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Abstract: In this article, we study the following fractional boundary value problem

\[ cD^\alpha_0 u(t) + 2r cD^{\alpha-1}_0 u(t) + r^2 cD^{\alpha-2}_0 u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad r > 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \]

\[ u(0) = u(1) + \eta, \quad u'(0) = u'(1) + ru(\xi) = \xi, \quad \xi \in (0, 1) \]

where \(2 < \alpha \leq 3\), \(cD^{\alpha-1}_0 (i = 0, 1, 2)\) are the standard Caputo derivative and \(\eta\) is a positive real number. Some new existence results are obtained by means of the contraction mapping principle and Schauder fixed point theorem. Some illustrative examples are also presented.
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1. Introduction

In the recent years, fractional calculus has been one of the most interesting issues that have attracted many scientists, specially in mathematics and engineering sciences. Many natural phenomena can be presented by boundary value problems of fractional differential equations. Many authors in different fields such as chemical physics, fluid flows, electrical networks, viscoelasticity, try to model these phenomena by boundary value problems of fractional differential equations [1–4]. To achieve extra information in fractional calculus, specially boundary value problems, readers can refer to valuable papers or books [5–27].

In this paper, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of solution for the following new class of fractional boundary value problem

\[ cD^\alpha_0 u(t) + 2r cD^{\alpha-1}_0 u(t) + r^2 cD^{\alpha-2}_0 u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad r > 0, \quad 0 < t < 1 \]  \( (1) \)

with the boundary conditions

\[ u(0) = u(1), \quad u'(0) = u'(1), \quad u'(t) + ru(\xi) = \eta, \quad \xi \in (0, 1) \]  \( (2) \)

where \(cD^{\alpha-1}_0 (i = 0, 1, 2)\) are the standard Caputo derivative and \(f: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is a continuously differentiable function satisfying the following assumptions:
(A₀) \( f \in C ([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \) and there exists a constant \( L > 0 \) so that
\[
|f(t, u) - f(t, v)| \leq L|u - v|, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R},
\]
in which \( L \) satisfies the condition \( L < \frac{r^2 G(\alpha - 1)}{2\alpha^\alpha} \).

\( (A₁) f \in C ([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \: p \in C ([0, 1]) \) and \( A \) is a constant, so that
\[
|f(t, u)| \leq p(t) + A|u|, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R},
\]
it satisfies the condition \( 0 < A < \frac{r^2 G(\alpha - 1)}{2} \).

Because the boundary conditions \( u(0) = u(1) \) and \( u'(0) = u'(1) \) in (1.2) involve periodicity, it is not possible to directly transform the boundary value into integral equation. To overcome this problem, presenting a suitable substitution is needed. It is worth saying that Lemma 2.7 (see Lemma 2.3 in [17] and Lemma 2.6 in [21]) is an important and valuable tool to achieve the new result. The contraction mapping principle and fixed point theorem play the main role in finding new existence results for the problem.

The main result of this paper can be seen in two Theorems; 3.1 and 3.2. In Theorem 3.1, the uniqueness of solution is proved by using Banach contraction principle. In Theorem 3.2, we present an existence theorem by means of Schauder fixed point theorem.

We can extend the result even for the following boundary value problem
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} r^k \beta_{\alpha-k} u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad r > 0, \quad 0 < t < 1
\]
where \( n-1 \leq \alpha < n, \: n > 4 \), with the boundary conditions
\[
\begin{cases}
\quad u(0) = u(1), \quad u'(0) = u'(1), \quad \ldots, \quad u^{(n-2)}(0) = u^{(n-2)}(1) \\
\quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{k} r^k u^{n-k-2}(\xi) = \eta, \quad \eta > 0, \quad \xi \in (0, 1)
\end{cases}
\]

The plan of this paper is as follows:

In Section 2, we give some basic definitions and technical lemmas. Section 3 contains the proofs of our main results. Finally, we provide two examples to show the applicability of the results.

2. Basic Definitions and Preliminaries

In this section, we present some definitions and technical lemmas which will be used in the remainder of this paper. These and the related results and proofs can be found in the literature [6–8,17,21].

Definition 2.1. ([7,8]) The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order \( \alpha > 0 \), of a function \( u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is defined by
\[
I_{0^+}^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{G(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} u(s) ds, \quad n-1 < \alpha \leq n
\]
whenever the right-hand side is defined on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \).

