Motion autonomy for humanoids: experiments on HRP-2 No. 14
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This paper deals with whole-body motion planning and dynamic control for humanoid from two aspects: locomotion including manipulation and reaching. In the first part, we address a problem of simultaneous locomotion and manipulation planning that combines a geometric and kinematic motion planner with a dynamic humanoid motion generator. The second part deals with whole-body reaching tasks by using a generalized inverse kinematics (IK) method to fully exploit the high redundancy of the humanoid robot. Through experiments using humanoid platform HRP-2 No. 14 installed at LAAS-CNRS, we first verify the validity of each method. An integrated experiment is then presented that unifies the both results via visual perception to execute an object-fetching task. Copyright © 2009 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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Introduction

With their high mobility and high redundancy, humanoid robots are expected to perform complicated tasks. Their anthropomorphic configuration gives another advantage that they can easily adapt to machines or environments designed for humans. Recent progress in hardware accelerates diverse research in humanoid robots. Various types of tasks have been performed: manipulation, or serving tasks. One of the key issues to fully exploit the capacity of humanoid robots is to develop a methodology that enables them to execute various tasks requiring dynamic and smooth whole-body motions including collision avoidance and locomotion, like an object carrying task.

In the field of motion planning, recent advancement in probabilistic methods has greatly improved the three-dimensional (3D) motion planning for mechanism involving complicated geometry and many degrees of freedom (e.g., Reference [5]). However, most of those methods are based on the geometric and kinematic planning in the configuration space whereas tasks for humanoid robots are often specified in the workspace and subject to dynamics including balance keeping.

Concerning control issues of humanoid robots, powerful controllers have been developed to generate whole-body dynamic motion in a reactive manner (e.g., Reference [6]). As for locomotion, stable motion pattern can be generated efficiently thanks to the progress in biped walking control theory, mainly based on zero moment point (ZMP) control (e.g., Reference [7]). Planning of 3D humanoid motion for tasks in complex environments has to benefit from these two domains.

This paper addresses two aspects of humanoid whole-body motion, simultaneous planning of locomotion and manipulation and also dynamic reaching. In the first part of this paper, we propose a two-stage planning framework based on the geometrical and kinematic planning technique whose output is validated by dynamic motion pattern generator. The second part addresses how to exploit the high redundancy of humanoid robots when performing reaching or grasping tasks. The last part of the paper presents an integrated experiment with the humanoid robot platform HRP-2
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Manipulating While Walking

Humanoid motion planning is becoming a hot topic since it faces complexity of planning and dynamic control at the same time. Kuffner et al. proposed various types of humanoid motion planners, such as balancing, footstep planning, and navigation, while displacing movable obstacles.

Okada et al. addressed the motion planning for collision-free whole-body posture control by dividing the robot into movable, fixed and free limbs using RRT planner. Yoshida proposed humanoid motion planning based on multi-level DOF exploitation.

In the domain of computer graphics, motion editing is an active area of research. Gleicher classified various constraint-based methods that take into account spatial and temporal constraints, which often correspond to the problems of inverse kinematics (IK) and filtering, respectively. In the field of graphic animation of digital actors, recent progress in randomized motion planning is currently being actively applied.

Two-stage Planning Method

In this section, we summarize the two-stage planning method, we have proposed in Reference [15] as illustrated in Figure 1. At the first stage, the motion planner computes a collision-free walking path for the lower part of the robot body approximated by a bounding box, as well as a collision-free path for the upper body. In the second stage, this output path is given as inputs to the dynamic pattern generator of the humanoid robot that transforms it into a dynamically executable motion. The joint angle command for the whole body is computed by taking account of dynamic balance based on ZMP. If the generated dynamic motion induces unpredicted collisions due to deviation from the geometrically and kinematically planned path, then the planner goes back to the first stage to “reshape” the previous path as explained in the next section.

Smooth Motion Reshaping

A collision-free path issued from the first motion planning stage, will not always result in a collision-free trajectory after dynamic pattern generation is performed. If the variation of the motion is small enough, those collisions will be with the humanoid’s upper body or the carried object. In such a case, we can assume that local reshaping of the trajectory will suffice to avoid the obstacles without replanning the whole nominal trajectory.

When a collision is found, a new random collision-free configuration near the colliding one is first generated, and then an IK solver is applied to ensure the geometric constraints of the end-effector. Although collision-free motions can be generated at that stage, lack of smoothness in velocity profile might cause instability or unnecessary oscillation when it is executed by the humanoid robot. Then we propose a reshaping method that accounts for the smoothness of the motion when avoiding the obstacles.

The reshaping procedure is performed in the following two steps illustrated in Figure 2 by accounting for motion continuity.

