

An international review of tobacco smoking among medical students

Smith DR^{**}, Leggat PA[#]

*Japan National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, Kawasaki, Japan,
#Anton Breinl Centre for Public Health and Tropical Medicine, James Cook University, Townsville, Australia

Correspondence:
Derek R Smith,
E-mail:
smith@h.jniosh.go.jp

Received : 12-09-06
Review completed : 25-10-06
Accepted : 09-11-06
PubMed ID :
J Postgrad Med 2007;53:55-62

ABSTRACT

We conducted a systematic international review of tobacco smoking habits among medical students. Particular attention was paid to countries where smoking rates have been historically well-documented in local journals, but were less often included in larger international review articles. The methodology involved a search of relevant medical subject headings, after which the reference lists of journal papers were also examined to find additional publications. A total of 66 manuscripts met the inclusion criteria. The most common countries previously studied included India, the United States, Australia, Japan, Pakistan, Turkey and the United Kingdom. Overall, our review suggests that the prevalence of smoking among medical students varies widely amongst different countries and also between male and female students within the same areas. Consistently low smoking rates were found in Australia and the United States, while generally high rates were reported in Spain and Turkey. Given their important future role as exemplars, more effective measures to help reduce tobacco smoking among medical students are clearly needed worldwide.

KEY WORDS: Medical education, medical student, prevalence, smoking, tobacco

Health professionals have an important role to play in the fight against tobacco. As individuals they can help educate the population, as community members they can support anti-smoking policies and at a societal level, they can influence national and global tobacco control efforts.^[1] Physicians occupy a key position in this regard, as they are uniquely placed to lead smoking cessation programs in the community.^[2] Patients expect information, help and guidance from their primary care physician on a number of health-related matters.^[3] Physicians also play an important role in helping patients to stop smoking.^[4] As future physicians who will witness the continued burden of smoking-related diseases among their patients, medical students represent a primary target for tobacco-prevention programs. The potential success of these strategies may be suboptimal however, if the true dangers of smoking are not adequately recognized. As medical students progress through medical school for example, their knowledge of smoking-related diseases naturally increases.^[5] Nevertheless, substance use remains fairly common in this group^[6] and a superior knowledge of smoking-related risks does not always correlate with a lower rate of smoking among senior medical students.^[5] As such, many researchers have historically investigated tobacco smoking rates among this demographic group.

The first step in understanding the problem was to find out what proportion actually smoked. In this regard, in 1966, Mausner^[7] noted that around one-third of their American medical students were using tobacco products. Research from Australia in the early 1970s also suggested a similar prevalence rate.^[8] In 1985, the tobacco and health committee of the international union against tuberculosis and lung disease began a large investigation on smoking habits among medical students in a variety of countries. The first publications focused on medical students in 14 European countries,^[9] followed by research among 10 African and Middle Eastern countries^[10] and nine Asian countries.^[11] In 1993, Tessier *et al.*^[12] published another large study of smoking behavior among medical students in Australia, Japan, the United States, Russia and Estonia. Smoking rates among students were shown to vary widely from country to country.^[13] In 2005, the World Health Organization (WHO), the US Centers for Disease Control and prevention and the Canadian Public Health Association developed what was termed the Global Health Professionals Survey to investigate tobacco smoking habits among medical, dental, nursing and pharmacy students in a variety of WHO member states. Results from their pilot study were published in 2005.^[14]

While a large number of researchers have now investigated

the issue of tobacco smoking among medical students, few systematic international reviews appear to have been conducted on this topic. The purpose of the current paper therefore, was to undertake a systematic international review on the prevalence of tobacco usage among medical students. We also paid particular attention to countries where smoking rates among medical students have been well-documented in local studies and domestic journals, but where the results were less often included in larger international reviews on the topic. A further aim of this review was to investigate the epidemiological quality of smoking research articles which have targeted medical students.

Methods

Our current study began with an extensive literature review targeting manuscripts published in peer-reviewed journals relating to the topic of tobacco smoking among medical students. The review began with a search of relevant medical subject headings such as 'smoking', 'tobacco' and 'medical student' on PubMed, the National Library of Medicine in the United States.^[15] After identifying some initial journal papers, the search was repeated using keyword variations such as 'smoke', 'medical education' and 'substance use'. To be sure that we did not miss any manuscripts from the underrepresented countries previously mentioned, further country-specific keywords such as 'India', 'Pakistan', 'Malaysia', 'Thailand' and so on, were also added to the search. Due to the wide variety of languages used for publishing scientific articles on PubMed, our review was limited to manuscripts written in English. As research becomes dated quickly, only articles published in the past 30 years (that is, between 1976 and 2006) were included in the current review. The reference lists of all journal papers located using these initial criteria were subsequently examined to find additional publications.

Manuscripts were sorted by country of origin and then arranged in descending order on a single table, according to the year in which the study was published. All papers were assigned an ascending reference number based on the abovementioned criteria. For consistency, all smoking prevalence rates were rounded to the nearest whole number and listed as prevalence rates by gender and as total group prevalence rates, wherever possible. The study design for each manuscript was assigned into the following four epidemiological categories: Single grade (where only one grade of students was sampled), multiple grade (where multiple grades of students were sampled), cross-sectional (where a complete cross-section of students from the medical school had been sampled) and longitudinal (where at least one grade of students was sampled at more than one point in time). Where a single grade of medical students was sampled in multiple locations, the number of grades actually sampled was listed after the grade. Year of study in the medical course which the sampled students were attending, was also listed. Response rates for each study were examined and then rounded to the nearest whole number for standardization purposes. Where authors had used a convenience sample with an unspecified response rate or where the response rate could

not be determined from the manuscript, a note on this missing information was also indicated on the table.

