

# Tricolore 3-designs in Type III codes

A. Bonnecaze\*, P. Solé †, P. Udaya‡

January 17, 1999

## Abstract

A split complete weight enumerator in six variables is used to study the 3-colored designs held by codewords of fixed composition in Type III codes containing the all-one codeword. In particular the ternary Golay code contains 3-colored 3-designs. We conjecture that every weight class in a Type III code with the all-one codeword holds 3-colored 3-designs.

## 1 Introduction

Colored designs were introduced in [6] to study the Harada designs held by the lifted Golay over  $\mathbf{Z}_4$ . They produce ordinary designs by bleaching and are easily understood in terms of split (complete) weight enumerators. Colored  $t$ -designs are known to exist when the permutation part of the automorphism group is  $t$ -transitive [6]. This is the case, for instance, of the doubled Golay code in [7], which is invariant under the action of  $M_{24}$ .

In the present work, we investigate the tricolore 3-designs held by codewords of extremal Type III codes. It is well-known that the ternary Golay is invariant under the monomial action of the 5-transitive group  $M_{12}$ . The permutation part of that group, however, is not even 1-transitive [15, §5.1]. We show that the codewords of given composition in the ternary Golay, the symmetry code of length 24, the extended quadratic residue code of length 24, hold tricolore 3-designs. Similarly, as observed in [15, §5.2], the permutation part of the automorphism group of the symmetry code is not transitive.

We conjecture, based on these results and computations in lengths 36, 48, 60, that the codewords of given composition in an extremal Type III code containing the all-one codeword hold tricolore 3-designs.

---

\*GECT, Université de Toulon et du Var, 83 400 La Garde, France Email: [bonnecaz@univ-tln.fr](mailto:bonnecaz@univ-tln.fr)

†CNRS, I3S ESSI, BP 145 Route des Colles 06 903 Sophia Antipolis France Email: [ps@essi.fr](mailto:ps@essi.fr)

‡Department of Mathematics, City Campus, Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology, GPO Box 2476V, Melbourne VIC - 3001, Australia Email: [rmapu@gauss.ma.rmit.edu.au](mailto:rmapu@gauss.ma.rmit.edu.au)

## 2 Definitions and Notations

The composition of a vector  $c \in \mathbf{F}_3^n$  is the triple  $(n_0(c), n_1(c), n_2(c))$  where  $n_i(c)$  counts the number of  $j \in [n]$  with  $c_j = i$ . A ternary linear code  $C$  is a  $\mathbf{F}_3$ -subspace of  $\mathbf{F}_3^n$ . A Type III code is a ternary linear code self-dual w.r.t. the usual inner product. It is said to be *extremal* if its minimum distance  $d = 3(\lfloor n/12 \rfloor + 1)$ . The complete weight enumerator of  $C$  denoted by  $cwe_C$  is then

$$cwe_C(x, y, z) := \sum_{c \in C} x^{n_0(c)} y^{n_1(c)} z^{n_2(c)}.$$

The weight enumerator ( $W_C$ ) is obtained by specialization:

$$W_C(x, y) := cwe(x, y, y).$$

The split cwe ( $scwe_{C,T}$ ) in six variables  $a, b, c, x, y, z$  is defined for any set of coordinate places  $T \subseteq [n]$  as

$$scwe_{C,T} := \sum_{c \in C} a^{r_0(c)} x^{s_0(c)} b^{r_1(c)} y^{s_1(c)} c^{r_2(c)} z^{s_2(c)},$$

where  $r_i$  (resp.  $s_i$ ) is the composition on  $T$  (resp.  $[n] \setminus T$ ). Observe that  $J_{C,T}$  is an homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n \setminus |T|$  in  $x, y, z$  and degree  $|T|$  in  $a, b, c$ . Specialization gives the 4-variables Jacobi polynomial introduced in Ozeki [16].

$$scwe_{C,T}(a, b, b, x, y, y) =: J_{C,T}(a, b, x, y).$$

## 3 Colored designs

A *colored incidence structure*  $\Sigma$  is a set  $P$  of “points”, a set  $B$  of “blocks”, a set  $\mathcal{C}$  of “colors”, together with a function

$$\rho : P \times B \rightarrow \mathcal{C};$$

we will say that  $B$  has color  $\rho(P, B)$  at  $P$ .

The application we have in mind is the situation where the characteristic vectors of  $B$  are supports of words  $x$  say of some code over a not  $q$ -ary alphabet with coordinate places  $P$  and  $\rho(P, B)$  is a function of  $x_P$ .

An incidence structure is clearly just a colored incidence structure with two colors, “incident”, and “not incident”. We will say that a colored incidence structure is *uniform* if there is a function  $n : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ , the *palette*, such that for each color  $c$ , every block uses color  $c$   $n(c)$  times. For instance, when the alphabet is  $\mathbf{Z}_4$  then we can take  $[6] \mathcal{C} = 0, 1, 2$  and  $n(i) = n_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . See [11] for the case  $\mathcal{C} = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

A colored incidence structure is *simple* if no two blocks assign the same coloring to  $\Sigma$ .

