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Abstract: This paper proposes multicast table approach to support GSM/UMTS multicast that minimizes the paging cost. The implementation and execution of the multicast tables are very efficient. The costs for updating these tables can be ignored compared with the costs of standard location update procedures. Furthermore, our mechanism can be implemented within the mobility databases without modifying the standard location update messages. We show that our mechanism always outperforms the existing GSM/UMTS multicast mechanisms.
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1 Introduction

Existing 2G and 3G systems do not support efficient multicast mechanism. In [1], Universal Mobile Telecommunication System (UMTS) provides voice group call service through a broadcast mechanism. Specifically, all service areas are paged when a voice call is delivered. That is, a service area is paged even if no multicast user is in that area. In iSMS [5], multicast is achieved by sending every message to individual users in the multicast list. If \( n \) users are in a service area, then the same message is sent \( n \) times to this service area. The above two approaches are clearly not effective. In this paper, we describe an efficient multicast mechanism for UMTS [4], which minimize the number of messages sent to the service areas. This multicast mechanism can be used to deliver short messages or multimedia messages. In UMTS, short messages are delivered through the control plane of the circuit switched (CS) domain. On the other hand, multimedia messages are delivered through the user plane of the packet switched (PS) domain. We will describe our multicast mechanism in the CS domain (which is basically the same as GSM). The mechanism for the PS domain is similar, and will not be elaborated.

UMTS networks track the locations of mobile stations (MSs) so that incoming calls can be delivered to the subscribers. To exercise location tracking, a UMTS service area is partitioned into several location areas (LAs). Every LA consists of a group of base stations that communicate with the MSs over radio link. The major task of mobility management [2] is to update the location of an
MS when it moves from one LA to another. The location information is stored in the UMTS mobility databases such as the home location register (HLR) and the visitor location register (VLR). Every VLR maintains the information of a group of LAs. In the UMTS CS domain, our multicast mechanism utilizes the existing UMTS/GSM short message architecture as shown in Figure 1. In this architecture, there are three VLRs: VLR1, VLR2, and VLR3. VLR1 covers location areas LA1, LA2, and LA3. VLR2 covers location areas LA4, LA5, and LA6. VLR3 covers location areas LA7 and LA8. The multicast messages are issued by the short message-service center (SM-SC). Following the standard UMTS/GSM procedures, every multicast group is associated with a short message service (SMS) gateway mobile switching center (GMSC), and the SM-SC always forwards messages to the SMS GMSC of the multicast group. The SMS GMSC then forwards the message to the MSCs where the multicast members reside. The SMS GMSC can be one of the terminating MSCs. The MSCs then page the LAs of the multicast members. In Figure 1 the logical path for message multicast is (1) → (3) → (5) → (6). Note that in this procedure, the HLR and the corresponding VLRs are queried to identify the MSs of the multicast members (see (2) and (4) in Figure 1).

Two types of tables are utilized in the multicast mechanism. In the HLR, we implement a table $MC_H$ that contains the addresses of the VLRs and the numbers of multicast members residing in the VLRs. In every VLR, we implement a table $MC_V$ that contains the identities of the LAs and the number of the multicast members in these LAs. Consider the example in Figure 1. There are two MSs in LA1, one MS in LA3, and one MS in LA6. Thus, we have

$$MC_H[VLR1] = 3, \quad MC_H[VLR2] = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad MC_H[VLR3] = 0$$

(1)

For VLR1,

$$MC_V[LA1] = 2, \quad MC_V[LA2] = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad MC_V[LA3] = 1$$

(2)
Figure 2: Registration for a Multicast Member (Inter-VLR Movement)

For VLR2,

\[
MC_V[LA4] = 0, \quad MC_V[LA5] = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad MC_V[LA6] = 1
\] (3)

For VLR3,

\[
MC_V[LA7] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad MC_V[LA8] = 0
\] (4)

In this paper, we describe the UMTS/GSM multicast mechanism based on the multicast tables. Section 2 shows how the multicast tables \(MC_H\) and \(MC_V\) are maintained through the standard GSM/UMTS location update procedures. Section 3 describes how messages are multicasted by using the multicast tables. Section 4 proposes an analytic model to investigate the performance of the multicast mechanism.

