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Abstract. New generations of web applications are developed by new technologies like Moonlight, Silverlight, JAVAFX, FLEX, etc. Silverlight is Microsoft's cross platform runtime and development technology for running Web-based multimedia applications in windows platform. Moonlight is an open-source implementation of the Silverlight development platform for Linux and other Unix/X11-based operating systems. It is a new technology in .Net 4.0 to develop rich interactive and attractive platform independent web applications. User Interface Test Automation is very essential for Software industries to reduce test time, cost and man power. Moonlight is new .NET technology to develop rich interactive Internet applications with the collaboration of Novel Corporation. Testing these kinds of applications are not so easy to test, especially the User interface test automation is very difficult. Software test automation has the capability to decrease the overall cost of testing and improve software quality, but most testing organizations have not been able to achieve the full potential of test automation. Many groups that implement test automation programs run into a number of common pitfalls. These problems can lead to test automation plans being completely scrapped, with the tools purchased for test automation becoming expensive. Often teams continue their automation effort, burdened with huge costs in maintaining large suites of automated test scripts. This paper will first discuss some of the key benefits of software test automation, and then examine the most common techniques used to implement software test automation of Moonlight Applications Test Automation. Finally, we discussed test automation and their potential of online test automation.
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1 Introduction

Internet applications are very important in this fast growing world because of online trade and education. Initially web applications are developed by hyper text markup language (HTML) and then using ASP and JSP controls. But today’s rich interactive and attractive applications are developed by Silverlight and Moonlight technology. It becomes more popular because of its security and attraction. But to perform online test Automation we have to spend more time and money.

1.1 Moonlight

Moonlight is an open source implementation of Silverlight, primarily for Linux and other Unix/X11 based operating systems. In September of 2007, Microsoft and Novell announced a technical collaboration that includes access to Microsoft's test suites for Silverlight and the Moonlight for Linux users that will contain licensed media codec for video and audio [1] [2] [3].

The major goals of moonlight are:
- To run Silverlight applications on Linux.
- To provide a Linux SDK to build Silverlight applications.
- To reuse the Silverlight engine we have built for desktop applications.
1.1.1 Developing Moonlight

Moonlight is an open source implementation of Silverlight, primarily for Linux and other Unix/X11 based operating systems. In September of 2007, Microsoft and Novell announced a technical collaboration that includes access to Microsoft's test suites for Silverlight and the moonlight. We should only attempt to build Moonlight from source directly. Otherwise it is recommended that to use the packaged versions [25].

1.1.2 External Dependencies

Moonlight has several external dependencies, such as:
- Gtk+ 2.0 development package.
- XULRunner development package.
- for ex.: mozilla-xulrunner190-devel for firefox3, mozilla-xulrunner181-devel for firefox2
- Alsa and/or PulseAudio development packages.
- Optionally if we would like to play media without the Microsoft codecs we will need ffmpeg.

ffmpeg from SVN:

Use: svn co -r 20911 svn://svn.mplayerhq.hu/ ffmpeg/trunk && cd trunk/libswscale && svn up -r 30099
If we are not interested in building browser plugins, the mozilla packages can be skipped.

1.1.3 Compiling Moonlight

By default these instructions will compile mono and moonlight using the standard prefix (usually /usr). If we have installed mono through wer package manager, it might already exist in this prefix. If so, this will result in wer system mono being overwritten. This can cause instabilities running mono based applications. It can also cause issues if we try to update/remove mono using wer package manager. To prevent this from happening, we should compile and install mono to a parallel prefix as described here Parallel Mono Environments These steps mostly apply to both the tarball and SVN scenarios. If we use 2.0 or 3.0 tarballs released before March 4th 2010, we first need to build and install mono and mcs (for SVN or 3.0 tarballs released after March 4th 2010 this step is done automatically). This is handled entirely by compiling in the mono/ directory:

```
cd mono; ./autogen.sh $options && make && make install; cd ..
```

Then build and install in moonlight:
```
cd moon; ./autogen.sh Options && make && make install; cd ..
```

(note, in the tarball case replace "/./autogen.sh" above with "./configure")

Moonlight supports many configure options, of which some are listed below. The default case will build we both desktop and browser support (if we have the dependencies installed).

Once we've installed, the desktop case will work without any problem, but one further step is required to get the plugin working. Run "make test-plugin" from the toplevel moon/ directory. This installs our special libmoonloader.so (which mozilla sees as the actual plugin) into wer ~/.mozilla/plugins directory.

Creating the XPI is done from the toplevel moon/ directory by running "make user-plugin"[26].

