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Abstract

This paper presents “Self-Chord”, a bio-inspired P2P algorithm that can be profitably adopted to build the information service of distributed systems, in particular Computational Grids and Clouds. Self-Chord inherits the ability of Chord-like structured systems for the construction and maintenance of an overlay of peers, but features enhanced functionalities deriving from the activity of ant-inspired mobile agents, such as autonomy behavior, self-organization and capacity to adapt to a changing environment. Self-Chord features three main benefits with respect to classical P2P structured systems: (i) it is possible to give a semantic meaning to keys, which enables the execution of “class” queries, often issued in Grids and Clouds; (ii) the keys are fairly distributed over the peers, thus improving the balancing of storage responsibilities; (iii) maintenance load is reduced because, as new peers join the ring, the mobile agents will spontaneously reorganize the keys in logarithmic time.

1. Introduction

The information service is an important component of distributed computing systems, such as computational Grids [7] and Clouds [8], since it provides information and enables the discovery of the resources that can be used to build and run complex applications. The dynamic nature of these systems makes human administrative intervention difficult or even unfeasible and centralized information services are proving unfit to scale to hundreds or thousands of nodes. To tackle these issues, the scientific community has proposed to design information services according to the P2P paradigm, which offers better scalability and adaptivity features [14, 11].

P2P models are classified into unstructured and structured, based on the way nodes are linked to each other and data about resources is placed on the nodes [2]. In unstructured systems, resources are published by peers without any global planning. This facilitates network management but reduces the efficiency of discovery procedures. In structured systems (e.g., Chord, CAN, MAAN) resources are associated with specific hosts, often through Distributed Hash Tables. In Chord [12], each peer is assigned a binary index by a hash function, and peers are organized in a ring and ordered following the values of their indexes. Resources are also indexed by binary keys, and each resource is assigned to the first peer on the ring whose index is equal or larger than the resource key. Structured systems are generally more efficient in terms of search time and network load but can limit the expressiveness of discovery requests: users are only allowed to search for specific resources but cannot issue complex or range queries. Moreover, structured systems may be difficult to administer in the case of high churn rate, because new or modified resources must be immediately (re)assigned to the corresponding peers.

Along with the P2P approach, another interesting and recent trend is the design of self-organizing Grids [4], often inspired by biological systems such as ant colonies and insect swarms [10]. Ant algorithms are one of the most popular examples of “swarm intelligence” systems, in which a number of ant-inspired agents follow very simple rules with no centralized control, and complex global behavior emerges from their local interactions [3]. From a technical point of view, mobile agent systems (MAS) are often adopted to emulate the behavior of insects and birds that travel through the hosts of a distributed system and perform their simple operations [13].

Recently, bio-inspired techniques have been proposed to design “self-structured” P2P systems, in which the association of keys with hosts is not pre-determined but adapts to the modification of the environment. In the So-Grid system [6], Grid resources are assumed to be pre-categorized in classes. Ant-inspired mobile agents reorganize the descriptors of the resources according to their classes. The discovery procedure is semi-informed: at the beginning, the search messages travel the network with a random walk strategy. As one of them approaches a peer that stores a large number of descriptors of the class of interest the procedure becomes
informed and the message is driven towards that peer.

In Antares [5] resources are indexed by bit keys, calculated with a locality preserving hash function, so that similar resources are assigned similar key values. Keys are spatially sorted by mobile agents according to their key values. Thanks to this sorting, a search message can be driven towards the desired descriptors. The path follows the gradient of resource keys: at each step the message is forwarded to the neighbor peer that minimizes the distance between the keys stored in this peer and the target key. Both So-Grid and Antares are based upon an unstructured P2P network, but so far bio-inspired algorithms have never been adopted in structured P2P systems, whose rigid structure seemed not compatible with self-organizing properties.