Discussion

A total of 66 manuscripts met the inclusion criteria for this review^[16-81] as indicated in Table 1. The most common countries in which they had been conducted included India, the United States, Australia, Japan, Pakistan, Turkey and the United Kingdom. The majority had been undertaken as questionnaire surveys among a complete cross-section of students within a single medical school. The next most common methodology involved surveying a single grade of medical students, usually comprising those in either the first grade or fifth grade at university. The number of participants in each study ranged from 41^[62] to 5744^[76] with a median of 407 students. Particularly large surveys of medical students' tobacco smoking habits (where over 2000 participants were sampled) appear to have been conducted in the United States,^[76] Turkey,^[68] Spain^[63] and Colombia.^[27] Overall survey response rates ranged from 40%^[63] to 100%^[43,48-50,52,53,59,62,71] with a median response rate of 90%. Few manuscripts had response rates below 50%^[63,75,80] while the participation rate in seven other studies was not specified. While these demographic findings were encouraging, some confounding factors were also evident among some articles located during our review.

One particularly important confounder was a lack of standardization regarding the definition of 'smoker'. Although most researchers classified their subjects dichotomously, as being either smokers or nonsmokers, some other recall periods were occasionally used, such as daily smoker, occasional smoker and so on. This shortfall is not only limited to tobacco smoking surveys of medical students however, having been previously noted as a methodological issue in other review articles conducted among different populations.^[82] The problem possibly arises when studying medical students due to the inherent difficulties in determining tobacco usage habits over time and the fact that most investigations investigate the point-prevalence of smoking among the surveyed group. Medical students, as indeed all university students, represent a widely dispersed population who are often away from campus while undertaking practical training. This methodological limitation suggests that surveys conducted among single grades of students during lecture periods, have probably been the most practical manner for investigating smoking. Whatever the cause of these methodological shortfalls, the issue of what exactly comprises a 'currently smoking' medical student clearly represents an area on which some international agreement should be reached, in order to allow greater comparability between future investigations. Furthermore, from an epidemiological perspective, some fundamental issues should also be considered. For smoking surveys to report any meaningful data on the population as a whole, it is important that a large proportion of the total group is captured and that a large proportion of those who are contacted, actually respond. In our current review, despite the existence of the aforementioned confounding factors, we were encouraged to find that a large proportion of all manuscripts had reasonably

Table 1: International comparison of tobacco smoking surveys conducted among medical students between 1976 and 2006