1.1.4 Mono Accessibility

Mono Accessibility enables all types of users to access System.Windows.Forms and Silverlight applications from Linux using Orca and other ATK-based Assistive Technologies (ATs), as well as access Linux applications from UI Automation (UIA) based ATs.

The Mono Accessibility project enables Winforms and Silverlight applications to be fully accessible on Linux, and allows Assistive Technologies (ATs) like screen readers and test automation tools that depend on UI Automation APIs to work on Linux.
Mono Accessibility is available for a variety of Linux distributions, including OpenSUSE 11.2, Ubuntu Karmic Koala 11, Fedora 12, etc. The Figure 1 shows Accessibility of Silverlight controls in a tree structure using UISPY [4] [5]. A particular controls information are collected by UISPY.

1.2 Silverlight

Silverlight is Microsoft’s new cross browser or delivering richer interactive applications to users over the web. Silverlight 2.0 is Microsoft's second release of the Silverlight platform. Silverlight 2's biggest change from Silverlight 1.0 is the inclusion of a compact version of the .NET Framework, complete with the .NET Framework 3.0 Common Language Runtime. By adding .NET to Silverlight, Microsoft makes it easy for .NET developers to reuse their existing programming skills, collaborate with designers, and quickly create rich applications for the Web. One of the key benefits of Silverlight 2 is that it can execute any .NET language, including C# and VB.NET.

Silverlight 2 ships with a "lightweight" version of the full .NET Framework, which features, among other classes, extensible controls, XML Web Services, networking components, and LINQ APIs. This class library is a subset of (and is considerably smaller than) the .NET Framework's Base Class Library, which enables the Silverlight plug-in to be a fast and small download. For security, all Silverlight code runs in a sandbox environment that prevents invoking platform APIs protecting user computers from malicious code. In addition to the .NET Framework classes, Silverlight 2 also ships with a subset of the WPF UI programming model, including support for shapes, documents, media, and WPF animation objects.

Testing these kinds of new applications with existing old testing methods are ridicules. We have to test new applications developed by new technology and also reduce test time and cost, Microsoft is developed Silverlight and provides accessibility classes. AutomationID is one of the properties to Silverlight controls, using this AutomationID property we can pass a value to particular controls and execute events. This AutomationID approach is best to do test automation for Silverlight applications.

2 The Benefits of Software Test Automation

Test Automation has a lot of benefits like cost, time and reducing man power etc. Most software development and testing organizations are well aware of the benefits of test automation. A quick glance at the Web sites of any test automation tool vendor will point out a number of the key benefits of test automation. Some of these benefits include:
2.1 Reduced test execution time and cost

Automated tests take less time to execute than manual tests, and can generally execute unattended. A tester must simply start the test, and then analyze the results when the test is completed [8] [9].

2.2 Increased test coverage on each testing cycle

Automated tests can allow testing teams to execute large volumes of tests against each build of their application, achieving a level of coverage that would not be possible with manual testing. This increased coverage can help teams uncover bugs in existing functionality much more quickly than through manual testing [10] [11]. Test automation can allow teams to test more features in each cycle (breadth), and also to test features using more permutations of inputs (depth). But test coverage will take more time.

2.3 Increased value of manual testing effort

So long as applications are meant for human end users, test automation will never entirely replace the need for human testers. No matter how sophisticated test automation tools become, they will never be as good as human testers at finding bugs in an application. Human testers will instantly notice subtle bugs that are almost never detected by test automation, particularly usability bugs. Automated test tools cannot ‘follow their instincts’ to uncover bugs using exploratory and ad hoc testing techniques. By freeing manual testers from having to execute repetitive, mundane tests, test automation enables them to focus on using their creativity, knowledge, and instincts to discover important bugs [5] [13] [14].

2.4 Reduced manual work

Human beings get tired by doing repeated works more times. But commuters will not tired by doing repeated works more times. User Interface test automation always reduce the manual testing time by automating test process. For example to test possible values for three text boxes we have to write the possible values for each text box. Then permutations and combinations are automatically generated by the system to perform UI Test Automation [12] [24].

3 Drawbacks of Existing Software Test Automation

Despite the clear benefits of test automation, many organizations are not able to build effective test automation programs. Test automation becomes a costly effort that finds few bugs and is of questionable value to the organization. There are a number of reasons why test automation efforts are unproductive. Some of the most common include:

3.1 Poor quality of tests being automated

Mark Fewster explains this problem very well: It doesn’t matter how clever we are at automating a test or how well we do it, if the test itself achieves nothing then all we end up with is a test that achieves nothing faster [14]. Many organizations simply focus on taking existing test cases and converting them into automated tests. There is a sense that if 100% of the manual test cases can be automated, then the test automation effort will be a success. In trying to achieve this goal, many organizations find that they may have automated many of their manual tests, but it has come at a huge investment of time and money, and produces few bugs found. This can be due the fact that a poor test is a poor test, whether it is executed manually or automatically [15] [16] [17].

