.
29. Shiffman ML, Hofmann CM, Contos MJ, Luketic VA, Sanyal AJ, Sterling RK, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of maintenance interferon therapy for patients with chronic hepatitis C virus and persistent viremia. *Gastroenterology* 1999;117:1164-1172.
30. Arase Y, Ikeda K, Suzuki F, Suzuki Y, Kobayashi M, Akuta N, et al. Prolonged-interferon therapy reduces hepatocarcinogenesis in aged-patients with chronic hepatitis C. *J Med Virol* 2007;79:1095-1102.
31. Nomura H, Kashiwagi Y, Hirano R, Tanimoto H, Tsutsumi N, Higashi M, et al. Efficacy of low dose long-term interferon monotherapy in aged patients with chronic hepatitis C genotype 1 and its relation to alpha-fetoprotein: A pilot study. *Hepatol Res* 2007;37:490-497.
32. Saito Y, Saito H, Tada S, Nakamoto N, Horikawa H, Kurita S, et al. Effect of long-term interferon therapy for refractory chronic hepatitis c: preventive effect on hepatocarcinogenesis. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2005;52:1491-1496.
33. Akuta N, Suzuki F, Kawamura Y, Yatsuji H, Sezaki H, Suzuki Y, et al. Efficacy of low-dose intermittent interferon-alpha monotherapy in patients infected with hepatitis C virus genotype 1b who were predicted or failed to respond to pegylated interferon plus ribavirin combination therapy. *J Med Virol* 2008;80:1363-1369.
34. Di Bisceglie AM, Shiffman ML, Everson GT, Lindsay KL, Everhart JE, Wright EC, et al. Prolonged therapy of advanced chronic hepatitis C with low-dose peginterferon. *N Engl J Med* 2008;359:2429-2441.
35. Veldt BJ, Lainé F, Guillygomarc'h A, Lauvin L, Boudjema K, Messner M, et al. Indication of liver transplantation in severe alcoholic liver cirrhosis: quantitative evaluation and optimal timing. *J Hepatol* 2002;36:93-98.
36. Rafiq N, Younossi ZM. Effects of weight loss on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Semin Liver Dis* 2008;28:427-433.
37. Kim HK, Park JY, Lee KU, Lee GE, Jeon SH, Kim JH, et al. Effect of body weight and lifestyle changes on long-term course of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Koreans. *Am J Med Sci* 2009;337:98-102.

38. St George A, Bauman A, Johnston A, Farrell G, Chey T, George J. Independent effects of physical activity in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2009;50:68-76.
39. Johnson NA, Sachinwalla T, Walton DW, Smith K, Armstrong A, Thompson MW, et al. Aerobic exercise training reduces hepatic and visceral lipids in obese individuals without weight loss. *Hepatology* 2009;50:1105-1112.
40. Socha P, Horvath A, Vajro P, Dziechciarz P, Dhawan A, Szajewska H. Pharmacological interventions for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in adults and in children: a systematic review. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2009;48:587-596.
41. Belfort R, Harrison SA, Brown K, Darland C, Finch J, Hardies J, et al. A placebo-controlled trial of pioglitazone in subjects with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:2297-2307.
42. Aithal GP, Thomas JA, Kaye PV, Lawson A, Ryder SD, Spendlove I, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of pioglitazone in nondiabetic subjects with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Gastroenterology* 2008;135:1176-1184.
43. Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Brunt EM, Wehmeier KR, Oliver D, Bacon BR. Improved nonalcoholic steatohepatitis after 48 weeks of treatment with the PPAR-gamma ligand rosiglitazone. *Hepatology* 2003;38:1008-1017.
44. Sanyal AJ, Chalasani N, Kowdley KV, McCullough A, Diehl AM, Bass NM, et al. Pioglitazone, vitamin E, or placebo for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:1675-1685.
45. Sanyal AJ, Mofrad PS, Contos MJ, Sargeant C, Luketic VA, Sterling RK, et al. A pilot study of vitamin E versus vitamin E and pioglitazone for the treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;2:1107-1115.
46. Harrison SA, Torgerson S, Hayashi P, Ward J, Schenker S. Vitamin E and vitamin C treatment improves fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003;98:2485-2490.
47. Bendich A, Machlin LJ. Safety of oral intake of vitamin E. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1988;48:612-619.
48. Miller ER 3rd, Pastor-Barriuso R, Dalal D, Riemersma RA, Appel LJ, Guallar E. Meta-analysis: high-dosage vitamin E supplementation may increase all-cause mortality. *Ann Intern Med* 2005;142:37-46.
49. Lindor KD, Kowdley KV, Heathcote EJ, Harrison ME, Jorgensen R, Angulo P, et al. Ursodeoxycholic acid for treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: results of a randomized trial. *Hepatology* 2004;39:770-778.
50. Leuschner UF, Lindenthal B, Herrmann G, Arnold JC, Rössle M, Cordes HJ, et al. High-dose ursodeoxycholic acid therapy for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Hepatology* 2010;52:472-479.