Country	Smoking rate ^a			Details of study ^b				Publication details ^c		
	All	Male	Female	Grades	Design	N	Response	Authors	Year	Reference
Albania	14	34	5	1 st Year	Single grade	149	82	Vakefliu <i>et al.</i>	2002	16
Australia	3	-	-	1 st and 5 th Yrs	Multiple grades	594	79	Richmond and Kehoe	1997	17
Australia	5	-	-	5 th Year x 3	Longitudinal	379	69	Roche	1997	18
Australia	4	-	-	5 th Year	Single grade	173	79	Roche <i>et al.</i>	1996	19
Australia	4	-	-	5 th Year	Single grade	250	79	Roche and Beauchamp	1994	20
Australia	6	-	-	1 st and 4 th Yrs	Multiple grades	431	n/s	Engs	1980	21
Brazil	3	-	-	n/s	Cross-sectional	513	73	Daudt <i>et al.</i>	1999	22
Brazil	14	10	18	n/s	Single grade	103	96	Paine <i>et al.</i>	1985	23
China	6	13	0	4 th Year	Single grade	207	92	Smith <i>et al.</i>	2005	24
China	-	38	0	All (Yrs 1-5)	Cross-sectional	1540	96	Xiang <i>et al.</i>	1999	25
China	3	6	1	All (Yrs 1-5)	Cross-sectional	1392	86	Lei <i>et al.</i>	1997	26
Colombia	26	28	24	1 st and 5 th Yrs	Multiple grades	2021	90	Rosselli <i>et al.</i>	2001	27
Croatia	29	-	-	All (Yrs 1-6)	Cross-sectional	775	98	Trkulja <i>et al.</i>	2003	28
Germany	24	29	18	1 st , 3 rd , 5 th Yrs	Multiple grades	696	85	Brenner and Scharrer	1996	29
Greece	41	41	40	n/s	Cross-sectional	1072	n/s	Sichletidis <i>et al.</i>	2006	30
Greece	-	33	28	(3 rd Year) x 12	Longitudinal	849	98	Mammas <i>et al.</i>	2003	31
Holland	18	19	16	n/s	Cross-sectional	160	80	Dekker <i>et al.</i>	1993	32
Holland	27	31	23	n/s	Cross-sectional	725	95	Waalkens <i>et al.</i>	1992	33
Hungary	36	-	-	4 th Year	Single grade	91	90	Piko	2002	34
Hungary	21	-	-	n/s	Multiple grades	177	73	Piko <i>et al.</i>	1996	35
India	-	8	-	All (Yrs 1-5)	Cross-sectional	1130	75	Mohan <i>et al.</i>	2006	36
India	4	5	0	All (Yrs 1-5)	Cross-sectional	1189	74	Ramakrishna <i>et al.</i>	2005	37
India	-	23	-	All (Yrs 1-5)	Cross-sectional	400	93	Sinha and Gupta	2001	38
India	-	19	-	(n/s) x 10	Longitudinal	196	64	Venkataraman <i>et al.</i>	1996	39
India	31	35	5	All (Yrs 1-5)	Cross-sectional	854	66	Singh <i>et al.</i>	1989	40
India	7	-	-	1 st Year x 5	Longitudinal	355	70-82	Behera and Malik	1987	41
India	27	-	-	All (Yrs 1-5)	Cross-sectional	1600	80	Sandell <i>et al.</i>	1983	42
India	-	3	0	1 st Year x 7	Single grade	705	100	Roy and Chakraborty	1981	43
India	11	-	-	n/s	Cross-sectional	672	90	Singh <i>et al.</i>	1981	44
Iran	13	-	-	All (Yrs 1-4)	Cross-sectional	421	25	Ahmadi <i>et al.</i>	2001	45
Ireland	10	10	8	All (Yrs 1-6)	Longitudinal	537	94	Boland <i>et al.</i>	2006	46
Japan	-	16-28	2-4	4 th and 5 th Yrs	Longitudinal	1366	n/s	Ozasa <i>et al.</i>	2005	47
Japan	17	-	-	5 th Year	Single grade	100	100	Kusunoki <i>et al.</i>	1999	48
Japan	-	58	-	5 th Year	Single grade	77	100	Kawane	1992	49
Japan	-	51	8	5 th Year	Single grade	129	100	Kawane	1987	50
Malaysia	9	-	0	1 st Year	Longitudinal	148	95	Frisch <i>et al.</i>	1999	51
Malaysia	9	22	0	All (Yrs 1-4)	Cross-sectional	395	100	Yaacob and Abdullah	1994	52
Malaysia	10	17	1	3 rd and 4 th Yrs	Multiple grades	271	100	Wong and Chen	1989	53
Pakistan	14	22	4	All (Yrs 1-5)	Cross-sectional	271	90	Khan <i>et al.</i>	2005	54
Pakistan	-	26	2	n/s	Multiple grades	264	92	Omais <i>et al.</i>	2002	55
Pakistan	11	17	4	n/s	Multiple grades	289	89	Hussain <i>et al.</i>	1995	56
Pakistan	-	21	1	All (Yrs 1-6)	Cross-sectional	1363	62	Ahmed and Jafarey	1983	57
Saudi Arabia	-	13	-	n/s	Multiple grades	322	81	Al-Turki	2006	58
Saudi Arabia	-	33	-	n/s	Cross-sectional	414	100	Jarallah	1992	59
Scotland	-	23	17	n/s	Cross-sectional	566	n/s	Engs and Teijlingen	1997	60
Slovak Republic	36	-	-	1 st and 5 th Yrs	Multiple grades	185	98	Kavcova <i>et al.</i>	2004	61
Spain	37	-	-	n/s	Single Grade	41	100	San-Pedro <i>et al.</i>	2006	62
Spain	44	42	45	All (Yrs 1-5)	Cross-sectional	2308	40	Rodriguez and Cami	1986	63
Thailand	7	-	0	Yrs 3-6	Multiple grades	256	n/s	Songkla and Saenghirunvattana	1985	64
Tunisia	19	30	1	1 st and 5 th Yrs	Multiple grades	230	74	Harrabi <i>et al.</i>	2006	65
Turkey	32	39	22	1 st and 6 th Yrs	Multiple grades	447	68-91	Akvardar <i>et al.</i>	2003	66
Turkey	22	28	10	1 st Year	Longitudinal	126	98	Senol <i>et al.</i>	2006	67
Turkey	-	31	10	1 st , 4 th , 6 th Yrs	Multiple grades	3073	88	Kocabas <i>et al.</i>	1994	68
Turkey	33	-	-	All (Yrs 1-6)	Cross-sectional	690	89	Gulec <i>et al.</i>	2005	69
Tuscany	30	40	25	1 st Year	Single grade	200	94	Melani <i>et al.</i>	2000	70
United Kingdom	-	18	14	2 nd Year	Single grade	785	100	Webb <i>et al.</i>	1998	71
United Kingdom	-	12	30	2 nd Year	Single grade	186	99	Ashton and Kamali	1995	72
United Kingdom	17	18	15	All (Yrs 1-5)	Cross-sectional	1112	96	Elkind	1982	73
United Kingdom	35	-	-	2 nd and 5 th Yrs	Multiple grades	134	67-91	Birkner and Kunze	1978	74
United States	3	-	-	All (Yrs 1-4)	Cross-sectional	397	48	Patkar <i>et al.</i>	2003	75
United States	2	-	-	(Yrs 1-4) x 17	Cross-sectional	5744	n/s	Sockrider <i>et al.</i>	1998	76
United States	2	3	2	(4 th Year) x 8	Single grade	548	55	Mangus <i>et al.</i>	1998	77
United States	7	-	-	All (Yrs 1-4)	Cross-sectional	105	50	Najem <i>et al.</i>	1995	78

Table 1: (Continued) International comparison of tobacco smoking surveys conducted among medical students between 1976 and 2006

Country	Smoking rate ^a			Details of study ^b				Publication details ^c		
	All	Male	Female	Grades	Design	N	Response	Authors	Year	Reference
United States	10	-	-	4 th Year	Single grade	2046	67	Baldwin <i>et al.</i>	1991	79
United States	5	-	-	(4 th Year) x 13	Single grade	589	41	Conard <i>et al.</i>	1988	80
Yugoslavia	31	36	28	All (Yrs 1-5)	Cross-sectional	1657	54	Vlajinac <i>et al.</i>	1989	81

^aSmoking rates listed by country and gender and rounded to the nearest whole number, ^bStudy details including grade of student at medical school, study design, total number of participants and survey response rate (where the authors had used a convenience sample with an unspecified response rate or where the response rate could not be located, this information is indicated on the table as n/s [not supplied]), ^cPublication details including the first authors of the study, the publication year and the reference number as listed in this manuscript

large sample sizes (in the hundreds) and sufficiently high response rates to allow confidence in the published data. In this regard, 75% of the studies we reviewed had obtained survey response rates between 74% and 97%. Aside from response rates, results from surveys conducted among a cross-section of students at a single medical school also permit some analysis of the changing nature of smoking as students progress through their course of study.

