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Introduction

- As the number of bus masters increases in a single chip, the importance of fast and powerful arbiters commands more attention.
- A fast arbiter is one of the dominant factors to achieve terabit switching speeds.
- To design with high performance and fairness in arbitration is a tedious and error-prone task.
- Our goal is to provide a fast and fair arbiter design with a tool for automatic generation.
**Terminology**

- **MxN Switch**: M-input by N-output switch.
  - Example: A 32x32 switch is a 32-input by 32-output switch with 1024 (32^2) possible connections between input ports and output ports.

- **Virtual Output Queues (VOQs)**: there are VOQs in a switch to remove possible output port contention (Head of Line (HOL) blocking).

- **VOQ (m, n)**: m is the input port index and n is the output port index.
  - Example: VOQ (1, 0) is the VOQ of input port 1 and queues packets destined to output port 0.
HOL Blocking Example

Without VOQs
HOL Blocking Example

With VOQs
Terminology (Continued)

- **(MxV)xN Switch:**
  - M is the number of input ports of an MxN switch.
  - V is the number of VOQs per input port.
  - N is the number of output ports of an MxN switch.
  - Typically, V is equal to N.
  - The total number of VOQs in an MxN switch is \( M \times N \).
Terminology (Continued)

- **(MxV)xN crossbar switch fabric:**
  - There are connections between (MxV) inputs (from VOQ (0, 0) to VOQ (M-1, V-1)) and N outputs, the number of output ports in the switch fabric.

- **MxM Switch Arbiter (SA):**
  - An MxM SA controls M specific transmission gates between M VOQs and a particular output port.
  - There are N MxM SAs in an MxN switch.
Terminology (Continued)

- **MxM distributed SA (MxM hierarchical SA):** plays the same role as an MxM SA.
  - Consists of smaller switch arbiter in the form of a hierarchical tree structure.
- **Bus Arbiter (BA):** resolves bus conflicts when multiple bus masters request a bus in the same cycle.
Related Work

- Centralized Switch Arbiters:
  - Dual Round-Robin Matching algorithm (DRRM)
  - Programmable Priority Encoder (PPE) implementing iterative round-robin algorithm (iSLIP)

- Distributed Switch Arbiter:
  - Ping Pong Arbiter (PPA)

- We will show how our generated SA achieves throughput 2.4X higher than PPE and 1.9X higher than PPA (and thus, at least 1.9X higher than DRRM since PPA outperforms DRRM).
Bus Arbiter Design

- Implemented based on ring counter for a token and “priority logic”.
- Priority Logic for 4 inputs:
  - output[0] = EN•in[0]
  - output[1] = EN•in[0]'•in[1]
  - output[2] = EN•in[0]'•in[1]'•in[2]
  - output[3] = EN•in[0]'•in[1]'•in[2]'•in[3]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Example: Bus Arbiter

- **Condition:**
  - Token=4'b0100 → Processor 2 has the highest priority.
  - Processor 0 and processor 1 request a bus.

- **Result:**
  - Only Priority Logic 2 is enabled.
  - Processor 0 is granted because the higher priority parties (processor 2 and processor 3) do not request a bus.
  - Token is rotated to 4'b1000 after the ring counter receives ack signal.
Example: Bus Arbiter (Continued)
A hierarchical SA consists of small switch arbiter blocks.

There are four types of switch arbiter blocks.
- 2x2 ack-req SA.
- 4x4 ack-req SA.
- 2x2 root SA.
- 4x4 root SA.

A root SA placed on the top of a hierarchy.
Key Insight

- With TSMC .25μ std. cell library from LEDA Systems, 4x4 is the “sweet spot” of high performance analogous to std. cell design where using 4-input gates in design speeds up over, say only 2-input gates or 8-input gates.
  - Use as many 4x4 as possible.
  - Use 2x2 if needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>PPA</th>
<th>PPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2x2</td>
<td>.24 ns</td>
<td>.40 ns</td>
<td>.45 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4x4</td>
<td>.34 ns</td>
<td>.53 ns</td>
<td>.61 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8x8</td>
<td>.65 ns</td>
<td>.85 ns</td>
<td>1.12 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16x16</td>
<td>1.45 ns</td>
<td>1.55 ns</td>
<td>1.55 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our SA from RAG
• **32 x 32 SA Critical Path:**
  - Travels through two 4-input OR gates in series
  - Then through a 2x2 root SA
  - Finally through two 2-input AND gates in series
  - Results in 0.94ns delay using a TSMC 0.25µ standard cell library from LEDA Systems.

Example:

ack signals look like feedback path through the same logic block. In fact there is no input to the same logic gates.
Comparison w/32x32 PPE and PPA

- **PPE Critical Path:**
  - output[31] = in[0]’•in[1]’•…•in[30]’•in[31] plus output encoding.
  - Associates with 8 4-input AND gates and 31 inverters.
  - Results in 2.17ns delay using a TSMC 0.25µ std. cell library from LEDA Systems.

