Actin dependence of polarized receptor recycling in MDCK cell endosomes
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ABSTRACT

Mammalian epithelial cell plasma membrane domains are separated by junctional complexes supported by actin. The extent to which actin acts elsewhere to maintain cell polarity remains poorly understood. Using latrunculin B (Lat B) to depolymerize actin filaments, several basolateral plasma membrane proteins were found to lose their polarized distribution. This loss of polarity did not reflect lateral diffusion through junctional complexes since an LDL receptor mutant lacking a functional endocytosis signal remained basolateral after Lat B treatment. Furthermore Lat B treatment did not facilitate membrane diffusion across the tight junction as observed with EDTA or DMSO treatment. Detailed analysis of transferrin recycling confirmed Lat B depolarized recycling of transferrin from endosomes to the basolateral surface. Kinetic analysis suggested sorting was compromised at both basolateral early endosomes and perinuclear recycling endosomes. Despite loss of function, these two endosome populations remained distinct from each other and from early endosomes labeled by apically internalized ligand. Furthermore, apical and basolateral early endosomes were functionally distinct populations which directed traffic to a single common recycling endosomal compartment even after Lat B treatment. Thus, filamentous actin may help to guide receptor traffic from endosomes to the basolateral plasma membrane.
INTRODUCTION

Polarized epithelial cells maintain distinct apical and basolateral (BL) plasma membrane domains separated by junctional complexes (Balda and Matter, 1998; Drubin and Nelson, 1996; Rodriguez-Boulan and Powell, 1992). Most membrane proteins are targeted to one domain by distinctive sorting signals (Mellman, 1996). Such sorting events must work in conjunction with cytoskeletal elements such as actin which also play critical roles in the generation and maintenance of cell polarity. Actin interacts directly or indirectly with a variety of membrane and membrane-associated scaffold components at the tight junction and may also help to establish an intrinsic polarity of cytoskeletal elements throughout the cell (Zahraoui, et al., 2000). These interactions may also contribute to establishing a molecular fence between the apical and basolateral domains that prevents the lateral diffusion of membrane proteins and lipids from one domain to the other.

In mammalian epithelial cells, actin may play a role in polarized vesicle transport on the secretory pathway. Myosins I and II have both been associated with TGN-derived transport vesicles (Fath, et al., 1997; Stow, et al., 1998). Expression of dominant negative Cdc42 (an actin regulatory molecule) in MDCK cells mis-directs basolateral traffic from the TGN (Kroschewski, et al., 1999; Musch, et al., 2001). However, it is unknown if Cdc42 acts in an actin dependent manner or through some other pathway.

Actin, and actin-associated proteins are also involved in the endocytic pathway. Receptor-mediated endocytosis via clathrin coated pits is restricted to specific sites by the actin cytoskeleton and actin may restrict mobility of newly internalized vesicles.
An increasing number of actin-binding proteins as well as Rho GTPases have also been implicated as being involved in the formation of clathrin-coated vesicles, although it remains unclear if these elements provide mechanistic or regulatory functions (Durrbach, et al., 1996; Fujimoto, et al., 2000; Jackman, et al., 1994; Kessels, et al., 2001).

Actin may help propel endocytic vesicles as they traverse the cytosol. Both myosin based motility and Listeria-like actin comet tails have been associated with endosomal vesicles (Depina and Langford, 1999; Merrifield, et al., 1999; Raposo, et al., 1999; Taunton, et al., 2000). Rho family GTPases are also associated with endosomes (Sonnichsen, et al., 2000). Functionally, brush border myosin I and the unconventional myosin myr4 have been implicated in endocytic traffic. Expression of dominant negative myosin I partially disrupts the polarized recycling of transferrin at the basolateral surface of Caco-2 cells, while myr4 may play a role in traffic between endosomal compartments along the recycling pathway (Durrbach, et al., 2000; Huber, et al., 2000). A similar effect was observed when the cells were treated with the actin depolymerizing agent latrunculin A. However, on balance, treatment with actin depolymerizing agents (latrunculin A, latrunculin B, cytochalasin D) and stabilizing agents (jasplakinolide) have yielded mixed results (Durrbach, et al., 1996; Gottlieb, et al., 1993; Jackman, et al., 1994; Lamaze, et al., 1997; Maples, et al., 1997).

While actin is implicated in a number of mechanisms required for epithelial polarity, it remains unclear how these mechanisms interact with proteins already present in a polarized distribution at the plasma membrane. We sought to establish
whether the actin cytoskeleton acts primarily at the tight junction, separating apical and basolateral domains; or if it is important for maintaining polarity of endocytic sorting as suggested for delivery of basolateral components from the TGN.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biochemical reagents

All reagents were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis Mo.) unless otherwise noted. Alexa-488-Tfn, TR-Tfn, antibody labeling kits and secondary antibodies were purchased from Molecular Probes Inc. (Eugene Ore.) 125I was purchased from Amersham. Tfn was radioiodinated using Iodo-Gen (Pierce chemical, Rockford Il) to ~ 5 µCi/µg.

Constructs.

Tfn Receptor (TfnR), FcLR and LDLR constructs are as previously described (Matter, et al., 1993). TfnR was subcloned into the adenovirus system per manufacturer’s directions (Quantum Biotechnologies, Montreal, Quebec, Canada).

Fluorescent imaging of MDCK cells.

Endosomes were visualized with labeled ligands applied to apical or basolateral surfaces of confluent MDCK monolayers cultured in Transwell inserts.

Receptor Expression: Transfected cells were grown and induced as previously described except that in double expression studies TfnR was expressed using an adenoviral vector which did not visibly affect morphology (our unpublished results) (Sheff, et al., 1999).

Surface localization: Cells were fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde and incubated with either mAb C7 (against LDLR ectodomain) or mAb 2.4G2 (against FcR ectodomain) applied to both sides of the transwell membrane. Lat B (0.4 ug/ml) was added for 30 min at 37°C prior to fixation. For FcLR(5-22) and tfnR surface localization,
TR-2.4G2 and Alexa 488-Tfn were applied to both surfaces at 0° for 30 min, rinsed briefly in PBS (at 0°C), then fixed as above.