The prevalence of smoking among medical students appears to vary widely from country to country. From the current review it appears that smoking rates among male medical students range between 3% in the United States^[77] and 58% in Japan.^[49] The lowest overall prevalence rates of 2-3% were documented in American medical schools during the late 1990s,^[75-77] with similar low levels also reported in Australia (3%),^[17] China (3%)^[26] and India (4%).^[37] Smoking prevalence rates below 10% of the medical student population were shown to occur in Australia (4-6%),^[18-21] China (6%),^[24] India (7%),^[41] Thailand (7%),^[64] the US (7%)^[78] and Malaysia (9%).^[51,52] Marked differences in smoking rates were found by gender in almost all studies, with male students generally having the higher rates. Other relatively high prevalence rates among male medical students were also reported in Greece (41%)^[30] and Spain (42%).^[63] When considering the prevalence of smoking among medical students in a particular country, it is worthwhile considering to what extent their habits mirror those of the society in which they live. In the current review, as mentioned earlier, medical students in the United States, Australia, China and India were the least likely to smoke. This finding is consistent with population data from the WHO,^[1] where it is reported that only 18-21% of Australian adults and 21-26% of American adults consume tobacco. On the other hand, the seemingly low rate of smoking among Chinese medical students is contrary to recent population data from the WHO, details of which are published elsewhere.^[1] The high proportion of tobacco users that we identified among medical students in Japan, Greece and Spain, appears to reflect current population estimates for these countries, where it is reported that 39-47% of adult males and 12-29% of adult females still smoke tobacco.

While the smoking prevalence rate among female medical students was generally lower than their male counterparts at the same medical school across a range of studies, at least seven investigations reported not having any female smokers at all. This particular phenomenon was evident in China,^[24,25]

India,^[37,43] Malaysia^[51,52] and Thailand.^[64] It has been previously suggested that smoking may be regarded as inappropriate behavior for women in certain countries,^[5,83] a cultural consideration which may have led to the situation observed among female medical students in the current review. Nevertheless, it is also possible that some females who did actually smoke in certain countries may not have admitted their smoking habit during the survey, for these cultural reasons. Aside from countries where the smoking prevalence among female medical students was reported to be either zero or was not recorded at all, very low smoking prevalence rates of only 1% were also documented among female students in China,^[26] Malaysia,^[53] Pakistan^[57] and Tunisia.^[65] Again, these low results seem to suggest a cultural reluctance for women to smoke in certain countries. Such hypotheses are supported by marked gender differences in population smoking rates in India, where 30% of males but only 3% of females smoke and in China, where the WHO estimates that smoking ranges from 4% in adult Chinese females to 53% among adult Chinese males.^[1]

Aside from gender issues, the results of cross-sectional tobacco smoking studies are also interesting to consider, as they help elucidate changes in smoking behavior as a student progresses through medical school. As previously mentioned, our current review located numerous studies which had been conducted among a cross-section of students at the same medical school. Almost all of them found that tobacco smoking rates among medical students tend to increase between the year of entry and the final year. In India for example, Ramakrishna *et al.*^[37] reported that the tobacco smoking prevalence ranged from 7% among the male first-year students to 16% among the fifth-year students. Also in India, Singh *et al.*^[40] found that smoking rates increased from 17% to 43% between the first and fifth years, while Sandell *et al.*^[42] observed a similar trend, albeit with lower overall prevalence rates (ranging from 4% in the first year to 10% in the fourth and fifth years). An earlier Indian study from the late 1970s conducted by Singh *et al.*^[44] suggested that smoking prevalence rates in the 1970s also followed a similar trend (ranging from 27% in the first year to 49% by the intern period). Research conducted in Manchester by Elkind^[73] documented the prevalence rate rising from 16% in the first year to 20% in the fifth year. Similarly, in Yugoslavia, Vlajinac *et al.*^[81] demonstrated that smoking rates increased from 27% to 36% during the five years of medical school. Not all studies of tobacco usage among medical students demonstrated a linear trend of increasing prevalence, however. In the United

States for example, Patkar *et al.*^[75] found that tobacco smoking rates fluctuated from 3.3% in the first year, to 2.5% in the second year and then back up to 3.8% in the third and fourth years. In Iran, Ahmadi *et al.*^[45] also revealed that tobacco usage ranged from 18% in the first-year group, to 7% in the third-year group and then back up to 17% in the fourth-year group. Considering the results of previous investigations and the fact that response rates were not mentioned, the possibility of demographic differences in the third year group of Ahmadi *et al.*'s^[45] study, should be considered.

