

DAOUD BSHOUTY and ABDALLAH LYZZAIK

## On a question of T. Sheil-Small regarding valency of harmonic maps

*Dedicated to Bogdan Bojarski on the occasion of his 80th birthday*

ABSTRACT. The aim of this work is to answer positively a more general question than the following which is due to T. Sheil-Small: Does the harmonic extension in the open unit disc of a mapping  $f$  from the unit circle into itself of the form  $f(e^{it}) = e^{i\varphi(t)}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ , where  $\varphi$  is a continuously non-decreasing function that satisfies  $\varphi(2\pi) - \varphi(0) = 2N\pi$ , assume every value finitely many times in the disc?

**Introduction.** Let  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\mathbb{T}$  be the open unit disc and the unit circle respectively, and let  $N$  be a positive integer. An  **$N$ -valent quasi-homeomorphism from the unit circle into itself** is a circle mapping  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  of the form  $f(e^{it}) = e^{i\varphi(t)}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ , where  $\varphi$  is a non-decreasing function that satisfies  $\varphi(2\pi) - \varphi(0) = 2N\pi$ . It can be seen that every such quasi-homeomorphism is a pointwise limit of a sequence of circle mappings  $f_n : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  of the form  $f_n(e^{it}) = e^{i\varphi_n(t)}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ , where  $\varphi_n$  is a continuously strictly increasing function that satisfies  $\varphi_n(2\pi) - \varphi_n(0) = 2N\pi$ .

A **1-valent quasi-homeomorphism** is referred to as **quasi-homeomorphism**.

The celebrated Radó–Kneser–Choquet Theorem can be stated as follows.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 26C10; Secondary 30C15.

*Key words and phrases*. Harmonic mapping, cluster set.

**Theorem A** (Radó–Kneser–Choquet Theorem [3, pp. 29–34]). *Suppose that  $F$  is the harmonic extension in  $\mathbb{D}$  of a quasi-homeomorphism  $f$  from the unit circle into itself. Then  $F$  is univalent.*

In an attempt to generalize this theorem to 2-valent quasi-homeomorphisms  $f$  from the unit circle into itself, it was suggested that the respective functions  $F$  are at most 4-valent. However, examples presented in [2] have shown that some of these mappings could be 6-valent or 8-valent. Furthermore, the construction procedure used in the paper suggested the possibility of finding a 2-valent quasi-homeomorphism from the unit circle into itself whose harmonic extension in  $\mathbb{D}$  assumes a predetermined finite valency. But this remains an open problem.

In a personal communication with the first author about a decade ago, T. Sheil-Small raised the following question:

*If  $F$  is the harmonic extension in  $\mathbb{D}$  of a mapping  $f$  of the form  $f(e^{it}) = e^{i\varphi(t)}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ , where  $\varphi$  is a continuously non-decreasing function that satisfies  $\varphi(2\pi) - \varphi(0) = 2N\pi$ , then does  $F$  assume every value finitely many times in the disc?*

In this note, we show that the answer to this question is positive. In fact, a more general result is shown henceforth to be true.

For a function  $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and a point  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ , let  $C(F, \zeta)$  and  $C(F, \mathbb{T})$  denote the cluster sets of  $F$  at  $\zeta$  and on  $\mathbb{T}$  respectively.

The result of the note can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $F$  is the harmonic extension in  $\mathbb{D}$  of an  $N$ -valent quasi-homeomorphism  $f$  from the unit circle into itself that takes on three distinct values. Then  $F$  takes on every point in  $\mathbb{D} \setminus C(F, \mathbb{T})$  finitely many times.*

As a consequence we have:

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose that  $F$  is the harmonic extension in the open unit disc of a mapping  $f$  of the form  $f(e^{it}) = e^{i\varphi(t)}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ , where  $\varphi$  is a continuously non-decreasing function that satisfies  $\varphi(2\pi) - \varphi(0) = 2N\pi$ . Then  $F$  takes on every point in  $\mathbb{D}$  finitely many times.*

Before embarking on the proof of Theorem 1, we define an *algebraic curve* as a connected component of the preimage of a straight line or circle under an analytic function.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Write  $F = u + iv$ , where  $u$  and  $v$  are the real and imaginary parts of  $F$ . Suppose that there exist a point  $\omega \in \mathbb{D} \setminus C(F, \mathbb{T})$  and a set  $S$  of countably infinitely many distinct values  $z_n \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $F(z_n) = \omega$  for all  $n$ ; note that  $|z_n| \leq \rho < 1$  for some  $\rho$  since  $\omega \notin C(F, \mathbb{T})$ . Let  $\omega = u_0 + iv_0$  for  $u_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ; then  $u(z_n) = u_0$  and  $v(z_n) = v_0$  for all  $n$ .