2 Location Tracking of the Multicast Members

This section describes the GSM/UMTS location update procedure, and shows how the multicast tables \(MC_H\) and \(MC_V\) are maintained through this procedure. We assume that exact one MSC is connected to a VLR. This one-MSC-per-VLR configuration is typical implementation in the existing GSM/UMTS systems. Two types of movements are considered: inter-LA movement and inter-VLR movement. In inter-VLR movement, the old and new LAs are connected to different MSCs and thus different VLRs. In Figure 1 assume that the MS of LA3 moves into LA4. The location update message flow is given Figure 2. In this figure, the messages with prefix \(\text{MAP}\) are defined in GSM/UMTS standards. The steps are described as follows.

Step 1. A location update request message is sent from the MS to MSC2. MSC2 sends the message \(\text{MAP\_UPDATE\_LOCATION\_AREA}\) to VLR2.
**Step 2.** Since the MS is a new visitor to VLR2, VLR2 does not have a VLR record of the MS. According to the message received from MSC2 at Step 1, VLR2 identifies the address of the previous VLR (i.e., VLR1).

**Step 3.** VLR2 sends the message MAP_SEND_IDENTIFICATION to VLR1. The message provides information for VLR1 to retrieve the *International Mobile Subscriber Identity* (IMSI) of the MS in the database. IMSI is the unique subscriber identity which identifies the HLR of the MS.

**Step 4.** The IMSI is sent back from VLR1 to VLR2. VLR2 creates a VLR record for the MS, updates the LA Identity and the MSC fields of the VLR record, and derives the HLR address of the MS from the MS’s IMSI.

**Step 5.** $MC_V[LA_4]$ (in VLR2) is incremented by 1.

**Step 6.** The VLR2 sends the MAP_UPDATE_LOCATION message to the HLR. By using the received IMSI, the HLR identifies the MS’s record. The MSC number field and the VLR address field of the record is updated.

**Step 7.** $MC_H[VLR1]$ is decremented by 1, and $MC_H[VLR2]$ is incremented by 1.

**Step 8.** An acknowledgment is sent back to VLR2.

**Step 9.** The HLR sends MAP_CANCEL_LOCATION message to VLR1. The obsolete record of the MS in VLR1 is deleted.

**Step 10.** $MC_V[LA_3]$ (in VLR1) is decremented by 1.

**Step 11.** VLR1 acknowledges the cancel location operation.

In this procedure, Steps 1-4, 6, 8, 9, and 11 are defined in the standard UMTS/GSM specifications. Steps 5, 7, and 10 are executed if the MS is a multicast member. Before the registration, the contents of the multicast tables are given in (1), (2), (3), and (4). After the registration, $MC_V$ for VLR3 remains the same. $MC_H$ becomes $MC_H[VLR1] = 2$, $MC_H[VLR2] = 2$, and $MC_H[VLR3] = 0$. $MC_V$ for VLR1 becomes $MC_V[LA_1] = 2$, $MC_V[LA_2] = 0$, and $MC_V[LA_3] = 0$. $MC_V$ for VLR2 becomes $MC_V[LA_4] = 1$, $MC_V[LA_5] = 0$, and $MC_V[LA_6] = 1$.

For inter-LA (intra-VLR) movement, only Steps 1, 2, 6, and 10 in Figure 2 are executed. In Figure 1, if an MS moves from LA1 to LA2, the inter-LA registration is performed. Before the registration, the contents of the multicast tables are given in (1), (2), (3), and (4). After the registration, $MC_H$, $MC_V$ for VLR2, and $MC_V$ for VLR3 remain the same, and $MC_V$ for VLR1 becomes $MC_V[LA_1] = 1$, $MC_V[LA_2] = 1$, $MC_V[LA_3] = 1$. 
From the descriptions of the above procedure, it is apparent that the tables $MC_H$ and $MC_V$ accurately record the multicast members distributed in the LAs of an UMTS network.