Our current review located several longitudinal studies of tobacco smoking which had been conducted on medical students in Australia,^[18] India,^[39,41] Ireland,^[46] Japan,^[47] Malaysia^[51] and Turkey.^[67] In the first study, Roche^[18] targeted three separate groups of male and female students in their fifth year of study at an Australian medical school. Surveys were conducted in 1986, 1990 and 1993, with response rates of 65%, 73% and 68%, respectively. The prevalence of smoking among them steadily declined over the eight-year period, beginning at 10% in 1986, falling to 4% in 1990 and then to 3% in 1993.^[18] In another study, Venkataraman *et al.*^[39] investigated 10 successive groups of male students enrolled at an Indian medical school between 1955 and 1988. Similar to Roche,^[18] the Indian authors also found that the overall prevalence of smoking was on the decline, falling from 42% (in the period 1955-60) to 25% (in the period 1985-1988).^[39] Boland *et al.*^[46] followed three separate groups of male and female students at an Irish medical school between 1973 and 2002. The 1973 investigation targeted students in their first, third, fourth and sixth year of study, while in 1990 and 2002 all six years of the medical school were surveyed. The overall prevalence of smoking declined from 29% in 1973, to 15% in 1990 and then 10% in 2002.^[46] In Malaysia, Frisch *et al.*^[51] recruited a cohort of male and female medical students in their first year of study during 1991-92, following them up two years later in the 1993-94 school year. Unlike the three previous investigations, the prevalence of smoking among this Malaysian cohort actually increased from 9% to 11% during the follow-up period. Interestingly, all smokers were male, with no female smokers in either group.^[51] Again, this finding suggests a possible cultural reluctance for women to smoke in certain countries, as previously described.^[83]

The most recent longitudinal study of tobacco smoking among medical students appears to have been conducted in Turkey by Senol and colleagues.^[67] In their investigation, 22% of students (male and female) were smoking in the first year of study, a rate which had risen to 27% by the sixth year. Roughly one-third (32.3%) of Senol *et al.*'s^[67] original nonsmokers in the first year had also become smokers by the end of the sixth year at medical school. While it would no-doubt have been useful to compare the smoking habits of undergraduate medical students with postgraduate medical students, few if any researchers appear to have done so. A Japanese investigation of this nature was conducted by Imai *et al.* in 2003,^[84] but it was published in Japanese and therefore had to be excluded from the current review. Even so, in order to meet the current information shortfall, future international researchers who look

at tobacco smoking among medical students would probably benefit by expanding their study to include a more comprehensive survey of the demographic wherever possible.

Conclusions

Overall, our review suggests that the prevalence of smoking among medical students varies widely between students of different countries and also between male and female students within the same countries. Consistently low prevalence rates were documented in regions such as Australia and the United States, while generally high rates were seen in countries such as Spain and Turkey. While many cross-sectional investigations suggested that the prevalence of smoking seems to increase during the more senior grades, it is difficult to assess whether this trend directly reflects university seniority, increasing age or both. Some other conflicting results were also found. Various researchers for example, have suggested that the smoking habits of parents may be very important in influencing whether a medical student smokes.^[81,85] On the other hand, other researchers found no association between whether students smoked at medical school and whether their parents were smokers.^[86] As such, it is worthwhile considering a few key issues, such as what factors contribute to smoking in particular countries and also why medical students choose to smoke tobacco at all. In this regard, social, cultural and other country-specific factors no-doubt strongly influence whether a medical student in that particular region smokes tobacco. As previously described, most studies that reported low rates of smoking among medical students had concurrently low rates of tobacco usage in their general population. Where major gender differences existed in smoking rates among medical students, a similar phenomenon was, for the most part, also present within the general community in which they lived. The importance of population-based influences on a medical student's decision to smoke, therefore, is clear.

Cognitive dissonance may also play a role for student smokers. In one of the earliest studies of smoking among medical students, Mausner^[7] reported that significantly more nonsmokers than smokers accepted that evidence linking tobacco usage and disease was 'strongly convincing'. In this regard, medical students in some countries may still believe that smoking is not particularly hazardous or at least, not hazardous enough to warrant quitting. In a comprehensive survey of smoking among European medical students for example,^[9] it was noted that there was limited overall knowledge regarding public health measures for tobacco control. Future population-based reduction strategies will, therefore, need to consider not only smoking among youth, but also smoking among specific young adult populations such as university students. Their motivations for taking up smoking and not quitting may or may not reflect those of the general adult communities in which they live.

Whatever the underlying demographic correlates of tobacco usage in this distinct sub-population, it is clear from the current review that too many medical students continue to use tobacco. Given their important future role as exemplars, there are a few

measures which will need to be considered in meeting this important public health dilemma. Education represents probably the most critical issue in smoking cessation for both medical students and the general public alike. It has previously been noted that educating both physicians and medical students about the importance of smoking as a cause of disease represents the first step for getting them involved in smoking cessation.^[87] While medical schools should clearly provide educational programs in this regard,^[88] it has been suggested that not enough medical schools actually teach specific courses on tobacco control.^[89] Not doing so may allow an entrenched smoking culture to remain among the student demographic and thus jeopardize their future role as physicians responsible for tobacco control programs. In Japan, for example, a country with some of the highest historical and contemporary smoking rates among adults, Kawakami^[90] demonstrated that the intention of medical students to perform future smoking interventions was still unsatisfactory in the late 1990s, with only one-third even being actively interested in the topic. While medical schools should clearly be encouraged to address this important issue, not all of them appear to be doing so. A previous worldwide survey on the topic, for example, suggested that some medical schools still need continued encouragement for undertaking adequate tobacco control education.^[91] Postgraduate training in tobacco control represents a key step forward in this regard, as it has previously been suggested that this represents a time when basic medical education is actually completed.^[92]

General strategies for promoting tobacco control among young people have also been proposed by the WHO's Tobacco-Free Initiative^[1] and its particular publications on tobacco smoking among the world's younger population.^[93] Many of these strategies are applicable for use among medical students. Firstly, it has been noted that a comprehensive mix of anti-smoking legislation, pricing and community-based strategies can be effective in reducing tobacco consumption among youth. As most university students including those at medical school, would have limited financial means, it is reasonable to assume that any legislation increasing the price of tobacco would subsequently result in a drop in the overall number of student smokers. Secondly, there needs to be a stronger focus on positive youth development during the transition from youth into adulthood.^[93] This issue could be incorporated into medical education to help students as they progress through their course and mature from undergraduate students into young doctors. As smoking rates appear to increase by year of study, this type of transition-focused approach is urgently needed in medical schools worldwide. Considering these facts, the role of medical schools in tobacco control programs *to help their own students stop smoking*, simply cannot be overlooked. Regardless of when medical educators actually begin teaching their students about tobacco control, it seems clear from the current review that tobacco-specific education should become mandatory in future years.

