#### Open Access Full Text Article

REVIEW

# Albumin-bound paclitaxel in solid tumors: clinical development and future directions

#### Madappa N Kundranda<sup>1</sup> Jiaxin Niu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medical Oncology, Banner MD Anderson Cancer Center, Gilbert, <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Cancer Treatment Centers of America, Goodyear, AZ, USA solvents used in the formulation of standard paclitaxel and to potentially improve efficacy. *Nab*-paclitaxel has demonstrated an advantage over solvent-based paclitaxel by being able to deliver a higher dose of paclitaxel to tumors and decrease the incidence of serious toxicities, including severe allergic reactions. To date, *nab*-paclitaxel has been indicated for the treatment of three solid tumors in the USA. It was first approved for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer in 2005, followed by locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer in 2012, and most recently for metastatic pancreatic cancer in 2013. *Nab*-paclitaxel is also under investigation for the treatment of a number of other solid tumors. This review highlights key clinical efficacy and safety outcomes of *nab*-paclitaxel in the solid tumors for which it is currently indicated, discusses ongoing trials that may provide new data for the expansion of *nab*-paclitaxel in practice. **Keywords:** *nab*-paclitaxel, breast, lung, pancreas, ovarian, melanoma

Abstract: Albumin-bound paclitaxel (nab-paclitaxel) is a solvent-free formulation of paclitaxel

that was initially developed more than a decade ago to overcome toxicities associated with the

# Nab-paclitaxel development

Paclitaxel is widely used for the treatment of solid tumors;<sup>1–3</sup> however, the solvent used in the commercial formulation of solvent-based (sb)-paclitaxel, polyoxyethylated castor oil (Kolliphor<sup>®</sup> EL, formerly known as Cremophor EL; BASF SE, Ludwigshafen, Germany), is associated with severe, sometimes fatal hypersensitivity reactions.<sup>4–6</sup> To reduce the risk of hypersensitivity reactions with sb-paclitaxel, patients are routinely pretreated with corticosteroids and antihistamines.<sup>1,2</sup> Furthermore, some studies have shown that Kolliphor EL can entrap paclitaxel in solvent micelles, making the drug less available to enter tumors, thereby limiting its clinical efficacy.<sup>6–8</sup>

*Nab*-paclitaxel is a solvent-free albumin-bound form of paclitaxel.<sup>2,3,9</sup> Compared with sb-paclitaxel, *nab*-paclitaxel has several advantages, including the ability to deliver significantly higher doses of paclitaxel over a shorter infusion time (30 minutes vs 3 hours for sb-paclitaxel) and the elimination of the need for pre-medications to prevent hypersensitivity reactions. Other advantages of *nab*-paclitaxel over sb-paclitaxel include enhanced transport of paclitaxel across endothelial cells and greater delivery of paclitaxel to tumors.<sup>9</sup> Because *nab*-paclitaxel is formulated with albumin, it is postulated that the drug uses endogenous albumin transport pathways, including receptor-mediated transcytosis, to cross endothelial cell monolayers and enter tumors.<sup>9,10</sup> In a preclinical study, fourfold more *nab*-paclitaxel was transported across endothelial cells than sb-paclitaxel.<sup>9</sup> Moreover, it was found that Kolliphor EL inhibited the binding of paclitaxel to albumin and endothelial cells, potentially limiting intratumoral

Correspondence: Madappa N Kundranda Banner MD Anderson Cancer Center, Medical Oncology, 2940 Suite 450, E Banner Gateway Dr, Gilbert, AZ 85234, USA Tel +1 480 256 3623 Fax +1 480 256 4003 Email madappa.kundranda@bannerhealth. com

For personal use only.

Drug Design, Development and Therapy 2015:9 3767–3777

Dovencess

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com

3767

uptake of paclitaxel.<sup>9</sup> Albumin, or albumin-bound molecules such as *nab*-paclitaxel, may also find a way into the tumor microenvironment via the enhanced permeation and retention effect, which proposes that molecules are able to escape the circulation through gaps between endothelial cells resulting from leaky vasculature around tumors.<sup>11</sup> A comprehensive review of *nab*-paclitaxel's mechanism of action and delivery system has recently been published.<sup>12</sup>

Recent studies on the population pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) of nab-paclitaxel demonstrated that pharmacologic features of nab-paclitaxel appear to be distinct from those of sb-paclitaxel.<sup>13,14</sup> These distinct features likely contribute to the differences in clinical safety and efficacy between the two paclitaxel formulations.<sup>13</sup> Specifically, compared with sb-paclitaxel, nab-paclitaxel was associated with faster and deeper tissue penetration and slower elimination of paclitaxel. Tissue distribution of paclitaxel was found to be dependent on the drug carrier complex.<sup>14</sup> These results confirm preclinical findings that more paclitaxel may be able to enter the tumor when delivered as nab-paclitaxel9 - and with more rapid distribution to tissues, the duration of high systemic exposure is shorter. This may, in turn, explain the observation of the lower frequency of some severe adverse events, such as neutropenia, with nab-paclitaxel than with sb-paclitaxel, despite that nab-paclitaxel demonstrates a higher paclitaxel dose intensity (26%-49% higher) than sb-paclitaxel.<sup>15-17</sup> Furthermore, in the population PK/PD study, a threshold plasma concentration for nab-paclitaxel was defined at 0.84 mM, such that the duration of time spent above this concentration predicted the probability of neutropenia.<sup>13</sup> Compared with that previously reported for sb-paclitaxel (0.05 mM), the threshold plasma paclitaxel concentration was nearly 17-fold higher for nab-paclitaxel.13 Consistent with these findings, in trials to establish the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) of nab-paclitaxel, it was found that the albumin-bound formulation of paclitaxel allowed for a higher dose delivery of paclitaxel compared with sb-paclitaxel. The MTD of nab-paclitaxel was 71% to 88% higher than that reported for sb-paclitaxel for both the every-3-weeks (q3w) regimen (300 vs  $175 \text{ mg/m}^2$ ) and the weekly regimen (150 vs 80 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) in patients with advanced or metastatic solid tumors.18-20 Dose-limiting toxicities in these trials included neutropenia, peripheral neuropathy, stomatitis, and superficial keratopathy.<sup>18-20</sup> With respect to peripheral neuropathy, a common taxane-associated side effect, the incidence of peripheral neuropathy with nab-paclitaxel compared to with sb-paclitaxel has varied across trials.<sup>15,16</sup> Differences in patient populations, dosing schedules, and adverse-event management strategies may have played a role in the varying incidence rates. Nevertheless, the ability to deliver a higher dose of paclitaxel and the enhanced tissue distribution and tumor uptake of *nab*-paclitaxel versus sb-paclitaxel likely contribute to the more favorable efficacy and safety profile of the albumin-bound formulation of paclitaxel.

# Nab-paclitaxel in breast cancer, nonsmall-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and pancreatic cancer Breast cancer

A Phase I dose-escalation trial of 19 patients with advanced solid tumors established the MTD of nab-paclitaxel at 300 mg/m<sup>2</sup> given q3w.<sup>18</sup> Dose-limiting toxicities included peripheral neuropathy, stomatitis, and superficial keratopathy, which occurred at a dose of  $375 \text{ mg/m}^2$ . There were no reported hypersensitivity reactions despite the absence of steroid premedication and a short infusion time (30 minutes). The MTD and schedule were subsequently evaluated in a Phase II trial for the first- or  $\geq$  second-line treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer (MBC). The trial reported an overall response rate (ORR) of 48% for the intent-to-treat population of patients, with a 64% ORR in chemotherapy-naïve patients.<sup>21</sup> Time to tumor progression was 6.1 months and median overall survival (OS) was 14.6 months. These results supported the study of nab-paclitaxel vs sb-paclitaxel in a Phase III trial of patients with MBC.15 In this study, the dose of nab-paclitaxel was reduced to 260 mg/m<sup>2</sup> q3w to lower the risk for severe toxicities, but the dose intensity was still 49% higher than that of sb-paclitaxel, which was dosed at 175 mg/m<sup>2</sup> q3w.<sup>15</sup> Nab-paclitaxel demonstrated a significantly higher ORR (33% vs 19%; P=0.001; primary endpoint) and significantly longer time to tumor progression (5.3 vs 3.9 months; P=0.006) compared with sb-paclitaxel (Table 1). OS was not significantly different between the two paclitaxel treatments for the overall population (14.9 vs 12.8 months; P=0.374), but patients who received nab-paclitaxel as secondline or greater did have a significantly longer OS than those who received sb-paclitaxel (13.0 vs 10.7 months; hazard ratio [HR] 0.73; P=0.024). Grade 4 neutropenia was more common with sb-paclitaxel than with nab-paclitaxel (22% vs 9%), but the incidence of grade 3 sensory neuropathy was higher with nab-paclitaxel than with sb-paclitaxel (10% vs 2%) (Table 1). Sensory neuropathy was managed with dose interruptions or reductions and improved to grade 2 or less in a median of 22 days.