Consider the level set  $u = u_0$ ; note that this is a set-union of mutually disjoint algebraic curves. Suppose that each of these curves carries a finite subset of  $S$  of the points  $z_n$ . Then these curves are countably infinite and may be denoted by  $C_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Label one of the points of  $S \cap C_n$  by  $\zeta_n$  for every  $n$ . Since  $|\zeta_n| \leq \rho < 1$  for all  $n$ , there exists a subsequence  $(\zeta_{n_k})$  of  $(\zeta_n)$  that converges to a point  $\zeta$ . Evidently,  $|\zeta| \leq \rho < 1$ ,  $F(\zeta) = \omega$  and  $\zeta$  belongs to some level curve  $C : u = u_0$ . This yields a contradiction since near  $\zeta$  the curve  $C$  fails to be isolated from the level curves  $C_n$ .

It follows that the level set  $u = u_0$  is a disjoint union of finitely many algebraic curves of which one, say  $C$ , carries countably infinitely many points  $z_n$  that we denote by  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$ . Observe the following:

- (1)  $C$  never encloses a Jordan domain in  $\mathbb{D}$  because of the maximum principle for harmonic functions;
- (2)  $C$  is a union of analytic Jordan arcs  $\gamma$  that are mutually disjoint except possibly for a common critical point of  $u$ ;
- (3) Every  $\gamma$  clusters in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Suppose that some arc  $\gamma$  accumulates on a non-degenerate subarc  $J \subset \mathbb{T}$ ; denote the interior of  $J$  by  $J^\circ$ . Let  $\eta \in J^\circ$ . Note that in every direction towards  $\eta$  from  $\mathbb{D}$  there exists a sequence of points in  $\gamma$  converging to  $\eta$  on which  $u$  attains the value  $u_0$ . This entails by a result of Schwarz [1, Theorem 23] that  $u$  is continuous and is identically  $u_0$  on  $J^\circ$ .

Let  $g$  be the analytic completion on  $u$ . By the reflection principle,  $g$  is analytic on  $J^\circ$ . Fix  $\eta \in J^\circ$ . It is immediate that  $g([0, \eta])$  is an arc that meets the vertical line  $L : u = u_0$  in the  $(u, v)$ -plane at countably infinitely many points that are away from infinity. Since both arcs  $g([0, \eta])$  and  $L$  are analytic,  $g([0, \eta]) \subset L$ , see [4, Theorem 7.19, pp. 241–244], and equivalently  $u = u_0$  on  $[0, \eta]$ . But  $\eta$  is an arbitrary point of  $J^\circ$ ; hence  $u$  is identically  $u_0$  on the open circular sector with vertex at the origin and subtending  $J^\circ$  and consequently on  $\mathbb{D}$ , which yields a contradiction.

Thus every Jordan arc  $\gamma$  terminates in every direction at a point in  $\mathbb{T}$ . We contend that every  $\gamma$  is a crosscut of  $\mathbb{D}$ . For suppose otherwise, then some  $\gamma$  is a loop with a unique point  $\eta \in \bar{\gamma} \cap \mathbb{T}$ . If  $G \subset \mathbb{D}$  is the bounded region enclosed by  $\gamma$ , then, because  $u$  is a bounded harmonic function, the limit

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \eta} u(z) = u_0 \text{ through values } z \in \bar{G}.$$

We infer, by the maximum principle, that  $u$  is identically  $u_0$  in  $G$  and consequently in  $\mathbb{D}$ , which gives a contradiction. This proves our claim.

