

# Every quantum minor generates an Ore set

ZORAN ŠKODA zskoda@irb.hr

**Abstract.** *The subset multiplicatively generated by any given set of quantum minors and the unit element in the quantum matrix bialgebra satisfies the left and right Ore conditions.*

Quantum matrix groups ([9, 11, 12]) have remarkable algebraic properties and connections to several branches of mathematics and mathematical physics. A viewpoint of the noncommutative geometry may elucidate some of their properties. In the formalism in which a quantum group is described by a matrix bialgebra  $\mathcal{G}$ , e.g.  $\mathcal{SL}_q(n)$ , the shifts of the main Bruhat cells are expected also to have quantum analogues which are localizations of  $\mathcal{G}$ . The geometry is richer and more akin to the classical case, if these localizations have good flatness properties. Ore localization is the most well-understood kind of localizations. Ore localizations are biflat (in terminology of [13]), and appear often in “quantum” situation, that is, when the noncommutative algebra is just a deformation of a commutative algebra. Thus one expects to realize the quantum main Bruhat cell and its Weyl group shifts as the (non-commutative spectra of) the localized algebras of the form  $\mathcal{G}[S_w^{-1}]$  where  $S_w$  are certain Ore subsets in  $\mathcal{G}$ , depending on the element  $w$  in the Weyl group  $W$ . Further support of this conjectural picture is a result of A. JOSEPH [5], that there is a natural family of Ore sets  $\tilde{S}_w$ ,  $w \in W$ , in the graded algebra  $\mathcal{R}$  representing the quantum analogue of the basic affine space  $G/U$ , such that in the commutative case the spectra of the localizations  $\mathcal{R}[\tilde{S}_w^{-1}]$  are exactly the images of the Bruhat cells in the basic affine space.

However, the Ore property for the natural candidates for  $S_w$  has not been proved so far. Trying to answer the question of V. DRINFELD to find the quantum analogue of the BEILINSON-BERNSTEIN localization theorem, Y. SOIBELMAN has shown the satisfactory localization picture for  $\mathcal{SL}_q(2)$  (unpublished), and came to the conclusion that for  $\mathcal{SL}_q(n)$ ,  $n > 2$ , already the Ore property of  $S_w$  is far from obvious, if not even wrong. Quantum Beilinson-Bernstein theorem has been further studied by LUNTS and ROSENBERG [7], TANISAKI [20] and others, but in different approaches.

In his thesis, the present author has developed a direct localization approach ([15, 17]) to the construction of the coset spaces of the quantum linear groups and the locally trivial quantum principal fibrations deforming the classical fibrations of the type  $G \rightarrow G/B$  and having Hopf algebras as

the replacements of the structure groups. Apart from the sketch in [15], the main part of that work has been still unpublished (however, a nontrivial application to quantum group coherent states and appropriate measure is exhibited in [16]). The present paper fills a part of this gap in view of the observation that the sets  $S_w$  in  $\mathcal{SL}_q(n)$  are sets multiplicatively generated by a specific set of quantum minors attached to the permutation matrix  $w$ , namely the set of all principal (=lower right corner) quantum minors of the row-permuted matrix of generators  $T = (t_j^{w^{-1}(i)})$ . Note that this solves the problem whether the quantum Bruhat cells are realizable as Ore localizations only for quantum matrix groups of type A (in the sense of Lie theory).

A subset  $S$  in a ring  $R$  is **multiplicative** if  $1 \in S$  and  $s, s' \in S$  implies  $ss' \in S$ . A subset  $S \in R$  satisfies the **left Ore condition** if  $\forall r \in S, \forall r' \in R, \exists r'' \in R \exists s' \in S$  with  $s'r' = r''s$ . If  $R$  has no zero divisors, then a multiplicative subset  $S \subset R$  satisfying the left Ore condition is called a **left Ore set**. The right Ore condition is the left Ore condition in the ring with opposite multiplication  $R^{\text{op}}$ . A subset  $S \in R$  is Ore if it is left Ore in  $R$  and  $R^{\text{op}}$  simultaneously. For more details on Ore sets see [19, 21].