3.2 Lack of good test automation framework and process

Many teams acquire a test automation tool and begin automating as many test cases as possible, with little consideration of how they can structure their automation in such a way that it is scalable and maintainable. Little consideration is given to managing the test scripts and test results, creating reusable functions, separating data from tests, and other key issues which allow a test automation effort to grow successfully. After some time, the team realizes that they have hundreds or thousands of test scripts, thousands of separate test result files, and the combined work of maintaining the existing scripts while continuing to automate new ones requires a larger and larger test automation team with higher and higher costs and no additional benefit [15] [16] [17].
3.3 Inability to adapt to changes in the system under test

As teams drive towards their goal of automating as many existing test cases as possible, they often don’t consider what will happen to the automated tests when the application under test (AUT) undergoes a significant change. Lacking a well-conceived test automation framework that considers how to handle changes to the system under test, these teams often find that the majority of their test scripts need maintenance. The outdated scripts will usually result in skyrocketing numbers of false negatives, since the scripts are no longer finding the behavior they are programmed to expect. As the team hurriedly works to update the test scripts to account for the changes, project stakeholders begin to lose faith in the results of the test automation. Often times the lack of perceived value in the test automation will result in a decision to scrap the existing test automation effort and start from scratch, using a more intelligent approach that will produce incrementally better results [15] [16] [17].

4 Generations of Test Automation

Software test automation has evolved through several generations of tools and techniques. Test Automation is started from Character user Interface to till now. It can be classified based on testing strategy. They are:

4.1 Capture/playback tools

The capture / playback tools record the actions of a tester in a manual test, and allow tests to be run unattended for many hours each day, greatly increasing test productivity and eliminating the mind-numbing repetition of manual testing. However, even small changes to the software under test require that the test be recorded manually again. Therefore this first generation of tools is not efficient or scalable [18][19].

4.2 Scripting

Scripting is a form of programming in computer languages specifically developed for software test automation, alleviates many issues with capture/ playback tools. However, the developers of these scripts must be highly technical and specialized programmers who work in isolation from the testers actually performing the tests. In addition, scripts are best suited for GUI testing and don’t lend themselves to embedded, batch, or other forms of systems. Finally, as changes to the software under test require complex changes to the associated automation scripts, maintenance of ever-larger libraries of automation scripts becomes an overwhelming challenge [20][21].

4.3 Data-driven testing

Data-driven testing is often considered separately as an important development in test automation. This approach simply but powerfully separates the automation script from the data to be input and expected back from the software under test. This allows the data to be prepared by testers without relying on automation engineers, and vastly increases the possible variations and amounts of data that can be used in software testing. This breaking down of the problem into two pieces is very powerful. While this approach greatly extends the usefulness of scripted test automation, the huge maintenance chores required of the automation programming staff remain [22][23].

4.4 Keyword-based test automation

Keyword-based test automation breaks work down even farther, in an advanced, structured and elegant approach. This reduces the cost and time of test design, automation, and execution by allowing all members of a testing team to focus on what they do best. Using this method, non-technical testers and business analysts can develop executable test automation using “keywords” that represent actions recognizable to end-users, such as “login”, while automation engineers devote their energy to coding the low-level steps that make up those actions, such as “click”, “find text box A in window B”, “enter User Name”, etc. Keyword-based test design can actually begin based on documents developed by business analysts or the marketing department, before the final details are known. As the test automation process proceeds, bottlenecks are removed and the expensive time of highly trained professionals is used effectively. The cost-benefits of the keyword method become even more apparent as the testing process continues. When the software under test undergoes changes, revisions to the test and to the automation scripts are necessary. Organizing test design and test automation with the keyword framework eliminates time previously allocated to maintaining large libraries of scripts and rewriting entire scripts anew after major changes to the software under test. With the keyword method, the necessary changes are far fewer. Many changes do not require new automation at all, and can be
completed by non-technical testers or business analysts. When required, changes to automated keywords can be completed by automation engineers without affecting the rest of the test [1][2][24].

5 User Interface Test Automation for Moonlight and Silverlight Applications

UI Test Automation of Moonlight and Silverlight Application Controls can be done by the following way. The flow of data from an input file to output file is done by UI Test and Automation as shown in figure 2.

