

MicroRNA-21 modulates biological functions of pancreatic cancer cells including their proliferation, invasion, and chemoresistance

Taiki Moriyama,¹ Kenoki Ohuchida,^{1,2}
Kazuhiro Mizumoto,^{1,4} Jun Yu,¹ Norihiro Sato,^{1,3}
Toshinaga Nabae,¹ Shunichi Takahata,¹
Hiroyuki Toma,¹ Eishi Nagai,¹ and Masao Tanaka¹

Departments of ¹Surgery and Oncology, ²Advanced Medical Initiatives, and ³Cancer Therapy and Research, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, and ⁴Kyushu University Hospital Cancer Center, Fukuoka, Japan

Abstract

Due to the poor prognosis of pancreatic cancer, novel diagnostic modalities for early diagnosis and new therapeutic strategy are urgently needed. Recently, microRNA-21 (miR-21) was reported to be strongly overexpressed in pancreatic cancer as well as in other solid cancers. We investigated the functional roles of miR-21, which have not been fully elucidated in pancreatic cancer. miR-21 expression was assessed in pancreatic cancer cell lines (14 cancer cell lines, primary cultures of normal pancreatic epithelial cells and fibroblasts, and a human normal pancreatic ductal epithelial cell line) and pancreatic tissue samples (25 cancer tissues and 25 normal tissues) by quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR amplification. Moreover, we investigated the proliferation, invasion, and chemoresistance of pancreatic cancer cells transfected with miR-21 precursor or inhibitor. miR-21 was markedly overexpressed in pancreatic cancer cells compared with nonmalignant cells, and miR-21 in cancer tissues was much higher than in nonmalignant tissues. The cancer cells transfected with the miR-21 precursor showed significantly increased proliferation, Matrigel invasion, and chemoresistance for gemcitabine compared with the control cells. In contrast, inhibition of miR-21 decreased proliferation, Matrigel invasion, and chemoresistance for gemcitabine. Moreover, miR-21 positively

correlated with the mRNA expression of invasion-related genes, matrix metalloproteinase-2 and -9, and vascular endothelial growth factor. These data suggest that miR-21 expression is increased in pancreatic cancer cells and that miR-21 contributes to the cell proliferation, invasion, and chemoresistance of pancreatic cancer. [Mol Cancer Ther 2009;8(5):1067–74]

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is the fifth leading cause of cancer deaths in Japan and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States. Annually, >17,000 patients in Japan and ~30,000 in the United States die from the disease. The prognosis for pancreatic cancer is the worst among all cancers due to a lack of improvement in its detection, diagnosis, and treatment strategies (1, 2). Therefore, novel diagnostic modalities for early diagnosis and new therapeutic strategies are urgently needed.

Over the past few years, microRNAs (miRNA) have emerged as a prominent class of gene regulators. miRNAs comprise a class of small noncoding RNAs that modulate gene expression by targeting mRNAs for translational repression or cleavage (3). Although 530 human miRNAs have been reported to date (ref. 4; miRBase at the Sanger Institute),⁵ the functional roles of these miRNAs in many cellular processes have not been fully elucidated. Recently, miRNAs were reported to have diverse functions, including the regulation of cellular differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis (5). Moreover, a growing number of direct and indirect lines of evidence suggest relationships between altered miRNA expression levels and cancer, including alterations of miR-15a and miR-16-1 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (6, 7), miR-143 and miR-145 in colorectal cancer (8), let-7 in lung cancer (9, 10), and miR-155 in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (11). Expression profiling has identified several miRNAs that are differentially expressed in other cancers, such as breast cancer (12), glioblastoma (13), and papillary thyroid cancer (14).

Previous microarray or Northern blot analyses have shown that several miRNAs are aberrantly expressed in pancreatic cancer (15, 16). It has been reported that microRNA-21 (miR-21) is strongly overexpressed in pancreatic cancer as well as glioblastoma (13), breast cancer (17), cholangiocarcinoma (18), and hepatocellular cancer (19). Most of these studies indicated that miR-21 could play roles in carcinogenesis or cancer progression (20). Moreover, several researchers have reported that *in vitro* inhibition of miR-21

Received 6/24/08; revised 2/17/09; accepted 3/3/09;
published OnlineFirst 5/12/09.