1. A smooth trajectory to be followed by the end-effector is specified in the task space and resampled at each sampling time (5 millisecond) to enforce temporal constraints (Figure 2(a)–(c)).
2. An IK specified the motion of the end-effector enforcing geometric constraints (Figure 2(d)).
Experiments with HRP-2 No. 14

We have conducted experiments of the proposed humanoid motion planner using the simulator OpenHRP\textsuperscript{16} and the hardware humanoid platform HRP-2 No. 14 installed at LAAS-CNRS. HRP-2 has 30 degrees of freedom with 1.54 m in height and 58 kg in weight.\textsuperscript{17} This robot has two chest joints for pitch and yaw rotation, which extends the motion capability including lying down on the floor and standing up. We take an example of a task carrying a bar in an environment populated by obstacles. The length, diameter and weight of the bar is 1.8 m, 2.4 cm, and 0.5 kg, respectively. Figure 3 illustrates a real experiment. After the robot started walking, it lifted the bar to move it by avoiding the collision with the box on the table (Figure 3(b)–(d)). The bar is lowered to the initial height after collision avoidance (Figure 3(e) and (f)) to reach the goal position. The dynamic task has successfully been achieved, which validates the proposed planner.

Task-driven Support Polygon Reshaping for Reaching

We address a task-driven motion generation method that allows a humanoid robot to make whole-body motions including support polygon reshaping to achieve the given tasks.\textsuperscript{18} There are many works in the literature that have focused on the generation of whole-body motions for complex mechanisms such as humanoid robots or digital actors. A popular approach for motion specification has been, instead of setting explicitly the value of all degrees of freedom, to only specify the values of a task to be accomplished by the end-effector. The idea is to benefit from the redundancy of the mechanism to choose the solution that best solves the task according to some constraints. Among these works, generalized IK algorithms that project tasks with lower priority into the null space of the Jacobian of the higher priority tasks have been widely studied (e.g., References [6,19–22]).

Our contribution is to consider the possibility of reshaping the support polygon by stepping to increase the accessible space of the end-effectors in the 3D space. The problem we address can be viewed as a 3D extension of the 2D problem addressed in Reference [23]. In Reference [23], the authors propose a strategy for the control of a pattern generator by monitoring the arm manipulability. While their model lies in the sagittal plane, our approach makes use of the whole body motion in 3D space. Moreover, in spite of our reasoning being based on IK and simple geometric support polygon reshaping, our method guarantees that the motion is dynamically stable. This property is a consequence of the pattern generator,\textsuperscript{7} we use to generate the stepping behavior.
Method Overview

The support polygon reshaping integrates two important components, the generalized IK and dynamic walking pattern generator. Figure 4 shows an overview of the method. The task is specified in the workspace as a desired velocity $\dot{x}_j$ with priority $j$ from which the generalized IK solver computes the whole-body motion as joint velocities $\dot{q}$ of the robot. Meanwhile, several criteria such as manipulability or joint limit are monitored.

As long as those criteria are satisfied, the computation of whole-body motion continues until the target of the task is achieved. If the task cannot be achieved due to unsatisfied criteria, the support polygon planner is triggered in order to extend reachable space. A geometric module determines the direction and position of the deformation of support polygon so that the incomplete

Figure 3. Experiment of planned bar-carrying task. (a) Initial configuration, (b) starts walking, (c) starts lifting the bar, (d) the bar passes above the obstacle, (e) lowering the bar after avoiding collision, and (f) going to final position.
task is fulfilled. The position of a foot is then derived as the whole-body motion including stepping that is achieved by using a dynamic walking pattern generator. Then we will show how the support polygon is reshaped.

Let us first overview the generalized IK framework. Whole-body motion generation.

Generalized Inverse Kinematics for Whole-body Motion

Inverse Kinematics for Prioritized Tasks. Let us consider a task \( \dot{x}_j \) with priority \( j \) in the workspace and the relationship between the joint angle velocity \( \dot{q} \) is described using Jacobian matrix, like \( \dot{x}_j = J \dot{q} \). For the tasks with the first priority, using pseudoinverse \( J_1^\dagger \), the joint angles that achieves the task is given

\[
q_1 = J_1^\dagger \dot{x}_1 + (I_n - J_1^\dagger J_1)y_1
\]

where \( y_1 \), \( n \), and \( I_n \) are an arbitrary vector, the number of the joints and identity matrix of dimension \( n \), respectively.