![Figure 2. Flow of data in UI Test Automation.](image)

We plan to test all Functionalities of WinForms controls those have been mentioned in Provider Functional Specification (http://monouia.wik.is/Provider_Functional_Specification) and Bridge Functional Specification (http://mono-project.com/Accessibility).

According to quarter two of 2008 of the Accessibility#Roadmap roadmap, testing contents need relate with below info:
- The WinForms sheet in WinForms Controls list (http://www.mono-project.com/Accessibility:_Test_Plan_WinForms _Controls) defines which WinForms controls will be implemented and therefore need to be tested.
- Create WinForms application samples to test against. These application samples should be written in IronPython. Our sample applications can be found at svn://anonsvn.mono-project.com/source/trunk/uia2atkw/test/samples. Some C# samples (that can be translated) can be checked out via svn from http://anonsvn.mono-project.com/viewvc/trunk/winforms.
- Test WinForms applications samples using Accerciser to ensure that the samples are accessible.
- Test WinForms applications samples using Orca to ensure that the samples are accessible.
- Write automated scripts using Strongwind to verify accessibility of all WinForms controls.

Stuffs for WinForms test:
- Define what WinForms controls will be tested
- Define what WinForms application samples will be used
- Create custom WinForms applications in IronPython
- Test WinForms application samples with Accerciser to ensure accessibility
- Test WinForms application samples with Orca to ensure accessibility
- Create automated test suite in Python with StrongWind framework to ensure accessibility of all WinForms controls
- Review automated test suites with each other by using Review Board (http://reviews.mono-a11y.org/), Please read UsersGuide (http://www.review-board.org/docs/manual/dev/users/#usersguide) before we use it.
5.1 Moonlight UI Controls

According to Silverlight doc (http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/cc645072%28VS.95%29.aspx) that we have 32 Moonlight controls will be implemented, so we should test 32 controls whether they are accessible [12] [25]. (there might be some differences between silverlight and moonlight, it's not sure yet).

- The Moonlight sheet in Moonlight Controls List (http://spreadsheets.google.com/ccc?key=0AkMHBvpvUyGOcHdZHK3UzNYUFRCVFJTW5fb0qSkE&hl=EN) defines what Moonlight controls should be tested.
- Moonlight test sample will be written in C# and xaml
- Moonlight test script will be written in Python with Strongwind framework

Testing for Moonlight test:

- Define what Moonlight elements will be tested
- Define what Moonlight application samples will be used
- Create or reuse Moonlight applications
- Test Moonlight application samples with Accerciser (against Firefox web browser?)
- Create automated test suite to ensure the accessibility of all Moonlight elements
- Test Moonlight application samples with Orca

UIA Provider

- Define what functional should be test for each control according to Provider_Functional_Specification (http://monouia.wik.is/Provider_Functional_Specification)
- Test each controls to ensure provider interface is implemented in UIA/ATK Bridge
- Define what functional should be test for each control according to Bridge_Functional_Specification (http://monoproject.com/Accessibility:_Bridge_Functional_Specification)
- Test each controls to ensure ATK interface is showing correct information in UIA/AT-SPI Bridge
- Test each controls to ensure AT-SPI is showing correct information in UIA Client API

UIA verify is an open source test tool like UISpy running on Windows, developer can use it to watch controls properties and patterns, it will be posted running on Linux, so we will need to make sure the behavior of UIA verify on Linux is match to Windows[28].

White is a thin wrapper of UIAutomationClient that is like Strongwind wrap pyatspi, developer will post it running on Linux, so we will use White framework to write tests for Client API to against Winforms, Moonlight, Gtk+ applications. The work path of using White to test application is: C# -> White -> UIAutomationClient ->DBus -> UIA -> applications(Winforms/Moonlight/GTK+)

5.2 Testing for UIAutomation Client API test:

Testing for User Interface Automation Client API test can be done by three ways. They are Winforms Test, Moonlight Test and GTK+ Test

5.2.1 Winforms

- Design UserCases of the real application (We will use KeePass), analyze the coverage of each ControlPattern’s properties and methods
- Write tests using White in C# for the real application
- Run the tests on Linux to make sure they do the same behavior as on Windows

5.2.2 Moonlight

- Design UserCases of the real application, analyze the coverage of each ControlPattern’s properties and methods
- Write tests using White in C# for Moonlight apps on Windows
- Run the tests on Linux to make sure they do the same behavior as on Windows
• Test UIA verify on Linux to make sure the behavior is match with on Windows. UIA verify have provided automation tests, we can run all the applications on Linux and Windows, then to verify we get the same result of how many tests pass or fail