Based on these positive results, *nab*-paclitaxel received its first US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval

Phase III trial	Patient population	Regimen	Efficacy			Select grade ≥	3 AEs, %
			ORR, %	Median PFS, mo	Median OS, mo	Neutropenia	Neuropathy
<b>Breast cancer</b>							
Gradishar et al <sup>15</sup>	First-line (n=97)	Nab-P 260 mg/m <sup>2</sup> 30-minute infusion q3w	33	TTP 5.3	14.9	30	10ª
	Second-line or later (n=132)						
	First-line (n=89)	sb-P 175 mg/m <sup>2</sup> 3-hour infusion q3w	61	TTP 3.9	12.8	45	$2^{\rm a}$
	Second-line or later (n=136)		P=0.001	P=0.006	P=0.374	P<0.05	P<0.001
Non-small-cell	lung cancer						
Socinski et al <sup>16</sup>	First-line (n=521)	Nab-P100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> 30-minute infusion on days 1, 8, and 15	33	6.3	12.1	47	$3^{\mathrm{a}}$
		followed by carbo AUC 6 mg/mL/min on day I q3w					
	First-line (n=531)	sb-P 200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> 3-hour infusion + carbo AUC 6 mg/mL/min,	25	5.8	11.2	58	12
		both given q3w	P=0.005	P=0.214	P=0.271	P<0.001	P<0.001
Pancreatic can	cer						
/on Hoff et al <sup>35</sup>	First-line (n=431)	Nab-P 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> 30- to 40-minute infusion followed by gem	23	5.5	8.5	38	17
		1,000 mg/m² on days 1, 8, and 15 every 4 weeks					
	First-line (n=430)	Gem 1,000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> weekly for 7 of 8 weeks (cycle 1) and then	7	3.7	6.7	27	_
		on days 1, 8, and 15 every 4 weeks	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001		

Dovepress

Drug Design, Development and Therapy downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 54.70.40.11 on 22-Nov-2018

For personal use only

in 2005 for the treatment of MBC. *Nab*-paclitaxel is indicated for patients with breast cancer after failure of combination chemotherapy for metastatic disease or relapse within 6 months of adjuvant chemotherapy.<sup>3</sup> Prior therapy should have included an anthracycline unless clinically contraindicated.

# NSCLC

TTP, time to progression

sb-paclitaxel;

sb-P,

A Phase II dose-finding study found that 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> weekly nab-paclitaxel combined with q3w carboplatin area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) 6 provided the best clinical benefit-risk ratio compared with several other doses/ schedules of nab-paclitaxel plus carboplatin in patients with advanced NSCLC.<sup>22</sup> This dose/schedule showed comparable efficacy to the other dosing cohorts and had the least severe adverse events. These results led to a larger Phase III trial of more than 1,000 patients with advanced NSCLC in which the mentioned dose/schedule of nab-paclitaxel plus carboplatin was compared with 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> sb-paclitaxel plus carboplatin AUC 6 q3w.<sup>16</sup> The study met its primary endpoint with improvement in ORR for nab-paclitaxel plus carboplatin versus sb-paclitaxel plus carboplatin (33% vs 25%; P=0.005; Table 1). However, there was no statistically significant difference in median progression-free survival (PFS) (6.3 vs 5.8 months) or median OS (12.1 vs 11.2 months) for *nab*-paclitaxel plus carboplatin versus sb-paclitaxel plus carboplatin (Table 1). A subset analysis of the Phase III trial based on predefined stratification factors revealed that patients with squamous histology treated with *nab*-paclitaxel plus carboplatin had a significantly higher ORR compared with those who received sb-paclitaxel plus carboplatin (41% vs 24%; P<0.001). In addition, the median OS was significantly longer in patients  $\geq$ 70 years of age who were treated with nab-paclitaxel plus carboplatin compared with sb-paclitaxel plus carboplatin (19.9 vs 10.4 months; P=0.009). In the overall treated population, grade  $\geq$ 3 neutropenia (47% vs 58%) and sensory neuropathy (3% vs 12%) occurred significantly less frequently with *nab*-paclitaxel plus carboplatin (P < 0.001; Table 1), while grade  $\geq$ 3 thrombocytopenia (18% vs 9%) and anemia (27%) vs 7%) were more common with nab-paclitaxel plus carboplatin than with sb-paclitaxel plus carboplatin (P < 0.001). The safety profiles were similar, regardless of patient age or histology.<sup>23,24</sup> Based on the findings of this Phase III trial, the FDA-approved nab-paclitaxel in combination with carboplatin for the first-line treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC who are not candidates for curative surgery or radiation.<sup>3</sup>

#### Pancreatic cancer

Gemcitabine monotherapy is one of the most widely used agents in the treatment of metastatic pancreatic cancer, based on a seminal study by Burris et al that demonstrated a median survival of  $\approx$ 6 months.<sup>25</sup> A decade later and after numerous failed clinical trials, erlotinib in combination with gemcitabine was FDA approved for the treatment of locally advanced, unresectable, or metastatic pancreatic cancer,<sup>26</sup> partly based on a statistically significant 0.3-month survival advantage for erlotinib plus gemcitabine vs gemcitabine alone.<sup>27</sup> However, in routine clinical practice, this combination is very selectively used in a small subset of patients. Several other Phase III trials of gemcitabine doublets failed to demonstrate a significant survival advantage over gemcitabine alone.<sup>25,27-32</sup>

Nab-paclitaxel was selected as a combination partner for gemcitabine because it has been shown to synergize with gemcitabine and was associated with increased intratumoral delivery of gemcitabine and stromal depletion.33 In a Phase I/II trial, the MTD of nab-paclitaxel in combination with 1,000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> gemcitabine was established at  $125 \text{ mg/m}^2$ ; both agents were given weekly for the first 3 of 4 weeks (qw 3/4) in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer.<sup>33,34</sup> For patients treated at the MTD (n=44), the ORR was 48% and median OS was 12.2 months. This led to a large multinational Phase III trial of more than 850 patients in who 125 mg/m<sup>2</sup> nab-paclitaxel plus 1,000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> gemcitabine qw 3/4 was compared with 1,000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> gemcitabine alone (given weekly for 7 of 8 weeks during cycle 1 and then qw 3/4 for cycle 2 and beyond) (Table 1).<sup>35</sup> Median OS (primary endpoint) was significantly longer with nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine versus gemcitabine alone (8.5 vs 6.7 months; P < 0.001). The treatment benefit of *nab*-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine over gemcitabine alone was consistent across most prespecified subgroups, including those patients with more advanced disease (eg, poorer performance status, liver metastasis,  $\geq 3$  sites of metastatic disease, and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 levels 59× the upper limit of normal).35 Grade  $\geq$ 3 neutropenia (38% vs 27%), fatigue (17% vs 7%), and neuropathy (17% vs 1%) were higher with nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine versus gemcitabine alone; no patients experienced grade 4 neuropathy in either arm. As observed in other trials, <sup>15,16,36</sup> the grade 3 neuropathy associated with nabpaclitaxel resolved for a majority of patients and improved to grade 1 or lower in a median of 29 days.

*Nab*-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine was the first gemcitabine doublet to demonstrate a clinically meaningful benefit over gemcitabine alone in a Phase III trial of advanced/metastatic pancreatic cancer.<sup>25,27–32</sup> In 2013, *nab*-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine became an FDA-approved regimen for the first-line treatment of patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer.<sup>3</sup>

Based on the hypothesis of secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC), an albumin-binding protein, playing a role in the delivery of *nab*-paclitaxel to tumors, analyses have been performed to examine the relationship between SPARC expression and outcome in patients treated with *nab*-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine. In the Phase I/II trial, high versus low stromal SPARC expression was associated with longer OS in the *nab*-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine arm (17.8 vs 8.1 months; P=0.0431), suggesting that SPARC may be a biomarker for pancreatic cancer that facilitates accumulation of *nab*-paclitaxel into tumors.<sup>33</sup> An analysis of SPARC status in the Phase III Metastatic Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma Clinical Trial found that stromal, tumor, and plasma SPARC were not prognostic for survival or predictive of survival in either treatment arm.<sup>37</sup>

# Clinical perspectives and future directions

### Breast cancer

Since its approval in 2005, *nab*-paclitaxel has been studied in a variety of breast cancer patient populations and with varying doses and schedules.36,38 It has demonstrated efficacy in patients with poor prognostic factors and aggressive disease features,<sup>39</sup> including those with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC).<sup>40,41</sup> Although the approved dose of *nab*-paclitaxel for the treatment of MBC is 260 mg/m<sup>2</sup> q3w, determining the optimal dose and schedule when it is used as a single agent or in combination with other agents is an ongoing effort and a source of widely varying trial outcomes. In a preliminary analysis of the Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB 40502) Phase III trial, which evaluated three combination regimens for the treatment of patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative MBC (nabpaclitaxel 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup> qw 3/4 plus bevacizumab 10 mg/kg every 2 weeks [q2w] or sb-paclitaxel 90 mg/m<sup>2</sup> qw 3/4 plus bevacizumab 10 mg/kg q2w or ixabepilone 16 mg/kg qw 3/4 plus bevacizumab 10 mg/kg q2w), similar PFS (primary endpoint) was found for the nab-paclitaxel and sb-paclitaxel arms (9.2 vs 10.6 months; HR 1.19; 95% confidence interval, 0.96–1.49; P=0.12).42 However, high rates of hematologic and non-hematologic toxicity, including peripheral neuropathy, in the *nab*-paclitaxel plus bevacizumab arm suggest that the 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup> weekly schedule of *nab*-paclitaxel in combination with bevacizumab may not have been optimal. Early discontinuations and dose reductions due to these toxicities in the

*nab*-paclitaxel arm may have led to insufficient dose intensity and duration of therapy. With bevacizumab's approval being revoked by the FDA in MBC, the more pertinent clinical question is whether weekly *nab*-paclitaxel is superior to weekly paclitaxel in the absence of bevacizumab. A head-to-head clinical trial would help to answer this question.