**Transferrin internalization studies:** MDCK expressing FcLR(5-22) and/or TfnR were cultured on Transwell filters. Cells were incubated in serum-free media 37°C for 30 min to deplete endogenous Tfn. To label RE, TR-Tfn (0.1 mg/ml) was internalized basolaterally at 37°C for 30 min and chased for 30 min. Residual ligand was removed with PBS pH 2.9. To label EE and RE, the cells were chilled, allowed to bind FITC-Tfn 1 hr on ice (0.1 mg/ml), washed and warmed to 37°C for 2-3 min. For apical vs. basolateral tfn internalization, tfn was bound to each side for 1 and the label internalized for 3 min at 37°C. Lat B (0.4 µg/ml) was added for the last 10 min of binding on ice and during internalization.

**FcLR and TfnR double labeling of endosomes:** Doubly expressing cells were prepared as above. TR-2.4G2 was applied apically and internalized either for 2 minutes or for 30 minutes (to equilibration). Alexa 488-Tfn were applied to the and basal surface of the transwell insert on ice then warmed to 37° for 2 or 2 minutes. Surfaces were acid washed as above.

**Lipid labeling studies:** MDCK cells were fed C₆-NBD ceramide (molecular Probes) 5 mg/ml in ethanol and diluted 1:200 into DMEM (no FCS) for 30 min on ice then 30 min at 37°C, then chased 1 hr at 37°C to allow glycosylation by the Golgi and delivery to the plasma membrane. Apical label was extracted with 1% (w:v) BSA in DMEM (30 min at 10°C). Cells were treated with Lat B 0.4 µg/ml, DMSO 0.1% (v:v) or 10 mM EDTA for 30 min at 37°C and imaged live.
All images were obtained on a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal (using Zeiss LSM 510 software on a Dell Pentium II computer) or Zeiss Axioplan M microscope (Princeton Instruments digital camera, Princeton Instruments, Princeton, NJ.) driven by Openlab 2.04 (Improvision, Coventry, England) run on an Apple Macintosh G-4. Images were enhanced and combined using Adobe Photoshop.

**Quantitation of receptors and kinetic observations of recycling**

Either $^{125}$I-Tfn or $^{125}$I-C7 was bound to the apical or basolateral surface of transwell grown MDCK cells for 1 hr on ice. Lat B treatment before binding was 0.4 µg/ml for 30 min at 37°C. Equal amounts of label were applied to untransfected cells to obtain nonspecific binding values.

Kinetic observations. Kinetic observations of tfn recycling internalization, recycling and transcytosis were performed essentially as described previously (Sheff, et al., 1999).

**Modeling of kinetic data**

Modeling of transferrin recycling was performed as described previously beginning with previously derived values for each rate constant (Sheff, et al., 1999). Additionally, internalization and rapid return rates were determined for apically bound transferrin (to be published elsewhere).

Models were based on first order rate constants between compartments as described previously. Variables were defined as:

BLB = material bound to basolateral membrane
BEE = basolateral early endosomal compartment
RE = common recycling endosome compartment
BL= basolaterally recycled ligand
A = apically released ligand
AB = apically bound ligand
AEE = apical early endosome

k₁ = rate of transport of ligand from BLB to BEE
k₋₁ = rate of transport of ligand from BEE to BLB
k₂ = rate of transport of ligand from BEE to RE
k₋₂= rate of transport of ligand from RE to BEE
k₃ = rate of transport of ligand from RE to BL
k₄ = rate of transport of ligand from BEE to BL
k₅ = rate of transport of ligand from RE to A
k₆ = rate of transport of ligand from BEE to A
k₇ = rate of transport of ligand from AB to AEE
k₈ = rate of transport of ligand from AEE to RE
k₋₈ = rate of transport of ligand from RE to AEE
k₉ = rate of transport of ligand from AEE to A
k₁₀ = potential rate of transport of ligand from AEE to BL
k₁₁ = potential rate of transport of ligand from AEE to BEE
k₋₁₁ = potential rate of transport of ligand from BEE to AEE
The assignment of rate constants is graphically displayed in figure 6A. When a pathway is absent, the value $k_n$ is set to zero for that pathway. The equations describing transit of ligand were integrated to yield the following algebraic forms for calculation:

$$\text{BLB} = \text{BLB}_0 e^{-k_1 t} + \text{BEE}_0 (1-e^{-k_1 t})$$

$$\text{BEE} = \text{BEE}_0 e^{-(k-1+k4+k2+k11+k6)t} + \text{BLB}_0 (1-e^{-k_1 t}) + \text{RE}_0 (1-e^{-k_2 t}) + \text{AEE}_0 (1-e^{-k_{11} t})$$

$$\text{RE} = \text{RE}_0 e^{-(k3+k-2+k-8+k5)t} + \text{AEE}_0 (1-e^{-k_{8} t}) + \text{BEE}_0 (1-e^{-k2 t})$$

$$\text{AEE} = \text{AEE}_0 e^{-(k-11+k8+k9+k10+k-7)t} + \text{RE}_0 (1-e^{-k_{8} t}) + \text{BEE}_0 (1-e^{-k_{11} t}) + \text{AB}_0 (1-e^{-k_7 t})$$

$$\text{AB} = \text{AB}_0 e^{-k_7 t} + \text{AEE}_0 (1-e^{-k_{7} t})$$

$$\text{A} = \text{A}_0 + \text{RE}_0 (1-e^{-k5 t}) + \text{AEE}_0 (1-e^{-k9 t}) + \text{BEE}_0 (1-e^{-k6 t})$$

$$\text{BL} = \text{BL}_0 + \text{BEE}_0 (1-e^{-k4 t}) + \text{RE}_0 (1-e^{-k3 t}) + \text{AEE}_0 (1-e^{-k_{10} t})$$

Modeling and curve fitting was performed using Microsoft Excel 98 (Microsoft, Seattle WA) as described (Sheff, et al., 1999) using 4 separate measurable parameters at each time point (apically and basolaterally released transferrin from cells labeled on the apical or basolateral side). Goodness of fit was determined by sum squared error and statistical comparison of fits was performed by Fischer’s F test as described (Sheff, et al., 1999).
RESULTS

Depolymerizing actin redistributes basolateral and apical plasma membrane markers.