51. Marchesini G, Brizi M, Bianchi G, Tomassetti S, Zoli M, Melchionda N. Metformin in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Lancet* 2001;358:893-894.
52. Misbin RI, Green L, Stadel BV, Gueriguian JL, Gubbi A, Fleming GA. Lactic acidosis in patients with diabetes treated with metformin. *N Engl J Med* 1998;338:265-266.
53. Parés A, Caballería L, Rodés J. Excellent long-term survival in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis and biochemical response to ursodeoxycholic acid. *Gastroenterology* 2006;130:715-720.
54. Poupon RE, Balkau B, Eschwege E, Poupon R. A multicenter, controlled trial of ursodiol for the treatment of primary biliary cirrhosis. UDCA-PBC Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1991;324:1548-1554.
55. Poupon RE, Poupon R, Balkau B. Ursodiol for the long-term treatment of primary biliary cirrhosis. The UDCA-PBC Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1994;330:1342-1347.
56. Poupon RE, Lindor KD, Cauch-Dudek K, Dickson ER, Poupon R, Heathcote EJ. Combined analysis of randomized controlled trials of ursodeoxycholic acid in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 1997;113:884-890.
57. Rust C, Sauter GH, Oswald M, Büttner J, Kullak-Ublick GA, Paumgartner G, et al. Effect of cholestyramine on bile acid pattern and synthesis during administration of ursodeoxycholic acid in man. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2000;30:135-139.
58. Giannini EG, Zaman A, Kreil A, Floreani A, Dulbecco P, Testa E, et al. Platelet count/spleen diameter ratio for the noninvasive diagnosis of esophageal varices: results of a multicenter, prospective, validation study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;101:2511-2519.
59. Bosch J, Abraldes JG. Variceal bleeding: pharmacological therapy. *Dig Dis* 2005;23:18-29.
60. Franchis R, Dellera A, Fazzini L, Zatelli S, Savojarado V, Primignani M. Evaluation and follow-up of patients with portal hypertension and oesophageal varices: how and when. *Dig Liver Dis* 2001;33:643-646.
61. de Franchis R, Pascal JP, Ancona E, Burroughs AK, Henderson M, Fleig W, et al. Definitions, methodology and therapeutic strategies in portal hypertension. A Consensus Development Workshop, Baveno, Lake Maggiore, Italy, April 5 and 6, 1990. *J Hepatol* 1992;15:256-261.
62. Beppu K, Inokuchi K, Koyanagi N, Nakayama S, Sakata H, Kitano S, et al. Prediction of variceal hemorrhage by esophageal endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1981;27:213-218.
63. Grace ND, Groszmann RJ, Garcia-Tsao G, Burroughs AK, Pagliaro L, Makuch RW, et al. Portal hypertension and variceal bleeding: an AASLD single topic symposium. *Hepatology* 1998;28:868-880.
64. Garcia-Tsao G, Sanyal AJ, Grace ND, Carey W; Practice Guidelines Committee of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. Prevention and management of gastroesophageal varices and variceal hemorrhage in cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2007;46:922-938.
65. Abraldes JG, Bosch J. Somatostatin and analogues in portal hypertension. *Hepatology* 2002;35:1305-1312.
66. Garcia-Tsao G, Bosch J. Management of varices and variceal hemorrhage in cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:823-832.
67. Bernard B, Grangé JD, Khac EN, Amiot X, Opolon P, Poynard T. Antibiotic prophylaxis for the prevention of bacterial infections in cirrhotic patients with gastrointestinal bleeding: a meta-analysis. *Hepatology* 1999;29:1655-1661.
68. Bañares R, Albillos A, Rincón D, Alonso S, González M, Ruiz-del-Arbol L, et al. Endoscopic treatment versus endoscopic plus pharmacologic treatment for acute variceal bleeding: a meta-analysis. *Hepatology* 2002;35:609-615.
69. Groszmann RJ, Garcia-Tsao G, Bosch J, Grace ND, Burroughs AK, Planas R, et al. Beta-blockers to prevent gastroesophageal varices in patients with cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med* 2005;353:2254-2261.
70. de Franchis R; Baveno V Faculty. Revising consensus in portal hypertension: report of the Baveno V consensus workshop on methodology of diagnosis and therapy in portal hypertension. *J Hepatol* 2010;53:762-768.
71. D'Amico G, Pagliaro L, Bosch J. Pharmacological treatment of portal hypertension: an evidence-based approach. *Semin Liver Dis* 1999;19:475-505.
72. de Franchis R. Updating consensus in portal hypertension: report of the Baveno III Consensus Workshop on definitions, methodology and therapeutic strategies in portal hypertension. *J Hepatol* 2000;33:846-852.
73. Suk KT, Kim MY, Park DH, Kim KH, Jo KW, Hong JH, et al. Effect of propranolol on portal pressure and systemic hemodynamics in patients with liver cirrhosis and portal hypertension: a prospective study. *Gut Liver* 2007;1:159-164.