Let  $I, J$  be some linearly ordered sets of equal cardinality  $|I| = |J| = n > 0$ , where the elements of  $K$  and  $L$  are called labels. Given a field  $\mathbf{k}$  of characteristic zero, and number  $0 \neq q \in \mathbf{k}$ , the underlying  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra of the matrix bialgebra  $\mathcal{M}_q(I, J)$  is the free  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra on generators  $t_j^i$  where  $i \in I, j \in J$ , modulo the relations

$$\begin{aligned} t_\gamma^\alpha t_\delta^\alpha &= q t_\delta^\alpha t_\gamma^\alpha, & \alpha = \beta, \gamma < \delta \text{ (same row)} \\ t_\gamma^\alpha t_\gamma^\beta &= q t_\gamma^\beta t_\gamma^\alpha, & \alpha < \beta, \gamma = \delta \text{ (same column)} \\ t_\gamma^\alpha t_\delta^\beta - t_\delta^\beta t_\gamma^\alpha &= \begin{cases} (q - q^{-1}) t_\gamma^\beta t_\delta^\alpha, & \alpha < \beta, \gamma < \delta \\ 0, & \alpha < \beta, \gamma > \delta \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism class of algebra  $\mathcal{M}_q(K, L)$  depends only on the cardinality  $n$  of  $K$  and  $L$ , and we denote a representative of that class  $\mathcal{M}_q(n)$ , e.g. where  $K = L = \mathbf{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Let  $K = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \subset I, L = (l_1, \dots, l_m) \subset J$  be subsets of equal cardinality  $m < n$  where the labels in the round brackets are ordered according to the subset order from  $I$  and  $J$ . Then  $T_L^K$  will be the submatrix of  $T = (t_j^i)_{j \in J}^{i \in I}$  whose rows have labels in  $K$  and whose columns have labels in  $L$ . Denote  $D_L^K = \det_q T_L^K = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(m)} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} t_{l_{\sigma(1)}}^{k_1} \dots t_{l_{\sigma(m)}}^{k_m}$  where  $l(\sigma)$  denotes the number of inversions in the permutation  $\sigma$  on the set of  $m$  labels. Any element of this form is called a **quantum minor**.  $D_L^K$  is central in  $\mathcal{M}_q(K, L)$ , but not in the whole  $\mathcal{M}_q(I, J)$ , unless  $K = I$  and  $L = J$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $S \subset R$  be a multiplicative subset and  $E = E(S)$  be the set of all  $e \in R$  which satisfy the following 'partial' left Ore condition*

$$\forall s \in S, \exists s' \in S, \exists r' \in R \quad r's = s'e \quad (1)$$

Then (i)  $E$  is a subalgebra of  $R$

(ii) If  $r \in R$  satisfies the following  $E$ -relative partial left Ore condition

$$\forall s \in S, \exists s' \in S, \exists r' \in R \quad r's - s'r \in E \quad (2)$$

then  $r \in E$ .

*Proof.* The reasoning is here pretty standard (cf. [19], Ch. 6).

(i) For  $e_1, e_2 \in E$  it is obvious that  $e_1 + e_2 \in E$ . For  $e_1 e_2$  reason as follows. Find  $r', s', r'', s''$  such that  $r's = s'e_2$  and  $r''s' = s''e_1$ . Then  $(r''r')s' = r''s'e_2 = s''s'e_2$  with  $s''s' \in S$ .

(ii) Let  $r's - s'r = e \in E$ . By  $e \in E$  we can find  $r'', s''$  such that  $r''s = s''e$ . Then  $(s''r' - r'')s = s''(r's - e) = s''s'r$  with  $s''s' \in S$ , as required.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $|K| = |L| < n$ . Consider the subalgebra  $E_0 = E_0(K, L)$  in  $\mathcal{M}(n)$  generated by all  $t_l^k$  where either  $k \in K$  or  $l \in L$  (or both). Let  $t_{l'}^{k'} \notin E_0$ . Then for every  $e \in E_0$ ,  $t_{l'}^{k'}e - et_{l'}^{k'} \in E_0$ .*