The actin cytoskeleton has been implicated in the development of epithelial cell polarity and in particular in the basolateral delivery of nascent proteins (Depina and Langford, 1999; Kroschewski, et al., 1999). We therefore sought to examine how actin may be involved in the maintenance of membrane polarity. Lat B is a membrane-permeant actin monomer sequestering drug capable of depolymerizing actin filaments (Gronewold, et al., 1999; Spector, et al., 1983). Lat B treatment of MDCK cells (0.4 µg/ml) disrupted basolateral actin stress fibers after 10 min with complete dissolution and relocalization of the actin to the lateral margins within 25 min (at 37°C). Subcortical apical actin redistributed to scattered apical dots over the same timecourse (Fig. 1, right column). In contrast, cytochalasin D treatment disrupted basolateral actin stress fibers but created punctate basolateral actin structures (Fig. 1 lower panel, center column) (Apodaca, 2001; Maples, et al., 1997). Disruption of apical actin structures was similar for both drugs (Fig. 1 upper panels).

We examined the effects of Lat B on the polarized distribution of two rapidly internalized plasma membrane receptors. MDCK cells were stably transfected an apically targeted construct, FcLR(5-22) which consists of the ectodomain of the IgG Fc receptor (FcRBI) fused to the coated pit localization signal of LDLR, eliminating both basolateral targeting sequences but retaining the endocytosis determinant (Matter, et al.,
In the same cells, a basolaterally targeted wild type human Tfn receptor (TfnR) was expressed using a recombinant adenovirus.

Untreated cells exhibited a polarized phenotype with the FcLR(5-22) restricted to the apical membrane (Fig. 2A and 2C left column) and TfnR restricted to the basolateral membrane as found previously (Fig. 2A and 2B left column) (Sheff, et al., 1999). Treatment with Lat B for 30 min, however, led to the apparent depolarization of these receptors such that both FcLR and TfnR were now observed in the apical and basolateral regions of almost all cells (Fig. 2, right column). No effect was observed at 15 min (not shown).

**Latrunculin B does not induce depolarization by facilitating lateral diffusion through compromised tight junctions.**

Actin is well known to underlie and possibly stabilize junctional complexes. As a result, Lat B might act to disrupt tight junction integrity resulting in lateral diffusion between apical and basolateral domains. However, Lat B treatment for 30 min did not visibly alter the distribution of the tight junction-associated protein ZO-1 (Fig. 3) suggesting that tight junctions remained intact. In contrast, incubation with EDTA (which disrupts cell-cell contacts) for 15 min. resulted in the loss of continuous ZO-1 staining at cell margins (Fig. 3). DMSO, which disrupts the diffusion barrier to proteins in the axon initial segment by “uncoupling” the plasma membrane from the underlying cytoskeleton (Winckler, et al., 1999), had only a slight effect on ZO-1 staining (Fig. 3). Nor did DMSO affect TfnR or FcLR(5-22) distribution (not shown).
Lat B treatment may compromise tight junction integrity, however, without obviously affecting ZO-1 staining. To evaluate this possibility, we assayed Lat B-treated monolayers for paracellular leakage. First, we measured transepithelial resistance. An intact monolayer had a resistance of 267 ohm/cm$^2$. Following Lat B (or DMSO) treatment as above, this value decreased by about 50% to 140 or 149 Ohm/cm$^2$ respectively. In contrast, when monolayers were treated with EDTA for just 30 min, transepithelial resistance virtually disappeared (4.5 Ohm/cm$^2$). Similar results were obtained using trace-labeled $^{125}$I labeled Tfn to measure the leakage of macromolecules from the apical medium. After 30 min, untreated cells leaked 20,000 CPM to the basal medium, Lat B treated cells 80,000 CPM and EDTA treated cells 220,000 CPM. Taken together, these results suggest that tight junction integrity remained relatively intact following Lat B treatment although there was some loss of function.

To further characterize tight junction activity, we next asked if Lat B-treated MDCK cells retained a diffusion barrier for lipids using the fluorescent probe C6-NBD-ceramide (Lipsky and Pagano, 1983; Van Meer, et al., 1987). Label was selectively depleted from the apical surface by extraction with BSA leaving the basolateral surface selectively labeled with glycosylated NBD lipid. Lat B treatment did not result in any significant apical redistribution of NBD-lipids (Fig. 4; upper panels). Some of the label was observed in the apical region although clearly in the subapical cytoplasm as it did not colocalize with apically applied Alexa 594-wheat germ agglutinin (Fig. 4; upper panels).
In contrast, treatment with either DMSO or EDTA resulted in redistribution of the NBD-ceramide to the apical plasma membrane in addition to intracellular structures in the apical cytoplasm (Fig. 4; lower panels). Taken together with the measurements of transepithelial flux, these data suggest that the loss of plasma membrane polarity following Lat B did not result from induced diffusion across a disrupted or compromised tight junction barrier (as in EDTA). If lipids could not pass through tight junctions in Lat B-treated cells, it was highly unlikely that membrane proteins could. Therefore, the ability of Lat B to cause the depolarization of TfnR and LDLR may reflect a perturbation in endocytic membrane traffic. Since bulk lipids such as NBD-ceramide are not selectively internalized, they may be less susceptible to any such alterations.

**Loss of LDL receptor polarity requires endocytosis.**

If Lat B disrupted polarity by inhibiting polarized recycling, then it should only act on membrane proteins that were capable of rapid endocytosis. We therefore compared redistribution of wild type LDL receptor (LDLR) with that of an endocytosis-defective LDLR mutant Y18A-LDLR (Matter, et al., 1993). Both were stably expressed in MDCK cells and found to be restricted to the basolateral domain (Fig. 5A; left). Following 30 min of Lat B treatment, wild type LDLR became depolarized in most of the cells (Fig 5A; top right). In sharp contrast, the internalization-defective Y18A LDLR mutant was not redistributed by Lat B treatment, except for a few isolated cells (Fig. 5A; lower right).
To quantify these results, filter grown MDCK cells stably transfected with the TfnR, LDLR or 18YA-LDLR were labeled from the basolateral or apical surfaces with $^{125}$I-Tfn or $^{125}$I-C7 (an antibody which recognizes the ectodomain of LDLR and 18YA-LDLR). In untreated cells 87% of the surface TfnR was basolateral, as was 85% of LDLR and 86% of 18YA-LDLR (Fig. 5B). Lat B treatment for 30 min resulted in a randomization of TfnR distribution (to 50% basolateral) and of the LDLR (to 60% basolateral) but not 18YA-LDLR. Taken together, these results strongly suggest that Lat B induced redistribution of plasma membrane proteins is dependent upon endocytosis of the protein, and by extension suggest that depolymerization of actin disrupts endosomal sorting or trafficking.