Grant support: Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan Grant-in-Aid, Kato Memorial Bioscience Foundation, and Kaibara Morikazu Medical Science Promotion Foundation.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Requests for reprints: Kenoki Ohuchida, Department of Surgery and Oncology, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, 3-1-1 Maidashi, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan. Phone: 81-92-642-5440; Fax: 81-92-642-5458. E-mail: kenoki@med.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Copyright © 2009 American Association for Cancer Research.

doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-08-0592

⁵ <http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/sequences/>

activity significantly reduces cell viability accompanied by elevated levels of intracellular caspase activity, although the mechanism that underlies these effects is largely unknown (13, 17, 18).

Despite several reports that miR-21 is one of the representative miRNAs with functions as an oncogene, there are no reports regarding its biological functions in pancreatic cancer. In the present study, we investigated the biological functions of miR-21 in pancreatic cancer cells using a precursor or an inhibitor of miR-21 *in vitro*. Our data suggest that miR-21 plays roles in the tumor growth, invasion, and chemoresistance of pancreatic cancer.

Materials and Methods

Cell Lines and Cultures

The following 14 pancreatic cancer cell lines were used: AsPC-1, KP-1N, KP-2, KP-3, PANC-1, and SUIT-2 (Dr. H. Iguchi, National Shikoku Cancer Center); MIA PaCa-2 (Japanese Cancer Resource Bank); CAPAN-1, CAPAN-2, CFPAC-1, H48N, HS766T, and SW1990 (American Type Culture Collection); and NOR-P1 (established by Dr. N. Sato in our laboratory; ref. 21). Three primary cultures of pancreatic fibroblasts were used and maintained as described previously (22). A human pancreatic ductal epithelial (HPDE) cell line (HPDE6-E6E7 clone 6) immortalized by transduction with the E6/E7 genes of human papillomavirus 16 was kindly provided by Dr. Ming-Sound Tsao (University of Toronto) and maintained as described previously (22). Primary cultures of human normal pancreatic epithelial cells were obtained from Cell Systems and maintained in CS-C medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum according to the supplier's instructions. Total cell numbers were quantified using a particle distribution counter (CDA500; Sysmex).

Tissue Samples

The tissue samples analyzed in this study were obtained from patients who underwent a surgical procedure to resect a portion of the pancreas in Kyushu University Hospital from February 2000 to March 2008. Normal pancreatic tissues were also taken from areas of peripheral tissues away from the tumor or from nonneoplastic pancreas resected due to bile duct disease. Tissues were removed as soon as possible after resection. A part of each sample was embedded in OCT compound (Sakura) as described previously (23) and was used for the experiments in the present study. Experienced pathologists performed histologic examination of all tissues adjacent to the specimens. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kyushu University and conducted according to the Ethical Guidelines for Human Genome/Gene Research enacted by the Japanese Government.

Transfections

Transfections were done by electroporation using a Nucleofector system (Amaxa Biosystems). All studies were done in triplicate. Cells (1×10^6 - 2×10^6) were centrifuged into a pellet at 1,200 rpm for 5 min, and the medium was

removed. The cells were resuspended in 98 μ L Nucleofector solution (Amaxa Biosystems) at room temperature followed by the addition of 2 μ L of 50 μ mol/L miR-21 precursor (pre-miR-21) or miR-21 inhibitor (anti-miR-21, specific antisense oligonucleotide) and a negative control precursor or control inhibitor (all obtained from Ambion). According to the manufacturer's information,⁶ pre-miR negative controls and anti-miR negative control are random sequence molecules that have been extensively tested in human cell lines and tissues and validated to not produce identifiable effects on known miRNA function. The transfected cells were resuspended and cultured in regular culture medium containing 10% serum for 24 h before analysis.

Quantitative Real-time Reverse Transcription-PCR Assay for miR-21 Expression

Total RNA and miRNA fractions were isolated from tissues and cell lines using a mirVana microRNA isolation kit (Ambion), and their RNA concentrations were measured with a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies) at 260 and 280 nm (A260/280). RNA integrity was assessed with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) assays for frozen tissue samples were done using the TaqMan miRNA Assay (Applied Biosystems) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Normalization was done with the small nuclear RNA U6 (RNU6B; Applied Biosystems). qRT-PCR assays for cell lines were done using SuperTaq polymerase, a mirVana qRT-PCR miRNA Detection Kit, and mirVana Primer Sets (all from Ambion) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The expression levels of miR-21 in all cell lines were also normalized by the expression level of small nuclear RNA U6. RT-PCR was done in a Chromo4 Real-time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories) for 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C.