References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). Tobacco Free Initiative (TFI) Website. [cited on 2006 Oct]. Available from: <http://www.who.int/tobacco/en/>.

2. Roche AM, Eccleston P, Sanson-Fisher R. Teaching smoking cessation skills to senior medical students: A block-randomized controlled trial of four different approaches. *Prev Med* 1996;25:251-8.
3. Saloojee Y, Steyn K. Educating medical students about tobacco. *S Afr Med J* 2005;95:330-1.
4. Davis RM. When doctors smoke. *Tob Control* 1993;2:187-8.
5. Richmond R. Teaching medical students about tobacco. *Thorax* 1999;54:70-8.
6. Flaherty JA, Richman JA. Substance use and addiction among medical students, residents and physicians. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 1993;16:189-97.
7. Mausner JS. Smoking in medical students. A survey of attitudes, information and smoking habits. *Arch Environ Health* 1966;13:51-60.
8. Brackenridge CJ, Bloch S. Smoking in medical students. *J Psychosom Res* 1972;16:35-40.
9. Tessier JF, Freour P, Crofton J, Kombou L. Smoking habits and attitudes of medical students towards smoking and antismoking campaigns in fourteen European countries. *Eur J Epidemiol* 1989;5:311-21.
10. Tessier JF, Freour PP, Nejari C, Belougne D, Crofton J. Smoking behaviour and attitudes of medical students towards smoking and anti-smoking campaigns: A survey in ten African and Middle Eastern countries. *Tob Control* 1992;1:95-101.
11. Tessier JF, Freour P, Belougne D, Crofton J. Smoking habits and attitudes of medical students towards smoking and antismoking campaigns in nine Asian countries. *Int J Epidemiol* 1992;21:298-304.
12. Tessier JF, Freour PP, Nejari C, Belougne D, Crofton J. Smoking behaviour and attitudes towards smoking of medical students in Australia, Japan, USA, Russia and Estonia. *Tob Control* 1993;2:24-9.
13. Crofton JW, Freour PP, Tessier JF. Medical education on tobacco: Implications of a worldwide survey. Tobacco and Health Committee of the International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (IUATLD). *Med Educ* 1994;28:187-96.
14. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Tobacco use and cessation counseling - Global health professionals survey pilot study, 10 countries, 2005. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2005;54:505-9.
15. National Library of Medicine, United States, Entrez PubMed Database. [cited on 2006 Sep]. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez>.
16. Vakefliu Y, Argjiri D, Peposhi I, Agron S, Melani AS. Tobacco smoking habits, beliefs and attitudes among medical students in Tirana, Albania. *Prev Med* 2002;34:370-3.
17. Richmond RL, Kehoe L. Smoking behaviour and attitudes among Australian medical students. *Med Educ* 1997;31:169-76.
18. Roche AM. Have efforts to improve medical students' drug and alcohol knowledge, skills and attitudes worked? *Drug Alcohol Rev* 1997;16:157-70.
19. Roche AM, Eccleston P, Jordan D. Smoking-related knowledge and attitudes of senior Australian medical students. *Tob Control* 1996;5:271-9.
20. Roche AM, Beauchamp P. Smoking prevalence among senior medical students. *Med J Aust* 1994;160:447-8.
21. Engs RC. The drug-use patterns of helping-profession students in Brisbane, Australia. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1980;6:231-46.
22. Daudt AW, Alberg AJ, Prola JC, Fialho L, Petracco A, Wilhelms A, *et al.* A first step incorporating smoking education into a Brazilian medical school curriculum: Results of a survey to assess the cigarette smoking knowledge, attitudes, behaviour and clinical practices of medical students. *J Addict Dis* 1999;18:19-29.
23. Paine PA, Amaral JA, Pereira MG. Association between parental and student smoking behaviour in a Brazilian medical school. *Int J Epidemiol* 1985;14:330-2.
24. Smith DR, Wei N, Wang RS. Tobacco smoking habits among Chinese medical students and their need for health promotion initiatives. *Health Promot J Austr* 2005;16:233-5.
25. Xiang H, Wang Z, Stallones L, Yu S, Gimbel HW, Yang P. Cigarette smoking among medical college students in Wuhan, People's Republic of China. *Prev Med* 1999;29:210-5.
26. Lei Z, Jingheng H, Jianzhong L. Smoking among Shanghai medical