**Actin depolymerization inhibits polarized recycling of transferrin.**

The Lat B analog latrunculin A has recently been found to cause ~20% mis-sorting of pre-internalized Tfn to the apical side in Caco-2 cells (Durrbach, et al., 2000). Since the Caco-2 monolayers were found not to have appreciably lost transepithelial electrical resistance following treatment, it was presumed that apical release of Tfn was due to intracellular mis-sorting. However, it was unclear which endosome population(s) was affected by latrunculin treatment. Stimulated by these results, we next characterized the effects of Lat B on the polarity of Tfn recycling in MDCK cells in greater detail.

To facilitate a kinetic analysis of endocytic trafficking, filter grown MDCK cells were labeled on the basolateral surface with $^{125}$I-Tfn on ice. By starting the experiment...
with all labeled Tfn at the plasma membrane, it was possible to monitor Tfn through all compartments of the endocytic pathway (Brown, et al., 2000; Sheff, et al., 1999). After 60 min, 85% of the labeled Tfn was recycled into the basolateral media, 7% was transcytosed (basolateral to apical) into the apical media, the remainder stayed associated with the cells and filter. Treatment with Lat B (10 min at 0°C and in the chase media) dramatically randomized Tfn recycling (49% basolateral, 45% transcytosed) (Fig. 6A). This effect was significantly larger than that observed by Durrbach and colleagues using Lat A (Durrbach, et al., 2000) and may reflect the added contribution of mis-sorting from the early endosomes. By contrast, 25 µg/ml cytochalasin D did not alter basolateral Tfn recycling in agreement with previous observations (Maples, et al., 1997) (our unpublished results). Lat B sequesters actin monomers and does not compete with actin capping proteins, thus may be a more effective actin depolymerizing drug than cytochalasin D (Wakatsuki, et al., 2001).

Apical recycling was monitored by binding labeled Tfn to the small fraction of TfnR on the apical surface in the transfected MDCK cells (~15% of the surface total) (Fig 6B). 71% was recycled apically (released into the apical media) while 22% was transcytosed to the basolateral side. After treatment with Lat B, only 60% was recycled while 34% was transcytosed. The effect of Lat B on apical recycling was thus much less pronounced than on basolateral recycling but still significant.

To confirm that the randomization of basolateral tfn recycling was not due to paracellular leakage, the effect of Lat B on basolateral recycling was compared to that of EDTA. Treatment with EDTA resulted in only 25% mis-targeting to the apical media,
far less than that seen with Lat B treatment despite the much larger paracellular leakage (see above) confirming that the mistargeting occurred during intracellular sorting.

**Polarized sorting is perturbed in both the early endosomes and the recycling endosomes.**

We next took advantage of our previously characterized system for kinetic analysis of Tfn traffic in MDCK cells to locate steps along the endocytic pathway that might be affected by Lat B (Sheff, et al., 1999). This approach utilizes a total of six separate experimental measurements (clearance from each surface, internalization, and release of Tfn from both the apical and basolateral surfaces) to build a mathematical model of flux through endocytic compartments (Fig. 7A). Surface clearance was first calculated from the apical or basolateral surfaces in separate data sets. Using this value, the rapid return rate ($k_3$ or $k_9$) was calculated from early timepoints (<8 min). These values in turn were used in fitting the mathematical construct to data from later timepoints to derive values for the slower return pathway (e.g., via the RE). Thus a single modeled set of kinetic values was required to fit data for internalization, recycling and transcytosis from both surfaces and be valid for both early and late timepoints. As an additional constraint, the model should predict a 5:1 ratio of IgA to Tfn in the RE when both were basolaterally internalized. This ratio was derived from observations of Brown et al. (2000), and in accord with our previously published data for IgA transcytosis (Sheff, et al., 1999).
While a kinetic analysis may not establish a definitive mechanism, it provides an effective and possibly the only means to compare quantitatively intracellular transport steps which do not lend themselves to accurate biochemical determination. We make the assumptions that Tfn is internalized from the basolateral or apical surface first into a compartment of basolateral (or apical) early endosomes. Tfn is then transferred to a single recycling endosome population, or returned rapidly to the basolateral (or apical) plasma membrane. Our previous morphological and cell fractionation analysis of endosomes in MDCK cells provides support for these assumptions, and for the inference that the bulk of internalized Tfn is rapidly recycled back to the basolateral surface without ever reaching the recycling endosome population (Sheff, et al., 1999). However, we also considered in the analysis that an additional apical recycling compartment may exist, as suggested by others (Apodaca, 2001).

Internalization rates were directly calculated from the surface clearance data. Rates of transfer between endosomal populations were derived using the internalization rates and then fitting mathematical curves to the apical and basal release data. The model system is illustrated in Figure 7A (left panel). Rate equations are as previously published except for the addition of an apical early endosome compartment (Sheff, et al., 1999); models using a single early endosome for both apical and basolateral traffic did not fit the data.

We first analyzed endocytosis and recycling of Tfn at the apical surface, as we had not previously analyzed these events. Kinetically, the apical recycling pathway was analogous to its basolateral counterpart. Apical internalization of Tfn to AEE was
$k_7 = 0.17/\text{min}$, slightly slower than internalization of Tfn from the basolateral surface ($k_1 = 0.21/\text{min}$). Rates of return back to the apical surface directly ($k_9 = 0.085/\text{min}$) or to a lesser extent via the RE compartment ($k_5 = 0.019/\text{min}$) were then calculated (Table 1). Apical recycling differed from basolateral recycling both in the rate of internalization and in that there was significant transcytosis from AEE to the opposite (i.e. basolateral) plasma membrane ($k_{10} = 0.013$). In contrast, BEE to the apical surface traffic was negligible ($k_6 = 0$ and Fig. 6A).