*Proof.* This is a linear statement, hence it suffices to prove it for words  $e = t_{l_1}^{k_1} \cdots t_{l_r}^{k_r}$  of degree  $r$  in generators of  $E_0$ . We show this by induction on  $r$ . For  $r = 0, 1$ , this is obvious. Let  $e = e_{r-1}t_{l_r}^{k_r}$  where  $e_{r-1}$  is of the degree  $r - 1$ . The commutator  $[e_{r-1}, t_{l'}^{k'}] \in E_0$  by the induction hypothesis, and  $[t_{l_r}^{k_r}, t_{l'}^{k'}]$  is either zero or proportional to  $t_{l_r}^{k_r}t_{l'}^{k'}$ . Since  $E_0$  is a subalgebra, these two facts and the identity  $[e_{r-1}t_{l_r}^{k_r}, t_{l'}^{k'}] = [e_{r-1}, t_{l'}^{k'}]t_{l_r}^{k_r} + e_{r-1}[t_{l_r}^{k_r}, t_{l'}^{k'}]$  imply that  $[e, t_{l'}^{k'}] \in E_0$ . Q.E.D.

**Notation.** If  $L = (l_1, \dots, l_r)$ , then  $L(l_k \rightarrow l) := (l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}, l, l_{k+1}, \dots, l_r)$ .

**Lemma 3.** (special cases of commutation relations for quantum minors)

(i) [11] If  $k \in K$  and  $l \in L$  then  $t_l^k D_L^K = D_L^K t_l^k$ .

(ii) [11] If either  $k > \max K$  and  $l \in L$ , or  $l > \max L$  and  $k \in K$ , then  $t_l^k D_L^K = q^{-1} D_L^K t_l^k$ . If either  $k < \max K$  and  $l \in L$ , or  $l < \max L$  and  $k \in K$ , then  $t_l^k D_L^K = q D_L^K t_l^k$ . Also (say, by Muir's law [6]), if  $L$  and  $L'$  differ by an interchange of a single label, then  $D_L^K D_{L'}^K = q^{\pm 1} D_{L'}^K D_L^K$ .

(iii) Let  $L = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$ . Suppose  $l_1 < l < l_i$ , for  $i > 1$ . Then

$$D_L^K t_l^k - q^{-1} t_l^k D_L^K = (1 - q^{-2}) D_{L(l \rightarrow l_1)}^K t_{l_1}^k \quad (3)$$

(iv) Let  $L = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$  be ascendingly ordered and  $l_r < l < l_{r+1}$  for some  $r$ . Then (iii) generalizes to

$$D_L^K t_l^k - q^{-1} t_l^k D_L^K = q^{-1} (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{u=1}^r (-q)^{u-r} t_{l_u}^{k_m} D_{L(l_u \rightarrow l)}^K \quad (4)$$

Parts (iii) and (iv) are now widely known among people working on “identities between quantum minors”, and were many times independently rediscovered by many people (e.g. by the author around 1998). Both identities are much easier to prove when  $k = \max K$  (using for example Laplace identities [4, 6, 11], and simple calculational arguments, and, for (iv), induction). The general case, follows from  $k = \max K$  case applying the included-row exchange principle ([14]).

**Fact.** (e.g. [11])  $\mathcal{M}_q(n)$  has no zero divisors.

**Theorem.** Each quantum minor  $D_L^K = \det_q T_L^K$  (where  $K$  and  $L$  are the subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  of the same cardinality) and 1 multiplicatively generate an Ore set  $S = S_L^K$  in  $R = \mathcal{M}_q(n)$ .

*Proof.* We prove the right Ore condition. The left Ore condition then follows by using the algebra automorphism  $t_j^i \rightarrow t_i^j$ .

According to the Lemma 1 (ii), it is sufficient to prove that all the generators  $t_l^k$  are in  $E = E(S_L^K)$ . We first prove for  $t_l^k \in E_0(K, L) \subset R$ , (in notation of Lemma 2).