qRT-PCR Assay for mRNA Expression

We assessed the mRNA expression of various genes, which have been suggested as direct or indirect targets for miR-21. One-step qRT-PCR was done using a QuantiTect SYBR Green RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen) as described previously (23). The PCR primer sequences used in this study were described in the Supplementary Table S1.⁷

Cell Proliferation Assay

Cell proliferation was evaluated by measuring the fluorescence intensity of propidium iodide (PI) as described previously (23, 24). Pancreatic cancer cells were transfected with either the miR-21 precursor or inhibitor (antisense oligonucleotide), and negative control precursor or control inhibitor, and were seeded in 90 mm dishes at 1×10^6 . At 24 h after the transfection, only viable cells were plated at 2×10^4 per well in 24-well tissue culture plates (Becton Dickinson Labware) and cultured for 24 h. Cell proliferation was

⁶ <http://www.ambion.com/index.html>

⁷ Supplementary material for this article is available at *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* Online (<http://mct.aacrjournals.org/>).

evaluated after culture for a further 96 h after the initial cell number determination by the PI assay. To evaluate chemoresistance, the PI assay was done as for cell survival. At 24 h after the transfection, the viable cells were plated at 3×10^4 per well in 24-well tissue culture plates and cultured for 24 h. The transfected pancreatic cancer cells were further cultured in the presence of 1 to 1,000 nmol/L gemcitabine for 72 h. PI (30 μ mol/L) and digitonin (600 μ mol/L) were added to each well to label all nuclei with PI. The fluorescence intensity, corresponding to the total cell number, was measured using a CytoFluor II multiwell plate reader (PerSeptive Biosystems) with 530 nm excitation and 645 nm emission filters. A separate well, which possessed the same medium but no cells, was used for a baseline PI signal as a control. We evaluated the difference between each sample well and the control well. Cell proliferation was defined relative to the cell number measured at the beginning of the experiment. All experiments were done in triplicate wells and repeated at least three times.

Invasion Assay

Using PANC-1, SUI-2, and CFPAC-1 cells, the invasion of pancreatic cancer cells was measured by the number of cells invading Matrigel-coated Transwell chambers (Becton Dickinson). Transwell inserts with 8 μ m pores were coated with Matrigel (40 μ g/well for PANC-1 cells and 20 μ g/well for SUI-2 and CFPAC-1 cells; Becton Dickinson) and reconstituted with 10% fetal bovine serum-containing medium for 2 h before the experiment. Cells (2×10^5 /mL) were seeded into the upper chambers in 250 μ L DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. The same medium (750 μ L) was placed in the lower wells. Thereafter, the PANC-1 and CFPAC-1 cells were incubated for 72 h. Cells that had degraded the Matrigel and invaded to the lower surface of the Matrigel-coated membranes were fixed with 70% ethanol, stained with H&E, and counted in five random fields at $\times 200$ magnification under a light microscope. The results were expressed as the average number of invasive cells per field.

Flow Cytometric Analysis and Apoptosis Measurement

Cells were seeded into six-well plates at 1×10^5 per well in triplicate. The next day, nonadhered cells were removed by gentle washing, and the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing 1 μ mol/L gemcitabine. After 24 h, the cells were retrieved and analyzed by an EPICS ALTRA flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter) using an Annexin V-FITC/PI kit (BD Biosciences) as described previously (25). Positive Annexin V cells were considered apoptotic regardless of PI staining. Cells staining positive for PI uptake were considered dead regardless of Annexin V staining (25).

Statistical Analysis

Tissue sample data were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney *U* test for comparisons involving two groups because a normal distribution was not obtained. Other data were expressed as the mean \pm SD and evaluated with a double-sided Student's *t* test. Values of *P* < 0.05 were accepted as statistically significant in any analysis. All experiments were repeated at least three times.

Results

miR-21 Is Markedly Overexpressed in Pancreatic Cancer Cell Lines Compared with Nonmalignant Cells

We measured the expression of miR-21 in 14 pancreatic cancer cell lines, HPDE cells, and primary cultures of normal pancreatic epithelial cells and pancreatic fibroblasts by qRT-PCR (Fig. 1A). There was a wide range of relative miR-21 expression levels among the pancreatic cancer cell lines. However, all of the pancreatic cancer cells and HPDE cells expressed remarkably higher levels of miR-21 than the primary normal pancreatic epithelial cells, which did not express detectable levels of miR-21. All the pancreatic cancer cells expressed 1.1- to 5.0-fold (median, 1.8) higher levels of miR-21 than the HPDE cell line, which is an immortalized normal pancreatic ductal epithelial cell line. The levels of miR-21 in pancreatic cancer-related fibroblasts were lower than those in all of the pancreatic cancer cell lines, except AsPC-1 and NOR-P1.