Surprisingly, Lat B did not affect the rate of surface clearance from either surface (our unpublished results). This differs from published results using the actin depolymerizing drug cytochalasin D which demonstrated an inhibition of apical internalization of VSV G protein, although Tfn uptake was unaffected (Gottlieb, et al., 1993). These differences may result from measuring tfn clearance at both the apical and basolateral surfaces in this study or from measuring surface clearance instead of measuring the accumulation of internalized Tfn (Jackman, et al., 1994). Using these internalization values, we next derived the rate constants for recycling in Lat B-treated vs. untreated cells. As shown in Figure 7C for control cells, the fit of this model to the data (n=3) was good, with a sum square error (SS) of 103 (where SS=0 is a perfect fit) and values matched those previously derived (Sheff, et al., 1999).

The fit of the control cell model to the Lat B derived data was not as good (SS=483) suggesting that Lat B treatment had changed the pathways available for Tfn trafficking (Fig. 7C). A better fitting model was obtained by adding a single theoretical pathway ($k_6$) directly connecting the BEE and the apical plasma membrane (SS=75).
Comparing the basic model (Fig. 7A) to this $k_n$ model (Fig. 7A, dashed line) with one degree of freedom difference in the models and 17 degrees of freedom in the $k_n$ model, Fischer’s F Test, $F=92$. This indicates that the expanded model fits the Lat B data significantly better ($p < 0.005$) than the basic recycling model (compare Figure 7D and 7E). Note the tighter fit of generated curves to data points at earlier times. The greater effect of Lat B on basolaterally versus apically internalized Tfn traffic is reflected in the model by large increase in BEE to apical traffic ($k_n$) but no alteration in traffic from the AEE to the basolateral PM ($k_{10}$) suggesting a specific effect on the BEE resulting in mis-targeting to the apical PM.

Proposing (mathematically) the existence of unexpected pathways (e.g. direct transport between AEE and BEE, depicted in Fig. 7A, left) or the possibility that compartments now thought of distinct are in fact common (e.g. AEE and BEE) do not produce curves that fit the experimental data, either in control or Lat B-treated cells.

Taken together these results suggest that Lat B may affect traffic from the BEE, possibly allowing transport carriers from this compartment to reach the apical surface without passing through RE. Examination of the rate constants derived from this model (Fig. 7D and Table 1) suggest that there is a second effect of Lat B treatment on basolateral recycling traffic in the RE. The value of $k_3$, traffic from the RE to the BL plasma membrane, was reduced from 0.07 to 0.02 (a 3.5 fold difference). This would have the functional consequence of depolarizing traffic out of the RE, and may be responsible for most of the mis-sorting observed when cells are pre-loaded with Tfn, under which conditions the Tfn accumulates in REs (Durrbach, et al., 2000).
Latrunculin B treatment alters the spatial distribution of MDCK cell endosomes.

Lat B might stimulate mis-sorting in BEEs by altering their spatial distribution in the cytoplasm or their sorting mechanism. To determine the effect of Lat B on endosome distribution, we first separately labeled BEE and AEE with brief pulses of Tfn internalized simultaneously from the apical and basolateral surfaces (Fig. 8A). As has been observed with fluid phase uptake, apical and basolateral Tfn appeared in distinct non-overlapping EE compartments (Bomsel, et al., 1990; Parton, et al., 1989). Lat B did not result in a physical merging of these compartments, but did cause the appearance of basolaterally-internalized Tfn in more central and apical regions of the cytoplasm (Fig. 8B). Thus, the spatial distribution of BEE may be in part controlled by actin.

Next, the BEE and RE were separately labeled with two sequential basolateral pulses of Tfn (Texas red-Tfn internalized for 30 min followed by Alexa 488-Tfn for 3 min). As demonstrated previously, this regimen resulted in the labeling of BEE and RE in a non-overlapping distribution (Fig. 8C) which was unaffected by Lat B (Fig. 8D) (Sheff, et al., 1999). Again some of the BEE could now be observed in the apical cytoplasm.

Finally, the confluence of apical and basolateral endocytic pathways was visualized. The apical pathway was labeled to equilibrium using a Texas red-tagged antibody bound to the apically recycling FcLR chimera. The RE were then labeled with Alexa 488-Tfn from the basolateral side as before. Apical and basolateral markers appeared at least in part to co-localize in RE, as reported previously (Hughson and Hopkins, 1990; Leung, et al., 2000; Odorizzi, et al., 1996; Sheff, et al., 1999) (arrowheads
Fig. 8D and Fig. 9 - supplemental reconstruction QT video). After Lat B treatment, however, the extent of colocalization was reduced, although some structures did appear to contain both labels (arrowheads Fig. 8E). This was unexpected and suggests that Lat B restricts the ability of apical and basolateral recycling receptors to enter a common RE population.
DISCUSSION

Actin is clearly involved in endocytic processes. Yeast and mammalian studies alike confirm that even the initial internalization of clathrin coated vesicles is at least regulated by a family of proteins that interact with or modify the actin cytoskeleton. These include members of the Rho GTPase family, Eps15 family members, and Huntington-interacting type proteins (Ellis and Mellor, 2000; Fujimoto, et al., 2000). Actin is also involved in various post-endocytic events including myosin-dependent or actin comet tail-driven vesicle motility (Durrbach, et al., 1996; Durrbach, et al., 2000; Gaidarov, et al., 1999; Leung, et al., 2000; Merrifield, et al., 1999; Raposo, et al., 1999; Taunton, et al., 2000). Our initial observations of Lat B-treated cells indicated a rapid and striking loss of plasma membrane polarity. While we assumed at first this would reflect a loss of tight junction activity, a rather different mechanism emerged. The basolateral distribution of an LDLR mutant was disrupted while that of an endocytosis-defective LDLR mutant was not affected by Lat B. Demonstrating that Lat B-induced depolarization exhibited an absolute requirement for internalization. Thus, Lat B acted at a step following endocytosis, presumably recycling.

Actin depolymerization depolarizes endosomal sorting.

