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Abstract—A face recognition algorithm using biologically inspired $C_2$ standard model features is proposed. The $C_2$ feature extraction system, which is based on the standard model of the ventral stream of visual cortex, is modified for the extraction of facial features. The $S_2$ units in the learning stage are tuned to frontal and profile views of the faces to provide pose invariant recognition. A new learning function is utilized to extract discriminative facial features. The spatial invariance is limited both in the local and global pooling stages. The reduced spatial discriminative facial features. The spatial invariance is limited in the local pooling preserves the component location invariance in the local pooling preserves the minute shape and both in the local and global pooling stages. The reduced spatial discriminative facial features. The spatial invariance is limited in the local pooling preserves the component location information. The faces are classified using a support vector machines classifier with linear kernel. The proposed algorithm is tested using two face datasets (MIT-CBCL, FEI) with wide variations in profiles, and compared with existing algorithms (the original $C_2$ feature extraction algorithm and MPCALDA algorithm). The experimental results provide evidence of the better performance of the proposed approach for pose invariant face recognition, by at least 6.5%.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Automatic recognition of human faces has been an active research area for the last two decades. Unresolved challenges in this field still keep face recognition a hot area of research. The research efforts in face processing include face detection, face recognition, face tracking, pose estimation, and expression recognition [1]. The major recognition methods applied to face images are eigenface, neural network, dynamic link architecture, hidden Markov model, geometrical feature matching, and template matching. The surveys on face recognition [2] and face detection [1] provide the details of these methods.

The mainstream computer vision research has always been challenged by human vision, and the mechanism of human visual system is yet to be understood well. The human visual system rapidly and effortlessly recognizes a large number of diverse objects in cluttered, natural scenes and identifies specific patterns, which inspired the development of computational models of biological vision systems [3–6]. A case study in unconstrained face recognition on Facebook [7] shows the utility of biologically inspired features for face recognition. However the models in the case study encapsulate the properties of only visual area V1 and the representations utilized are not optimized or modified specifically for face recognition.

Human faces are characterized with specific shapes and textures. The addition of skin texture features with shape features improves the performance of face recognition algorithms. The $C_2$ features proposed by Serre et al. [4], [5] have the capability to capture the shape and texture features in spite of the position and scale variations of objects. The model encapsulates the properties of visual areas V1, V4 and inferotemporal cortex. The utility of $C_2$ features in face and expression recognition is less studied [8], [9]. Singh et al. [8] utilized the $C_2$ features with slight modification (used log polar Gabor filter instead of the normal Gabor filter) for extracting features of a mosaic of face images. The focus of the algorithm presented by Pramod et al. [9] is on the classification aspect. The $C_2$ feature extraction system is utilized as it is in their study.

The present paper investigates possible modifications of the $C_2$ feature extraction system to make it suitable for face recognition applications. It proposes a modified $C_2$ feature extraction system for pose invariant face recognition. A support vector machines (SVM) classifier with linear kernel is utilized to classify the faces using the extracted modified $C_2$ features. The performance of the proposed algorithm is tested and compared using two face datasets, the MIT-CBCL face recognition database [10] and the FEI face database [11].

II. $C_2$ FEATURES FOR POSE INVARIANT FACE RECOGNITION

This section briefly reviews the $C_2$ feature extraction system and proposes modifications for using $C_2$ features for pose invariant face recognition.

A. $C_2$ feature Extraction System

Riesenhuber and Poggio proposed a hierarchical model of ventral visual object-processing stream in the visual cortex [3]. Serre et al. implemented a computational model of the system, and utilized it for robust object recognition [4], [5]. The features extracted by this model are known as $C_2$ standard model features (SMFs).

The $C_2$ feature extraction system consists of four layers.

Layer 1: Layer 1 ($S_1$) consists of a battery of Gabor filters with 12 orientations ($0^\circ$ to $175^\circ$, in steps of $15^\circ$) and 16 sizes (divided into 8 bands). The $S_1$ layer imitates the simple cells in the primary visual cortex (V1), detecting edges and bars at different orientations (Fig. 1).

Layer 2: Layer 2 ($C_2$) models the complex cells in V1, by applying a MAX operator locally (over different scales and
positions) to the first layer’s outputs. This operation provides tolerance to different object projection sizes, positions, and rotations in the 2-D plane of the visual field.