miR-21 Is Markedly Overexpressed in Pancreatic Cancer Tissues Compared with Normal Pancreatic Tissues

We measured the expression of miR-21 in 25 pancreatic cancer tissues and 25 normal pancreatic tissues by




Figure 1. Levels of mature miR-21 expression in human pancreatic cell lines and tissues. **A**, levels of miR21 expression relative to HPDE as assessed by qRT-PCR and normalized to the level of a housekeeping gene, U6 mRNA in each sample. **B**, levels of miR-21 expression in 25 cancer tissues and 25 nonmalignant tissues as assessed by qRT-PCR and normalized to U6 mRNA (*, *P* < 0.001, Mann-Whitney). Mean \pm SD of triplicate measurements.




Figure 2. Effects of miR-21 on cell proliferation of pancreatic cancer cells. Pancreatic cancer cell lines were transfected with the miR-21 precursor or inhibitor, and their cell proliferation rates were assessed by the PI assay. **A**, increased proliferation of PANC-1 cells was seen with miR-21 precursor transfection (1.8-fold) compared with the controls at 120 h after seeding (*, $P < 0.001$, Student's t test). **B**, decreased proliferation of CFPAC-1, AsPC-1, and PANC-1 cells was seen with miR-21 inhibitor transfection compared with the control at 120 h after seeding (*, $P < 0.001$; **, $P = 0.019$; ***, $P = 0.003$, respectively, Student's t test). Mean \pm SD of triplicate measurements.

qRT-PCR (Fig. 1B) and found that the expression of miR-21 in cancer tissues was much higher than in nonmalignant tissues ($P < 0.001$, Mann-Whitney). These results are consistent with the previous studies in pancreatic cancer (15, 26). Although we investigated the correlation between miR-21 expression levels and prognosis or other clinicopathologic findings in pancreatic cancer, we did not find any correlation between them (Supplementary Table S2; Supplementary Fig. S2).⁷

Overexpression of miR-21 Promotes Proliferation of Pancreatic Cancer Cells

To investigate the transfection efficiency of the miR-21 precursor or inhibitor, we first measured the levels of mature tmiR-21 in pancreatic cancer cells transfected with the

miR-21 precursor, inhibitor, and each negative control by qRT-PCR. For these experiments, we used a miR-21 precursor to PANC-1 and CAPAN-1 cells that expressed relatively lower level of miR-21, whereas we used a miR-21 inhibitor to CFPAC-1 and SUI-2 cells that expressed the highest level and the third highest level of miR-21 among the pancreatic cancer cell lines (Fig. 1A). At 48 h after transfection with 100 pmol of the miR-21 precursor or miR-21 inhibitor, CAPAN-1 and PANC-1 cells transfected with the miR-21 precursor exhibited 3.0- to 3.5-fold higher level of mature miR-21 than the cells transfected with the negative control precursor (Supplementary Fig. S1A).⁷ In contrast, the CFPAC-1 and SUI-2 cells transfected with the miR-21 inhibitor showed 0.6-fold lower levels of mature miR-21

than the cells transfected with the negative control inhibitor (Supplementary Fig. S1B).⁷ There were no morphologic differences between the transfected cells.

Next, we performed PI assays on PANC-1 cells, which expressed relatively lower levels of miR-21, after transfection with the miR-21 precursor or negative control precursor to examine the effects of miR-21 on cell proliferation. PANC-1 cells transfected with the miR-21 precursor showed significantly increased cell proliferation (3.0-fold) compared with the control cells at 120 h after transfection (Fig. 2A; $P < 0.001$). We also investigated the effect of the miR-21 inhibitor on the proliferation of CFPAC-1 cells, which expressed the highest levels of miR-21. We found that the CFPAC-1 cells transfected with the miR-21 inhibitor showed significantly decreased cell proliferation (0.7-fold) compared with the control cells (Fig. 2B; $P < 0.001$). Then, we also assessed the effects of inhibition of miR-21 on proliferation of AsPC-1 and PANC-1 cells, which express low levels of miR-21, and found that inhibition of miR-21 decreased the proliferation of AsPC-1 and PANC-1 cells (Fig. 2B; $P = 0.019$ and 0.003 , respectively).