74. Khuroo MS, Khuroo NS, Farahat KL, Khuroo YS, Sofi AA, Dahab ST. Meta-analysis: endoscopic variceal ligation for primary prophylaxis of

- oesophageal variceal bleeding. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;21:347-361.
75. Garcia-Pagan JC, Bosch J. Endoscopic band ligation in the treatment of portal hypertension. *Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005;2:526-535.
 76. Kumar A, Jha SK, Sharma P, Dubey S, Tyagi P, Sharma BC, et al. Addition of propranolol and isosorbide mononitrate to endoscopic variceal ligation does not reduce variceal rebleeding incidence. *Gastroenterology* 2009;137:892-901, 901.e1.
 77. Tripathi D, Ferguson JW, Kochar N, Leithead JA, Therapondos G, McAvoy NC, et al. Randomized controlled trial of carvedilol versus variceal band ligation for the prevention of the first variceal bleed. *Hepatology* 2009;50:825-833.
 78. Sarin SK, Wadhawan M, Agarwal SR, Tyagi P, Sharma BC. Endoscopic variceal ligation plus propranolol versus endoscopic variceal ligation alone in primary prophylaxis of variceal bleeding. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005;100:797-804.
 79. Gournay J, Masliah C, Martin T, Perrin D, Galmiche JP. Isosorbide mononitrate and propranolol compared with propranolol alone for the prevention of variceal rebleeding. *Hepatology* 2000;31:1239-1245.
 80. Laine L, Cook D. Endoscopic ligation compared with sclerotherapy for treatment of esophageal variceal bleeding. A meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 1995;123:280-287.
 81. Lo GH, Lai KH, Cheng JS, Chen MH, Huang HC, Hsu PI, et al. Endoscopic variceal ligation plus nadolol and sucralfate compared with ligation alone for the prevention of variceal rebleeding: a prospective, randomized trial. *Hepatology* 2000;32:461-465.
 82. de la Peña J, Brullet E, Sanchez-Hernández E, Rivero M, Vergara M, Martin-Lorente JL, et al. Variceal ligation plus nadolol compared with ligation for prophylaxis of variceal rebleeding: a multicenter trial. *Hepatology* 2005;41:572-578.
 83. Boyer TD, Haskal ZI; American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. The role of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in the management of portal hypertension. *Hepatology* 2005;41:386-400.
 84. Henderson JM. Salvage therapies for refractory variceal hemorrhage. *Clin Liver Dis* 2001;5:709-725.
 85. Wright AS, Rikkers LF. Current management of portal hypertension. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2005;9:992-1005.
 86. Thalheimer U, Mela M, Patch D, Burroughs AK. Prevention of variceal rebleeding. *Lancet* 2003;361:2244-2245.
 87. Sarin SK, Lahoti D, Saxena SP, Murthy NS, Makwana UK. Prevalence, classification and natural history of gastric varices: a long-term follow-up study in 568 portal hypertension patients. *Hepatology* 1992;16:1343-1349.
 88. Lo GH, Lai KH, Cheng JS, Huang RL, Wang SJ, Chiang HT. Prevalence of paraesophageal varices and gastric varices in patients achieving variceal obliteration by banding ligation and by injection sclerotherapy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;49:428-436.
 89. Shiha G, El-Sayed SS. Gastric variceal ligation: a new technique. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;49:437-441.
 90. Lee TH, Shih LN. Clinical experience of endoscopic banding ligation for bleeding gastric varices. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2008;55:766-769.
 91. Kim JW, Baik SK, Kim KH, Kim HJ, Jo KW, Hong JH, et al. Effect of endoscopic sclerotherapy using N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate in patients with gastric variceal bleeding. *Korean J Hepatol* 2006;12:394-403.
 92. Joo HS, Jang JY, Eun SH, Kim SK, Jung IS, Ryu CB, et al. Long-term results of endoscopic histoacryl (N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate) injection for treatment of gastric varices-a 10-year experience. *Korean J Gastroenterol* 2007;49:320-326.
 93. Lo GH, Lai KH, Cheng JS, Chen MH, Chiang HT. A prospective, randomized trial of butyl cyanoacrylate injection versus band ligation in the management of bleeding gastric varices. *Hepatology* 2001;33:1060-1064.
 94. Huang YH, Yeh HZ, Chen GH, Chang CS, Wu CY, Poon SK, et al. Endoscopic treatment of bleeding gastric varices by N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (Histoacryl) injection: long-term efficacy and safety. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2000;52:160-167.
 95. Akahoshi T, Hashizume M, Shimabukuro R, Tanoue K, Tomikawa M, Okita K, et al. Long-term results of endoscopic Histoacryl injection sclerotherapy for gastric variceal bleeding: a 10-year experience. *Surgery* 2002;131(Suppl):S176-S181.
 96. Seewald S, Ang TL, Imazu H, Naga M, Omar S, Groth S, et al. A standardized injection technique and regimen ensures success and safety of N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate injection for the treatment of gastric fundal varices (with videos). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2008;68:447-454.