Definition 2.2. ([7,8]) The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order \( \alpha > 0 \), of a function \( u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is given by
\[
D_{0^+}^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{G(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} u(s) ds, \quad n-1 < \alpha \leq n
\]
where \( n = [\alpha] + 1 \), and \([\alpha]\) denotes the integer part of real number \( \alpha \).

**Definition 2.3.** ([7,8]) The Caputo fractional derivative of order \( \alpha > 0 \), of a function \( u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R} \) is defined by

\[
^cD_0^\alpha u (t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma (n - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{n-\alpha-1} u^{(n)} (s) \, ds, \quad n - 1 < \alpha \leq n
\]

whenever the right-hand side is defined on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \).

**Definition 2.4.** ([7,8]) The Caputo fractional derivative of order \( \alpha > 0 \), of a function \( u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R} \) is defined via the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative by

\[
\left(^cD_0^\alpha u \right) (t) = \left( D_0^\alpha \left[ u (s) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{u^{(k)} (0^+)}{k!} s^k \right] \right) (t)
\]

Where \( n = \alpha \) for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \); \( n = [\alpha] + 1 \) for \( \alpha \not\in \mathbb{N} \).

**Lemma 2.5.** ([6]) Let \( u \in C^p [0, \xi] \) and \( \eta \in \mathbb{R} \). If \( \left( D_0^\alpha u \right) (t) \) and \( \left( D_0^{\alpha+1} u \right) (t) \) exist, then

\[
\left( D^\alpha D_0^\alpha u \right) (t) = \left( D_0^{\alpha+1} u \right) (t)
\]

**Lemma 2.6.** ([6]) Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \alpha \in (n - 1, n] \). If \( u \in C^n [0, 1] \) \((b > 0)\) is a real number, then

\[
\left( I_0^\alpha \, ^cD_0^\alpha u \right) (t) = u (t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{u^{(k)} (0^+)}{k!} t^k
\]

holds on \((0, b)\).

**Lemma 2.7.** ([17,21]) Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \alpha \in (n - 1, n] \). If \( u \in C^{n-1} [0, 1] \) and \( ^cD_0^\alpha u \), \( u \in C (0, 1) \), then

\[
\left( I_0^\alpha \, ^cD_0^\alpha u \right) (t) = u (t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{u^{(k)} (0^+)}{k!} t^k
\]

holds on \((0, b)\).

**Lemma 2.8.** Let \( r > 0 \), \( g \in C [0, 1] \). If \( u \in C^2 [0, 1] \) is a solution of BVP

\[
^cD_0^\alpha u (t) + 2r \, ^cD_0^{\alpha-1} u (t) + r^2 \, ^cD_0^{\alpha-2} u (t) = g (t), \quad r > 0, \quad 0 < t < 1
\]

\[
u (0) = u (1), \quad u' (0) = u' (1), \quad u' (\xi) + ru (\xi) = \eta, \quad \xi \in (0, 1)
\]

Then \( \nu \) satisfies

\[
\nu (t) = \frac{\eta}{r} e^{-rt} - \frac{e^{r(t-\xi)}}{r \left( e^r - 1 \right) \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^m e^{rt} (m - \tau)^{\alpha-3} g (\tau) d\tau \, dm
\]

\[
- \frac{e^{r(t-\xi)}}{r \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^m e^{rt} (m - \tau)^{\alpha-3} g (\tau) d\tau \, dm
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{r \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^m e^{rt} (m - \tau)^{\alpha-3} g (\tau) d\tau \, dm
\]

Conversely, if \( \nu (t) \) is given by \( (14) \), then \( u = \nu e^{-rt} \in C^2 [0, 1] \) and \( u \) is a solution of BVP \((12) - (13)\).
Proof. Let \( u \in C^2 [0,1] \) be a solution of BVP (12) – (13). Since \( u'' \in C [0,1] \), Def. (2.3) show that \( cD_{0+}^{a} u \in C [0,1] \) and \( cD_{0+}^{a-1} u \in C^1 [0,1] \).