Invasion of Pancreatic Cancer Cells Is Affected by miR-21

Next, we investigated the effects of miR-21 on invasion, which is an important function for malignant progression and metastases, using a Matrigel invasion assay. We used

PANC-1, AsPC-1, and CFPAC-1 cells for these experiments. PANC-1 cells, which expressed relatively lower levels of miR-21, exhibited significantly increased cell invasion (2.2-fold) compared with the control cells at 72 h after seeding the cells transfected with the miR-21 precursor (Fig. 3A; $P = 0.002$), although there were no changes in the proliferation of PANC-1 cells at this time point (Fig. 2A). CFPAC-1 cells, which expressed the highest levels of miR-21, also exhibited markedly decreased cell invasion (0.3-fold) at 72 h after transfection of the miR-21 inhibitors compared with the control cells (Fig. 3B; $P < 0.001$). We found no change in invasion of AsPC-1 and PANC-1 cells with low levels of miR-21 expression after transfection of miR-21 inhibitors (data not shown).

miR-21 Affects Matrix Metalloproteinase-2 and -9 and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Expression

There is a previous report that miR-21 mediates matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2 and MMP-9 mRNA expression in hepatocellular cancer (19). Therefore, we assessed the expression of both genes by RT-PCR and found that miR-21 positively modulates the mRNA expression of both MMP-2 and MMP-9 (Fig. 4A). These results suggest that miR-21 promotes cell invasion of pancreatic cancer by indirectly mediating the expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9. Additionally, we also evaluated the expression of vascular




Figure 3. Effects of miR-21 on invasion of pancreatic cancer cells. PANC-1 and CFPAC-1 cells were transfected with the miR-21 precursor or inhibitor, compared with the cells transfected each control sequence, and their cell invasion activities were assessed after 72 h. **A**, increased Matrigel invasion with PANC-1 cells was seen with miR-21 transfection (2.2-fold compared with control cells; *, $P = 0.002$, Student's *t* test). **B**, decreased Matrigel invasion with CFPAC-1 cells was seen with miR-21 inhibitor transfection (0.3-fold compared with control cells; *, $P < 0.001$, Student's *t* test). Mean \pm SD of triplicate measurements.

endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which promotes migration and invasion of pancreatic cancer cells (27, 28), and found that overexpression of miR-21 significantly up-regulates the mRNA expression of VEGF (Fig. 4B).

miR-21 Decreases the Gemcitabine Sensitivity of Pancreatic Cancer Cells

To further assess the effect of the miR-21 precursor and miR-21 inhibitor on the sensitivity to chemotherapy, we investigated the cell survival rates of PANC-1 and SUIT-2 cells after treatment with an anticancer agent because its treatment is accepted as the first-line chemotherapy for advanced pancreatic cancer (29). The cell survival rates were evaluated at 72 h after treatment with 1 to 1,000 nmol/L gemcitabine. The survival rate of PANC-1 cells, which expressed relatively lower levels of miR-21, transfected with

the miR-21 precursor was higher than the survival rate of PANC-1 cells transfected with the negative control precursor after treatment with 10 to 100 nmol/L gemcitabine (Fig. 5A; $P < 0.01$). We also evaluated apoptosis of miR-21 in PANC-1 cells at 24 h after the treatment with 1 μ mol/L gemcitabine and found that the increase in miR-21 expression reduced the number of apoptotic cells (Fig. 5B; $P = 0.008$). Moreover, the survival rate of SUIT-2 cells, which expressed relatively higher levels of miR-21, transfected with the miR-21 inhibitors was lower than that of SUIT-2 cells transfected with the negative control inhibitor after treatment with 5 to 20 nmol/L gemcitabine (Fig. 5C; $P < 0.05$).

In earlier studies, several tumor suppressor genes have been reported as targets of miR-21, including tropomyosin 1 (30), programmed cell death 4 (31, 32), and phosphatase and tensin homologue (18, 19). To investigate the more detailed mechanism of miR-21-induced chemoresistance and antiapoptotic effects, we evaluated the expression levels of these direct target genes of miR-21 in pancreatic cancer cells. However, qRT-PCR analyses revealed that the basic levels of tropomyosin 1 and programmed cell death 4 genes were undetectable or extremely low in CAPAN-1, CFPAC-1, PANC-1, and SUIT-2 cells and that inhibition of miR-21 did not up-regulate the levels of these genes. The results suggest that these genes may be not functional in pancreatic cancer cells (data not shown). We also found no significant change in the level of phosphatase and tensin homologue expression after transfection with miR-21 precursors and inhibitors (Supplementary Fig. S3A and B).⁷

Discussion

In the present study, we have obtained the first data regarding the biological functions of miR-21 in pancreatic cancer. We found that miR-21 expression was increased in pancreatic cancer cells and that miR-21 contributed to cell proliferation, invasion, and chemoresistance. Our present data are consistent with previous reports on various types of tumors, which revealed that miR-21 is strongly overexpressed in malignant cells and that inhibition of miR-21 activity leads to a significant reduction in cell viability (13, 17–19).