Lat B profoundly disrupted polarized recycling of TfnR. Sorting of Tfn internalized from the basolateral surface was literally randomized, an effect that was similar in form but far greater in magnitude to that recently observed by Durrbach et al.
(using latrunculin A in Caco-2 cells) (Durrbach, et al., 2000). The reason for this quantitative difference is itself important. In the previous study, Tfn was pre-loaded for 30 min at 37°C, conditions which would place the majority of the Tfn in the RE population (Sheff, et al., 1999). Thus, any actin-dependent events that occur at the level of early endosomes would not have been readily detected. By binding Tfn to the basolateral surface on ice and then following recycling, we were able to monitor changes in sorting in both early endosomes and RE. Indeed, our kinetic analysis strongly suggested that Lat B acted predominantly to cause mis-sorting in basolateral early endosomes.

Lat B treatment had a much smaller effect on the sorting of Tfn internalized from the apical surface, consistent with a primary effect on the BEE. Moreover, if the defect were at the plasma membrane or the tight junction, then both apically and basolaterally bound transferrins would be equally affected.

Other studies with cytochalasin D have detected an asymmetric effect on apical but not basolateral membrane traffic of pIgR, folate and ricin in MDCK cells (Gottlieb, et al., 1993; Jackman, et al., 1994; Maples, et al., 1997). The difference may either be due to the ability of Lat B to depolymerize actin filaments to a greater extent that cytochalasin D or due to differences in internalization mechanisms of the apical plasma membrane protein studied (Durrbach, et al., 2000; Maples, et al., 1997).

*Functional pathways of endocytic traffic*
Our quantitative analysis of the data indicated that the majority of apically internalized Tf :: cycled directly from the AEE back to the apical surface. In this respect the AEE is functionally analogous to the BEE providing a rapid recycling pathway from the early endosome back to the plasma membrane of origin. How then is plasma membrane polarity maintained? 20% of the apically bound Tf :: was transcytosed to the basolateral surface while only 5% of basolaterally bound Tf :: reached the apical surface. Kinetic modeling suggests that differential sorting to the basolateral side occurs in the RE. Even though only a percentage of membrane traffic passes through the RE, multiple rounds of endocytosis will effectively polarize Tf :: R to the basolateral surface. Taken together with our previous results demonstrating sorting of transcytotic pIgAR from basolateral Tf :: R in the RE, these results suggest that the RE is a key sorting organelle selectively sorting basolateral from apical traffic over multiple rounds of endocytosis.

Kinetic modeling allowed some insight into the effects of Lat B on endocytic pathways. Surprisingly, merely changing the magnitude of flux along pathways described in untreated cells (plasma membrane to BEE, BEE to plasma membrane or RE and RE to plasma membrane) did not account for the effects of Lat B, suggesting that Lat B treatment created a new aberrant pathway. Adding a pathway (k₆) connecting the BEE to the apical plasma membrane (not normally active in control cells) derived a new model with a statistically significant better fit to the data (see Fig. 7). Traffic along this pathway would effectively depolarize the plasma membrane protein distribution. Conceivably, then, it is in BEEs that the primary effect of Lat B on basolateral Tf :: R
recycling is manifested. Most, but not all of the observed randomization of TfnR recycling could be explained by effects on BEE. The remaining randomization of basolateral traffic, as well as the lesser randomization of apically internalized tfn could be accounted for by halving the traffic of basolateral receptors from the RE to the basolateral surface rather than increased delivery to the apical surface. The result is that the RE would send roughly equal fluxes of TfnR to the basolateral and apical surfaces respectively (k = 0.021 vs. 0.028) and thus effectively inactivate sorting in the RE. This effect would also explain the lesser degree of mis-sorting observed in cells pre-loaded with Tfn (Durrbach, et al., 1996).

Surprisingly, Lat B interfered with colocalization of apical and basolateral markers in the same RE, although flux through the two RE subsets was the same, suggesting that the convergence of apical and basolateral traffic in a single RE is to some extent guided by actin. Correct sorting in the RE also appears to be actin dependent suggesting that convergence of apical and basolateral proteins into the RE is required for proper sorting and targeting from the RE.

Apical and basolateral pathways contain distinct early endosomes.

The differential effect on apical vs. basolaterally endocytosed Tfn implied that Tfn internalized from each surface passed through distinct compartments. Separate apical and basolateral early endosomes have been proposed based on internalization of fluid phase markers as well as apical and basolateral membrane receptors (Bomsel, et al., 1990; Parton, et al., 1989). Our system provided the opportunity to test targeting of
apically and basolaterally internalized receptors using the same ligand from each surface. At the level of confocal microscopy, Tfna internalized from the apical and basolateral domains were directed to largely separate populations of apical and basolateral early endosomes. Furthermore, even when the entire apical recycling pathway was equilibrated with the apically restricted FcLR(5-22) chimera, allowing time for diffusion into all early endosomal compartments, none of the chimera colocalized with basolaterally internalized Tfna in BEE. This is consistent with AEE and BEE being distinct structures, but inconsistent with models suggesting a single early endosome population serving both plasma membrane domains (Odorizzi, et al., 1996). Even when the BEE was displaced apically by Lat B, it remained functionally distinct from AEE receiving Tfna only from the basolateral surface (Fig. 8B).

**Kinetic models of apical and basolateral convergence and other kinetic models.**

The kinetic model developed here required a minimum of three compartments to fit the kinetic recycling data (Fig. 10, compartments in black). Although the identity of the convergance site(s) between the basolateral and apical endocytic pathways remains uncertain, current concepts would appear to favor this occurring at the level of a recycling endosome population in the apical cytoplasm (Apodaca, et al., 1994; Brown, et al., 2000; Hughson and Hopkins, 1990; Leung, et al., 2000).

It has been suggested that apical and basolateral pathways may converge in a common or medial endosome between early endosomes and RE (in blue, Fig. 10) (Brown et al., 2000). This model is based on colocalization of basolaterally applied Tfna
and apically bound IgA in supranuclear tubular endosomes prior to sorting of IgA from Tfn. We compared this model to our base model using data combined from experiments involving apically and basolaterally applied Tfn in control and Lat B treated cells, as well as previous data from basolaterally applied IgA (Sheff, et al., 1999). Inclusion of the additional compartment did not improve the fit of the model to the kinetic data (SS base model = 246, SS common endosome model = 261, F = -0.3, p > 1). Thus, a common endosome cannot be ruled out on the basis of kinetic data, but neither is it required. Conceivably, this common endosome may in fact represent the tubular elements of the BEE. In favor of this possibility are the fact that common endosomes share cargo composition with the early endosomes, and are largely continuous with fluid filled vesicular elements of the BEE (Brown, et al., 2000).