**Layer 3:** In layer 3 \((S_2)\), radial basis functions (RBFs) are utilized to imitate the visual area V4 and posterior inferotemporal (PIT) cortex. Layer 3 aids shape and texture recognition by comparing the \(C_1\) images with prototypical \(C_1\) image patches. The prototypical \(C_1\) image patches (the prototype patches) are learned and stored during the training (in humans, these patches correspond to learned patterns of previously seen visual images and are stored in the synaptic weights of the neural cells).

**Layer 4:** The fourth layer \((C_2)\) imitates the inferotemporal cortex. It applies a \(\text{MAX}\) operator globally to the outputs of layer \(S_2\), resulting in the \(C_2\) feature representation that expresses the best similarities with the prototype patches.

---

**B. Proposed Modifications**

The proposed modifications in the \(C_2\) feature extraction system for pose invariant face recognition are described in this sub-section.

1) **Limiting the position invariance**

The local pooling over position in the \(C_1\) layer provides invariance to position. However, some useful information (in shape, texture, position) is lost due to this local maximization. This is significant in the context of face recognition as the basic shape of different faces are similar, and the major differences are in the shapes and relative positions of minute facial components (e.g., eyes, nose, mouth). In order to avoid this loss, the local invariance is limited to 8x8 units (at the highest scale, instead of 22x22 in the original system).

2) **Tuning of the \(S_2\) units for the recognition of frontal and profile faces**

Simple cells in the RBF stage (layer 3, \(S_2\)) combine bars and edges in the image to more complex shapes. Each \(S_2\) unit response depends on the Euclidean distance between crops of the \(C_1\) image and the stored prototype patch. In order to recognize the faces in spite of the profile variations, each \(S_2\) unit is tuned to different views of the same face, the frontal, left profile, and the right profile views. A battery of prototype patches with 3 layers (corresponding to the 3 views) is extracted from the geometrically significant and textured positions of the face images\(^1\) (Fig. 2). The \(C_2\) features corresponding to all 3 layers are extracted and the maximum of these values \(\text{(1)}\) are utilized for the recognition\(^2\).

\[
C_2 = \max_i \left\{ C_2^i \right\},
\]

where \(C_2\) represents the \(C_2\) feature component corresponding to a battery of patches, and \(C_2^i\) represents that corresponding to a layer in the battery.

---

\(^1\) Images shown are taken from MIT-CBCL face database \[10\].

\(^2\) The proposed algorithm utilizes the edge/shape information (\(C_1\) response) from different profile views. The pose invariance is achieved by finding the best similarity.

---

**Fig. 1.** The \(S_1\) responses of a face image at different orientations. The orientations vary from 0° (left top) to 175° (left bottom) in steps of 15°, increasing in clockwise direction.

**Fig. 2.** Three layers of prototype patches are extracted from three different views (frontal, left and right profiles) of a person’s face. Each layer consists of patches extracted around the geometrically significant and textured positions of the face images\(^1\) (Fig. 2). The \(C_2\) features corresponding to all 3 layers are extracted and the maximum of these values \(\text{(1)}\) are utilized for the recognition\(^2\).

\[
R(X, P) = \exp \left( -\frac{||X - P||^2}{2\sigma^2} \right),
\]

where,

\(R(X, P)\) the \(S_2\) response corresponding to the \(C_1\) image
patch $X$ and prototype patch $P$,

$$||X - P||$$

the Euclidean distance (which is a measure of the similarity) between $X$ and $P$,

$\sigma$ the standard deviation, $=1$.

Fig. 3 shows the variation of the $S_2$ response $R(X, P)$ with variation in the Euclidean distance $||X - P||$. The experimental results show that the majority of distance values $||X - P||$ falls between 0-0.5. The lesser distance values are due to the lesser interclass shape difference of faces (compared to that of general objects). In order to extract discriminative facial features in spite of the smaller interclass shape differences, the learning function is modified (3).

$$R(X, P) = \frac{1}{k\left(\frac{||X - P||^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) + 1},$$

where,

$k$ a tunable parameter, equal to 80/7 in our case (obtained empirically).

Fig. 3 also shows a comparison of the learning functions (2) and (3). Clearly the utilization of the new learning function (3) extracts better discriminative features $R(X, P)$.