This paper presents Self-Chord, a P2P system that inherits from Chord the ability to maintain a structured ring of peers, but features enhanced functionalities achieved through the activity of ant-inspired mobile agents. Self-Chord does not place resource keys to specified hosts, as Chord does: this feature is actually unnecessary and limits the system flexibility. Conversely, Self-Chord focuses on the real objective, which is the reordering of keys over the ring, and their fair distribution to the peers. Self-Chord agents move keys across the ring and sort them in a self-organizing fashion. The sorting of keys allows discovery operations to be executed in logarithmic time, like in Chord, exploiting the pointers of the finger tables [12]. Therefore this basic functionality is unaltered. However, Self-Chord features several benefits with respect to Chord:

(i) In Self-Chord, peer indexes and resource keys are defined independently and there is no obligation to assign a key to a well specified peer. This feature enables the definition of "classes" of resources; a class being defined as a set of resources that share common characteristics, and are mapped to the same key value by a hash function. A user can issue "class" queries, i.e., explore the network to find a number of resources belonging to the same class and then select the most appropriate for his/her purpose. This is a frequent issue in Grid and Cloud Computing: for example, a user might search for hosts for which the CPU speed and the memory size are within a specified range, and successively choose among the discovered results.

(ii) Structured systems like Chord can produce imbalance problems depending on the location of peers and the statistical distribution of the values of resource keys. In Self-Chord, the keys are fairly distributed over the peers that are actually present in the system, thus fostering a fair balancing of storage responsibilities.

(iii) In Chord, appropriate operations are necessary when a peer joins the ring or new resources are published: these resources must be immediately assigned to the peers whose indexes match the resource keys. These operations are not necessary in Self-Chord, because the mobile agents are always active and will spontaneously reorganize the keys.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 gives an overview of the Self-Chord model; Section 3 describes the operations of ant-inspired mobile agents; Section 4 analyzes the performance of Self-Chord, with particular emphasis given to scalability, load balancing and dynamic behavior; Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. The Self-Chord Model

In Self-Chord, peers are organized in a logical ring. Each peer is given an index, having \(B_p\) bits, which is obtained with a uniform hash function and can have values between 0 and \(2^{B_p} - 1\). The ring is constructed and maintained as in Chord (see [12] for the details). Each resource is associated with a binary key, having \(B_c\) bits, which will be used to discover and access the resource. The number of possible values of the resource key, \(N_c = 2^{B_c}\), can be viewed as the number of classes in which the resources are categorized. A class is defined as a set of resources having a specified set of characteristics, and therefore associated to the same value of the key. The values of resource keys can be obtained in two ways. The first is through the use of a hash function. Alternatively, resource keys can be given a semantic meaning: for example, the value of each bit indicates the presence/absence of a specific topic, if the resource is a document.

In Chord, \(B_p\) and \(B_c\) must be set to the same value, because there is a precise association between resources and peers. Conversely, in Self-Chord the values of \(B_p\) and \(B_c\) can be set independently: the granularity of resource categorization may be chosen depending on the specific application domain. Consequently, there is no obligation to assign a key to the peer having the same index, or to its successor, as in Chord. To inherit the efficiency of resource discovery operations offered by Chord, the resource keys must be sorted on the ring. Whereas in Chord sorting is the outcome of a global planning, in Self-Chord it is obtained through the operations of ant-inspired agents that move the resource keys across the ring.

For their work, the agents use the concept of peer centroid. The centroid of a peer is defined as the real value, between 0 and \(N_c\), which minimizes the average distance between itself and all the keys stored by this peer and the two adjacent peers on the ring. For example, with \(N_c=64\), a peer that stores three keys with values \(\{4,6,8\}\) (assuming for simplicity that the two adjacent peers do not store any key) has a centroid equal to 6. With another example, a peer that stores two keys with values \(\{63,0\}\) has a centroid equal to 63.5. The centroid value is an indication about the

---

\(^1\text{Key values are defined in a circular space, in which value 0 succeeds value } N_c - 1\text{. the distance between two values is defined as the length of the minimum circle segment that separates these values.}
keys stored in the local region of the ring and is used by agents to move the keys. The agents tend to take a key out of a peer if its value is distant from the peer centroid, and tend to forward this key towards a peer whose centroid is as close as possible to the key value. These simple operations are performed on the basis of local information, and gradually achieve the global sorting of the keys. The details are discussed in Section 3.