A recent large Phase III trial of 1,204 patients did demonstrate superiority of 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup> nab-paclitaxel given weekly (n=606) over 80 mg/m<sup>2</sup> sb-paclitaxel given weekly (n=598), both followed by epirubicin and cyclophosphamide, as neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with early breast cancer; pathological complete response (pCR) rates were 38% and 29%, respectively (odds ratio 1.53; P=0.001).43 Patients with TNBC appeared to derive the greatest benefit from *nab*-paclitaxel therapy (odds ratio 2.69; P<0.001). The incidence of grade  $\geq$ 3 neutropenia was high with both treatments, 61% and 62%, respectively (P=0.636), whereas the incidence of grade  $\geq$ 3 peripheral neuropathy was significantly higher with nab-paclitaxel (10%) versus sb-paclitaxel treatment (3%; P<0.001). Of note, after 400 patients were treated in the *nab*-paclitaxel arm, the dose of *nab*-paclitaxel was reduced to 125 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. Long-term follow-up of this trial will determine if the increased pCR rates translate into improved disease-free survival and OS.

A Phase II trial of 302 patients with MBC treated in the first-line demonstrated that nab-paclitaxel dosed at 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup> qw 3/4 had a better efficacy and safety profile compared with another sb taxane, docetaxel, given at 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> q3w.<sup>38</sup> The 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup> weekly dose showed significant improvement in PFS compared with docetaxel (median 12.9 vs 7.5 months; P=0.0065) and demonstrated the longest median OS (33.8 months) compared with the other nab-paclitaxel regimens (22.2 months for 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> weekly and 27.7 months for 300 mg/m<sup>2</sup> q3w) and docetaxel (26.6 months).<sup>36,38</sup> The 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup> dose was associated with more dose reductions and a higher incidence of grade 3 neuropathy than docetaxel (22% vs 12%); however, sensory neuropathy associated with nab-paclitaxel improved from grade 3 to grade 2 or lower in half the amount of time versus that associated with docetaxel (a median of 20 vs 41 days).

While these clinical trial results are interesting, it is not entirely clear how relevant these doses/schedules are to clinical use. According to a recent US claims study analyzing 664 eligible records of patients with MBC, a weekly schedule of *nab*-paclitaxel was dispensed more often than the q3w schedule (71% vs 29%) from January 2005 to September 2012.<sup>44</sup> Thus, it appears that the weekly dose of *nab*-paclitaxel has been widely adapted into clinical practice for the treatment of MBC. The recommended doses and schedules of singleagent *nab*-paclitaxel by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) for the systemic treatment of recurrent or MBC are 260 mg/m<sup>2</sup> q3w and 100 or 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup> qw 3/4.<sup>45</sup> Currently, the NCCN does not recommend a *nab*paclitaxel-based combination regimen for the treatment of any type of breast cancer.

Very few treatment options exist for patients with TNBC, an aggressive disease that accounts for about 20% to 25% of all breast cancer.<sup>46</sup> Patients with metastatic TNBC tend to be resistant to single-agent chemotherapy and often require combination chemotherapy.45 Independent trials have demonstrated activity of nab-paclitaxel in combination with carboplatin, gemcitabine, or bevacizumab for the treatment of metastatic TNBC.41,42,47 The Phase II/III Triple-Negative Albumin-Bound Paclitaxel Combination International Treatment Study (tnAcity; NCT01881230) will evaluate the efficacy and safety of nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine (or carboplatin) versus gemcitabine plus carboplatin as firstline treatment of patients with TNBC (Table 2).48 During the Phase II portion of the trial, the best combination partner for nab-paclitaxel will be determined (gemcitabine or carboplatin) and carried forward into the Phase III trial where the selected nab-paclitaxel regimen will be compared with gemcitabine plus carboplatin. Planned enrollment for this trial is 790 patients and the primary endpoint is PFS. There are a number of other ongoing Phase III/IV trials evaluating the safety and efficacy of nab-paclitaxel in various breast cancer settings, and these are listed in Table 2.49-54

#### NSCLC

In the USA, >70% of patients with lung cancer are 65 years of age or older and the median age of diagnosis is 70 years.55 Historically, elderly patients have been underrepresented in Phase III trials of advanced NSCLC (15% to 28% of enrolled patients were  $\geq$ 70 years of age),<sup>23,56–60</sup> thus application of these trial results to the elderly population has limitations. Other challenges in treating elderly patients include comorbidities and altered PK of drugs with age.61,62 As a result, patients above age 70 years with lung cancer tend to be undertreated due to lack of sufficient evidence. In a subset analysis of the Phase III NSCLC trial, it was found that elderly patients who were treated with *nab*-paclitaxel plus carboplatin had a nearly 10-month improvement in OS compared with patients treated with sb-paclitaxel plus carboplatin (P=0.009).<sup>16</sup> The safety profile of nab-paclitaxel plus carboplatin in the elderly population was similar to the overall trial population.<sup>23</sup> Based on this positive result, one