3 Mobile Multicast Message Delivery

This section describes how messages are multicasted by using the multicast tables. The procedure is described in the following steps (see Figure 1):

**Step 1.** The SM-SC sends a multicast message to the SMS GMSC.

**Step 2.** Through the message MAP_SEND_ROUTING_INFO_FOR_SM, the SMS GMSC requests the routing information from the HLR. The HLR searches the multicast table $MC_H$. If $MC_H[VLR_i] > 0$, then the mobile station roaming number (MSRN) for the $VLR_i$ is returned from the HLR to the SMS GMSC through MAP_SEND_ROUTING_INFO_FOR_SM_ack. MSRN is used to identify the destination MSC of the message.

**Step 3.** The SMS GMSC delivers the multicast message to the destination MSCs (based on the MSRNs received from the HLR) by sending MAP_FORWARD_SHORT_MESSAGE. In Figure 1, the multicast message is sent to MSC1 and MSC2.

**Step 4.** Every destination MSC sends MAP_SEND_INFO_FOR_MT_SMS to its VLR to obtain the subscriber-related information. When the VLR receives this message, it searches the multicast table $MC_V$ to identify the LAs where the multicast members reside. These location areas $LA_j$ satisfy the condition $MC_V[LA_j] > 0$. In Figure 1, the LAs in VLR1 are LA1 and LA3. The LA in VLR2 is LA6. A micro procedure Check_Indication in the VLR is invoked to verify the data value of the message. If the tests are passed, the VLR requests the MSC to page $LA_j$.

**Steps 5 and 6.** The MSC broadcasts the message to the multicast members in the LAs following the standard GSM/UMTS paging procedures. The multicast members listen and receive the message broadcasted in the LAs.

From the above message delivery procedure, it is clear that only the LAs with multicast members will be paged for multicast. The LAs without multicast members will not be paged.

4 Analytic Modeling and Performance Evaluation

This section describes an analytic model to investigate three multicast approaches:
Approach \( A_I \) is used in UMTS voice group call service [1] (where the voice calls are replaced by short messages). In this approach all LAs are paged when a multicast message arrives.

Approach \( A_{II} \) is used in iSMS [5] where multicast is achieved by sending a message to every individual member in the multicast list.

Approach \( A_{III} \) is our approach based on multicast tables. This approach pages the LAs where the multicast members reside. The LAs without multicast members are not paged.

The multicast costs of above approaches are measured by the number of paging messages sent to the LAs at multicast message delivery. For the particular multicast message delivery in Figure 1, the multicast costs for \( A_I, A_{II}, \) and \( A_{III} \) are 8, 4, and 3, respectively.

We first model how the multicast members are distributed in the LAs of an UMTS system. Assume that the LAs are classified into \( l \) categories. For \( 1 \leq i \leq l \), there are \( N_i \) LAs of class \( i \). Let \( \pi_i(j) \) be the probability that there are \( j \) multicast members in a class \( i \) LA. Probabilities \( \pi_i(j) \) are derived as follows. We assume that the multicast members enter a class \( i \) LA with rate \( \lambda_i \). These arrivals can be from other LAs or areas not covered by the UMTS system. It was shown [3] that the aggregate arrivals can be approximated by a Poisson stream. We assume that a multicast member resides at the LA for a period that has a general distribution with mean \( 1/\mu_i \). From [3], \( \pi_i(j) \) can be derived from the \( M/G/\infty \) model. That is, for \( j \geq 0 \),

\[
\pi_i(j) = \left( \frac{\rho_i^j}{j!} \right) e^{-\rho_i} \quad \text{where} \quad \rho_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} \quad (5)
\]

Let \( M \) be the number of LAs in the UMTS system. That is

\[
M = \sum_{i=1}^{l} N_i \quad (6)
\]

Consider two random variables \( K \) and \( N \). When a multicast message arrives, there are \( K \) multicast members in the UMTS system, and these members are distributed among \( N \) LAs (where \( N \leq M \)). It is clear that the expected multicast costs for \( A_I, A_{II} \) and \( A_{III} \) are \( M, E[K] \), and \( E[N] \), respectively. Let \( \theta_I \) and \( \theta_{II} \) be the expected costs for \( A_I \) and \( A_{II} \) normalized by the cost of \( A_{III} \). Then

\[
\theta_I = \frac{M}{E[N]} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{II} = \frac{E[K]}{E[N]} \quad (7)
\]