 97. Kim T, Shijo H, Kokawa H, Tokumitsu H, Kubara K, Ota K, et al. Risk factors for hemorrhage from gastric fundal varices. *Hepatology* 1997;25:307-312.
 98. Oho K, Iwao T, Sumino M, Toyonaga A, Tanikawa K. Ethanolamine oleate versus butyl cyanoacrylate for bleeding gastric varices: a nonrandomized study. *Endoscopy* 1995;27:349-354.
 99. Sarin SK, Jain AK, Jain M, Gupta R. A randomized controlled trial of cyanoacrylate versus alcohol injection in patients with isolated fundic varices. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;97:1010-1015.
 100. Korula J, Chin K, Ko Y, Yamada S. Demonstration of two distinct subsets of gastric varices. Observations during a seven-year study of endoscopic sclerotherapy. *Dig Dis Sci* 1991;36:303-309.
 101. Mahadeva S, Bellamy MC, Kessel D, Davies MH, Millson CE. Cost-effectiveness of N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (histoacryl) glue injections versus transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in the management of acute gastric variceal bleeding. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003;98:2688-2693.
 102. Noh DY, Park SY, Joo SY, Park CH, Lee WS, Joo YE, et al. Therapeutic effect of the endoscopic N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate injection for acute esophagogastric variceal bleeding: comparison with transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt. *Korean J Gastroenterol* 2004;43:186-1895.
 103. Choi YH, Yoon CJ, Park JH, Chung JW, Kwon JW, Choi GM. Balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration for gastric variceal bleeding: its feasibility compared with transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt. *Korean J Radiol* 2003;4:109-116.
 104. Baik GH, Kim DJ, Lee HG, Min SK, Kong SJ, Kim JB, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration in the treatment of gastric varices in cirrhotic patients with gastrosplenic shunt. *Korean J Gastroenterol* 2004;43:196-203.
 105. Hirota S, Matsumoto S, Tomita M, Sako M, Kono M. Retrograde transvenous obliteration of gastric varices. *Radiology* 1999;211:349-356.
 106. Kim ES, Park SY, Kwon KT, Lee DS, Park MJ, Chung IK, et al. The clinical usefulness of balloon occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration in gastric variceal bleeding. *Korean J Hepatol* 2003;9:315-323.
 107. Henderson JM, Boyer TD, Kutner MH, Galloway JR, Rikkers LF, Jeffers LJ, et al. Distal splenorenal shunt versus transjugular intrahepatic portal systematic shunt for variceal bleeding: a randomized trial. *Gastroenterology* 2006;130:1643-1651.
 108. Mishra SR, Sharma BC, Kumar A, Sarin SK. Primary prophylaxis of gastric variceal bleeding comparing cyanoacrylate injection and beta-blockers: a randomized controlled trial. *J Hepatol* 2011;54:1161-1167.
 109. Spina GP, Arcidiacono R, Bosch J, Pagliaro L, Burroughs AK, Santambrogio R, et al. Gastric endoscopic features in portal hypertension: final report of a consensus conference, Milan, Italy, September 19, 1992. *J Hepatol* 1994;21:461-467.

110. Kim MY, Choi H, Baik SK, Yea CJ, Won CS, Byun JW, et al. Portal hypertensive gastropathy: correlation with portal hypertension and prognosis in cirrhosis. *Dig Dis Sci* 2010;55:3561-3567.
111. Pérez-Ayuso RM, Piqué JM, Bosch J, Panés J, González A, Pérez R, et al. Propranolol in prevention of recurrent bleeding from severe portal hypertensive gastropathy in cirrhosis. *Lancet* 1991;337:1431-1434.
112. Urata J, Yamashita Y, Tsuchigame T, Hatanaka Y, Matsukawa T, Sumi S, et al. The effects of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt on portal hypertensive gastropathy. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1998;13:1061-1067.
113. Ginès P, Quintero E, Arroyo V, Terés J, Bruguera M, Rimola A, et al. Compensated cirrhosis: natural history and prognostic factors. *Hepatology* 1987;7:122-128.
114. Han YS, Kim BH, Baek IY, Lee DK, Kim KJ, Dong SH, et al. The change of the etiology, complications and cause of death of the liver cirrhosis in 1990s. *Korean J Hepatol* 2000;6:328-339.
115. Hwangbo Y, Jung JH, Shim J, Kim BH, Jung SH, Lee CK, et al. Etiologic and laboratory analyses of ascites in patients who underwent diagnostic paracentesis. *Korean J Hepatol* 2007;13:185-195.
116. Kuiper JJ, de Man RA, van Buuren HR. Review article: Management of ascites and associated complications in patients with cirrhosis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007;26(Suppl 2):183-193.
117. Rimola A, Garcia-Tsao G, Navasa M, Piddock LJ, Planas R, Bernard B, et al. Diagnosis, treatment and prophylaxis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: a consensus document. International Ascites Club. *J Hepatol* 2000;32:142-153.