Identifier         Interview         <	Trials gov Trial description		Treatment	Primary endnoint	Planned	Planned nrimary
<ul> <li>BC</li> <li>NCT01881230<sup>6</sup> Phase IIII uActiv trial: evaluate ris/obtenefit of nub-P</li> <li>NCT01881230<sup>6</sup> Phase IIII UA/SPT trial: evaluate ris/obtenefit of nub-P</li> <li>NCT01881230<sup>4</sup> Phase IIII UA/SPT trial: evaluate ris/obtenefit of nub-P</li> <li>NCT01881234<sup>4</sup> Phase IIII DA/SPT trial: evaluate ris/obtenefit of nub-P</li> <li>NCT01731338<sup>4/2</sup> Phase III DA/SPT trial: evaluate ris/optenefic of nub-P</li> <li>NCT0182314<sup>4</sup> Phase III FIX viai. Incombination with epitubicin 90 mg/m<sup>2</sup> q/2wi. Identification of a responder su adjuster III DA/SPT trial: suptive Fight-risk BC</li> <li>NCT01822314<sup>4</sup> Phase III FIX viai. Incoadjuvant: theremotherapy with</li> <li>NCT01822314<sup>4</sup> Phase III FIX viai. Incoadjuvant: theremotherapy with</li> <li>NCT01822314<sup>4</sup> Phase III FIX viai. subdy of nob-P in high-risk BC</li> <li>NCT01822314<sup>4</sup> Phase III GAN12, trail: sudy of nob-P in high-risk BC</li> <li>NCT01822314<sup>4</sup> Phase III GAN12, trail: sudy of nob-P in high-risk early</li> <li>NCT0192019277<sup>5</sup> Phase III GAN12, trail: sudy of nob-P in high-risk EC</li> <li>NCT0101572038<sup>4</sup> Phase III GAN12, trail: sudy of nob-P in high-risk EC</li> <li>NCT0101972039</li> <li>Phase III GAN12, trail: sudy of nob-P in high-risk EC</li> <li>NCT011572038<sup>4</sup> Phase III GAN12, trail: sudy of nob-P in high-risk EC</li> <li>NCT011572038<sup>4</sup> Phase III GAN12, trail: sudy of nob-P in high-risk EC</li> <li>NCT011572038<sup>4</sup> Phase III GAN12, trail: sudy of nob-P in high-risk EC</li> <li>NCT011572038<sup>4</sup> Phase III GAN12, trail: sudy of nop-P in trait.</li> <li>NCT011572038<sup>4</sup> Phase III GAN12, trail: sudy of nop-P in high-risk EC</li> <li>NCT011572038<sup>4</sup> Phase III GAN12, trail: sudy of nop-P in high-risk EC</li> <li>NCT011572038<sup>4</sup> Phase III AACU Phase II PACU Phase</li> <li>NCT011572038<sup>4</sup> Phase III AACU Phase</li> <li>NCT0121511149<sup>4</sup> Phase III AACU Phase</li> <li>NCT012151149<sup>4</sup> Phase III AACU Phase</li> <li>NCT012151149<sup>4</sup> Phase III AACU Phas</li></ul>					enrollment	completion
<ul> <li>NCT01781338<sup>400</sup> Phase IVII ADAPT risk adjivant dynamic markets</li> <li>NCT01781338<sup>400</sup> Phase IVII ADAPT risk adjivant dynamic markets</li> <li>Adjusted personalized therapy trial optimizing risk</li> <li>adjusted personalized therapy trial optimizing risk</li> <li>adjusted personalized therapy trial optimizing risk</li> <li>NCT01822314<sup>41</sup> Phase III ETIXA trial: neadjuvant chemotherapy with Advected to mg/m<sup>2</sup> 24x4 cycles</li> <li>NCT01822314<sup>41</sup> Phase III ETIXA trial: neadjuvant chemotherapy with Advected to a low weeks</li> <li>NCT0169702<sup>51</sup> Phase III ETIXA trial: neadjuvant chemotherapy with Advected to a low weeks</li> <li>NCT0169702<sup>51</sup> Phase III CAIN-2 trial: study of nab-P in high-risk BC</li> <li>NCT0169702<sup>52</sup> Phase III CAIN-2 trial: study of nab-P in high-risk karly</li> <li>NCT0169702<sup>53</sup> Phase III CAIN-2 trial: study of nab-P in high-risk karly</li> <li>NCT0169702<sup>53</sup> Phase III CAIN-2 trial: study of perturation and trasturamab. trastrustmab, and taxane</li> <li>Combination with a taxane in patients with advanced</li> <li>NCT01572038<sup>44</sup> Phase III trial: study of perturation and trasturations.</li> <li>NCT01572038<sup>45</sup> Phase III trial: study of perturation and trasturations.</li> <li>NCT01572038<sup>45</sup> Phase III trial: study of perturation and trasturations.</li> <li>NCT01572038<sup>45</sup> Phase III trial: stelly and efficacy study</li> <li>NCT01572038<sup>46</sup> Phase III trial: stelly and efficacy study</li> <li>NCT01572038<sup>47</sup> Phase III RAUSE trial: study of perturation and trasturations.</li> <li>NCT01572038<sup>46</sup> Phase III trial: stelly and efficacy study</li> <li>NCT01572038<sup>47</sup> Phase III RAUSE trial: stelly and efficacy study</li> <li>NCT01572038<sup>46</sup> Phase III RAUSE trial: stelly and efficacy study</li> <li>NCT01572038<sup>47</sup> Phase III RAUSE trial: stelly and efficacy study</li> <li>NCT01572038<sup>46</sup> Phase II RADUND.70<sup>47</sup> trial: stelly and efficacy study</li> <li>NCT015440<sup>47</sup> Phase III RAUSE trial: stell</li></ul>	881230 <sup>48</sup> Phase II/III tnAcity in combination wi	י trial: evaluate risk/benefit of <i>nab-</i> P th gem and carbo compared with	Nab-P 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + gem 1,000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw 2/3 vs nab-P 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + carbo AUC 2 qw 2/3 $\frac{1}{2}$	PFS	790	October 2015
NCT01822314 <sup>11</sup> brase II ETNA trial: neoadjurant chemotherapy with index of the series         breast cancer         a comparable outcome to a low index of the prior of	781338 <sup>49.50</sup> Phase II/III ADAP adjusted personali assessment and th	metastatic TNDC T trial: adjuvant dynamic marker- ized therapy trial optimizing risk ierapy response prediction in early	vs gem 1,000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + carbo AUC 2 qw 2/3 Ndb-P 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw or sb-pac 175 mg/m <sup>2</sup> q2w; both in combination with epirubicin 90 mg/m <sup>2</sup> and cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m <sup>2</sup> q2w for	Identification of a responder subpopulation within intermediate- and high-risk groups in any BC subtype, which due to therapy has	4,936	April 2020
NCT01690703 <sup>13</sup> Phase III GAIN-2 trial: study of ndb-P in high-risk early BC       CF - ndb-P 260-330 mg/mg² q.2w       DFS         NCT02019277 <sup>33</sup> Pase III trial: study of pertuzumab and trastuzumab in se ECH - ndb-P 260-330 mg/mg² q.2w       DFS         NCT02019277 <sup>33</sup> Pase III trial: study of pertuzumab and trastuzumab in combination with a taxane in patients with advanced in RR2-positive BC       Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and taxane       Safety         NCT01572038 <sup>44</sup> Piase III PRUSE trial: study of pertuzumab in combination with trastuzumab and a taxane treatment in patients with HER2-positive advanced RC       ndb-P)       Pertuzumb, and taxane       Safety         NCT01571149 <sup>454</sup> Phase IV ABOUND.70+ trial: safety and efficacy study of ndb-P in combination with carbo to treat advanced NCT02151149 <sup>450</sup> Phase IV ABOUND.70+ trial: safety and efficacy study of ndb-P in combination with carbo to treat advanced NCT0105430 <sup>450</sup> Phase III ABOUND.70+ trial: safety and efficacy study of ndb-P in combination with carbo to treat advanced NCT01964430 <sup>70</sup> Phase III ABOUND.70+ trial: safety and efficacy study of ndb-P as maintenance therapy after ndb-P plus carbo of ndb-P as maintenance therapy after ndb-P plus mg/m <sup>2</sup> + carbo AUC 6 q3w vs ndb-P in first-line stage IIB/IV squamous-cell NSCLC       Phase III APACT trial: ndb-P and gem vs gem alone as hintenance: ndb-P 100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw 3/4 vs BFS         PC       Phase III PLLAR: immunotherapy	breast cancer 822314 <sup>51</sup> Phase III ETNA tr <i>ndb</i> -P in women v	ial: neoadjuvant chemotherapy with vith HER2-negative high-risk BC	8 weeks Nab-p 125 mg/m² qw 3/4×4 cycles vs sb-P 90 mg/mg² qw 3/4×4 cycles; both 6-11	a comparable outcome to a low-risk group pCR	632	September 2016
NCT02019277 <sup>3</sup> The Bill trial: study of pertuzumab and trastuzumab in combination with a taxane in patients with advanced in the reacumab, trastuzumab, and taxane combination with a taxane in patients with advanced in the reacumab in combination with trastuzumab in first-line (investigators' choice of docetaxel, paclitaxel, or nob-P)       Safety         NCT01572038 <sup>4</sup> PRADEC       PRADEC       Safety         NCT01571038 <sup>4</sup> PRADEC       PRADEC       Safety         NCT02151149 <sup>450</sup> PRADELC       Pertuzumab in first-line       Cho-P)         NCT02151149 <sup>450</sup> Phase IV ABOUND.70+ trial: safety and efficacy study of mb-P in combination with taxb carbo AUC 6 q4w       NoP-P in combination with carbo to treat advanced in mb-P in common with acros to the efficience of mb-P in combination with carbo and carabe in first-line stage IIB/IV squamous-cell NSCL       NoP-P in combination with carbo to treat advance in mb-P in 00 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + carbo AUC 6 q4w       Nnelosuppression         NCT02027428 <sup>46</sup> Phase III RADUND.50 <sup>47</sup> trial: safety and efficacy study in mb-P in 00 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + carbo AUC 6 q4w       Nnelosuppression         NCT010507428 <sup>46</sup> Phase III RADUND.50 <sup>47</sup> trial: safety and efficacy study in mance: mb-P in 00 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw 2/3 or best       Nnelosuppression         NCT01054430 <sup>70</sup> Phase III RPACT trial: mb-P and gem vs gem alone as <td>690702<sup>52</sup> Phase III GAIN-2 BC</td> <td>trial: study of <i>nab</i>-P in high-risk early</td> <td>ioliawed by AC of EC of rEC 43w EC + nab-P* 260-330 mg/mg² q2w ve EC ± Accessvel</td> <td>DFS</td> <td>2,886</td> <td>January 2016</td>	690702 <sup>52</sup> Phase III GAIN-2 BC	trial: study of <i>nab</i> -P in high-risk early	ioliawed by AC of EC of rEC 43w EC + nab-P* 260-330 mg/mg² q2w ve EC ± Accessvel	DFS	2,886	January 2016
NCT01572038 <sup>44</sup> PRENCLOC       Safety         NCT01572038 <sup>44</sup> PRENCLOC       Safety         Retruztumab in combination with trastuztumab and a taxane in first-line (investigators' choice of docetaxel, pacitaxel, or treatment in patients with HER2-positive advanced BC       Pertuztumab, trastuztumab, and taxane       Safety         NSCLC       NSCLC       Phase IV ABOUND.70+ trial: safety and efficacy study of nab-P in combination with carbo to treat advanced BC       Nab-P 100 mg/m² + carbo AUC 6 q3w vs nab-P incidence of peripheral neurops of nab-P in combination with carbo to treat advanced       IO0 mg/m² + carbo AUC 6 q4w       myelosuppression         NCT02151149 <sup>45</sup> Phase II ABOUND.sqn trial: safety and efficacy study of nab-P in combination with carbo to treat advanced       IO0 mg/m² + carbo AUC 6 q4w       myelosuppression         NCT02151149 <sup>45</sup> Phase III ABOUND.sqn trial: safety and efficacy study induction: nab-P 100 mg/m² qw + carbo AUC 6 p4w       myelosuppression         NCT02027428 <sup>46</sup> Phase III ABOUND.sqn trial: safety and efficacy study induction: nab-P 100 mg/m² qw 2/3 or best       myelosuppression         NCT01964430 <sup>70</sup> Phase III APACT trial: nab-P and gem vs gem alone as Nab-P 125 mg/m² + gem 1,000 mg/m² qw 3/4 vs       DFS         NCT0186432 <sup>71</sup> Phase III PILLAR: immunotherapy study in borderline       FOLFIRINOX or nab-P 125 mg/m² + gem 1,000 mg/m² qw 3/4 vs       DFS	:019277 <sup>53</sup> Phase III trial: stuc combination with HER2-nositive RC	dy of pertuzumab and trastuzumab in a taxane in patients with advanced	Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and taxane (investigators' choice of docetaxel, paclitaxel, or not-P)	Safety	50	May 2017
NSCLC       Nase IV ABOUND.70+ trial: safety and efficacy study       Nob-P 100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + carbo AUC 6 q3w vs nab-P       Incidence of peripheral neurops         NCT0215114963       Phase IV ABOUND.70+ trial: safety and efficacy study       Nob-P 100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + carbo AUC 6 q4w       Incidence of peripheral neurops         NSCLC in the elderly       NSCLC in the elderly       Incidence of peripheral neurops       myelosuppression         NCT02021428 <sup>46</sup> Pase III ABOUND.sqm trial: safety and efficacy study       Induction: nab-P 100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw + carbo AUC 6       FS         NCT02021428 <sup>46</sup> Pase III ABOUND.sqm trial: safety and efficacy study       Induction: nab-P 100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw + carbo AUC 6       FS         NCT02021428 <sup>46</sup> Pase III ABOUND.sqm trial: safety and efficacy study       Induction: nab-P 100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw + carbo AUC 6       FS         NCT010204140 <sup>70</sup> Pase III ABOUND.sqm anous-cell NSCLC       Maintenance: nab-P 100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw 2/3 or best       FS         PC       NCT01964430 <sup>70</sup> Phase III APACT trial: nab-P and gem vs gem alone as       Nab-P 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + gem 1,000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw 3/4 vs       FS         NCT01964430 <sup>70</sup> Phase III PILLAR: immunotherapy study in borderline       FOLFIRINOX or nab-P 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + gem 1,000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw 3/4 vs       FS	572038 <sup>54</sup> Phase III PERUSE combination with treatment in patie	trial: study of pertuzumab in trastuzumab and a taxane in first-line ints with HER2-positive advanced BC	Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and taxane (investigators' choice of docetaxel, paclitaxel, or nab-P)	Safety	1,500	May 2018
<ul> <li>NCT02027428<sup>46</sup> Phase III ABOUND.sqm trial: safety and efficacy study induction: <i>nab</i>-P 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> qw + carbo AUC 6 PFS of <i>nab</i>-P as maintenance therapy after <i>nab</i>-P plus carbo q3w ×4 cycles</li> <li>In first-line stage IIB/IV squamous-cell NSCLC Maintenance: <i>nab</i>-P 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> qw 2/3 or best supportive care</li> <li>PC</li> <li>NCT01964430<sup>70</sup> Phase III APACT trial: <i>nab</i>-P and gem vs gem alone as adjuvant therapy for patients with resected PC gen 1,000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> qw 3/4</li> <li>NCT01836432<sup>71</sup> Phase III PILLAR: immunotherapy study in borderline FOLFRINOX or <i>nab</i>-P 125 mg/m<sup>2</sup> + gem 0.000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> qw 3/4</li> </ul>	C .151149 <sup>63</sup> Phase IV ABOUN of <i>nab</i> -P in combin NSCLC in the eld	ID.70+ trial: safety and efficacy study nation with carbo to treat advanced erly	Nab-P 100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + carbo AUC 6 q3w vs nab-P 100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + carbo AUC 6 q4w	Incidence of peripheral neuropathy and myelosuppression	284	December 2016
NCT01964430 <sup>70</sup> Phase III APACT trial: <i>nab</i> -P and gem vs gem alone as N <i>ab</i> -P 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + gem 1,000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw 3/4 vs DFS adjuvant therapy for patients with resected PC gem 1,000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw 3/4 NCT01836432 <sup>71</sup> Phase III PILLAR: immunotherapy study in borderline FOLFIRINOX or <i>nab</i> -P 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + gem OS	(027428 <sup>66</sup> Phase III ABOUN of <i>nab</i> -P as mainte in first-line stage I	D.sqm trial: safety and efficacy study enance therapy after <i>nab</i> -P plus carbo IIB/IV squamous-cell NSCLC	Induction: <i>nab</i> -P 100 mg/m² qw + carbo AUC 6 q3w ×4 cycles Maintenance: <i>nab</i> -P 100 mg/m² qw 2/3 or best supportive care	PFS	260	May 2016
NCT01836432 <sup>71</sup> Phase III PILLAR: immunotherapy study in borderline FOLFIRINOX or <i>nab</i> -P 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + gem OS	964430 <sup>70</sup> Phase III APACT a adjuvant therapy f	trial: <i>nab</i> -P and gem vs gem alone as for patients with resected PC	Nab-P 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + gem 1,000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw 3/4 vs gem 1,000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw 3/4	DFS	800	April 2019
resectable or locally advanced unresectable PC 1,000 mg/m² qw 3/4 with or without agenpantucel-L immunotherapy	836432 <sup>71</sup> Phase III PILLAR: 1 resectable or loca	immunotherapy study in borderline Ily advanced unresectable PC	FOLFIRINOX or <i>nab</i> -P 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> + gem 1,000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> qw 3/4 with or without algenpantucel-L immunotherapy	SO	280	September 2015