Alternatively, an apical recycling endosome (ARE or SAC) may be interposed between the RE and the apical surface (red in figure 10) (Ihrke, et al., 1993; Leung, et al., 2000; Wang, et al., 2000). This suggestion was based on observations of transcytotic and apically applied IgA entering a rab11 positive compartment depleted of basolaterally internalized Tfn. Adding an ARE to our model failed to statistically improve the fit of the model to kinetic data (SS base model = 246, SS ARE model = 261, F = -4.4, p > 1). Moreover, from the data of Brown et al. (2000), it is clear that Tfn was not completely excluded from the ARE, but rather exhibited a 5:1 enrichment of transcytotic IgA relative to Tfn. Such an enrichment is predicted to occur in the RE in our model as a result of selective basolateral sorting of Tfn rather than exclusion from the RE (Sheff, et al., 1999). Furthermore, Rab11 and Tfn receptor were found to co-localize by immuno-
electron microscopy and western blot using RE fractions isolated by density gradient centrifugation (Sheff, et al., 1999). Thus, it is possible that the subapical, rab11 positive recycling compartment is equivalent to the RE (Sheff, et al., 1999).

While kinetic models can never conclusively demonstrate a particular pathway or mechanism, they can be used to rule out those which do not fit the data. Different models were consistent with the kinetic data, but the predicted sites of action of Lat B were essentially the same in all models tested. BEE, AEE and RE have been identified morphologically as well as biochemically isolated and functionally characterized entities (Gruenberg, et al., 1989; Sheff, et al., 1999). Moreover, they are minimally required kinetically for a mathematical model to fit to recycling data. While it is entirely possible that additional compartments exist in the apical cytoplasm of MDCK cells (and certainly in other epithelial cell types), at present they have been characterized mostly by the presence or absence of itinerant cargo using only relatively low resolution imaging techniques. Thus, transport intermediates may be mistakenly identified as distinct compartments. Until cell fractionation data, functional characterization, and immunocytochemical evidence clearly defines the existence of these structures as compartments, we find it simple to view the endocytic organelles of MDCK cells as close homologs of the EE (apical or basolateral) and RE found in “non-polarized” cells.

Conclusions.
Although our efforts have not elucidated the molecular mechanism involved, they have defined the likely sites at which actin is important. Conceivably, one can imagine that actin association is required to allow BEE to generate vesicles targeted to the basolateral surface. Alternatively, or in addition, actin may be required simply to ensure the localization of BEE in the basolateral region of the cell, limiting the chances for docking and fusion with the incorrect apical surface. Actin also appears to play a role in polarized sortin in the RE. It remains to be seen how this association with actin is regulated differentially along the endocytic pathway.
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TABLES AND FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Effects of cytochalasin D and latrunculin of MDCK actin cytoskeleton.

Fixed MDCK cells were labeled with Alexa-568 phalloidin after treatment with cytochalasin D (25 µg/ml) or Lat. B (0.4 µg/ml). Confocal sections from apical (top row) and basal (bottom row) regions of the cell are shown. Stress fibers are visible in the basal section of untreated cells.

Figure 2. Latrunculin B redistributes polarized receptors in MDCK cells.

MDCK cells expressing both the FcR-LDLR chimera (FcLR[5-22]) and human TfnR were grown on Transwell filters. Live cells were labeled with Texas Red-2.4G2 (anti-FcR) and Alexa 488-Tfn from both sides. In control cells, FcLR(5-22) was expressed almost entirely on the apical surface (left column, A and B) while the TfnR was found at the basolateral surface (left column, A and C). After treatment with Lat B (0.4 µg/ml for 30 min), the TfnR redistributed into both the apical and basolateral domains, as does the FcLR (right column). Both receptors colocalized along the margins of the basolateral domain as well as in patches in the apical domain (right column, A).

Figure 3. Rapid latrunculin B-induced loss of polarity was not accompanied by a loss of tight junction organization.

Confocal optical sections of MDCK monolayers taken of the junctional complex-associated protein ZO-1 at the level of the tight junction in control cells (upper left),
after treatment with Lat B (upper right), DMSO (lower left) or EDTA (lower right). All treatments for 30 min at 37°C.

Figure 4. Latrunculin B did not allow free diffusion of lipids across the tight junction.

Plasma membrane of MDCK cells labeled with C₆ NBD ceramide (green) which was then depleted from the apical surface with a BSA wash. Cells were then incubated in the presence or absence of various drugs for 30 min. The apical surface was labeled just prior to imaging with wheat germ agglutinin. In control cells (upper left) the NBD-ceramide label was largely basolateral and did not colocalize with the apical marker (red arrow). Lat B treatment (upper right) resulted in some redistribution to subapical endosomes and there was flattening of the apical domain, but not colocalization of the basolateral and apical markers (red arrow). In contrast DMSO (lower left) and EDTA (lower right) caused movement of lipids across the tight junction from basolateral to apical domains (green arrows).

Figure 5. Redistribution of plasma membrane receptors requires endocytosis.

Panel A) Comparison of endocytosis-competent and endocytosis-defective receptors. Filter-grown MDCK cells expressing either the LDLR (top row) or a nonendocytosed mutant of the LDLR (LDLR 18YA, bottom row) were fixed and stained for surface receptor with C7 IgG. Both receptors were expressed basolaterally (left
column). After Lat B treatment for 30 min (right column), the wild type receptor was partially redistributed to the apical membrane. In contrast, the mutant receptor was not redistributed by Lat B treatment except in a few isolated examples (bottom row right column).