118. Bernardi M, Laffi G, Salvagnini M, Azzena G, Bonato S, Marra F, et al. Efficacy and safety of the stepped care medical treatment of ascites in liver cirrhosis: a randomized controlled clinical trial comparing two diets with different sodium content. *Liver* 1993;13:156-162.
119. Angeli P, Wong F, Watson H, Ginès P; CAPPS Investigators. Hyponatremia in cirrhosis: Results of a patient population survey. *Hepatology* 2006;44:1535-1542.
120. The Korean Association for The Study of The Liver. Treatment guideline of complications of liver cirrhosis. *Korean J Hepatol* 2005;11(Suppl 4):S115-S138.
121. Reynolds TB. Ascites. *Clin Liver Dis* 2000;4:151-168, vii.
122. Santos J, Planas R, Pardo A, Durández R, Cabré E, Morillas RM, et al. Spironolactone alone or in combination with furosemide in the treatment of moderate ascites in nonazotemic cirrhosis. A randomized comparative study of efficacy and safety. *J Hepatol* 2003;39:187-192.
123. Bernardi M. Optimum use of diuretics in managing ascites in patients with cirrhosis. *Gut* 2010;59:10-11.
124. Angeli P, Fasolato S, Mazza E, Okolicsanyi L, Maresio G, Velo E, et al. Combined versus sequential diuretic treatment of ascites in non-azotaemic patients with cirrhosis: results of an open randomised clinical trial. *Gut* 2010;59:98-104.
125. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines on the management of ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2010; 53:397-417.
126. Runyon BA; AASLD Practice Guidelines Committee. Management of adult patients with ascites due to cirrhosis: an update. *Hepatology* 2009;49:2087-2107.
127. Stiehm AJ, Mandler MH, Runyon BA. Detection of diuretic-resistance or diuretic-sensitivity by the spot urine Na/K ratio in 729 specimens from cirrhotics with ascites: approximately 90% accuracy as compared to 24-hr urine Na excretion. [Abstract]. *Hepatology* 2002; 36(Suppl):222A.
128. Moore KP, Aithal GP. Guidelines on the management of ascites in cirrhosis. *Gut* 2006;55(Suppl 6):vi1-vi 12.
129. Hong SP, Eun YG, Kim HJ, Kim BH, Chang YW, Lee JI, et al. Effects of large volume paracentesis. *Korean J Intern Med* 1991;40:147-152.
130. Arroyo V, Ginès P, Gerbes AL, Dudley FJ, Gentilini P, Laffi G, et al. Definition and diagnostic criteria of refractory ascites and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. International Ascites Club. *Hepatology* 1996; 23:164-176.
131. Moreau R, Valla DC, Durand-Zaleski I, Bronowicki JP, Durand F, Chaput JC, et al. Comparison of outcome in patients with cirrhosis and ascites following treatment with albumin or a synthetic colloid: a randomised controlled pilot trial. *Liver Int* 2006;26:46-54.
132. Singh V, Dheerendra PC, Singh B, Nain CK, Chawla D, Sharma N, et al. Midodrine versus albumin in the prevention of paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction in cirrhotics: a randomized pilot study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;103:1399-1405.
133. Singh V, Kumar B, Nain CK, Singh B, Sharma N, Bhalla A, et al. Noradrenaline and albumin in paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction in cirrhosis: a randomized pilot study. *J Intern Med* 2006;260:62-68.
134. Lata J, Marecek Z, Fejfar T, Zdenek P, Brůha R, Safka V, et al. The efficacy of terlipressin in comparison with albumin in the prevention of circulatory changes after the paracentesis of tense ascites—a randomized multicentric study. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2007;54: 1930-1933.
135. Heuman DM, Abou-Assi SG, Habib A, Williams LM, Stravitz RT, Sanyal AJ, et al. Persistent ascites and low serum sodium identify patients with cirrhosis and low MELD scores who are at high risk for early death. *Hepatology* 2004;40:802-810.
136. Kim YS. Ascites, hepatorenal syndrome and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in patients with portal hypertension. *Korean J Gastroenterol* 2010;56:168-185.
137. Cardenas A, Gines P. Pathogenesis and treatment of dilutional hyponatremia in cirrhosis. In: Arroyo V, ed. *Progress in the treatment of liver diseases*. Barcelona: Ars Medica, 2003:31-42.
138. Jalan R, Mookerjee R, Cheshire L, Williams R, Davies N. Albumin infusion for severe hyponatremia in patients with refractory ascites: A randomized clinical trial. [Abstract]. *J Hepatol* 2007;46(Suppl):232A.
139. Ginès P, Guevara M. Hyponatremia in cirrhosis: pathogenesis, clinical significance, and management. *Hepatology* 2008;48:1002-1010.
140. Ruiz-del-Arbol L, Monescillo A, Arocena C, Valer P, Ginès P, Moreira V, et al. Circulatory function and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2005;42:439-447.
141. Ruiz-del-Arbol L, Urman J, Fernández J, González M, Navasa M, Monescillo A, et al. Systemic, renal, and hepatic hemodynamic derangement in cirrhotic patients with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. *Hepatology* 2003;38:1210-1218.