Table 2 Phase III/IV clinical trials of albumin-bound paclitaxel (nab-P)

Drug Design, Development and Therapy downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 54.70.40.11 on 22-Nov-2018 For personal use only.

e pancreatic cancer; pCR, pathologic complete response; PERUSE, Phase III trial of pertuzumab in combination with trastuzumab and a taxane in first-line treatment in patients with HER2-positive advanced BC; PFS, progression-free survival; epirubicin, and cyclophosphanide; FOLFIRINOX, leucovorin, 5-fluorouracil, irinotecan, oxaliplatin; gem, gemcitabine; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; OS, overall survival; PC, APACT, Adjuvant Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma Clinical Trial; AUC, area under the concentration-time curve; BC, breast cancer; carbo, carboplatin; DFS, disease-free survival; EC, epirubicin and cyclophosphamide; FEC, 5-fluorouracil,

Dovepress

of the Albumin-Bound Paclitaxel in NSCLC (ABOUND) Phase III trials, ABOUND.70+ (NCT02151149), will evaluate the efficacy and safety of *nab*-paclitaxel plus carboplatin in elderly patients (aged  $\geq$ 70 years) with advanced NSCLC (Table 2).<sup>63</sup> Patients will be randomly assigned to either 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> weekly *nab*-paclitaxel given on day 1, 8, and 15 plus carboplatin AUC 6 given on day 1 every 21 days or the same regimen with 1 week off for a 28-day cycle. Planned enrollment is 284 patients. The primary endpoint is safety (incidence of peripheral neuropathy or myelosuppression).

Squamous NSCLC portends a poorer prognosis compared with other non-squamous subtypes, with 1- and 5-year survival rates of 14.6% and 1.6%, respectively.64 Treatment options for patients with squamous NSCLC are also limited.65 Pemetrexed and bevacizumab are not indicated for these patients, and the known mutations targetable by currently approved agents are rare in squamous NSCLC.65 Current NCCN guidelines recommend platinum-based doublets as a category 1 option, and cisplatin plus vinorelbine in combination with cetuximab as a category 2B recommendation in patients with squamous NSCLC.65 In the Phase III NSCLC trial of nab-paclitaxel plus carboplatin versus sbpaclitaxel plus carboplatin, patients with squamous histology treated with nab-paclitaxel plus carboplatin achieved an ORR that was nearly double that of patients treated with sb-paclitaxel plus carboplatin (P < 0.001).<sup>16</sup> The safety profile of nab-paclitaxel plus carboplatin in patients with squamous histology was similar to the overall treated population of patients.<sup>16</sup> This intriguing outcome provided the rationale for the Phase III ABOUND squamous maintenance trial (ABOUND.sqm; NCT02027428) that will evaluate the safety and efficacy of nab-paclitaxel as maintenance therapy after first-line treatment with nab-paclitaxel plus carboplatin in patients with stage IIIB/IV squamous-cell NSCLC (Table 2).66 After induction, patients will receive maintenance therapy of either *nab*-paclitaxel plus best supportive care or best supportive care alone. Planned enrollment is 260 patients and the primary endpoint is PFS.