From the relation \( cD_{0+}^{a} u = g (t) - 2r^2 cD_{0+}^{a-1} u - r cD_{0+}^{a-2} u \) and \( g \in C [0,1] \), we have \( cD_{0+}^{a} u \in C (0,1) \). Thus, by Lemma 2.7, we have the following relations

\[
 I_{0+}^{a} cD_{0+}^{a} u (t) = u (t) - a_0 - a_1 t - a_2 t^2, \quad t \in (0,1)
\]

and

\[
 I_{0+}^{a-1} cD_{0+}^{a-1} u (t) = u (t) - b_1 - b_2 t, \quad t \in (0,1)
\]

therefore

\[
 I_{0+}^{a} cD_{0+}^{a-1} u (t) = I_{0+}^{a-1} I_{0+}^{a-1} cD_{0+}^{a-1} u (t)
\]

\[
 = \int_{0}^{t} u (s) ds - b_0 - b_1 t - b_2 \frac{t^2}{2}
\]

\[
 I_{0+}^{a} cD_{0+}^{a-2} u (t) = I_{0+}^{a-2} I_{0+}^{a-2} cD_{0+}^{a-2} u (t)
\]

\[
 = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} u (s) dsdr - c_0 - c_1 t - c_2 \frac{t^2}{2}
\]

now, from (12), (13), (18) and (19), we have

\[
 (t) + 2r \int_{0}^{t} u (s) ds + r^2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} u (s) dsdr = d_0 + d_1 t + d_2 \frac{t^2}{2} + I_{0+}^{a} g (t)
\]

where \( d_0, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R} \).

It is easy to see that \( \phi (t) = \int_{0}^{t} (t - s)^{a-3} g (s) ds \in C [0,1] \). Since \( u'' \in C [0,1] \), it follows from (20) that

\[
 u'' (t) + 2r u' (t) + r^2 u (t) = d_2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_{0}^{t} (t - s)^{a-3} g (s) ds
\]

assuming \( v = u e^{rt} \), the formulas (21) yield

\[
 v'' (t) = d_2 e^{rt} + \frac{e^{rt}}{\Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_{0}^{t} (t - s)^{a-3} g (s) ds
\]

by integrating both sides of (22) twice, we obtain

\[
 v (t) = v (0) + v' (0) t + \frac{d_2}{r^2} (e^{rt} - rt - 1) + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{m} e^{m} (m - \tau)^{a-3} g (\tau) d\tau d\mu d\nu
\]

thus, it follows boundary conditions \( u (0) = u (1) \) and \( u' (0) = u' (1) \), that

\[
 v (1) = v (0) e^r
\]

\[
 v' (1) = v' (0) e^r
\]

now, the formulas (24) and (25) imply that

\[
 v (0) = \frac{d_2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{(e^r - 1)^2 \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{m} e^{m} (m - \tau)^{a-3} g (\tau) d\tau d\mu d\nu
\]

\[
 + \frac{1}{(e^r - 1) \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{m} e^{m} (m - \tau)^{a-3} g (\tau) d\tau d\mu d\nu
\]
and
\[
v(0) = \frac{d_2}{r} + \frac{1}{(e^r - 1) \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 r \int_0^m e^m (m - \tau)^{\alpha - 3} g(\tau) d\tau dm (27)
\]
respectively. Substituting (26), and (27) into (23), we have
\[
v(t) = \frac{d_2}{r^2} e^{rt} + \frac{1 + t (e^r - 1)}{(e^r - 1)^2 \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 r \int_0^m e^m (m - \tau)^{\alpha - 3} g(\tau) d\tau dm
+ \frac{1}{(e^r - 1) \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 r \int_0^m e^m (m - \tau)^{\alpha - 3} g(\tau) d\tau dm (28)
\]
by differentiating both sides of (23) and using the condition \( u'(\xi) + ru(\xi), \xi \in (0, 1) \), we have
\[
v'(\xi) = \left(ue^t\right)_{t=\xi} = u'(\xi)e^\xi + ru(\xi)e^\xi = \left[u'(\xi) + ru(\xi)\right]e^\xi = \eta e^\xi (29)
\]
thus,
\[
\frac{d_2}{r} e^t + \frac{1}{(e^r - 1) \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 r \int_0^m e^m (m - \tau)^{\alpha - 3} g(\tau) d\tau dm
+ \frac{1}{(e^r - 1) \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 r \int_0^m e^m (m - \tau)^{\alpha - 3} g(\tau) d\tau dm (30)
\]
Hence, it follows from (30) that
\[
d_2 = r\eta - \frac{re^t}{(e^r - 1) \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 r \int_0^m e^m (m - \tau)^{\alpha - 3} g(\tau) d\tau dm
= \frac{d_2}{(e^r - 1) \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 r \int_0^m e^m (m - \tau)^{\alpha - 3} g(\tau) d\tau dm. (31)
\]
Substituting, (31) into (28), the relation (14) is obtained.