Agents are generated and die like the real ants from which they are inspired. Each peer, at the time that it connects to the network, generates an agent with a given probability \( P_{\text{gen}} \). With equal probabilities, this agent will be "right-handed" or "left-handed", meaning that it will move in clockwise or counterclockwise direction. The lifetime of the agent is randomly generated with a statistical distribution whose average is equal to the average connection time of the connecting peer, calculated on the past activity of this peer. Therefore, the turnover rate and the average number of operating agents are related to the dynamic characteristics of the network, i.e., to the frequency of peer joinings and departures. Specifically, the average number of agents \( \bar{N}_a \) that circulate in the network at a given instant of time is associated with the average number of peers present in the network at the same time, \( \bar{N}_p \).

\[
\bar{N}_a = \bar{N}_p \cdot P_{\text{gen}} \quad (1)
\]

The keys are sorted on the ring, and the obtained order is robust with respect to successive modifications of the environment, for example to the connections/disconnections of peers. The sorting of keys allows Self-Chord to rapidly serve discovery requests, because a search message can be driven towards the peer that stores the desired keys. Both the sorting process and the discovery procedures exploit Chord-like finger tables, so as to assure logarithmic times, as Sections 3 and 4 will show.

Figure 1 gives an example of the way resource keys are sorted. In this sample scenario, the values of \( B_p \) and \( B_c \) are respectively equal to 6 and 3, and the ring contains 16 peers. At the interior of the ring, the figure specifies the indexes of the peers, whereas at the exterior it reports, for every peer, the keys stored by the peer (only the first three keys are shown for simplicity) and the peer centroid \( c \). It can be noted that both the values of centroids and peer indexes are sorted in clockwise direction, but they are not related to one another. Indeed, different approaches are used to sort them: the peer indexes are sorted by the Chord management operations, whereas the resource keys are sorted by the self-organizing operations of the Self-Chord agents.

3 Operations of Self-Chord Agents

Each agent, in its lifetime, performs a few simple operations, cyclically: (i) while it is not carrying any key, it hops from a peer to its predecessor or successor, depending on the agent being left-handed or right-handed; (ii) at any new peer, it decides whether or not to take a key out of the peer; (iii) after taking a key, the agent jumps to a new peer exploiting the current peer’s finger table; (iv) at the new peer, the agent decides whether or not to leave the carried key. Operations (ii) and (iv) are repeated until the agent takes or leave a key, respectively.

The decision about the take operation depends on the values of the key under consideration and the centroid of the current peer. To foster the sorting of keys, it is convenient to take keys that are distant from the peer centroid, whereas the keys that are close to it are probably already placed in the correct place. Therefore, the probability of taking a key \( r \) out of a peer having centroid \( c \) is defined to be inversely proportional to the similarity between \( r \) and \( c \). The similarity function \( f(r, c) \) and the take probability \( P_{\text{take}} \) are,

\[
f(r, c) = 1 - \frac{d(r, c)}{N_c/2} \quad (2)
\]

\[
P_{\text{take}} = \frac{k_t}{k_t + f(r, c)} \quad \text{with } 0 \leq k_t \leq 1 \quad (3)
\]

where \( d(r, c) \) is the distance between \( r \) and \( c \), computed on the circular space of the keys. For example, with \( N_c=64 \), \( d(12, 18.7)=6.7 \) and \( d(3, 63.5)=3.5 \). The value of \( f(r, c) \) is comprised between 0 (maximum diversity between \( r \) and \( c \)) and 1 (maximum similarity). With high probability the agent takes a key whose value is distant from the peer centroid. The parameter \( k_t \) can be tuned to modulate the take probability. In this work, \( k_t \) is set to 0.1.