#### Pancreatic cancer

There are limited options for adjuvant therapy for pancreatic cancer and no adjuvant regimen has received regulatory approval in the USA. The NCCN guidelines recommend 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) plus leucovorin or gemcitabine as adjuvant chemotherapy options, but for chemotherapy alone, gemcitabine is preferred over 5-FU/leucovorin based on its more favorable safety profile.<sup>67</sup> Because a majority of patients receiving adjuvant gemcitabine relapse with recurrence rates in the

Nab-paclitaxel in solid tumors

range of 77% to 81% in Phase III trials, better treatment options are needed.<sup>68,69</sup> The regimen used in the Phase III MPACT trial of *nab*-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine versus gemcitabine is now being evaluated in the Phase III Adjuvant Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma Clinical Trial (APACT; NCT01964430) as adjuvant therapy for patients with resected pancreatic cancer (Table 2).<sup>70</sup> Patients will be randomly assigned to receive 125 mg/m<sup>2</sup> *nab*-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine 1,000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> given on days 1, 8, and 15 of a 28-day cycle for a total of six cycles or gemcitabine alone. Planned enrollment is 800 patients and the primary endpoint is disease-free survival.

Based on the positive results of the Phase III MPACT study, there has been a significant increase in the use of *nab*-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine as a backbone regimen, and several studies are evaluating this combination with other novel therapies, including immunotherapy as in the Phase III Immunotherapy Study in Borderline Resectable or Locally Advanced Unresectable Pancreatic Cancer (PILLAR) trial (Table 2).<sup>71</sup> As we move forward with this backbone regimen, future studies will likely address whether the qw 3/4 schedule, currently the FDA-approved schedule for MPC,<sup>3</sup> will be optimal for regimens of *nab*-paclitaxel as a combination partner or as part of a novel sequence of regimens.

# Clinical benefit of *nab*-paclitaxel in other solid tumors

While nab-paclitaxel is currently only indicated by the FDA in three solid tumors, it is routinely used in the USA for the treatment of other solid tumors based on recommendations from the NCCN. At this time, melanoma and ovarian cancer (including fallopian tube cancer and primary peritoneal cancer) are the only solid tumors outside of the current indications in which nab-paclitaxel is an NCCN-recommended treatment option.<sup>72,73</sup> According to the NCCN guidelines, nab-paclitaxel is listed as a category 2A recommendation for the treatment of advanced or metastatic melanoma, based on positive Phase II trial data in which nab-paclitaxel led to response rates of 22% to 26% in chemotherapy-naïve patients with metastatic melanoma.74,75 Response rates have historically been <20% with commonly used cytotoxics including dacarbazine, temozolomide, and sb-paclitaxel with or without carboplatin.72 In a head-to-head Phase III trial of nab-paclitaxel 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup> qw 3/4 vs dacarbazine 1,000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> q3w for the treatment of chemotherapy-naïve patients, nab-paclitaxel led to a significant improvement in the primary endpoint of PFS (4.8 vs 2.5 months; HR 0.792; P=0.044) and a 2-month, nonsignificant improvement in OS (12.6 vs 10.5 months; HR 0.897; P=0.271).76 Neuropathy was a common grade  $\geq$ 3 treatment-related event observed with *nab*-paclitaxel (25% vs 0% for dacarbazine); however, these events improved by  $\geq$ 1 grade in a median of 28 days. Given the advent and success of immunomodulatory drugs in the treatment of melanoma, a number of Phase II trials evaluating combinations of *nab*-paclitaxel with this class of drugs are underway in metastatic melanoma.<sup>77,78</sup>

Nab-paclitaxel also has a category 2A recommendation for the treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer.73 The NCCN recommendation for retreatment of ovarian cancer with nabpaclitaxel is based on a Phase II trial that showed an ORR of 64% and median PFS of 8.5 months.79 Patients received nab-paclitaxel dosed at 260 mg/m<sup>2</sup> q3w. Neutropenia (24%) and neuropathy (9%; no grade 4) were the most common grade  $\geq$ 3 events. In patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer, platinum-based therapy either as a single agent or in combination is widely accepted as first-line therapy.73 Although nab-paclitaxel has shown activity in this setting, it has not been studied in a Phase III trial of platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer to date. Unfortunately, almost all patients with platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer will develop resistance to platinum over time.<sup>80</sup> Platinum-resistant ovarian cancer is generally treated with non-platinum-based chemotherapy; however response rates are low and prognosis is poor. At least two Phase II trials examined the safety and efficacy of nab-paclitaxel in this setting. In a Gynecologic Oncology Group study, 47 patients with both platinum-resistant and taxane-resistant ovarian cancer were treated with nab-paclitaxel, 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> days 1, 8, and 15 on a 28-day schedule.<sup>81</sup> The response rate was 23%, and 36% of patients had stable disease. A second study investigated the combination of nab-paclitaxel (using the same dosing schedule) plus bevacizumab (10 mg/kg given on days 1 and 15) in platinum-resistant patients.<sup>82</sup> Of 48 patients enrolled, the ORR was 50% and 29% had stable disease. Taken together, these Phase II studies indicate potential activity of nab-paclitaxel against platinum-resistant ovarian cancer. Further studies are needed in this population of patients with very limited options.

## Conclusion

Given the success of *nab*-paclitaxel in the treatment of MBC, advanced or metastatic NSCLC, and metastatic pancreatic cancer, the potential for *nab*-paclitaxel to improve disease outcomes in settings with an unmet need should be evaluated. Several Phase III/IV studies in select populations of patients with breast cancer, NSCLC, and pancreatic cancer are currently under investigation and may lead to expanded indications of *nab*-paclitaxel in these disease areas (Table 2). In addition, a number of Phase II trials in other solid tumors, including urothelial,<sup>81</sup> squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck,<sup>83</sup> gastric cancer,<sup>84</sup> and colorectal and small bowel carcinoma,<sup>85</sup> are ongoing and should provide us with information regarding the role of *nab*-paclitaxel in the treatment of these tumor types as well. Other encapsulated (ie, liposomes and polymers) forms of paclitaxel are in development.<sup>86</sup> However, *nab*-paclitaxel is currently the only FDA- and European Medicines Agency-approved encapsulated form of paclitaxel.<sup>3,87</sup> Differences in efficacy, safety, and PK/PD properties between these paclitaxel formulations remain to be determined in clinical trials.

## **Acknowledgments**

Medical writing assistance was provided by Kerry R Garza, PhD, MediTech Media, Ltd, funded by Celgene.

# Disclosure

MNK has received consulting fees for advisory board participation and for lectures from Celgene. JN has received consulting fees or honoraria for advisory board participation from Celgene.

#### References

- Taxotere<sup>®</sup> (docetaxel) injection concentrate, intravenous infusion (IV) [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ: Sanofi-Aventis; 2013.
- Taxol<sup>®</sup> (paclitaxel) injection [package insert]. Princeton, NJ: Bristol-Myers Squibb; 2011.
- Abraxane<sup>®</sup> for injectable suspension (paclitaxel protein-bound particles for injectable suspension) (albumin-bound). Summit, NJ: Celgene Corporation; 2014.
- Gelderblom H, Verweij J, Nooter K, Sparreboom A. Cremophor EL: the drawbacks and advantages of vehicle selection for drug formulation. *Eur J Cancer.* 2001;37(13):1590–1598.
- Weiss RB, Donehower RC, Wiernik PH, et al. Hypersensitivity reactions from taxol. J Clin Oncol. 1990;8(7):1263–1268.
- ten Tije AJ, Verweij J, Loos WJ, Sparreboom A. Pharmacological effects of formulation vehicles: implications for cancer chemotherapy. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2003;42(7):665–685.
- van Tellingen O, Huizing MT, Panday VR, Schellens JH, Nooijen WJ, Beijnen JH. Cremophor EL causes (pseudo-) non-linear pharmacokinetics of paclitaxel in patients. *Br J Cancer*. 1999;81(2): 330–335.
- Sparreboom A, van Zuylen L, Brouwer E, et al. Cremophor EL-mediated alteration of paclitaxel distribution in human blood: clinical pharmacokinetic implications. *Cancer Res.* 1999;59(7):1454–1457.
- Desai N, Trieu V, Yao Z, et al. Increased antitumor activity, intratumor paclitaxel concentrations, and endothelial cell transport of cremophorfree, albumin-bound paclitaxel, ABI-007, compared with cremophorbased paclitaxel. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006;12(4):1317–1324.
- Desai N, Trieu V, Damascelli B, Soon-Shiong P. SPARC Expression Correlates with Tumor Response to Albumin-Bound Paclitaxel in Head and Neck Cancer Patients. *Transl Oncol.* 2009;2(2):59–64.
- Maeda H, Wu J, Sawa T, Matsumura Y, Hori K. Tumor vascular permeability and the EPR effect in macromolecular therapeutics: a review. *J Control Release*. 2000;65(1–2):271–284.