Conversely, since \( \int_0^1 (t-s)^{\alpha-3} g(s) ds \) is continuous on \([0,1]\), by differentiating both sides of (14), we obtain
\[
v'(t) = \eta e^t - \frac{e^{t(t-\xi)}}{(e^r - 1) \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 r \int_0^m e^m (m - \tau)^{\alpha - 3} g(\tau) d\tau dm
- \frac{e^{t(t-\xi)}}{\Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 r \int_0^m e^m (m - \tau)^{\alpha - 3} g(\tau) d\tau dm (32)
\]
By differentiating both sides of (32), we will get
\[
v''(t) = r\eta e^t - \frac{re^{t(t-\xi)}}{(e^r - 1) \Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 r \int_0^m e^m (m - \tau)^{\alpha - 3} g(\tau) d\tau dm
- \frac{re^{t(t-\xi)}}{\Gamma (\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 r \int_0^m e^m (m - \tau)^{\alpha - 3} g(\tau) d\tau dm
+ \Gamma (\alpha - 2) \int_0^1 r (t-\tau)^{\alpha-3} g(\tau) d\tau
= e^t \left(d_2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha - 2 \eta (t)\right), (33)
\]
where \( d_2 \) is described as in (31), and so \( v \in C^2 [0,1] \). Furthermore, from (32) together with (23) and (31), we ensure that (24) holds on \([0,1]\), and
\[
v(1) = v(0)e^r, \quad v'(1) = v'(0)e^r, \quad v'(\xi) = \eta e^\xi, \quad \xi \in (0,1), (34)
\]
Now, assume that \( u = ve^{-rt} \). Keeping in mind that \( u'' \in C[0, 1] \), because \( v \in C^2[0, 1] \), it follows (32) and (33) that
\[
 u'' + 2ru' + r^2u = d_2 + \alpha_0^{-2}g(t). \tag{35}
\]
Therefore,
\[
cD^{a-2}_0 u'' + 2rcD^{a-2}_0 u' + r^2cD^{a-2}_0 u = cD^{a-2}_0 \alpha_0^{-2}g(t) = g(t), \tag{36}
\]
on \((0, 1)\). From the fact that \( u'' \in C[0, 1] \), and Def. (2.4) we get
\[
cD^{a}_0 u(t) = \left[ D^a_0 \left\{ u(s) - u(0) - u'(0)s - \frac{u''(0)}{2}s^2 \right\} \right](t) = \left[ D^a_0 u \right](t) - \frac{u(0)}{G(1-a)}t^{-a} - \frac{u'(0)}{G(2-a)}t^{1-a} - \frac{u''(0)}{G(3-a)}t^{2-a}, \tag{37}
\]
and
\[
\left[ D^a_0 u \right](t) = \frac{1}{G(3-a)} \frac{d^3}{dt^3} \int_0^1 (t-s)^{2-a} u(s) ds = \frac{1}{G(3-a)} \frac{d^3}{dt^3} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2-a} u(s) d(t-s)^3 - \frac{1}{G(3-a)} \frac{d^3}{dt^3} \left\{ \int_0^t (t-s)^{2-a} u'(s) ds \right\} = \frac{1}{G(5-a)} \frac{d^3}{dt^3} \left\{ \int_0^t (t-s)^{2-a} u''(s) ds \right\}.
\]
Consequently,
\[
\left[ D^a_0 u \right](t) = \frac{u(0)}{\Gamma(1-a)}t^{-a} - \frac{u'(0)}{\Gamma(2-a)}t^{1-a} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(3-a)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2-a} u''(s) ds. \tag{38}
\]
It follows (37) and (38) that
\[
\left[ cD^{a}_0 u \right](t) = \left[ D^{a-2}_0 u'' \right](t) = \frac{u''(0)}{G(3-a)}t^{2-a} = \left[ D^{a-2}_0 \left[ u''(s) - u''(0) \right] \right](t) = \left[ cD^{a-2}_0 u'' \right](t). \tag{39}
\]
Similarly, we can show that \( \left[ cD^{a-1}_0 u \right](t) = \left[ cD^{a-2}_0 u' \right](t) \). Moreover, it follows (36) that
\[
cD^{a}_0 u(t) + 2rcD^{a-1}_0 u(t) + r^2cD^{a-2}_0 u(t) = g(t), \quad t \in (0, 1).
\]
On the other hand, the relation (34) implies that
\[
u(0) = u(1), \quad u'(0) = u'(1), \quad u'(\xi) + ru(\xi) = \eta.
\]
Then, \( u \in C^2[0, 1] \) is a solution of BVP (12) – (13). Thus, this ends the proof.
3. Main Result