Once an agent has taken a key \( r \) from a peer, it tries to go to the region of the ring where this key should be deposited,
in other words it tries to jump towards the peer whose centroid is as close as possible to the carried key. To calculate the length of the jump, the agent exploits the fact that the peer indexes are ordered and the resource keys are also being ordered. First, the agent calculates the difference \( r - c \) in the arithmetic modulo \( N_c \), where \( c \) is the centroid of the current peer. Then, it makes a proportion between this distance, calculated in the space of resource keys, and the distance between the current peer \( P_s \) and the "destination" peer \( P_d \), calculated in the space of peer indexes:

\[
\frac{r - c}{N_c} = \frac{P_d - P_s}{N_r}
\]

Accordingly, the agent tries to jump to a peer whose index is as close as possible to:

\[
P_d = P_s + \frac{N_r}{N_c} (r - c)
\]

To do this, the agent exploits the finger table of \( P_s \). In Chord, the \( i \)-th finger of peer \( p \), denoted by \( p.finger(i) \), contains the index of the first peer, \( d \), that succeeds the index of \( p \) by at least \( 2^{i-1} \), namely \( d = \text{successor}(p + 2^{i-1}), i = 1..B_p \). The finger table is used by Chord to let the search messages jump to distant peers, so as to complete discovery procedures in a logarithmic time, since at every jump the search space can be halved. Self-Chord uses a bidirectional finger table, in which a reverse finger table is defined to point to the peers that follow the current peer in the counterclockwise direction. A reverse finger, denoted as \( p.rev_{\text{finger}}(i) \), points to the peer with index \( d = \text{predecessor}(p - 2^{i-1}), i = 1..B_p \). The reverse fingers are symmetrical to those used by Chord and can be easily maintained with the only additional cost of doubling the storage memory for the fingers. A similar structure was defined in the BiChord system [9].

After calculating \( P_d \), the agent jumps to the peer of the finger table whose index is the closest to \( P_d \). At the new peer, the agent evaluates the leave operation (see the details below). If this operation is actually performed, the agent will again move towards the successor or predecessor peer, until it will take another key. Otherwise, the agent will recalculate the value of \( P_d \) and make another jump, trying to approach better the region of the ring where the carried key should be deposited.

After each jump, the agent must decide whether or not to leave the key on this peer. The leave probability, \( P_{\text{leave}} \), is,

\[
P_{\text{leave}} = \frac{f(r, c)}{k_l + f(r, c)} \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq k_l \leq 1
\]

where \( r \) is the value of the carried key, \( c \) is the centroid of the current peer, and the similarity function \( f(r, c) \) is computed as in (2). Contrary to \( P_{\text{take}} \), \( P_{\text{leave}} \) is directly proportional to the similarity between \( r \) and \( c \), therefore the agent tends to leave a key if it is similar to the other keys stored in the local region of the ring. The parameter \( k_l \) is set to a higher value than \( k_s \), specifically to 0.5, in order to limit the frequency of leave operations. Indeed, it was observed that if the leave probability function tends to be too high, it can be difficult for an agent to carry a key for an amount of time sufficient to move it into the appropriate region of the ring.

Take and leave operations contribute to the correct reordering of keys, because the agents tend to place every key in a peer that has a centroid value close to the key value. The progressive sorting is guaranteed by the fact that the centroid of a peer is calculated not only on the keys stored in the peer itself, but also on the keys stored by the two adjacent peers.

4 Performance Analysis of Self-Chord

To assess the Self-Chord algorithm, a set of experiments were performed with an event-based simulator that has already been used for other bio-inspired algorithms [1, 6]. Simulation results have also been validated, for small networks, against those obtained with a prototype of Self-Chord that is available at the Web site http://self-chord.icar.cnr.it.

An efficient method to evaluate the Self-Chord sorting process is to consider the distances (in the space of resource keys) between the centroids of every two consecutive peers, and compute the average of these values. In fact, when the keys belonging to \( N_c \) classes are correctly sorted across a ring of \( N_p \) peers, the centroid values of the peers should be sorted and equally spaced, and the distance between any two consecutive centroids should be comparable to \( N_c/N_p \).