Panel B) Quantitative assay of receptor polarity after Lat B treatment. The polarity of the TfnR, LDLR and LDLR-18YA was assessed by binding $^{125}$I-Tfn, or $^{125}$I-C7 IgG to the apical or basolateral surfaces of filter grown MDCK cells. Background levels were determined from binding to non-transfected cells. Values are derived by summing pairs of wells and expressing to counts bound to each surface as a percentage of the total bound to an apical/basolateral pair. All assays were in triplicate. Lat B treatment for 30 min resulted in depolarization of the TfnR and LDLR but not of LDLR-18YA.

Figure 6. Latrunculin B disrupts endosomal sorting of transferrin.

Panel A) $^{125}$I-Tfn bound at $0^\circ$C to the basolateral side of MDCK cells, was internalized at $37^\circ$C and the upper and lower media removed for counting at the intervals shown. In control cells, recycling into the basolateral medium (closed squares) approached 85% after 1 hr, with minimal transcytosis to the apical medium (closed circles). Addition of Lat B resulted in randomization of sorting of recycled Tfn to the basolateral (open squares) and apical (open circles) media.

Panel B) $^{125}$I-Tfn bound to the apical surface recycled largely back to the apical media (closed circles) although apical to basolateral transcytosis accounted for up to
25% of the bound Tf (closed squares). Lat B had a lesser effect on apically internalized Tf than on the basolaterally endocytosed Tf. Recycling to the apical side (open circles) decreased to 60% and apical to basolateral transcytosis increased to 35% (open squares). All values are expressed as a percentage of the total CPM initially bound.

**Figure 7. Kinetic modeling of Tf trafficking and latrunculin B effects.**

Panel A) Diagram of possible pathways involved in endocytic traffic from the apical and basolateral surfaces. Kinetic constants are shown for each pathway, values for these constants are given in table 1. Models including traffic along dashed pathway ($k_6$) are unique to Lat B treated cells. B) Predicted ratio of IgA to Tf in EE and RE after fitting this model to transcytosis and recycling of apically and basolaterally applied Tf and basolaterally applied IgA in untreated cells. C) Left panel, $^{125}$I Tf was bound to the basolateral then internalized. Counts released into the apical and basolateral media are measured at intervals shown. Data points are the same as in Figure 5. The lines are generated from a mathematical kinetic model. Counts are given as a percentage of the initially bound $^{125}$I Tf. Control basolateral media data: closed squares, model: dark blue line. Control apical media data: closed circles, model: dark red line. Lat B treated basolateral media data: open squares, model: light blue line. Lat B treated apical media data: open circles, model: light red line. Right panel, trafficking of Tf bound on the apical surface. Data points are the same as in Figure 5. Symbols are the same as in the left panel. D) Refitting the model to the same data as above but adding a single extra pathway ($k_6$) to the model for Lat B treated cells. Only the Lat B treatment data is
shown. E) Predicted passage of basolaterally applied Tfn through the BEE (Blue lines) and RE (Red lines) in untreated (left) and Lat B treated cells (right).

**Figure 8. Effects of Lat B on endosomal compartments.**

Top Row, AEE and BEE were selectively labeled with 2 min pulses of basolaterally bound Alexa 488-Tfn and apically bound TR-Tfn. After internalization, surface bound material was stripped with acid wash. A) Untreated cells  B) Lat B treated cells, aberrant placement of some early endosomes into more apical and perinuclear regions indicated by arrow in panel B and D. Middle Row) BEE and RE remain distinct after Lat B treatment. BL bound Alexa 488 Tfn internalized for 2 min (BEE) and basolaterally bound TR-Tfn internalized for 30 min (RE). C) Untreated cells D) Lat B treated cells. Bottom Row RE targeting is altered by Lat B. Cells expressing FcLR(5-22) (red, apical) and human TfnR (green, basolateral) were labeled to equilibration then chased for 5 min, and the surface acid stripped to remove surface label. This resulted in preferential labeling of the RE from both sides where labels colocalized (arrowheads in E) and lesser labeling of the early endosomes. Lat B treatment did not affect traffic into RE (as in panel D) but apical and basolaterally applied labels no longer consistently converged in the same RE (arrowheads in F).

**Figure 9. Three dimensional reconstruction of endosome compartments in MDCK cells (supplemental material).**
MDCK cells were apically labeled to equilibrium with a Texas Red-labeled antibody to the apical FcLR construct (red). They were labeled basolaterally with a 30 min pulse of Alexa-488 Tfn (green). The cells were chilled, the plasma membrane stripped with low pH to remove surface bound Tfn and antibody, and then fixed. Green BEE and RE are filled with internalized Tfn. Red endosomes are AEE. Yellow endosomes are RE that contain material endocytosed from apical and basolateral surfaces. The reconstruction may be viewed as a QuickTime video included as Supplemental Material.

Figure 10. Comparison of endocytic recycling models.

The two compartment model of endocytic recycling pathways used here is illustrated in black. BEE are portrayed tubulovesicular structures whose tubules extend medially. An additional proposed compartment, the medial common endosome (CE) between the BEE or AEE and the RE is shown with it’s associated pathways in blue. Another alternative additional compartment, the apical recycling endosome (ARE) between the RE and the apical surface is shown in red.

Table 1. Kinetic constant values used for modeling.

Kinetic constants diagrammed in Figure 6A are listed in the left column. A minus sign indicates retrograde flow down a pathway. The center column lists the best values derived from the control model (lacking a BEE to apical PM pathway, $k_6$). Right
column lists the best values obtained after adding pathway $k_c$. Lower value for SSE indicates better fit. Dashes indicate pathways that are possible but have a zero value in the model as their inclusion led to higher SSE or did not add significantly to the fit of the model as determined by a Fischer’s F test.
REFERENCES


### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Lat B no k6</th>
<th>Lat B with k6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k 1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k -1</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k 2</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k -2</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k 3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k 4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k 5</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k 6</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k 7</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k -7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k 8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k -8</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k 9</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k 10</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k 11</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k -11</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSE</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect of Latrunculin on Surface Distribution of Receptors

Percent of Surface Total
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tfn applied</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Latrunculin B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apical / B.L.</td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Image A" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Image B" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tfn applied B.L. 2×5 min / 25 min</td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Image C" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Image D" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical FcLR B.L.Tfn</td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Image E" /></td>
<td><img src="image.png" alt="Image F" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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