- students and the need for comprehensive intervention strategies. *Health Promot Int* 1997;12:27-32.
27. Rosselli D, Rey O, Calderon C, Rodriguez MN. Smoking in Colombian medical schools: The hidden curriculum. *Prev Med* 2001;33:170-4.
 28. Trkulja V, Zivcec Z, Cuk M, Lackovic Z. Use of psychoactive substances among Zagreb University medical students: Follow-up study. *Croat Med J* 2003;44:50-8.
 29. Brenner H, Scharrer SB. Parental smoking and sociodemographic factors related to smoking among German medical students. *Eur J Epidemiol* 1996;12:171-6.
 30. Sichletidis LT, Chloros D, Tsiotsios I, Kottakis I, Kaiafa O, Kaouri S, *et al.* High prevalence of smoking in Northern Greece. *Prim Care Respir J* 2006;15:92-7.
 31. Mammias IN, Bertisias GK, Linardakis M, Tzanakis NE, Labadarios DN, Kafatos AG. Cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption and serum lipid profile among medical students in Greece. *Eur J Public Health* 2003;13:278-82.
 32. Dekker HM, Looman CW, Adriaanse HP, Van Der Maas PJ. Prevalence of smoking in physicians and medical students and the generation effect in the Netherlands. *Soc Sci Med* 1993;36:817-22.
 33. Waalkens HJ, Cohen Schotanus J, Adriaanse H, Knol K. Smoking habits in medical students and physicians in Groningen, The Netherlands. *Eur Respir J* 1992;5:49-52.
 34. Piko BF. Does knowledge count? Attitudes toward smoking among medical, nursing and pharmacy students in Hungary. *J Community Health* 2002;27:269-76.
 35. Piko B, Barabas K, Markos J. Health risk behaviour of a medical student population: Report on a pilot study. *J R Soc Health* 1996;116:97-100.
 36. Mohan S, Pradeepkumar AS, Thresia CU, Thankappan KR, Poston WS, Haddock CK, *et al.* Tobacco use among medical professionals in Kerala, India: The need for enhanced tobacco cessation and control efforts. *Addict Behav* 2006;31:2313-8.
 37. Ramakrishna GS, Sankara Sarma P, Thankappan KR. Tobacco use among medical students in Orissa. *Natl Med J India* 2005;18:285-9.
 38. Sinha DN, Gupta PC. Tobacco and areca nut use in male medical students of Patna. *Natl Med J India* 2001;14:176-8.
 39. Venkataraman S, Mukhopadhyaya A, Mulyil J. Trends of smoking among medical students. *Indian J Med Res* 1996;104:316-20.
 40. Singh SK, Narang RK, Chandra S, Chaturvedi PK, Dubey AL. Smoking habits of the medical students. *Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci* 1989;31:99-103.
 41. Behera D, Malik SK. Smoking habit of undergraduate medical students. *Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci* 1987;29:182-4.
 42. Sandell J, Singh S, Sati TK, Mehrotra SK. A study of smoking habits of medical students of Uttar Pradesh. *Indian J Public Health* 1983;27:96-101.
 43. Roy M, Chakraborty AK. Smoking and drug-abuse among the newly admitted students of medical colleges in West Bengal. *Indian J Public Health* 1981;25:30-5.
 44. Singh G, Singh R, Jindal KC. Drug use among physicians and medical students. *Indian J Med Res* 1981;73:594-602.
 45. Ahmadi J, Khalili H, Jooybar R, Namazi N, Aghaei PM. Cigarette smoking among Iranian medical students, resident physicians and attending physicians. *Eur J Med Res* 2001;6:406-8.
 46. Boland M, Fitzpatrick P, Scallan E, Daly L, Herity B, Horgan J, *et al.* Trends in medical student use of tobacco, alcohol and drugs in an Irish university, 1973-2002. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2006;85:123-8.
 47. Ozasa K, Shigeta M, Hayashi K, Yuge M, Watanabe Y. Smoking prevalence in Japanese medical students, 1992-2004. *Med Educ* 2005;39:971-2.
 48. Kusunoki T, Hosoi S, Asai K, Harazaki M, Furusho K. Relationships between atopy and lung function: Results from a sample of one hundred medical students in Japan. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 1999;83:343-7.
 49. Kawane H. Antismoking education for medical students. *Chest* 1992;101:1480.
 50. Kawane H. Tobacco smoking in Japan. *Med J Aust* 1987;146:503-4.
 51. Frisch AS, Kurtz M, Shamsuddin K. Knowledge, attitudes and preventive efforts of Malaysian medical students regarding exposure to environmental tobacco and cigarette smoking. *J Adolesc* 1999;22:627-34.
 52. Yaacob I, Abdullah ZA. Smoking behavior, knowledge and opinion of medical students. *Asia Pac J Public Health* 1994;7:88-91.
 53. Wong ML, Chen PC. Smoking behaviour, knowledge and opinion of medical students. *Med J Malaysia* 1989;44:317-23.
 54. Khan FM, Husain SJ, Laeeq A, Awais A, Hussain SF, Khan JA. Smoking prevalence, knowledge and attitudes among medical students in Karachi, Pakistan. *East Mediterr Health J* 2005;11:952-8.
 55. Omair A, Kazmi T, Alam SE. Smoking prevalence and awareness about tobacco related diseases among medical students of Ziauddin Medical University. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2002;52:389-92.
 56. Hussain SF, Moid I, Khan JA. Attitudes of Asian medical students towards smoking. *Thorax* 1995;50:996-7.
 57. Ahmed EN, Jafarey NA. Smoking habits amongst medical students of Sind Medical College. *J Pak Med Assoc* 1983;33:39-44.
 58. Al-Turki YA. Smoking habits among medical students in Central Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J* 2006;27:700-3.
 59. Jarallah JS. Smoking habits of medical students at King Saud University, Riyadh. *Saudi Med J* 1992;13:510-3.
 60. Engs RC, Van Teijlingen E. Correlates of alcohol, tobacco and marijuana use among Scottish postsecondary helping-profession students. *J Stud Alcohol* 1997;58:435-44.
 61. Kavcova E, Kocan I, Squier C. Tobacco control and the role of the medical community in the Slovak Republic. *Eur J Dent Educ* 2004;8:46-50.
 62. San-Pedro EM, Roales-Nieto JG, Blanco-Coronado JL. Tobacco use among Spanish physicians and medical students. *Tob Control* 2006;15:272.
 63. Rodriguez ME, Cami J. Substance use among medical students in Barcelona (Spain). A comparison with previous surveys. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1986;18:311-8.
 64. Songkla YN, Saenghirunvattana S. Smoking among medical students. *J Med Assoc Thailand* 1985;68:198-200.
 65. Harrabi I, Ghannem H, Kacem M, Gaha R, Ben Abdelaziz A, Tessier JF. Medical students and tobacco in 2004: A survey in Sousse, Tunisia. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2006;10:328-32.
 66. Akvardar Y, Demiral Y, Ergor G, Ergor A. Substance use among medical students and physicians in a medical school in Turkey. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2004;39:502-6.
 67. Senol Y, Donmez L, Turkey M, Aktekin M. The incidence of smoking and risk factors for smoking initiation in medical faculty students: Cohort study. *BMC Public Health* 2006;6:128.
 68. Kocabas A, Burgut R, Bozdemir N, Akkoclu A, Cildag O, Dagli E, *et al.* Smoking patterns at different medical schools in Turkey. *Tob Control* 1994;3:228-35.
 69. Gulec M, Bakir B, Ozer M, Ucar M, Kilic S, Hasde M. Association between cigarette smoking and depressive symptoms among military medical students in Turkey. *Psychiatry Res* 2005;134:281-6.
 70. Melani AS, Verponziani W, Boccoli E, Trianni GL, Federici A, Amerini R, *et al.* Tobacco smoking habits, attitudes and beliefs among nurse and medical students in Tuscany. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2000;16:607-11.
 71. Webb E, Ashton CH, Kelly P, Kamah F. An update on British medical students' lifestyles. *Med Educ* 1998;32:325-31.
 72. Ashton CH, Kamali F. Personality, lifestyles, alcohol and drug consumption in a sample of British medical students. *Med Educ* 1995;29:187-92.
 73. Elkind AK. Changes in the smoking behaviour, knowledge and opinion of medical students, 1972-1981. *Soc Sci Med* 1982;16:2137-43.
 74. Birkner FE, Kunze M. Smoking patterns at a British and at an American medical school. *Med Educ* 1978;12:128-32.
 75. Patkar AA, Hill K, Batra V, Vergare MJ, Leone FT. A comparison of smoking habits among medical and nursing students. *Chest* 2003;124:1415-20.
 76. Sockrider MM, Maguire GP, Haponik E, Davis A, Boehlecke B. Attitudes of respiratory care practitioners and students regarding pulmonary prevention. *Chest* 1998;114:1193-8.
 77. Mangus RS, Hawkins CE, Miller MJ. Tobacco and alcohol use among 1996 medical school graduates. *JAMA* 1998;280:1192-3,5.
 78. Najem GR, Passannante MR, Foster JD. Health risk factors and health promoting behavior of medical, dental and nursing students. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1995;48:841-9.
 79. Baldwin DC Jr, Hughes PH, Conard SE, Storr CL, Sheehan DV.