142. Arroyo V, Colmenero J. Ascites and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis: pathophysiological basis of therapy and current management. *J Hepatol* 2003;38(Suppl 1):S69-S89.
143. Angeli P, Merkel C. Pathogenesis and management of hepatorenal syndrome in patients with cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2008;48(Suppl 1):S93-S103.
144. Martín-Llahí M, Pépin MN, Guevara M, Díaz F, Torre A, Monescillo A, et al. Terlipressin and albumin vs albumin in patients with cirrhosis and hepatorenal syndrome: a randomized study. *Gastroenterology* 2008;134:1352-1359.
145. Sanyal AJ, Boyer T, Garcia-Tsao G, Regenstein F, Rossaro L, Appenrodt B, et al. A randomized, prospective, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of terlipressin for type I hepatorenal syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 2008;134:1360-1368.
146. Halimi C, Bonnard P, Bernard B, Mathurin P, Mofredj A, di Martino V, et al. Effect of terlipressin (Glypressin) on hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhotic patients: results of a multicentre pilot study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2002;14:153-158.

147. Ortega R, Ginès P, Uriz J, Cárdenas A, Calahorra B, De Las Heras D, et al. Terlipressin therapy with and without albumin for patients with hepatorenal syndrome: results of a prospective, nonrandomized study. *Hepatology* 2002;36:941-948.
148. Muñoz LE, Alcalá EG, Cordero P, Martínez MA, Vázquez NY, Galindo S, et al. Reversal of hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhotic patients with terlipressin plus albumin. First experience in Mexico. *Ann Hepatol* 2009;8:207-211.
149. Danalioglu A, Cakaloglu Y, Karaca C, Aksoy N, Akyuz F, Ozdil S, et al. Terlipressin and albumin combination treatment in hepatorenal syndrome. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2003;50(Suppl 2):ccciii-ccciv.
150. Moreau R, Durand F, Poynard T, Duhamel C, Cervoni JP, Ichaï P, et al. Terlipressin in patients with cirrhosis and type 1 hepatorenal syndrome: a retrospective multicenter study. *Gastroenterology* 2002;122:923-930.
151. Colle I, Durand F, Pessione F, Rassiat E, Bernuau J, Barrière E, et al. Clinical course, predictive factors and prognosis in patients with cirrhosis and type 1 hepatorenal syndrome treated with Terlipressin: a retrospective analysis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2002;17:882-888.
152. Neri S, Pulvirenti D, Malaguarnera M, Cosimo BM, Bertino G, Ignaccolo L, et al. Terlipressin and albumin in patients with cirrhosis and type I hepatorenal syndrome. *Dig Dis Sci* 2008;53:830-835.
153. Mulkay JP, Louis H, Donckier V, Bourgeois N, Adler M, Deviere J, et al. Long-term terlipressin administration improves renal function in cirrhotic patients with type 1 hepatorenal syndrome: a pilot study. *Acta Gastroenterol Belg* 2001;64:15-19.
154. Ganne-Carrié N, Hadengue A, Mathurin P, Durand F, Erlinger S, Benhamou JP. Hepatorenal syndrome. Long-term treatment with terlipressin as a bridge to liver transplantation. *Dig Dis Sci* 1996;41:1054-1056.
155. Duvoux C, Zanditenas D, Hézode C, Chauvat A, Monin JL, Roudot-Thoraval F, et al. Effects of noradrenalin and albumin in patients with type I hepatorenal syndrome: a pilot study. *Hepatology* 2002;36:374-380.
156. Alessandria C, Ottobrelli A, Debernardi-Venon W, Todros L, Cerenzia MT, Martini S, et al. Noradrenalin vs terlipressin in patients with hepatorenal syndrome: a prospective, randomized, unblinded, pilot study. *J Hepatol* 2007;47:499-505.
157. Wong F, Pantea L, Sniderman K. Midodrine, octreotide, albumin, and TIPS in selected patients with cirrhosis and type 1 hepatorenal syndrome. *Hepatology* 2004;40:55-64.
158. Esrailian E, Pantango ER, Kyulo NL, Hu KQ, Runyon BA. Octreotide/Midodrine therapy significantly improves renal function and 30-day survival in patients with type 1 hepatorenal syndrome. *Dig Dis Sci* 2007;52:742-748.
159. Skagen C, Einstein M, Lucey MR, Said A. Combination treatment with octreotide, midodrine, and albumin improves survival in patients with type 1 and type 2 hepatorenal syndrome. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2009;43:680-685.
160. Kalambokis G, Economou M, Fotopoulos A, Al Bokharhii J, Pappas C, Katsaraki A, et al. The effects of chronic treatment with octreotide versus octreotide plus midodrine on systemic hemodynamics and renal hemodynamics and function in nonazotemic cirrhotic patients with ascites. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005;100:879-885.
161. Narahara Y, Kanazawa H, Taki Y, Kimura Y, Atsukawa M, Katakura T, et al. Effects of terlipressin on systemic, hepatic and renal hemodynamics in patients with cirrhosis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;24:1791-1797.