Let \( U = C [0, 1] \) be a Banach space with the norm \( ||u|| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \{ u(t) \} \). Consider the space \( U \) with the norm \( ||u||_* = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \{ e^{-rt} u(t) \} \) in which \( r \) is described as in (1). It is well known that the norm \( ||u||_* \) is equivalent to the norm \( ||u|| \).

For the forthcoming analysis, we need the assumptions (A0) and (A1).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let the assumption (A0) hold. Then, the boundary value problem (1) – (2) has a unique solution.

**Proof.** Define the operator \( T : U \rightarrow U \) by

\[
Tv(t) = \frac{\eta}{r} e^{(t-\xi)} \int_{\Gamma(\alpha-2)}^{1} e^{m} (m-\tau)^{\alpha-3} g(\tau) d\tau
\]

where the function \( g(t) = f(t, v(t) e^{-rt}) \) is continuous on \([0, 1] \), for any \( v \in U \) (from (A0)). It is easy to see that the operator \( T \) maps \( U \) into \( U \).

In view of Lemma (2.10), the operator \( T \) has a fixed point \( v \in V \) if and only if \( u = ve^{-rt} \) is a solution of \( FBVP \) (1.1) – (1.2) with \( u \in C^2 [0, 1] \). So, it is sufficient to show that the operator \( T \) has a fixed point on \( U \). For \( v_1, v_2 \in U \) and for \( s \in C [0, 1] \), we obtain

\[
|f(s, v_2(s) e^{-rs}) - f(s, v_1(s) e^{-rs})| \leq L |v_2(s) e^{-rs} - v_1(s) e^{-rs}|
\]

Hence, from (39), we have the following inequality

\[
|Tv_2(t) - Tv_1(t)| \leq \frac{L}{G(\alpha-2)} ||v_2 - v_1||_*
\]

Consequently,

\[
||Tv_2(t) - Tv_1(t)||_* \leq \frac{2Le^rt}{G(\alpha-1)} ||v_2 - v_1||_v.
\]

By the Banach contraction principle, it follows that \( T \) has an unique fixed point \( v \in U \). Therefore, \( u = e^{-rt}v \) is a unique solution of \( FBVP \) (1.1) – (2).

Now, we prove the existence of solutions of (1) – (2) by applying Schauder fixed point theorem.
Theorem 3.2. Let the assumption (A1) hold. Then, the boundary value problem (1) – (2) has at least one solution $u \in C^2 [0,1]$.

**Proof.** Let us consider $P = \text{sup} \{ |p(t)| ; t \in [0,1] \}$ and $B_R = \{ v \in U; ||v - v_0||_R \leq R \}$ in which $v_0 = \frac{\eta}{r} e^{rt}$ and $R > \frac{2(P + An)}{r(2\Gamma'(\alpha - 1) + 2A)}$. For $v \in U$, by (A1), we find that

$$|f(s, v(s) e^{-ts})| \leq P + A ||v||_R \leq P + A (||v_0||_R + R) \leq P + A \left( \frac{\eta}{r} + R \right),$$

and so,

$$|Tv(t) - v_0(t)| \leq \frac{P + A \left( \frac{\eta}{r} + R \right)}{r^2 \Gamma'(\alpha - 1)} \left[ e^{r(t-\xi)} + e^{r(t-\xi)} \left( e^{\xi - 1} + e^{rt} \right) \right].$$

(41)

From (41), we have

$$||Tv - v_0||_R \leq \frac{2 \left[ P + A \left( \frac{\eta}{r} + R \right) \right]}{r^2 \Gamma'(\alpha - 1)} < R.$$