Suppose now that  $\gamma$  terminates at two distinct points  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{T}$ , and let  $\gamma' \subset C$  be a crosscut of  $\mathbb{D}$  similar to  $\gamma$ . It is immediate that  $\gamma'$  can not terminate at both  $\alpha, \beta$ . In fact,  $\gamma'$  can neither terminate at  $\alpha$  nor at  $\beta$ . For suppose  $\gamma'$  terminates at  $\alpha$ ; then, since  $C$  is connected, there exists a

continuum that meets both  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$ . But then  $K \cup \gamma \cup \gamma'$  bounds a Jordan subdomain  $K$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ , which gives a contradiction.

It follows at once that  $\bar{\gamma}$  and  $\bar{\gamma}'$  are either disjoint or cross at a singleton in  $\mathbb{D}$ ; namely a critical point of  $u$ . Thus  $\bar{C}$  is a tree whose vertices are the critical points of  $u$  and the terminal points of the arcs  $\gamma$ . We show that this tree is finite. Suppose otherwise, then the crosscuts  $\gamma$  comprising  $C$  are countably infinite, and consequently the same are the endpoints of  $C$ . The latter points subdivide  $\mathbb{T}$  into countably infinitely many subarcs  $\lambda$ . Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be two of these arcs that share a common terminal point  $\nu$ , and let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be the Jordan domains bounded by  $\bar{C} \cup \lambda_1$  and  $\bar{C} \cup \lambda_2$  respectively. Note that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have a common boundary arc, denoted by  $\delta \subset C$ , with an endpoint at  $\nu$ . Evidently,  $g(\delta)$  is a line segment of the vertical line  $L : u = u_0$ . Note that  $g$ , like  $u$ , has no critical points in the interior of  $\delta$  since  $g$  and  $u$  share these points, and that  $u(z) \neq u_0$  and  $u(z') \neq u_0$  for all  $z \in G_1$  and  $z \in G_2$  or else  $C \cap (G_1 \cup G_2)$  is nonempty.

It follows that  $g(G_1)$  and  $g(G_2)$  lie on different sides of  $L$ . But by the hypotheses on  $f$ ,  $u - u_0$  cannot change the sign more than  $N$  times. This implies at once that the number of arcs  $\lambda$  is at most  $2N$ ; thus the number of crosscuts  $\gamma$  comprising  $C$  is at most  $N$ .

We conclude that some crosscut  $\gamma$ , denoted by  $\Gamma$ , contains infinitely countably many points  $\zeta_n$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $\zeta_n \in \Gamma$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

On the other hand, by undergoing the same discussion on  $v$  instead of  $u$  we conclude that there exists a crosscut  $\Gamma'$  that is contained in the level set  $v = v(z_0)$  and contains infinitely countably many of the points  $\zeta_n \in \Gamma$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since every  $|\zeta_n| \leq \rho < 1$   $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and the arcs  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  are analytic,  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  coincide.

Suppose now that  $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$  is a terminal point of  $\Gamma$  (or  $\Gamma'$ ). Then

$$u(z) \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{for } z \in \Gamma \quad z \rightarrow \xi;$$

hence  $u_0 \in C(u, \xi)$ . By the same token we conclude that  $v_0 \in C(v, \xi)$ . Therefore,  $\omega \in C(F, \mathbb{T})$  and we have a contradiction to our original assumption. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors, L., *Complex Analysis*, Third Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1979.
- [2] Bshouty, D., Hengartner, W., Lyzzaik, A. and Weitsman, A., *Valency of harmonic mappings onto bounded convex domains*, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **1** (2001), 479–499.
- [3] Duren, P., *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [4] Markushevich, A. I., *Theory of functions of a complex variable*. vol. III, English edition translated and edited by Richard A. Silverman, Prentice-Hall Inc., N. J., 1967.

Daoud Bshouty  
Department of Mathematics  
Technion  
Haifa  
Israel  
e-mail: [daoud@tx.technion.ac.il](mailto:daoud@tx.technion.ac.il)

Abdallah Lyzzaik  
Department of Mathematics  
American University of Beirut  
Beirut  
Lebanon  
e-mail: [lyzzaik@aub.edu.lb](mailto:lyzzaik@aub.edu.lb)

Received August 24, 2011