162. Baik SK, Jeong PH, Ji SW, Yoo BS, Kim HS, Lee DK, et al. Acute hemodynamic effects of octreotide and terlipressin in patients with cirrhosis: a randomized comparison. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005;100:631-635.
163. Alessandria C, Venon WD, Marzano A, Barletti C, Fadda M, Rizzetto M. Renal failure in cirrhotic patients: role of terlipressin in clinical approach to hepatorenal syndrome type 2. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2002;14:1363-1368.
164. Testro AG, Wongseelashote S, Angus PW, Gow PJ. Long-term outcome of patients treated with terlipressin for types 1 and 2 hepatorenal syndrome. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008;23:1535-1540.
165. Guevara M, Ginès P, Bandi JC, Gilibert R, Sort P, Jiménez W, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in hepatorenal syndrome: effects on renal function and vasoactive systems. *Hepatology* 1998;28:416-422.
166. Breising KA, Textor J, Perz J, Schiedermaier P, Raab P, Strunk H, et al. Long term outcome after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent-shunt in non-transplant cirrhotics with hepatorenal syndrome: a phase II study. *Gut* 2000;47:288-295.
167. Mitzner SR, Stange J, Klammt S, Risler T, Erley CM, Bader BD, et al. Improvement of hepatorenal syndrome with extracorporeal albumin dialysis MARS: results of a prospective, randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Liver Transpl* 2000;6:277-286.
168. Witzke O, Baumann M, Patschan D, Patschan S, Mitchell A, Treichel U, et al. Which patients benefit from hemodialysis therapy in hepatorenal syndrome? *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;19:1369-1373.
169. Capling RK, Bastani B. The clinical course of patients with type 1 hepatorenal syndrome maintained on hemodialysis. *Ren Fail* 2004;26:563-568.
170. Sola-Vera J, Miñana J, Ricart E, Planella M, González B, Torras X, et al. Randomized trial comparing albumin and saline in the prevention of paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction in cirrhotic patients with ascites. *Hepatology* 2003;37:1147-1153.
171. Singh V, Kumar R, Nain CK, Singh B, Sharma AK. Terlipressin versus albumin in paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction in cirrhosis: a randomized study. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006;21:303-307.
172. Sort P, Navasa M, Arroyo V, Aldeguer X, Planas R, Ruiz-del-Arbol L, et al. Effect of intravenous albumin on renal impairment and mortality in patients with cirrhosis and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. *N Engl J Med* 1999;341:403-409.
173. Sigal SH, Stanca CM, Fernandez J, Arroyo V, Navasa M. Restricted use of albumin for spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. *Gut* 2007;56:597-599.
174. Fernández J, Navasa M, Planas R, Montoliu S, Monfort D, Soriano G, et al. Primary prophylaxis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis delays hepatorenal syndrome and improves survival in cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 2007;133:818-824.
175. Akriviadis E, Botla R, Briggs W, Han S, Reynolds T, Shakil O. Pentoxifylline improves short-term survival in severe acute alcoholic hepatitis: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Gastroenterology* 2000;119:1637-1648.
176. Assimakopoulos SF, Thomopoulos KC, Labropoulou-Karatzza C. Pentoxifylline: a first line treatment option for severe alcoholic hepatitis and hepatorenal syndrome? *World J Gastroenterol* 2009;15:3194-3195.
177. De BK, Gangopadhyay S, Dutta D, Baksi SD, Pani A, Ghosh P. Pentoxifylline versus prednisolone for severe alcoholic hepatitis: a randomized controlled trial. *World J Gastroenterol* 2009;15:1613-1619.
178. Heo J, Seo YS, Yim HJ, Hahn T, Park SH, Ahn SH, et al. Clinical features and prognosis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in Korean patients with liver cirrhosis: a multicenter retrospective study. *Gut Liver* 2009;3:197-204.
179. Ginès P, Cárdenas A. The management of ascites and hyponatremia in cirrhosis. *Semin Liver Dis* 2008;28:43-58.
180. Ferenci P, Lockwood A, Mullen K, Tarter R, Weissenborn K, Blei AT. Hepatic encephalopathy--definition, nomenclature, diagnosis, and

- quantification: final report of the working party at the 11th World Congresses of Gastroenterology, Vienna, 1998. *Hepatology* 2002;35:716-721.
181. Weissenborn K, Ennen JC, Schomerus H, Rückert N, Hecker H. Neuropsychological characterization of hepatic encephalopathy. *J Hepatol* 2001;34:768-773.
 182. Fessel JN. An analysis of the causes and prevention of hepatic coma. *Gastroenterology* 1972;62:191.
 183. Blei AT, Córdoba J; Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. Hepatic Encephalopathy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001;96:1968-1976.
 184. Stahl J. Studies of the blood ammonia in liver disease. Its diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic significance. *Ann Intern Med* 1963;58:1-24.