A first set of tests were performed in networks having a varying number of peers, from 256 to 4096. It is assumed that \( B_c = 10 \) (resources are categorized into \( N_c = 1024 \) classes) and \( B_p = 16 \) (peer indexes are defined over 16 bits). It is also assumed that the average number of resources published by a peer, referred to as \( \bar{N}_{\text{res}} \), is equal to 10 and the actual number of resources of each single peer is extracted with a Gamma probability function. The key value of each published resource is generated with a uniform distribution, therefore at the beginning key values are distributed randomly; afterwards, the keys are sorted through the operations of Self-Chord agents. The \( P_{\text{gen}} \) probability is set to 1.0: each new or reconnecting peer issues exactly one agent. The dynamic characteristics are modeled as follows. The connection time of a single peer is distributed with a Gamma probability function. Each peer has a different average connection time, and the global average for all the
peers, $T_{peer}$, is set to 5 hours. The average lifetime of an agent is set to the average connection time of the peer that generates the agent. After receiving an agent, a peer forwards it to the next peer after a random interval $T_{mov}$. Since the Self-Chord procedures can be accelerated or decelerated by tuning the value of $T_{mov}$, this parameter will be used as a time unit and the performance results versus time will be reported accordingly.

Figure 2 shows the trend of the average distance between consecutive centroids. The figure shows that, starting at time 0 from a state with maximum disorder, and owing to agent operations, the mean of the centroid distance decreases from very large values to the expected value $N_c/N_p$, confirming the capacity of the Self-Chord algorithm to order the keys on the ring. Of course, the time needed to reorder the keys increases with the number of peers. It ranges from less than 2000 time units with 256 peers to about 12,500 time units with 4096 peers. To obtain the value in seconds, the number of time units must be multiplied by the agent forwarding time $T_{mov}$. These results show that Self-Chord is able to reorder the keys in an acceptable time even starting from a very unfortunate (and unrealistic) situation, in which all the peers join the system at the same time and, since resource keys are assigned with a uniform hash function, the disorder is maximum. In a real system, the peers join the ring gradually, and the new keys are positioned by the discovery procedure. Whenever this condition is not satisfied, the discovery procedure terminates, because with very high probability the current peer is the one that stores the largest number of keys having the desired value.

In an ordered ring, the number of steps that are needed to reach the target peer is logarithmic with respect to the number of peers, since each step allows the search space to be approximately halved, as in Chord [12]. Figure 3 reports the average, the 1st and the 99th percentile of the path length, defined as the number of steps/jumps performed by a search message. Here it is worth recalling that the average number of steps experienced in Chord is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \lg_2 N_p$ [12], but it is reduced to $\frac{1}{4} \lg_2 N_p$ in BiChord [9], in consequence of the presence of the reverse finger table. Figure 3 shows that also in Self-Chord the average number of steps is always very close to $\frac{1}{4} \lg_2 N_p$. Moreover, the 99th percentile is always lower than $\frac{1}{4} \lg_2 N_p$, meaning that the search process is very fast also in the most unfortunate cases.

Figure 4 shows the mean number of keys discovered by a search request, for different values of $N_p$. The assumption is that a search message, after completing its path, retrieves all the keys, having the desired value, that are located on the current peer and on four adjacent peers, two on the left and two on the right. Indeed, due to the statistical nature of the reordering process, it is possible that these neighbors store a low number of keys having the desired value. In Figure 4, the number of discovered keys is reported versus time, starting from a situation of maximum disorder, in order to show
that the index gradually increases as reordering process proceeds. The steady value is comparable to \((N_p \cdot N_{res})/N_c\). In fact, this is the expected number of keys of a specific class that are published in a network having \(N_p\) peers, in the case that \(N_{res}\) is the average number of resources published by a peer (10 in these experiments) and \(N_c\) is the number of resource classes (1024). In conclusion, the discovery procedure successfully discovers almost all the resources that have the desired value of the key.

4.1 Non-Uniform Distribution of Keys

So far, the performance of Self-Chord has been analyzed under the assumption that the values of the keys associated with the resources are uniformly distributed. However, in Self-Chord a resource key can have a semantic meaning: for example, if the resource is a document, a bit of the key can express the fact that a document focuses or not on a given topic. In a case like this, some key values can be more frequent than others.