For the task with second priority \( \dot{x}_2 \), the joint velocities \( \dot{q}_2 \) is calculated as follows:\[^{19}\]

\[
\dot{q}_2 = \dot{q}_1 + J_2^\dagger (\dot{x}_2 - J_2 \dot{q}_1) + (I_n - J_2^\dagger J_2)(I_n - J_2^\dagger J_2)\dot{y}_2
\]

where \( J_2 \equiv J_2(I_n - J_2^\dagger J_2) \)

where \( y_2 \) is an arbitrary vector of dimension \( n \). It can be extended to the task of \( j \)th (\( j \geq 2 \)) priority in the following formula:\[^{20,21}\]

\[
q_j = q_{j-1} + J_j^\dagger (\dot{x}_j - J_j \dot{q}_{j-1}) + N_j y_j
\]

\[
N_j \equiv N_{j-1}(I_n - J_j^\dagger J_j), \quad \dot{J}_j \equiv J_j(I_n - J_{j-1}^\dagger J_{j-1})
\]

Weighted Pseudoinverse. In most cases, it is preferable for a humanoid robot to use the lighter links to achieve tasks. For this purpose, we introduce a weighted pseudoinverse:

\[
J_w^\# = (J^\dagger WJ)^{-1}J^\dagger W, \quad W = \text{diag}(\sqrt{W_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{W_n}) \quad (4)
\]

The weight \( W_i \) of each joint is given as the ratio of the mass \( m_i \) of the link \( i \) to the total mass \( M \), namely \( m_i/M \). Moreover, a selection matrix \( S = \text{diag}(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \) \((S_i = 0 \text{ or } 1)\) is multiplied to this inverse to select the activated joints according to the task specification. The selection matrix is set to \( I_n \) if all the joints are used to achieve the task.

Using this weighted Jacobian first lighter links are used then heavier ones. By combining a selection matrix \( S_i \) that forbids using the joints approaching the limit of the movable range, the heuristics of whole-body motion workspace extension\[^{22}\] can be implemented in a simpler way.

Monitoring Task Execution Criteria. While the motion is being computed by the generalized IK, several properties are monitored.

One of the important measures is the manipulability\[^{24}\] defined as

\[
w \equiv \sqrt{\det(JJ^\dagger)} \quad (5)
\]

This measure is continuously tracked during the motion generation as well as others such as joint angle limits or end-effector errors from the target. If it becomes below a certain value, it means that it is difficult to achieve the task.

Joint limit constraints can be taken into account by introducing another selection diagonal matrix \( S_i \) whose \( i \)th component become zero if the corresponding joint reaches a limit angle.

As shown in Figure 4, when one or more monitored measures go out of the admissible range to prevent the task from being achieved, the support polygon reshaping
is launched to extend the accessible space as detailed in the next subsection.

**Support Polygon Reshaping**

Figure 5 shows the proposed support polygon reshaping scheme. This simple algorithm allows the humanoid robot to make a step motion, keeping a large margin of accessible area for the task by facing the upper body to the target direction.

Then the CoM motion $\dot{x}_{\text{CoM}}$ is computed from the new foot position by the walking pattern generator based on the preview control of ZMP. The basic idea is to calculate the CoM motion by anticipating the desired future ZMP positions derived from the footsteps.

Finally the original task is redefined as another problem of whole-body task using this newly generated CoM motion with an additional task of CoM, which is represented by CoM Jacobian. The same generalized IK solver framework is used to incorporate the motion required for the task and the stepping motion in the whole-body level.

**Experimental Results**

In the following experiment, the humanoid robot is required to reach a position with the left hand. Four tasks are given with the following priority (i) foot placement, (ii) CoM position, (iii) hand reaching task, and (iv) gaze direction in the order of higher priority. For all the tasks the weighted Jacobian (4) is utilized for IK. As for the selection matrix $S$, all the degrees of freedom are used, namely setting $S$ to $I_n$, for all the tasks. The reaching task is defined by the target positions without specifying orientation of the hand.

The monitored criteria here during the motion are the manipulability of the arm and the error between the reference end-effector position and the one calculated by the IK solver. The robot tries to reach the target first with the CoM position at the center of the initial support polygon. If those values go below a certain threshold, the support polygon reshaping process is activated. Here the thresholds of manipulability and end-effector error are empirically set to $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ and $4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ m, respectively.

Figure 6 shows the snapshots of a reaching task including reshaping. The manipulability measure for this task is given in Figure 7 to compare to the motion without reshaping. Without reshaping, the arm would approach a singular configuration where the manipulability becomes lower than the threshold at 2.6 second. The computation keeping the same support polygon is then discarded. The reshaping starts at this moment to recalculate the overall whole-body motion including stepping. We can see the manipulability regains higher value at the final position.

In Figure 8, the time development of $x$ and $y$ positions of ZMP measured from the ankle force sensors are plotted for the sideways reaching motion. The dotted and solid lines are the planned and measured trajectories, respectively. The shaded areas in those graphs depict the
transition of support polygon area projected on x- and y-axis. As we can see, the planned trajectories of ZMP always stay inside the support polygon. Note that the final ZMP position in x direction goes out of the initial support polygon: this means the reaching task could not have been performed without stepping.