4) Component based approach: Utilizing the location information

The proposed algorithm utilizes a component based approach for face recognition. The information on the locations of the prototype patches (which represents the facial components) is utilized during the pooling in layer 4. The pooling space is limited to $S_p\%$ of the space around the original patch location (instead of global maximization, $S_p=100\%$, in the original $C_2$ feature extraction system). Fig. 4 shows the variation in accuracy with $S_p$ for MIT-CBCL dataset. The algorithm provided best accuracy when $S_p$ is 23%. Lesser value of $S_p$ (say 10%) led to lesser accuracy as it does not provide much invariance. At the same time, when the value of $S_p$ is higher (say 80%) the accuracy again declined as the component information is lost.

III. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FACE RECOGNITION SYSTEM

Fig. 5 shows the training phase of the face recognition algorithm. The prototype patches are selected using the feature selection algorithm based on SVM normals [12]. The $C_2$ features are extracted using the proposed modified algorithm, and are normalized between -1 and +1. An SVM classifier with linear kernel is trained using these features and the class labels.

Fig. 6 shows the testing phase. The $C_2$ features are extracted and normalized using the data from the training phase. The classification is done using the trained SVM classifier model.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed face recognition algorithm is tested using two different face datasets, the MIT-CBCL face recognition database [10] and FEI face database [11]. Ten class subsets of these datasets with wide variations in pose are utilized for the evaluation (Fig. 7(a) and (b)). The details of the subsets are provided in Table 1. The performance of the proposed system, which is based on modified $C_2$ features, is compared with that of original $C_2$ features based system and MPCALDA (Multilinear Principle Component Analysis plus Linear Discriminant Analysis) [13].
A. Results

The MIT-CBCL subset consists of 2000 face images. The algorithm is trained using 150 images (15 per class with left, right and frontal views) and tested using the rest 1850 images. The reported results are the average accuracies over 10 different runs of the algorithm (with different train and test sets). The proposed algorithm provided an accuracy of 95.40% and it outperformed the conventional $C_2$ features and MPCALDA systems (Table 1).

The FEI face database is a Brazilian face database taken in an upright frontal position with profile rotation of up to about 180 degrees. The subset contains 10 classes of face images (5 male and 5 female), with variations in pose. The training set consists of 60 images and testing set consists of 80 images. The reported results are the average accuracies over 3 different runs of the algorithm (the training-testing sets are partitioned in such a way that all the images are included in the training and testing sets at least once). The proposed algorithm provided a recognition accuracy of 98.25%, outperforming the other two compared methods (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIT-CBCL dataset [10]</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>95.40</td>
<td>87.05</td>
<td>88.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEI dataset [11]</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>98.25</td>
<td>91.75</td>
<td>89.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Analysis of the Performance Improvement by Proposed Modifications

We conducted experiments to estimate the contribution of every modification. All the four modifications are found to have notable effect on improving the recognition accuracy (see Table 2 reporting the results of individual modifications activated, for MIT-CBCL database). With all of the modifications activated we achieved 8.35% accuracy improvement. Furthermore we tested all possible combinations of modification activations to study the interaction effects. The interaction effects can be explained by analyzing misclassified images as follows: 1) The new learning function enhanced the recognition of both frontal and profile faces. 2) The limited position invariance and component based approach are more relevant for the recognition of frontal faces (than for a combination of frontal and profile faces). 3) The modification for profile invariance is more relevant for the recognition of a combination of frontal and profile faces (than for only frontal faces).

C. Discussion

Fig. 8 shows a comparison of the interclass separation of features extracted by three systems, a) System with learning function (2) and class specific prototype patches, b) System with learning function (3) and class specific prototype patches (proposed system), and c) System with learning function (3) and universal dictionary of features [4] (prototype patches extracted from natural images). On comparison the proposed algorithm provided the best interclass separation (b).
using modified $C_2$ standard model features. The $C_2$ feature extraction system is modified to extract discriminative shape and texture features of facial parts. The prototype patches are extracted from the geometrically significant and textured parts of different profile views of faces. The algorithm is tested using two profile face datasets and its performance is compared with that of the original $C_2$ features and MPCALDA based features. On comparison the proposed algorithm provided more than 6.5% improvement in the recognition accuracy.

As a future work, we plan to apply the proposed algorithm for facial expression recognition. The expressions are to be recognized irrespective of the face identity.
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