The present study showed that the level of miR-21 expression in HPDE is similar to that of several pancreatic cancer cell lines and much higher than that of normal pancreatic epithelial cells. Although HPDE cells have a near normal genotype and phenotype as a previous study demonstrated (33), the cells are immortalized unlike the primary normal epithelial cells. Therefore, miR-21 expression may be related to cell immortalization. We also found that inhibition of miR-21 decrease proliferation of AsPC-1 and PANC-1 cells even at low levels of miR-21. However, we found no change in invasion of these cells after transfection with miR-21 inhibitors. These data suggest that miR-21 have a functional role only in proliferation but not in invasion of pancreatic cancer cells with low levels of miR-21. However, these differences are cell context dependent and are not surprising. Motility and proliferation may use the same redundant signaling pathways regulated by miR-21.




Figure 4. Effects of miR-21 on MMP-2, MMP-9, and VEGF mRNA expression. **A**, MMP-2 and MMP-9 mRNA were assessed by qRT-PCR. miR-21 modulates positively the mRNA expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9 (*, $P = 0.002$; **, $P = 0.010$, Student's t test). **B**, VEGF mRNA was also assessed by qRT-PCR. miR-21 positively modulates VEGF mRNA expression (*, $P = 0.042$; **, $P = 0.005$, Student's t test). Mean \pm SD of triplicate measurements.




Figure 5. Effects of miR-21 on pancreatic cancer cell chemoresistance. PANC-1 and SUI-2 cells were transfected with the miR-21 precursor or inhibitor, compared with the cells transfected with the control sequences, and their chemosensitivities were assessed using the PI assay 72 h after treatment with 1 to 1,000 nmol/L gemcitabine. **A**, survival rate of PANC-1 cells transfected with the miR-21 precursor was higher than that of the cells transfected with the negative control precursor after treatment with 10 to 100 nmol/L gemcitabine (*, $P < 0.01$, Student's t test). **B**, apoptosis assay was done by flow cytometry using Annexin V and PI after 24 h the treatment of 1 μ mol/L gemcitabine. PANC-1 cells transfected with miR-21 precursor reduced the number of apoptotic cells compared with the controls. The rate of Annexin V-positive cells was 20.3% in PANC-1 cells transfected with miR-21 precursor and 41.8% in the control cells (*, $P = 0.008$, Student's t test). **C**, survival rate of SUI-2 cells transfected with the miR-21 inhibitor was lower than that of the cells transfected with the negative control inhibitor after treatment with 2 to 20 nmol/L gemcitabine (*, $P = 0.005$; **, $P = 0.017$; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P = 0.0037$, Student's t test). Mean \pm SD of triplicate measurements.

Slaby et al. (34) showed that the expression of miR-21 is positively correlated with clinical stage, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis in patients with colorectal cancer. Although the present study revealed that the expression of miR-21 in pancreatic cancer tissues was much higher than in nonmalignant tissues, we did not find any correlation between levels of miR-21 expression and clinicopathologic findings. This may be due to the small number of cancer tissue samples and/or the use of bulk tissues. Further studies based on large-scale analyses of microdissected cells are needed.

It has been reported that miR-21 mediates MMP-2 and MMP-9 mRNA expression in hepatocellular cancer (19). The present data also revealed that cell invasion of pancreatic cancer was significantly promoted by overexpression of miR-21. Therefore, we investigated the effect of miR-21 on invasion-related genes (27, 28) and found that the mRNA expression of MMP-2, MMP-9, and VEGF were positively correlated with miR-21 expression. Although MMP-2, MMP-9, and VEGF are "indirect" targets of miR-21, identifying such indirect genes is important to understand the function of miR-21 on cell invasion of pancreatic cancer. However, further studies are needed to identify the direct targets of miR-21.