![Figure 5. Triangular distribution of keys.](image)

In classical structured P2P systems, a non-uniform distribution of keys produces a non-uniform balance of load. In Chord, for example, under the triangular distribution of key values shown in Figure 5, the peer with index \(N_c/2\) would store a large number of keys, since it would be assigned the keys of the most popular resources. Conversely, Self-Chord distributes the keys to the peers in a fair fashion, both with uniform and non-uniform distribution of keys. In fact, the agents take and leave the keys with no regard to their relative popularity.

The distribution of the number of keys stored in a peer confirms the fair balance of load. The average, the 1st and the 99th percentile of this index were found to have the same values with both the uniform and the triangular distribution of keys, and are equal to 10, 2 and 22, respectively. The improvement versus Chord is considerable. For example, the 99th percentile calculated in Chord under the uniform assumption, and reported in [12], is about 50, compared to the value of 22 experienced in Self-Chord. With a non uniform distribution, an acceptable load balance can be maintained in Chord only by defining additional structures, specifically with the use of a number of virtual nodes on each real peer. Conversely, Self-Chord does not need any superstructure to achieve a fair load balance.

A set of experiments was run to assess the performance of the discovery procedure in the case that the key distribution is triangular. Figure 6 reports the average, 1st and 99th percentile of the number of steps made by search messages, and compares the values obtained with the uniform and the triangular distributions of keys. The comparison shows that an inaccurate estimation of the centroid value of the destination peer, due to non uniform distribution of keys, is rapidly compensated by a few more steps of the search message.

![Figure 6. Path length of discovery requests: average, 1st and 99th percentile calculated with uniform and triangular distributions of keys and a variable value of \(N_p\).](image)

4.2 Dynamic Behavior

Self-Chord has an important advantage versus Chord also in terms of network and processing load. In a structured system like Chord, the keys of new resources, for ex-
ample those published by new or reconnecting peers, must be immediately placed in specified hosts: this can originate a high load if many resources are published in a short interval of time. In Self-Chord, the load is invariant because a new peer does not need to perform any additional operation: the keys of the new resources will be picked by the agents that pass by this peer. The processing load \( L \) can be defined as the average number of agents per second that arrive and are processed at a peer. \( L \) can be calculated by multiplying the average number of agents \( N_a \) by the frequency of their movements \( 1/T_{mov} \), so obtaining the number of times per second that an agent arrives at any peer, and then dividing the result by the average number of peers \( N_p \) to get the number of times per second that an agent arrives at a specific peer,

\[
L = \frac{N_a}{N_p} \frac{T_{mov}}{T_{mov}} \approx \frac{P_{gen}}{T_{mov}}
\]

The simplification is given by applying (1). Note that the processing load does not depend on the frequency of peer joinings and disconnections, the network size and the average number of resources published by a node, which confirms the scalability properties of Self-Chord.

The results discussed so far have shown that the Self-Chord agents reorder the keys starting from a completely disordered network. Normal circumstances are much less stressful: if the network grows gradually, the correct sorting of the keys can be kept with few agent operations that move the new keys to the correct place of the ring. The relocation of a new key is achieved by a procedure that is analogous to that used for resource discovery, and therefore can be completed very rapidly, in a time that is less than logarithmic with respect to the number of peers. However, a set of specific experiments was performed to evaluate Self-Chord in more disadvantageous situations: once the reordering process has reached a steady condition, a perturbation is generated by simulating the simultaneous arrival of a large number of new peers, each with 10 new resources on average. The initial number of peers \( N_p \) is set to 1024, but after 10,000 time units, a number of new peers, specified as a percentage \( P_{join} \) of \( N_p \), join the network.

Performance analysis focuses on the average distance between consecutive centroids, since this index gives an immediate indication about the effective reordering of keys over the network. Figure 7 shows the value of this index before and after the perturbation induced by the joining of a percentage \( P_{join} \) of new peers, with \( P_{join} \) set to 25%, 50% and 100%, corresponding respectively to 256, 512 and 1024 peers. The index experiences a sudden and prominent increase at the joining time: since the new keys are published randomly by the peers, the key ordering is disturbed. However, the agents replace the new keys and restore the correct ordering very rapidly, in a number of time ranging from 40 to 200 time units.