- 12. Yardley DA. nab-Paclitaxel mechanisms of action and delivery. *J Control Release*. 2013;170(3):365–372.
- Chen N, Li Y, Ye Y, Palmisano M, Chopra R, Zhou S. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of nab-paclitaxel in patients with solid tumors: disposition kinetics and pharmacology distinct from solvent-based paclitaxel. J Clin Pharmacol. 2014;54(10):1097–1107.
- Li Y, Chen N, Palmisano M, Zhou S. Pharmacologic sensitivity of paclitaxel to its delivery vehicles drives distinct clinical outcomes of paclitaxel formulations. *Mol Pharm.* 2015;12(4):1308–1317.
- Gradishar WJ, Tjulandin S, Davidson N, et al. Phase III trial of nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel compared with polyethylated castor oil-based paclitaxel in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(31):7794–7803.
- 16. Socinski MA, Bondarenko I, Karaseva NA, et al. Weekly nab-paclitaxel in combination with carboplatin versus solvent-based paclitaxel plus carboplatin as first-line therapy in patients with advanced non-smallcell lung cancer: final results of a phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012; 30(17):2055–2062.
- Hirsh V, Okamoto I, Hon JK, et al. Patient-reported neuropathy and taxane-associated symptoms in a phase 3 trial of nab-paclitaxel plus carboplatin versus solvent-based paclitaxel plus carboplatin for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2014;9(1):83–90.
- Ibrahim NK, Desai N, Legha S, et al. Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of ABI-007, a Cremophor-free, protein-stabilized, nanoparticle formulation of paclitaxel. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2002;8(5):1038–1044.
- Nabholtz JM, Gelmon K, Bontenbal M, et al. Multicenter, randomized comparative study of two doses of paclitaxel in patients with metastatic breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 1996;14(6):1858–1867.
- Nyman DW, Campbell KJ, Hersh E, et al. Phase I and pharmacokinetics trial of ABI-007, a novel nanoparticle formulation of paclitaxel in patients with advanced nonhematologic malignancies. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005; 23(31):7785–7793.
- Ibrahim NK, Samuels B, Page R, et al. Multicenter phase II trial of ABI-007, an albumin-bound paclitaxel, in women with metastatic breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(25):6019–6026.
- 22. Socinski MA, Manikhas GM, Stroyakovsky DL, et al. A dose finding study of weekly and every-3-week nab-Paclitaxel followed by carboplatin as first-line therapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2010;5(6):852–861.
- Socinski MA, Langer CJ, Okamoto I, et al. Safety and efficacy of weekly nab<sup>®</sup>-paclitaxel in combination with carboplatin as first-line therapy in elderly patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2013;24(2):314–321.
- Socinski MA, Okamoto I, Hon JK, et al. Safety and efficacy analysis by histology of weekly nab-paclitaxel in combination with carboplatin as first-line therapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2013;24(9):2390–2396.
- 25. Burris HA 3rd, Moore MJ, Andersen J, et al. Improvements in survival and clinical benefit with gemcitabine as first-line therapy for patients with advanced pancreas cancer: a randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 1997; 15(6):2403–2413.
- 26. Tarceva<sup>®</sup> (erlotinib) tablets, for oral use [package insert]. South San Francisco, CA: Genentech USA, Inc; 2014.
- 27. Moore MJ, Goldstein D, Hamm J, et al; National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. Erlotinib plus gemcitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase III trial of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25(15):1960–1966.
- Berlin JD, Catalano P, Thomas JP, Kugler JW, Haller DG, Benson AB 3rd. Phase III study of gemcitabine in combination with fluorouracil versus gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic carcinoma: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Trial E2297. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20(15):3270–3275.
- 29. Abou-Alfa GK, Letourneau R, Harker G, et al. Randomized phase III study of exatecan and gemcitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in untreated advanced pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006; 24(27):4441–4447.

- 30. Herrmann R, Bodoky G, Ruhstaller T, et al; Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research; Central European Cooperative Oncology Group. Gemcitabine plus capecitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in advanced pancreatic cancer: a randomized, multicenter, phase III trial of the Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research and the Central European Cooperative Oncology Group. J Clin Oncol. 2007; 25(16):2212–2217.
- Louvet C, Labianca R, Hammel P, et al; GERCOR; GISCAD. Gemcitabine in combination with oxaliplatin compared with gemcitabine alone in locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer: results of a GERCOR and GISCAD phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005; 23(15):3509–3516.
- 32. Rocha Lima CM, Green MR, Rotche R, et al. Irinotecan plus gemcitabine results in no survival advantage compared with gemcitabine monotherapy in patients with locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer despite increased tumor response rate. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004; 22(18):3776–3783.
- Von Hoff DD, Ramanathan RK, Borad MJ, et al. Gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel is an active regimen in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase I/II trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29(34):4548–4554.
- Frese KK, Neesse A, Cook N, et al. nab-Paclitaxel potentiates gemcitabine activity by reducing cytidine deaminase levels in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Discov.* 2012;2(3):260–269.
- Von Hoff DD, Ervin T, Arena FP, et al. Increased survival in pancreatic cancer with nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine. *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 369(18):1691–1703.
- 36. Gradishar WJ, Krasnojon D, Cheporov S, et al. Phase II trial of nabpaclitaxel compared with docetaxel as first-line chemotherapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer: final analysis of overall survival. *Clin Breast Cancer*. 2012;12(5):313–321.
- Hidalgo M, Plaza C, Illei PB, et al. SPARC analysis in the phase III MPACT trial of nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine vs gemcitabine alone for patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2015; 25(Suppl 2):ii106.
- Gradishar WJ, Krasnojon D, Cheporov S, et al. Significantly longer progression-free survival with nab-paclitaxel compared with docetaxel as first-line therapy for metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(22):3611–3619.
- O'Shaughnessy J, Gradishar WJ, Bhar P, Iglesias J. Nab-paclitaxel for first-line treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer and poor prognostic factors: a retrospective analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2013;138(3):829–837.
- Lobo C, Lopes G, Baez O, et al. Final results of a phase II study of nab-paclitaxel, bevacizumab, and gemcitabine as first-line therapy for patients with HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2010;123(2):427–435.
- Hamilton E, Kimmick G, Hopkins J, et al. Nab-paclitaxel/bevacizumab/ carboplatin chemotherapy in first-line triple negative metastatic breast cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer*. 2013;13(6):416–420.
- 42. Rugo HS, Barry WT, Moreno-Aspitia A, et al. CALGB 40502/ NCCTG N063H: randomized phase III trial of weekly paclitaxel compared to weekly nanoparticle albumin bound nab-paclitaxel or ixabepilone +/– bevacizumab as first-line therapy for locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(Suppl): Abstract CRA1002.
- 43. Untch M, Jackisch C, Schneeweiss A, et al. A randomized phase III trial comparing nanoparticle-based (*nab*) paclitaxel with solvent-based paclitaxel as part of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for patients with early breast cancer GBG 69 (GeparSepto). Abstract S2-07. Presented at: 2014 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium, December 9–13, 2014, San Antonio, Texas. Available from: http://www.sabcs.org/. Accessed May 7, 2015.
- 44. Patt D, Liang C, Li L, et al. Safety and efficacy of nab-paclitaxel in patients with metastatic breast cancer: real-world results from a US health insurance database. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;5s(Suppl): Abstract 1075. Available from: http://sabcs.org/programschedule/PosterSessions. asp?SessionGroupID=154. Accessed July 9, 2015.

- NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Breast Cancer. V2. 2015. Available from: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/ physician\_gls/pdf/breast.pdf. Accessed March 16, 2015. Available from: www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/breast.pdf. Accessed July 9, 2015.
- Liedtke C, Mazouni C, Hess KR, et al. Response to neoadjuvant therapy and long-term survival in patients with triple-negative breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(8):1275–1281.
- 47. Roy V, LaPlant BR, Gross GG, Bane CL, Palmieri FM; North Central Cancer Treatment Group. Phase II trial of weekly nab (nanoparticle albumin-bound)-paclitaxel (nab-paclitaxel) (Abraxane<sup>®</sup>) in combination with gemcitabine in patients with metastatic breast cancer (N0531). Ann Oncol. 2009;20(3):449–453.
- 48. Celgene Corporation. Evaluate risk/benefit of nab paclitaxel in combination with gemcitabine and carboplatin compared to gemcitabine and carboplatin in triple negative metastatic breast cancer (or metastatic triple negative breast cancer) (tnAcity). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2013 [updated June 12, 2015]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01881230. NLM identifier: NCT01881230. Accessed June 22, 2015.
- 49. Hofmann D, Nitz U, Gluz O, et al. WSG ADAPT adjuvant dynamic marker-adjusted personalized therapy trial optimizing risk assessment and therapy response prediction in early breast cancer: study protocol for a prospective, multi-center, controlled, non-blinded, randomized, investigator initiated phase II/III trial. *Trials*. 2013;14:261.
- 50. West German Study Group. Adjuvant Dynamic Marker-Adjusted Personalized Therapy Trial Optimizing Risk Assessment and Therapy Response Prediction in Early Breast Cancer (ADAPT). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2013 [updated May 18, 2015]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01781338. NLM identifier: NCT01781338. Accessed June 22, 2015.
- 51. Fondazione Michelangelo. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with nabpaclitaxel in women with HER2-negative high-risk breast cancer (ETNA). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2013 [updated May 25, 2015]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01822314. NLM identifier: NCT01822314. Accessed June 22, 2015.
- 52. German Breast Group. Study of nab-paclitaxel in high risk early breast cancer (GAIN-2). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2012 [updated November 26, 2015]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01690702. NLM identifier: NCT01690702. Accessed May 4, 2015.
- 53. Hoffmann-La Roche. A study of Perjeta (pertuzumab) and Herceptin (trastuzumab) treatment in combination with a taxane in patients with advanced HER2-positive breast cancer. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2013 [updated June 1, 2015]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT02019277. NLM identifier: NCT02019277. Accessed June 22, 2015.
- 54. Hoffmann-La Roche. A study of pertuzumab in combination with Herceptin (trastuzumab) and a taxane in first-line treatment in patients with HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (PERUSE). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2012 [updated June 1, 2015]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01572038. NLM identifier: NCT01572038. Accessed June 22, 2015.
- 55. Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al, editors. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2010 [web page on the Internet]. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 2013 [updated June 14, 2013]. Available from: http:// seer.cancer.gov/archive/csr/1975\_2010/. Accessed June 22, 2015.
- 56. Langer CJ, Manola J, Bernardo P, et al. Cisplatin-based therapy for elderly patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: implications of Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group 5592, a randomized trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2002;94(3):173–181.

- Langer CJ, Vangel M, Schiller J, et al. Age-specific subanalysis of ECOG 1594: fit elderly patients (70–80 yrs) with NSCLC do as well as younger pts (<70). *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol*. 2003;22:639.
- Lilenbaum RC, Herndon JE II, List MA, et al. Single-agent versus combination chemotherapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: the cancer and leukemia group B (study 9730). J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(1):190–196.
- Blanchard EM, Moon J, Hesketh PJ, et al. Comparison of platinumbased chemotherapy in patients older and younger than 70 years: an analysis of Southwest Oncology Group Trials 9308 and 9509. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2011;6(1):115–120.
- 60. Ramalingam SS, Dahlberg SE, Langer CJ, et al; Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Outcomes for elderly, advanced-stage non small-cell lung cancer patients treated with bevacizumab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel: analysis of Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Trial 4599. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(1):60–65.
- Sehl M, Sawhney R, Naeim A. Physiologic aspects of aging: impact on cancer management and decision making, part II. *Cancer J.* 2005; 11(6):461–473.
- Aymanns C, Keller F, Maus S, Hartmann B, Czock D. Review on pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics and the aging kidney. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2010;5(2):314–327.
- Celgene Corporation. Safety and efficacy study of Abraxane in combination with carboplatin to treat advanced NSCL cancer in the elderly (ABOUND 70+). Available from: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT02151149. NLM identifier: NCT02151149. Accessed May 4, 2015.
- Cetin K, Ettinger DS, Hei YJ, O'Malley CD. Survival by histologic subtype in stage IV nonsmall cell lung cancer based on data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2011;3:139–148.
- NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer*. V5. 2015. Available from: http://www.nccn.org/profession-als/physician\_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf. Accessed March 16, 2015. Available from: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf. Accessed July 9, 2015.
- 66. Celgene Corporation. Safety and efficacy study of Abraxane as maintenance treatment after Abraxane plus carboplatin in 1st line stage IIIB /IV squamous cell non-small cell lung cancer (aboundsqm). Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02027428. NLM identifier: NCT02027428. Accessed May 4, 2015.
- NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma*. V2. 2015. Available from: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf. Accessed March 16, 2015. Available from: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf. Accessed July 9, 2015.
- Oettle H, Neuhaus P, Hochhaus A, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine and long-term outcomes among patients with resected pancreatic cancer: the CONKO-001 randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2013;310(14):1473–1481.
- 69. Ueno H, Kosuge T, Matsuyama Y, et al. A randomised phase III trial comparing gemcitabine with surgery-only in patients with resected pancreatic cancer: Japanese Study Group of Adjuvant Therapy for Pancreatic Cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2009;101(6):908–915.
- 70. Celgene Corporation. Nab-paclitaxel and gemcitabine vs gemcitabine alone as adjuvant therapy for patients with resected pancreatic cancer (the "Apact" study) (apact). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2013 [updated June 5, 2015]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT01964430. NLM identifier: NCT01964430. Accessed June 22, 2015.
- NewLink Genetics Corporation. Immunotherapy study in borderline resectable or locally advanced unresectable pancreatic cancer (PIL-LAR). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2013 [updated March 26, 2015]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01836432. NLM identifier: NCT01836432. Accessed May 4, 2015.

- Available from: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/ melanoma.pdf. Accessed March 16, 2015.
  73. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *Ovarian Cancer*
  - Including Fallopian Tube Cancer and Primary Peritoneal Cancer. V1. 2015. Available from: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/ physician\_gls/pdf/ovarian.pdf. Accessed March 16, 2015.

72. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Melanoma. V2. 2015.

- Hersh EM, O'Day SJ, Ribas A, et al. A phase 2 clinical trial of nabpaclitaxel in previously treated and chemotherapy-naive patients with metastatic melanoma. *Cancer.* 2010;116(1):155–163.
- Kottschade LA, Suman VJ, Amatruda T III, et al. A phase II trial of nab-paclitaxel (ABI-007) and carboplatin in patients with unresectable stage IV melanoma: a North Central Cancer Treatment Group Study, N057E(1). *Cancer*. 2011;117(8):1704–1710.
- 76. Hersh E, Del Vecchio M, Brown MP, et al. Final overall survival from a phase III trial of nab-paclitaxel vs dacarbazine in chemotherapy-naive patients with metastatic melanoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;5s(Suppl): Abstract 9045.
- 77. Academic and Community Cancer Research United. Paclitaxel albuminstabilized nanoparticle formulation and bevacizumab or ipilimumab as first-line therapy in treating patients with stage IV melanoma that cannot be removed by surgery. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2014 [updated June 15, 2015]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT02158520. NLM identifier: NCT02158520. Accessed June 22, 2015.
- 78. MD Anderson Cancer Center. Phase II study of Abraxane plus ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. In: ClinicalTrials. gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2013 [updated December 18, 2014]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01827111. NLM identifier: NCT01827111. Accessed May 4, 2015.
- Teneriello MG, Tseng PC, Crozier M, et al. Phase II evaluation of nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel in platinum-sensitive patients with recurrent ovarian, peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(9):1426–1431.

- Luvero D, Milani A, Ledermann JA. Treatment options in recurrent ovarian cancer: latest evidence and clinical potential. *Ther Adv Med Oncol.* 2014;6(5):229–239.
- 81. Coleman RL, Brady WE, McMeekin DS, et al. A phase II evaluation of nanoparticle, albumin-bound (nab) paclitaxel in the treatment of recurrent or persistent platinum-resistant ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2011;122(1):111–115.
- Tillmanns TD, Lowe MP, Walker MS, Stepanski EJ, Schwartzberg LS. Phase II clinical trial of bevacizumab with albumin-bound paclitaxel in patients with recurrent, platinum-resistant primary epithelial ovarian or primary peritoneal carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2013;128(2):221–228.
- 83. UNC Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center. Induction chemotherapy for locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2011 [updated February 28, 2014]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01412229. NLM identifier: NCT01412229. Accessed May 4, 2015.
- 84. Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. First line chemotherapy for advanced cancer (GC-A-003). In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2013. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01980810. NLM identifier: NCT01980810. Accessed May 4, 2015.
- 85. MD Anderson Cancer Center. Abraxane in CIMP-high colorectal and small bowel adenocarcinomas. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [website on the Internet]. Bethseda, MD: US National Library of Medicine; 2012 [updated February 9, 2015]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT01730586. NLM identifier: NCT01730586. Accessed May 4, 2015.
- Ma P, Mumper RJ. Paclitaxel Nano-Delivery Systems: A Comprehensive Review. J Nanomed Nanotechnol. 2013;4(2):1000164.
- Celgene Europe Limited. Abraxane [summary of product characteristics]. London: European Medicines Agency; nd. Available from: http://www.ema. europa.eu/docs/en\_GB/document\_library/EPAR\_-\_Product\_Information/ human/000778/WC500020435.pdf. Accessed June 4, 2015.

#### **Dove**press

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal

**Dove**press