- Substance use among senior medical students. A survey of 23 medical schools. *JAMA* 1991;265:2074-8.
80. Conard S, Hughes P, Baldwin DC Jr, Achenbach KE, Sheehan DV. Substance use by fourth-year students at 13 U.S. medical schools. *J Med Educ* 1988;63:747-58.
 81. Vlajinac H, Adanja B, Jarebinski M. Cigarette smoking among medical students in Belgrade related to parental smoking habits. *Soc Sci Med* 1989;29:891-4.
 82. Smith DR, Leggat PA. A comparison of tobacco smoking among dentists in 15 countries. *Int Dent J* 2006;56:283-8.
 83. Ernster V, Kaufman N, Nichter M, Samet J, Yoon SY. Women and tobacco: Moving from policy to action. *Bull World Health Organ* 2000;78:891-901.
 84. Imai H, Konno K, Musashi M, Tamashiro H. A survey on smoking behavior in Hokkaido University School of Medicine, 2003. *Nippon Koshu Eisei Zasshi* 2004;51:540-51.
 85. Brenner H, Scharrer S. Smoking habits of future physicians: A survey among medical students of a south German university. *Soz Praventivmed* 1996;41:150-7.
 86. Pathmanathan I. Smoking habits among medical students in the University of Malaya. *Med J Malaysia* 1975;30:88-92.
 87. Fowler G. Educating doctors in smoking cessation. *Tob Control* 1993;2:5-6.
 88. Wallace P. Medical students, drugs and alcohol: Time for medical schools to take the issue seriously. *Med Educ* 2000;34:86-7.
 89. Allen MB. Medical students' knowledge of smoking. *Thorax* 1999;54:2.
 90. Kawakami M. Awareness of the harmful effects of smoking and views on smoking cessation intervention among Japanese medical students. *Intern Med* 2000;39:720-6.
 91. Richmond RL, Debono DS, Larcos D, Kehoe L. Worldwide survey of education on tobacco in medical schools. *Tob Control* 1998;7:247-52.
 92. Roche AM, Sanson-Fisher RW, Cockburn J. Training experiences immediately after medical school. *Med Educ* 1997;31:9-16.
 93. World Health Organization (WHO). What in the World Works? International Consultation on Tobacco and Youth: Final Conference Report. WHO: Geneva; 2000.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.