It can be noticed that the steady value of the average centroid distance, after the perturbation, becomes equal to the new value of \( N_c/N_p \). With \( N_c \) set to 1024, the value of the ratio is equal, in the three examined cases, to 4/5, 2/3 and 1/2, respectively. The comparison between Figures 7 and 2 is interesting. While the agents take about 5000 time units to order the keys in a network with 1024 peers, if they start from scratch, they take only 200 time units to order the keys published by additional 1024 peers.

4.3 Discussion

The results reported in this section allow for a comprehensive analysis of Self-Chord and its comparison with Chord. Due to the self-organizing sorting of keys performed by agents, the most important functionality of Chord, logarithmic discovery time, is preserved. In addition, Self-Chord features several benefits with respect to Chord:

(i) Better support of complex discovery requests. In structured P2P systems, Chord included, a user can search for a specific resource using its resource key as a search parameter, but more complex discovery requests are not easily supported, unless at the cost of maintaining complex structures. In Self-Chord, the definition of resource keys is flexible, and it is possible to give them a semantic meaning. This enables the system to serve “class” queries, issued to search for resources having common characteristics.

(ii) Better balance of storage load. Self-Chord improves the balance of storage load among peers. In Chord, a peer is responsible for all the keys whose values are between its index and the index of the predecessor peer on the ring. Therefore, a peer might store a large number of keys if the distance between this peer and its predecessor is large. Moreover, if some resources are more popular than others,
imbalance problems are even worse, because the peers that store popular keys may be overloaded. In Self-Chord, the number of keys stored by a peer does not depend neither on the distance from its predecessor nor on the popularity distribution of keys. As discussed in Section 4.1, the work of agents in Self-Chord is capable of significantly improving the load balance, with respect to Chord, even with a uniform distribution of keys, and the advantage increases with a non-uniform distribution.

(iii) Improved dynamic behavior. In Chord the computational load strongly depends on the dynamic behavior of the system, for example on the churn rate of peers, whereas in Self-Chord it is constant. In Self-Chord, the placement of new/modified keys in the correct position of the ring is achieved in a logarithmic time, so it is as fast as a resource discovery operation. Moreover, any perturbation of the steady condition, even very intense, is efficiently managed, and the key ordering is recovered rapidly. This assures scalability (keys are continuously reordered as the network grows) and robustness with respect to environmental changes. The system is also robust with respect to modifications of resource properties: for example, if the value of a resource key changes, due to a modification of the resource, the key will soon be moved by the agents that, by recognizing that the key has become an outlier in the current peer, will assign a large take probability to it.

Finally, it should be remarked here that all these improvements are obtained in a totally decentralized and self-organizing fashion, while they would be very difficult to achieve with any centralized algorithm. This confirms the surprising efficacy of these very simple nature-inspired mechanisms, especially when they are adopted in a large distributed environment.

5 Conclusions

This paper aims to open a new research avenue for P2P frameworks, because it presents a P2P system that inherits the beneficial characteristics of structured systems, but offers further profitable characteristics inherited by biological systems, such as self-organization, adaptivity, scalability and fast recovery from external perturbations. In Self-Chord, a set of ant-inspired mobile agents move and reorder the resource keys in a ring of peers in a self-organizing fashion, without any predetermined association between keys and peers. Self-Chord efficiency and efficacy were confirmed by simulation results. In this paper the presented ant-inspired approach is applied to Chord, but it could similarly be applied to other structured P2P systems, in which peers are not organized in a ring, but in other structures such as multi-dimensional grids or trees. In these cases, the self-organization and ordering of keys can be achieved with proper modifications of the bio-inspired algorithm presented in this paper. Current work also focuses on the definition of an analytical model, based on fluid dynamics, in order to give a strong theoretical foundation to Self-Chord.
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