5.2.3 GTK+

• Design UserCases of the real application(gedit?), analyze the coverage of each ControlPattern's properties and methods
• Write tests using White for GTK+ apps
• Run the tests on Linux to make sure Client API is worked for GTK+ app

6 Implementation

In implementation point of view test cases are very important. Out UI Test Automation Automatically receives input from excel file and pass values to online web pages through our program code. Set of Team setup tasks necessary to prepare for and perform testing and Automation is done as shown in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Finished</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Build UIAutomation project on Bugzilla and Testopia.</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare virtual machines for most recent releases of supported platforms (openSUSE, Ubuntu, Fedora)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setup test environment on VMs</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtain testable build</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build DashBoard for Test Summary Report</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Team setup. Where X = Done P = In Progress

Individual Preparation:

• Enable assistive technologies on the GNOME desktop. This is done from "Assistive Technology Preferences" from the GNOME Control Center.
• Create Novell Bugzilla account
• Install Accerciser on OS
• Install most recent Mono
• Install most recent Orca
• Install most recent Strongwind
• Install Python >=2.5
• Install Iron Python (IPCE) >=1.1
• Setup Windows os(Vista) with UISpy on VM if necessary

Environmental needs

Hardware, software, data, interfaces, facilities, publications, other requirements that pertain to the testing effort. Testing may be done on physical and virtual machines. All tests must be performed on the most recent official release of the following platforms as shown in table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Open SUSE 11.1</th>
<th>Open SUSE 11.2</th>
<th>SLED 11</th>
<th>Fedora 12</th>
<th>Ubuntu 9.10 Karmic Koala</th>
<th>Open SUSE 11.3</th>
<th>Fedora 13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moonlight ATK Bridge</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winforms ATK Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client API project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Platform vs Testing Automation
Hardware:

- No specific hardware requirements at this time

Software:

- Mono - most recent release
- Accerciser - most recent package for wer platform
- Orca - most recent package for wer platform
- Python >=2.5
- IronPython (IPCE) - most recent package for wer platform
- Strongwind - most recent release

Responsibilities:

- All testers can work wherever they are needed, how to use it and try to reduce cost and time.

Sample Code and Test Automation:

Sample coding to perform the Silverlight and Moonlight online test automation of user interface controls is given in figure 3.

```csharp
AutomationElement rootElement = AutomationElement.RootElement;
if (rootElement != null)
{
    Automation.Condition condition = new PropertyCondition(AutomationElement.NameProperty, "UI Automation Test Window");
    AutomationElement appElement = rootElement.FindFirst(TreeScope.Children, condition);
    if (appElement != null)
    {
        AutomationElement txtElementA = GetTextElement(appElement, "txtA");
        if (txtElementA != null)
        {
            ValuePattern valuePatternA = txtElementA.GetCurrentPattern(ValuePattern.Pattern) as ValuePattern;
            valuePatternA.SetValue("10");
        }
        AutomationElement txtElementB = GetTextElement(appElement, "txtB");
        if (txtElementB != null)
        {
            ValuePattern valuePatternB = txtElementB.GetCurrentPattern(ValuePattern.Pattern) as ValuePattern;
            valuePatternB.SetValue("1");
        }
    }
}
```

Figure 3. UI Test Automation Code.
Initially, our test automation selects one option from a combo box, then it will select from tree controls one by one, finally it displays tables in a grid console. All set of possibilities are made in our UI test automation. The process of passing values from excel sheet to an online page is done automatically by our UI test automation program.

Sample online UI test automation with Silverlight and Moonlight controls of combo box, grid, and tree controls is shown as in figure 4.

![Figure 4. Online UI Test Automated web form.](image)

In UI test automation to test possible values for many text boxes we have to write the possible values for each text box. Then permutations and combinations are automatically generated by the system to perform UI test automation. All values are supplied to an online web page by our program code automatically. Finally, a report will be generated for the confirmation of our successful completion of test automation.

7 Conclusions

Silverlight and Moonlight controls provide more security than other web controls. Then obviously accessing, testing, and automating these controls are not so easy. Even though online UI test automation for Silverlight and Moonlight is very difficult, it can be done by getting information from AutomationElement rootElement with the help of AutomationCondition, PropertyCondition and valuepattern of the Silverlight and Moonlight controls. So it surely reduces testing time, cost, and man power for new generation web applications.

8 Future Works

A single new user interface test automation system may perform all kinds of test automation for new generation web applications in all operating systems. In future this testing and automation can be used for all web applications like JAVA FX and FLEX.
References