**Motion in Real World: Integrating With Perception**

The presented motion planning methods are currently integrated with perception, principally vision, to make actions in the real world. This integration allows the robot to execute such commands as “go to the yellow table” and “take the orange ball.”

**Object Recognition and Localization**

The HRP-2 robot is equipped with two pairs of firewire digital color cameras, configured as two independent stereo-vision camera pairs. We here utilize standard state of the art components to implement a simple function of object recognition and localization.

For the detection, the model of the objects to be detected are previously learned using two dimensional histogram in the \{Hue, Saturation\} color space by taking a sample image with a color space. The object detection is performed by back projecting the object histogram onto a video image. The back projection image is obtained by replacing each pixel value by the corresponding value in the model histogram (Figure 9). We use a method called Continuously Adaptive Mean SHIFT (CAMSHIFT) algorithm\textsuperscript{26} to locate the object center and orientation in the back projection image.

A stereo-vision algorithm by pixel correlation is applied on the stereo image pairs, and produces a dense 3D image of the current scene. Even though pixel
correlation is known to give poor results in indoor environments, the objects to localize are sufficiently textured so that precise enough 3D points can be obtained in the vicinity of the objects.

**Coupling the Motion Planner with Perception**

The motion planners presented in previous sections are integrated with the vision system so that the robot can execute a task composed of navigation and object grasping.

For navigation, we apply the same type of two-stage motion planner for navigation planning presented in the second section. At the first stage, a collision-free smooth locomotion path is calculated for the approximated bounding box. It is desirable for the robot to walk forward in order to look at the object and to take it. This preference can be modeled as a nonholonomic constraint, and we can benefit from well-developed planning method of a smooth path for car-like robot. Then the path is transformed into dynamic humanoid locomotion at the second stage by applying the dynamic walking pattern generator. This navigation planner allows the humanoid robot to go in front of the visually located colored table several meters away by avoiding known obstacles as shown in Figure 10.

The whole-body motion generator presented in the third section is used for the grasping task. Given the object location from the vision system, the whole-body motion generator computes automatically a reaching motion, including stepping depending on the detected object location.

**Experiments**

We have conducted experiments to validate the integrated system. The humanoid robot is given a task to take a colored ball and put it at another place. The task is decomposed into several generic action commands, such as detection and localization of a learned object, locomotion to a location, and hand reaching to a position in 3D, with other simple tasks like turning on the spot and gripper opening and closing.

A simple supervision system that can invoke the actions with scripts is utilized to manage the robot behavior easily. Each action can report failures (e.g., failure in grasping an object). It is thus possible to implement error recovery strategies by analyzing the reports of the actions. In the following experiment, each action is associated with a vocal command to allow the user to give a sequence of commands to the robot in an interactive manner.

Figure 11 shows snapshots of experiments. Since the ball is too far away to be detected with camera at the initial position, the humanoid robot first localizes the green box on which the balls are placed (Figure 11a). The robot walks with a smooth trajectory in front of the box (Figure 11b) and localizes precisely the colored ball to grasp (Figure 11c). Then the whole-body reaching motion is executed to grasp the ball (Figure 11d). After turning, the robot is told to detect a colored table and walks toward it always with a smooth trajectory (Figure 11e).
Finally it puts the ball on the table again with whole-body motion (Figure 11f).

This experiment was conducted more than 10 times in an exposition in front of the public using vocal interaction by a human operator. Since the location of the robots and objects are different at every demonstration, it happened that the robot failed to grasp with unexpected disturbances or localization errors. However, the task could be executed again successfully thanks to the generality of the action commands, by just repeating the same action command. As a result, all the demos were successful including those retries. This validates the reliability of the proposed motion planner, the integrated perception system and also the robustness of task execution framework.
Conclusions

The goal of this paper is to present our work in progress on the motion autonomy in humanoid robotics. Even though Robotics and Computer Animation follow different goals with respect to their respective application fields, we believe that the research in both areas should benefit from a synergetic point of view.

The synergy possibly comes from a common objective aiming at better understanding the computational issues of human motions. The questions addressed in this paper (How to combine manipulation and locomotion tasks? How to enlarge the scope of redundant system based methods?) are generic questions challenging for both servicing robotics and game industry.

Another potential synergy concerns the physical interaction. We believe Robotics can contribute to Computer Animation domain with our feedback from the real-world experiments. Such contributions include planning dynamically plausible motion for digital actors and also the physical interaction with virtual environment, which can be applied to interactive game or animations.
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