The present study revealed that miR-21 affected sensitivity to gemcitabine in pancreatic cancer cells and reduced the number of gemcitabine-induced apoptotic cells. These findings are consistent with a previous report regarding cholangiocarcinoma (18). To date, there is no report to clarify the detailed mechanism of the miR-21-induced chemoresistance, although several studies have revealed significant correlations between miR-21 expression and resistance to anticancer agents (18, 35) and antiapoptotic effect in other tumor cells (13, 17). Meng et al. reported that gemcitabine-induced apoptosis is inhibited by miR-21 via phosphatase and tensin homologue and the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathway (18). Also, tropomyosin 1 (30) in breast cancer and programmed cell death 4 in breast and colon cancer (31, 36) have been reported as target tumor suppressor genes of miR-21. However, the present study suggests that the pancreatic cancer cells did not express significant levels of tropomyosin 1 and programmed cell death 4 genes and that miR-21 did not affect the level of phosphatase and tensin homologue expression in pancreatic cancer cells. Therefore, further studies are needed to identify the target chemoresistance-related genes of miR-21 in pancreatic cancer cells.

In conclusion, we found that miR-21 is overexpressed in pancreatic cancer and that miR-21 promotes pancreatic cancer cell proliferation and invasion *in vitro*. Moreover, miR-21 contributed to the increased chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer cells. These data suggest that miR-21 may serve as a potential target for pancreatic cancer therapy.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

We thank Shoko Sadatomi, Midori Sato, Emiko Manabe, and Makiko Masuda (Department of Surgery and Oncology, Kyushu University) for skilful technical assistance and the Research Support Center, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University for technical support.