The normalized cost \( \theta_I \) is derived as follows. For \( 1 \leq i \leq l \), let \( n_i \) be the number of class \( i \) LAs where the multicast members reside. It is clear that \( 0 \leq n_i \leq N_i \). From (5) the expected number \( E[N] \) is expressed as

\[
E[N] = \sum_{n_1=1}^{N_1} \cdots \sum_{n_2=1}^{N_2} \sum_{n_1=1}^{N_1} (n_1 + \ldots + n_i) \left( \binom{N_1}{n_1} \right) \left[ 1 - \pi_1(0) \right]^{n_1} \pi_1(0)^{N_1-n_1}
\]
\[ \times \left( \frac{N_2}{n_2} \right) \left[ 1 - \pi_2(0) \right]^{n_2} \left[ \pi_2(0) \right] \cdots \left( \frac{N_l}{n_l} \right) \left[ 1 - \pi_l(0) \right]^{n_l} \left[ \pi_l(0) \right]^{N_l - n_l} \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{l} N_i \left( 1 - e^{-\rho_i} \right) \]  

(8)

From (7), (6) and (8), we have

\[ \theta_I = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} N_i \right) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{l} N_i \left( 1 - e^{-\rho_i} \right) \right]^{-1} \]  

(9)

From (5), the expected number \( E[K_i] \) of multicast members in a class \( i \) LA is

\[ E[K_i] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m\pi_i(m) = \rho_i \]  

(10)

From (10), the expected number \( E[K] \) of the multicast members in the system is

\[ E[K] = \sum_{i=1}^{l} N_i E[K_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{l} N_i \rho_i \]  

(11)

From (7), (11) and (8), we have

\[ \theta_{II} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{l} N_i \rho_i \right) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{l} N_i \left( 1 - e^{-\rho_i} \right) \right]^{-1} \]  

(12)

5 Discussion and Conclusions

Based on (9) and (12), we investigate the performance of \( A_I, A_{II}, \) and \( A_{III} \). We consider two classes of LAs. A class 1 LA has multicast user traffic \( \rho_1 = 1/\delta \), and a class 2 LA has the traffic \( \rho_2 = \delta \). If \( \delta >> 1 \), a class 1 LA has small multicast member population, and a class 2 LA has large multicast member population. Let \( \alpha \) be the portion of class 1 LAs. That is, \( N_1 = \alpha M \), and \( N_2 = (1 - \alpha) M \). Figure 3 plots \( \theta_I \) and \( \theta_{II} \) against \( \alpha \). From the previous discussion, it is likely that there is no multicast member in a class 1 LA, and there are many members in a class 2 LAs. Thus as \( \alpha \) and \( \delta \) increase, \( \theta_I \) increases (Figure 3 (a)), and \( \theta_{II} \) decreases (Figure 3 (b)). When \( \alpha = 0 \), \( A_I \) and \( A_{III} \) have similar performance (i.e., \( \theta_I \simeq 1 \)). When \( \alpha = 1 \), \( A_{II} \) and \( A_{III} \) have similar performance. On the other hand, \( A_{III} \) significantly outperforms \( A_I \) when \( \alpha > 0.3 \) (that is, when more than 30% of the LAs have few multicast members). Also, \( A_{III} \) significantly outperforms \( A_{II} \) when \( \alpha < 0.9 \) (that is, when less than 90% of the LAs have many multicast members). To conclude, \( A_{III} \) always outperforms \( A_I \) and \( A_{II} \), and our analytic model quantitatively shows the scenarios when \( A_{III} \) significantly outperforms the previously proposed approaches.
As a final remark, the implementation and execution of the multicast tables are very efficient. The cost for updating these tables can be ignored compared with the standard location update steps (location update message sending and VLR/HLR record modifications). Furthermore, our mechanism can be implemented within the VLRs and the HLR without modifying the standard location update messages.
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