If  $k \in K$  and  $l \in L$  this is obvious because then  $t_l^k$  and  $D_L^K$  commute. If  $k \in K$  and  $l$  is smaller than the minimum of  $L$  or larger than the maximum of  $L$  then  $t_l^k D_L^K = q^{\pm 1} D_L^K t_l^k$  what again proves the condition. The same way conclude that in the case that  $l \in L$  and  $k$  is smaller than the minimum of  $K$  or larger than the maximum of  $K$ .

Now consider the case when  $k \in K$  and  $l_r < l < l_{r+1}$  for some  $r$ . If  $r = 1$  then the formula (3) applies. Notice that the RHS is in  $E$ , because  $t_{l_1}^k$  is already proven to be in  $E$ , and  $D_L^K$  and  $D_{L'}^K$  differ only in one column, hence  $q$ -commute according to Lemma 3 (ii) and  $E$  is a subalgebra. Hence the formula (3) is easily recognized to be of type (2) and therefore  $t_l^k \in E$ .

Now we use induction on  $r$  to prove the same for all  $r$ . So suppose we have proved for  $r - 1$ . Then, the formula (4) applies. Using the induction hypothesis, one easily sees that the factors involved in each summand on the right-hand side of (4) are in  $E$ : Namely,  $D_{L(l_u \rightarrow l)}^K$  has at most  $(r - 1)$  columns with indices less than  $l$ , and  $t_{l_u}^{k_m}$  is one of the entries in  $T_L^K$ , hence it commutes with  $D_L^K$ .

Thus we have proved the claim for all  $t_l^k \in E_0 = E_0(K, L)$ , and therefore  $E_0 \subset E$ . We are left with the case of  $t_l^k \notin E_0$ , i.e. where both  $k \notin K$  and  $l \notin L$ . Surely  $D_L^K \in E_0$ , hence by Lemma 2,  $t_l^k D_L^K - D_L^K t_l^k \in E_0 \subset E$ . By Lemma 1 (ii)  $t_l^k \in E$ . Hence by Lemma 1 (i) we are done.

**Corollary.** (0) *The theorem holds for  $\mathcal{S}L_q(n)$  and  $\mathcal{G}L_q(n)$ .*

(i) *Every set of quantum minors multiplicatively generates an Ore set in  $R = \mathcal{M}_q(n), \mathcal{S}L_q(n)$  or  $\mathcal{G}L_q(n)$ .*

(ii) *The product of finitely many  $q^r$ -commuting (various  $r$ -s) quantum minors is a multiplicative generator of an Ore set in  $R$ .*

(iii) *The product of all principal quantum minors (lower right corner) of sizes  $1 \times 1$  to  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  of any row and column-permuted matrix  $G = T_\tau^\sigma$  of  $T$  is a multiplicative generator of an Ore set in  $R$ .*

(iv) *The theorem holds for the multiparametric quantum groups obtained by twisting ([1, 3, 10, 18]).*

To observe (0), notice that  $\mathcal{S}L_q(n)$  has no zero divisors and it is a quotient of  $\mathcal{M}_q(n)$ . The projection map sends all quantum minors to nonzero elements, so the Ore conditions are fulfilled by using the projection map directly.  $\mathcal{G}L_q(n)$  is a *central* localization of  $\mathcal{M}_q(n)$ , hence compatible with any Ore set in  $\mathcal{M}_q(n)$ : any central localization sends Ore sets to Ore sets.

(i-iii) easily follow from the theorem by general principles on recognizing Ore sets ([19], Ch. 6).

(iv) It is not difficult to observe, and it was shown in detail in [18], the twisting of [1] changes the relations (in Lemma 3) among the quantum minors by nonzero factors in each of the summands, and the rest of the reasoning follows the same way, up to numerical factors, which can always be absorbed in  $r'$  in Ore condition.

In fact, one can directly use the theorem in its 1-parameter version, and an isomorphism from the multiparametric quantum bialgebra into the  $(0, 0)$ -bidegree component of certain tensor product  $S_l \otimes \mathcal{M}_q(n) \otimes S_r$ . This isomorphism appropriately transfers the quantum minors and Ore conditions involving certain class of homogeneous elements in general ([18]).