References

- Hirata K, Egawa S, Kimura Y, et al. Current status of surgery for pancreatic cancer. *Dig Surg* 2007;24:137–47.
- Jemal A, Murray T, Samuels A, Ghafoor A, Ward E, Thun MJ. Cancer statistics, 2003. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2003;53:5–26.
- Pillai RS. MicroRNA function: multiple mechanisms for a tiny RNA?. *RNA* 2005;11:1753–61.
- Griffiths-Jones S. The microRNA registry. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004;32:D109–11.
- Calin GA, Croce CM. MicroRNA signatures in human cancers. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006;6:857–66.
- Calin GA, Liu CG, Sevignani C, et al. MicroRNA profiling reveals distinct signatures in B cell chronic lymphocytic leukemias. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004;101:11755–60.
- Calin GA, Sevignani C, Dumitru CD, et al. Human microRNA genes are frequently located at fragile sites and genomic regions involved in cancers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004;101:2999–3004.
- Michael MZ, van Holst Pellekaan OC, Young NG, James GP. Reduced accumulation of specific microRNAs in colorectal neoplasia. *Mol Cancer Res* 2003;1:882–91.
- Johnson SM, Grosshans H, Shingara J, et al. RAS is regulated by the let-7 microRNA family. *Cell* 2005;120:635–47.
- Takamizawa J, Konishi H, Yanagisawa K, et al. Reduced expression of the let-7 microRNAs in human lung cancers in association with shortened postoperative survival. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:3753–6.
- Eis PS, Tam W, Sun L, et al. Accumulation of miR-155 and BIC RNA in human B cell lymphomas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:3627–32.
- Iorio MV, Ferracin M, Liu CG, et al. MicroRNA gene expression deregulation in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 2005;65:7065–70.
- Chan JA, Krichevsky AM, Kosik KS. MicroRNA-21 is an antiapoptotic factor in human glioblastoma cells. *Cancer Res* 2005;65:6029–33.
- He H, Jazdzewski K, Li W, et al. The role of microRNA genes in papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:19075–80.
- Lee EJ, Gusev Y, Jiang J, et al. Expression profiling identifies microRNA signature in pancreatic cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2007;120:1046–54.
- Szafranska AE, Davison TS, John J, et al. MicroRNA expression alterations are linked to tumorigenesis and non-neoplastic processes in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Oncogene* 2007;26:4442–52.
- Si ML, Zhu S, Wu H, Lu Z, Wu F, Mo YY. miR-21-mediated tumor growth. *Oncogene* 2007;26:2799–803.
- Meng F, Henson R, Lang M, et al. Involvement of human micro-RNA in growth and response to chemotherapy in human cholangiocarcinoma cell lines. *Gastroenterology* 2006;130:2113–29.
- Meng F, Henson R, Wehbe-Janek H, Ghoshal K, Jacob ST, Patel T. MicroRNA-21 regulates expression of the PTEN tumor suppressor gene in human hepatocellular cancer. *Gastroenterology* 2007;133:647–58.
- Esquela-Kerscher A, Slack FJ. Oncomirs—microRNAs with a role in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006;6:259–69.
- Sato N, Mizumoto K, Beppu K, et al. Establishment of a new human pancreatic cancer cell line, NOR-P1, with high angiogenic activity and metastatic potential. *Cancer Lett* 2000;155:153–61.
- Ohuchida K, Mizumoto K, Murakami M, et al. Radiation to stromal fibroblasts increases invasiveness of pancreatic cancer cells through tumor-stromal interactions. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:3215–22.
- Ohuchida K, Mizumoto K, Ishikawa N, et al. The role of S100A6 in pancreatic cancer development and its clinical implication as a diagnostic marker and therapeutic target. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005;11:7785–93.
- Zhang L, Mizumoto K, Sato N, et al. Quantitative determination of apoptotic death in cultured human pancreatic cancer cells by propidium iodide and digitonin. *Cancer Lett* 1999;142:129–37.
- Modrak DE, Cardillo TM, Newsome GA, Goldenberg DM, Gold DV. Synergistic interaction between sphingomyelin and gemcitabine potentiates ceramide-mediated apoptosis in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:8405–10.
- Bloomston M, Frankel WL, Petrocca F, et al. MicroRNA expression patterns to differentiate pancreatic adenocarcinoma from normal pancreas and chronic pancreatitis. *JAMA* 2007;297:1901–8.
- Wey JS, Fan F, Gray MJ, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 promotes migration and invasion in pancreatic carcinoma cell lines. *Cancer* 2005;104:427–38.
- Wang Z, Banerjee S, Li Y, Rahman KM, Zhang Y, Sarkar FH. Down-regulation of notch-1 inhibits invasion by inactivation of nuclear factor- κ B, vascular endothelial growth factor, and matrix metalloproteinase-9 in pancreatic cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2006;66:2778–84.
- Burris HA, III, Moore MJ, Andersen J, et al. Improvements in survival and clinical benefit with gemcitabine as first-line therapy for patients with advanced pancreas cancer: a randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* 1997;15:2403–13.
- Zhu S, Si ML, Wu H, Mo YY. MicroRNA-21 targets the tumor suppressor gene tropomyosin 1 (TPM1). *J Biol Chem* 2007;282:14328–36.
- Frankel LB, Christoffersen NR, Jacobsen A, Lindow M, Krogh A, Lund AH. Programmed cell death 4 (PDCD4) is an important functional target of the microRNA miR-21 in breast cancer cells. *J Biol Chem* 2008;283:1026–33.
- Lu Z, Liu M, Stribinskis V, et al. MicroRNA-21 promotes cell transformation by targeting the programmed cell death 4 gene. *Oncogene* 2008;27:4373–9.
- Ouyang H, Mou L, Luk C, et al. Immortal human pancreatic duct epithelial cell lines with near normal genotype and phenotype. *Am J Pathol* 2000;157:1623–31.
- Slaby O, Svoboda M, Fabian P, et al. Altered expression of miR-21, miR-31, miR-143 and miR-145 is related to clinicopathologic features of colorectal cancer. *Oncology* 2007;72:397–402.
- Blower PE, Chung JH, Verducci JS, et al. MicroRNAs modulate the chemosensitivity of tumor cells. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2008;7:1–9.
- Asangani IA, Rasheed SA, Nikolova DA, et al. MicroRNA-21 (miR-21) post-transcriptionally downregulates tumor suppressor Pdc4 and stimulates invasion, intravasation and metastasis in colorectal cancer. *Oncogene* 2008;27:2128–36.

Molecular Cancer Therapeutics

MicroRNA-21 modulates biological functions of pancreatic cancer cells including their proliferation, invasion, and chemoresistance

Taiki Moriyama, Kenoki Ohuchida, Kazuhiro Mizumoto, et al.

Mol Cancer Ther 2009;8:1067-1074. Published OnlineFirst May 12, 2009.

Updated version	Access the most recent version of this article at: doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-08-0592
Supplementary Material	Access the most recent supplemental material at: http://mct.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2009/05/05/1535-7163.MCT-08-0592.DC1

Cited articles	This article cites 36 articles, 18 of which you can access for free at: http://mct.aacrjournals.org/content/8/5/1067.full.html#ref-list-1
Citing articles	This article has been cited by 25 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at: /content/8/5/1067.full.html#related-urls

E-mail alerts	Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.
Reprints and Subscriptions	To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org .
Permissions	To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org .