- **PPA Critical Path:**
  - Only use 2x2 arbiters.
  - 2x2 PPA: 0.4ns while our 2x2 SA: 0.24ns
  - 5 levels in a binary tree structure.
  - Associates with 4 serially connected 2-input OR gates for ORed request.
  - Associates with 2 acknowledgements from two higher levels → 3 3-input AND gates.
  - Results in 1.7ns delay using a TSMC 0.25µ std. cell library from LEDA Systems.
Round-robin Arbiter Generator (RAG)

- RAG is preferable to employ as many 4x4 SAs as possible to reduce the number of levels in a hierarchy.
- A hierarchical 4x4 SA has longer delay (0.46ns) than a 4x4 ack-req SA (0.34ns) in .25µ std. cell library from LEDA Systems.
A user specify an arbiter type either a Bus Arbiter or a Switch Arbiter.

A user specify the number of masters (M) to be arbitrated.

RAG generates synthesizable Verilog code for a Bus Arbiter or a Switch Arbiter at the RTL level.

RAG is most efficient when M is a power of two.
RAG (Continued)

1. Initialize:
   - num_level ← 0
   - dividend ← num_masters
   - remainder ← 0

2. If dividend = 0 and remainder = 0:
   - num_4by4_level(n) ← dividend
   - num_2by2_level(n) ← dividend
   - num_level ++

3. If dividend > 2:
   - remainder ← dividend mod 4
   - dividend ← (integer) (dividend/4)
   - num_4by4_level(n) ← dividend
   - num_2by2_level(n) ← dividend

4. If remainder = 0:
   - num_4by4_level(n) ++
   - num_2by2_level(n) ++
   - n++

5. If n < num_level?
   - dividend ← num_4by4_level(n) + num_2by2_level(n)

6. Repeat steps 1-5 until dividend is 0.

Example:
- dividend = 32
- num_4by4_level(0) = 8
- num_2by2_level(0) = 0
- num_level = 1

Graphically, the process is represented with a flowchart showing the decision points and actions for each level of division, up to the point where the dividend is reduced to 0.
RAG (Continued)

User input:
1. Type of the arbiter
2. Number of masters

Bus Arbiter
Switch Arbiter

generate M x M bus arbiter gen_arb();

Bus Arbiter
Switch Arbiter

Library
2x2 ack-req SA
4x4 ack-req SA
2x2 root SA
4x4 root SA

integrate M x M hierarchical switch arbiter integ_arb();

Calculate the number of levels;
Calculate SA blocks for each level;

up_ack0
up_ack1
up_req0
up_req1
up_req2
up_req3

req0[0]
req0[1]
req0[2]
req0[3]

req1[0]
req1[1]
req1[2]
req1[3]

req2[0]
req2[1]
req2[2]
req2[3]

req3[0]
req3[1]
req3[2]
req3[3]

req4[0]
req4[1]
req4[2]
req4[3]

req5[0]
req5[1]
req5[2]
req5[3]

req6[0]
req6[1]
req6[2]
req6[3]

req7[0]
req7[1]
req7[2]
req7[3]
Comparisons with PPE and PPA

- Using TSMC 0.25μ std. cell library from LEDA Systems
Comparisons (Continued)

- The shortest delay results from
  - Limiting the size of switch arbiter blocks to 2x2 and 4x4 to reduce the critical path delay due to the expansion of priority logic blocks compared with Programmable Priority Logic Encoder (PPE), a centralized arbiter.
  - Reducing the number of levels in a hierarchy by preferring to use more 4x4 switch arbiter blocks compared with Ping-Pong Arbiter (PPA).
Speedup for a Terabit Switch

- **Assumptions for comparison**
  - The speed of switching is wholly determined by the arbitration cycles.

- **Speedup**
  - Our hierarchical 128x128 SA: 6.16Tbps.
  - 128x128 PPA: 3.18Tbps.
  - 128x128 PPE: 2.59Tbps.
  - Our SA achieves throughput 1.9X higher than PPA and 2.4X higher than PPE.

- **Commercial Switches**
  - Mindspeed claims up to .45Tbps for 144x144 switch using multiple chips.
  - PetaSwitch claims up to 10.24Tbps for 256x256 switch using multiple chips.
  - No details about logic design nor process technology used.
Conclusion

- BA logic
- We showed how 2x2 and 4x4 BAs are applied to 2x2 and 4x4 switch arbiter blocks.
- We demonstrated how RAG generate synthesizable Verilog codes for a BA and a SA with the example of 32x32 hierarchical SA.
- We compared areas and delays with other SAs.
- We demonstrated how our generated 128x128 hierarchical SA could achieve throughput 1.9X higher than PPA and 2.4X higher than PPE.