**Acknowledgements.** The main theorem has been proved by the author in February 2000, and presented among the basic lemmas in his Wisconsin thesis (defended January 2002), and announced in [15], Theorem 8. I thank Prof. J. W. ROBBIN for his mathematical mentorship, and Prof. Y. SOIBELMAN for a discussion and his assesment of the importance of the result in Madison, 2001. The exposition has been adapted to the present form at Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn, and the final version at IRB, Zagreb.

## References

- [1] M. ARTIN, W. SCHELTER, J. TATE, *Quantum deformations of  $GL_n$* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 44 (1991), no. 8-9, 879–895.
- [2] A. BEILINSON, J. BERNSTEIN, *Localization de  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules*, Comp. Ren. Acad. Sci. Paris 292 (1981), pp. 15-18.
- [3] E. E. DEMIDOV, *Multiparameter quantum deformations of the group  $GL(n)$* . (Russian) *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 46 (1991), no. 4(280), pp. 147–148; translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* 46 (1991), no. 4, pp. 169–171.
- [4] M. HASHIMOTO, T. HAYASHI, *Quantum multilinear algebra*, Tôhoku Math J. 44 (1992), pp. 471-521.
- [5] A. JOSEPH, *Faithfully flat embeddings for minimal primitive quotients of quantized enveloping algebras*, Quantum deformations of alg-s and their rep-s (Ramat-Gan, 1991/1992; Rehovot, 1991/1992), 79–106, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 7, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1993.
- [6] D. KROB, B. LECLERC, *Minor identities for quasi-determinants and quantum determinants*, Comm.Math.Phys. 169 (1995), pp. 1–23.
- [7] V. A. LUNTS, A. L. ROSENBERG, *Differential operators on noncommutative rings*, Selecta Math. (N. S.) 3 (1997), pp. 335–359.
- [8] V. A. LUNTS, A. L. ROSENBERG, *Localization for quantum groups*, Selecta Math. (N. S.) 5 (1999), no. 1, pp. 123–159.
- [9] YU. I. MANIN, *Quantum groups and non-commutative geometry*, CRM, Montreal 1988.
- [10] YU. I. MANIN, *Multiparametric quantum deformation of the general linear supergroup*, Comm. Math. Phys. 123 (1989), pp. 163–175.
- [11] B. PARSHALL, J. WANG, *Quantum linear groups*, Memoirs AMS 439, 1991.
- [12] N.YU.RESHETIKHIN, L.A. TAKHTAJAN, L.D. FADDEEV, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Algebra i analiz 1, 178 (1989) (Russian), English translation in Leningrad Math. J. 1.

- [13] A. L. ROSENBERG, *Noncommutative schemes*, Comp. Math. 112 (1998), pp. 93–125.
- [14] Z. ŠKODA, *Included-row exchange principle for quantum minors*, math.QA/0510512
- [15] Z. ŠKODA, *Localizations for construction of quantum coset spaces*, “Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups” (conf. Warszawa 2001), P. M. Hajac, W. Pusz eds. Banach Center Publications vol.61, pp. 265–298, Polish Acad. Sci. Warszawa 2003; arXiv:math.QA/0301090.
- [16] Z. ŠKODA, *Coherent states for Hopf algebras*, math.QA/0303357.
- [17] Z. ŠKODA, *The geometric meaning of quantum Gauss decomposition*, preprint.
- [18] Z. ŠKODA, *A simple algorithm for extending the identities for quantum minors to multiparametric case*, preprint.
- [19] Z. ŠKODA, *Noncommutative localization in noncommutative geometry*, in “Noncommutative localization in topology and algebra”, ed. A. Ranicki; London Math. Society Lecture Note Series 330, pp. 220–313, math.QA/0403276.
- [20] T. TANISAKI, *The Beilinson-Bernstein correspondence for quantized enveloping algebras*, Math. Z. 250 (2005), no. 2, 299–361.
- [21] F. VAN OYSTAEYEN, *Algebraic geometry for associative algebras*, Marcel Dekker 2000.