 185. Krieger D, Krieger S, Jansen O, Gass P, Theilmann L, Lichtnecker H. Manganese and chronic hepatic encephalopathy. *Lancet* 1995;346:270-274.
 186. Garcia-Tsao G, Lim JK; Members of Veterans Affairs Hepatitis C Resource Center Program. Management and treatment of patients with cirrhosis and portal hypertension: recommendations from the Department of Veterans Affairs Hepatitis C Resource Center Program and the National Hepatitis C Program. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009;104:1802-1829.
 187. Als-Nielsen B, Gluud LL, Gluud C. Non-absorbable disaccharides for hepatic encephalopathy: systematic review of randomised trials. *BMJ* 2004;328:1046.
 188. Prasad S, Dhiman RK, Duseja A, Chawla YK, Sharma A, Agarwal R. Lactulose improves cognitive functions and health-related quality of life in patients with cirrhosis who have minimal hepatic encephalopathy. *Hepatology* 2007;45:549-559.
 189. Sharma BC, Sharma P, Agrawal A, Sarin SK. Secondary prophylaxis of hepatic encephalopathy: an open-label randomized controlled trial of lactulose versus placebo. *Gastroenterology* 2009;137:885-891, 891.e1.
 190. Phongsamran PV, Kim JW, Cupo Abbott J, Rosenblatt A. Pharmacotherapy for hepatic encephalopathy. *Drugs* 2010;70:1131-1148.
 191. Mas A, Rodés J, Sunyer L, Rodrigo L, Planas R, Vargas V, et al. Comparison of rifaximin and lactitol in the treatment of acute hepatic encephalopathy: results of a randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, controlled clinical trial. *J Hepatol* 2003;38:51-58.
 192. Massa P, Doderio M. Treatment of hepatic encephalopathy with rifaximin: double-blind, double-dummy study versus lactulose. *J Clin Res* 1993;4:7-18.
 193. Paik YH, Lee KS, Han KH, Song KH, Kim MH, Moon BS, et al. Comparison of rifaximin and lactulose for the treatment of hepatic encephalopathy: a prospective randomized study. *Yonsei Med J* 2005;46:399-407.
 194. Stewart CA, Malinchoc M, Kim WR, Kamath PS. Hepatic encephalopathy as a predictor of survival in patients with end-stage liver disease. *Liver Transpl* 2007;13:1366-1371.
 195. Bajaj JS, Sanyal AJ, Bell D, Gilles H, Heuman DM. Predictors of the recurrence of hepatic encephalopathy in lactulose-treated patients. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010;31:1012-1017.
 196. Bass NM, Mullen KD, Sanyal A, Poordad F, Neff G, Leevy CB, et al. Rifaximin treatment in hepatic encephalopathy. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:1071-1081.
 197. Dhiman RK, Saraswat VA, Sharma BK, Sarin SK, Chawla YK, Butterworth R, et al. Minimal hepatic encephalopathy: consensus statement of a working party of the Indian National Association for Study of the Liver. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010;25:1029-1041.
 198. Groeneweg M, Quero JC, De Bruijn I, Hartmann IJ, Essink-bot ML, Hop WC, et al. Subclinical hepatic encephalopathy impairs daily functioning. *Hepatology* 1998;28:45-49.
 199. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 1975;12:189-198.
 200. Romero-Gómez M, Córdoba J, Jover R, del Olmo JA, Ramírez M, Rey R, et al. Value of the critical flicker frequency in patients with minimal hepatic encephalopathy. *Hepatology* 2007;45:879-885.
 201. Dhiman RK, Kurmi R, Thumburu KK, Venkataramarao SH, Agarwal R, Duseja A, et al. Diagnosis and prognostic significance of minimal hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis of liver. *Dig Dis Sci* 2010;55:2381-2390.
 202. Seo YS, Um SH, Jung ES, Kim JH, Kim JH, An SH, et al. Detection of minimal hepatic encephalopathy using the Psychometric Hepatic Encephalopathy Score in Korean patients with liver cirrhosis. [Abstract]. *Hepatology* 2010;52(Suppl):919A.
 203. Bajaj JS, Saeian K, Christensen KM, Hafeezullah M, Varma RR, Franco J, et al. Probiotic yogurt for the treatment of minimal hepatic encephalopathy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;103:1707-1715.
 204. Kircheis G, Nilius R, Held C, Berndt H, Buchner M, Görtelmeyer R, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of L-ornithine-L-aspartate infusions in patients with cirrhosis and hepatic encephalopathy: results of a placebo-controlled, double-blind study. *Hepatology* 1997;25:1351-1360.
 205. Malaguarnera M, Gargante MP, Cristaldi E, Vacante M, Risino C, Cammalleri L, et al. Acetyl-L-carnitine treatment in minimal hepatic encephalopathy. *Dig Dis Sci* 2008;53:3018-3025.
 206. Moore KP, Wong F, Gines P, Bernardi M, Ochs A, Salerno F, et al. The management of ascites in cirrhosis: report on the consensus conference of the International Ascites Club. *Hepatology* 2003;38:258-266.