Thus, $T$ maps $B_R$ into $B_R$, i.e. $T(B_R) \subseteq B_R$. Now, we prove that $T$ is completely continuous on $B_R$. We will give the proof in the case that $U$ is equipped with the usual norm, since the norm $||u||_R$ is equivalent to the usual norm. Since $T(B_R) \subseteq B_R$, we have $||Tv|| \leq ||Tv||_R e^r \leq (v_0 + R) e^r$ for any $u \in B_R$, and so $\{ z; z \in T(B_R) \}$ is uniformly bounded. On the other hand, for any $v \in B_R$, it follows from (40) and (A1) that

$$(Tv)'(t) \leq \left( \frac{P + A \left( \frac{\eta}{r} + R \right)}{r^2 \Gamma'(\alpha - 1)} \right) e^r, \quad t \in [0,1]$$

and this shows that $T(B_R)$ is equicontinuous. Thus, by Arzella-Ascoli theorem, it implies that $T(B_R)$ is relatively compact. Finally, we show that $T$ is continuous on $B_R$. Let $(v_n)$ be an arbitrary sequence in $B_R$ and $v \in B_R$ so that $||v_n - v|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, $||v_n - v||_R \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$ and so there exists two constants $k_1, k_2$ so that $v_n(t) e^{-rt} (n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ and $v(t) e^{-rt} \in [k_1, k_2]$, for each $t \in [0,1]$. Since $f$ is uniformly continuous on $[0,1] \times [k_1, k_2]$, it follows that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ whenever $|u_1 - u_2| < \delta$, $u_1, u_2 \in [k_1, k_2]$ then,

$$|f(t, u_2) - f(t, u_1)| \leq \theta \epsilon, \quad t \in [0,1],$$

(42)

where $\theta = \frac{r^2 \Gamma'(\alpha - 1)}{2e^r}$. Since $v_n \to v$, there exists $N \geq 1$, such that the following relation

$$|v_n(t) e^{-rt} - v(t) e^{-rt}| \leq \delta, \quad t \in [0,1],$$

satisfies for $n \geq N$. Now, for any $n \geq N (3.5)$ yields

$$|Tv_n(t) - Tv(t)| \leq \frac{\theta \epsilon}{r^2 \Gamma'(\alpha - 1)} \left[ \frac{e^{r(t-\xi)}}{r \Gamma(\alpha - 1)} \sum_0^m c_n (m-s)^{n-3} d\tau dm \\
+ \frac{e^{r(t-\xi)}}{r} \sum_0^m c_n (m-s)^{n-3} d\tau dm \\
+ \frac{1 + t (e^r - 1)}{e^r - 1} \sum_0^m c_n (m-\tau)^{n-3} d\tau dm \\
+ \frac{1}{e^r - 1} \sum_0^m c_n (m-\tau)^{n-3} d\tau dm \\
+ \frac{2\theta \epsilon}{r^2 \Gamma'(\alpha - 1)} e^{rt}. $$


Consequently
\[ ||Tv_n(t) - Tv(t)|| < \frac{2\theta e}{r^2 \Gamma(a - 1)} \epsilon' = \epsilon. \]
Thus, all the assumptions of the Schauder fixed point theorem are satisfied. Then, there exists a point \(v \in B_k\) with \(v = Tv\). In view of Lemma (14), we conclude that \(u = ve^{-t} (u \in C^2[0,1])\) is a solution of the boundary value problem (1) – (2). As a result, the proof is complete.

4. Illustrative Examples

**Example 4.1.** Consider the boundary value problem
\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{5}{7} D_0^\alpha u(t) + 2 r^2 D_0^\alpha u(t) + r^2 u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\
\quad u(0) = u(1), u'(0) = u'(1), \quad u'(\xi) + ru(\xi) = \eta,
\end{cases}
\]
where \(r > 0, f(t, u) = h(t) \frac{u}{1+u^2}\) with
\[ |h(t)| \leq \frac{r^2 G \left( \frac{5}{2} - 1 \right)}{2^e} = \frac{r^2 \sqrt{\pi}}{4^e}. \]
It is easy to see that the assumption \((A_0)\) holds. So, by Theorem 3.1, BVP (43) has a unique solution.

**Example 4.2.** Consider the boundary value problem
\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{5}{7} D_0^\alpha u(t) + 2 r^2 D_0^\alpha u(t) + r^2 u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\
\quad u(0) = u(1), u'(0) = u'(1), \quad u'(\xi) + ru(\xi) = \eta,
\end{cases}
\]
where \(r > 0, f(t, u) = p_1(t) + p_2(t) u\) with \(p_1, p_2 \in C[0,1]\) and max \(|p_2(t)|_{t \in [0,1]} \leq \frac{r^2 \sqrt{\pi}}{4}. \) Thus, the conclusion of Theorem 3.2 applies to the problem.
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