There are two natural ways to colorize the notion of a  $t$ -design. A simple, uniform colored incidence structure  $\Sigma$  is a *colored  $t$ -design* if for each  $t$ -multiset of colors (repeated choices allowed), there is a number  $\lambda$  such that for any choice of  $t$  points, exactly  $\lambda$  blocks use that set of colors for those points. A *strong colored  $t$ -design* is one in which the colors and points are ordered. It is easy to see that a  $t$ -design is a strong colored  $t$ -design with two colors. In general, however, a colored  $t$ -design is not strong.

**Lemma 3.1** *A (strong) colored  $t$ -design  $\mathcal{D}$  is also a (strong) colored  $t'$ -design for all  $t' \leq t$ .*

Clearly, the parameters of a (strong) colored  $t$ -design depend only on the number of blocks and the palette. For this reason, we will often refer to a  $t$ -design as a  $t-(|P|, (n(1), n(2), \dots), |B|)$  design. Thus, for example, the  $5-(24, 8, 1)$  design would be a  $5-(24, (8, 16), 759)$ . The following is trivial:

**Lemma 3.2** *If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $t-(v, (n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k), b)$ , then*

$$\lambda_{j_1 j_2 j_3 \dots j_k} = \frac{\prod_i \binom{n_i}{j_i} |B|}{\binom{n}{t}}.$$

It is left to the reader to determine which standard transformations of uncolored designs can be extended to (strong) colored designs. One new transformation is to identify colors (we will call this operation “bleaching”) to obtain a structure with fewer colors. It is easy to see that this preserves the  $t$ -design property (except for possibly introducing repeated blocks).

The relation with split weight enumerators goes as follows. The codewords of given composition in a ternary code  $C$  hold 3-colored  $t$ -designs iff  $scwe_{C,T}$  does not depend on  $T$  for  $|T| = t$ . The structure constants  $\lambda_{j_1 j_2 j_3 \dots j_k}$  can then be easily computed from the datum of  $scwe_{C,T}$ .

## 4 Invariant Theory

It should be noted that when  $T$  is void the Jacobi polynomial coincide with the cwe of  $C$ . In the case of  $C$  a type III code containing the all-one vector it was shown in [14, Chap. 19] that the cwe was left invariant by a group  $G_3$  of order 2592 generated by four matrices  $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4$  corresponding respectively to MacWilliams transform, and some congruence conditions.

$$M_1 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & j & j^2 \\ 1 & j^2 & j \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $j := \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3)$ , and  $M_2 = \zeta I_3$  with  $\zeta := \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}/6)$ , and  $M_3 = \text{diag}(1, j, 1)$  and  $M_4 := \text{diag}(1, 1, j)$ . It is a simple exercise to show that, for any  $T$ , the split cwe is invariant under the same group acting in the same way on each set of three variables. This a *simultaneous invariant* in the sense of Issai Schur [18] for the diagonal action of  $G_3$ . In other words it is an invariant for the direct sum  $G_3 \oplus G_3$  obtained by replacing each element  $g \in G_3$  by the 6 by 6 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $M_{l,k}$  denote the dimension of the space  $\mathcal{M}_{l,k}$  of invariant polynomials in  $a, b, c, x, y, z$  of degree  $k$  in  $a, b, c$  and  $l$  in  $x, y, z$ . Consider the bivariate Molien series

$$f(u, v) := \sum_{k,l} M_{l,k} u^k v^l.$$

Formula (13) in [19] specializes into the following bivariate analogue of Molien's theorem.

$$f(u, v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{\det(1 - ug) \det(1 - vg)}.$$

In the case of  $G_3$  a Magma computation gives an explicit if unwieldy rational function. A Taylor expansion yields

$$f(u, v) := 1 + 2a^{12} + 2ba^{11} + 3b^2a^{10} + 4b^3a^9 + \dots$$

An important practical tool to compute bases of the spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{l,k}$  is the Reynolds operator, which we now define. The Reynolds operator attached to a matrix group  $G$  acting on polynomials  $f$  by linear substitutions is

$$R(f, G) := \sum_{g \in G} g.f,$$

where the image of  $f$  by action of  $g \in G$  is denoted by  $g.f$ . It is well-known that  $R(f, G)$  is an invariant of  $G$ . It is an easy observation that Reynolds operators respect the bigrading. If  $f$  has bidegree  $l, k$  then  $R(f, G_3 \oplus G_3) \in \mathcal{M}_{l,k}$ .

## 5 Polarizations

### 5.1 Two variables

If  $P$  is a polynomial in 4 variables  $a, b, x, y$  of total degree  $n$  define a differential operator called the normalized polarization operator  $A_4$  by the formula

$$A_4(P) := (aP'_x + bP'_y)/n.$$

We shall require the following lemma. Define a ternary code to be  $t$ -homogeneous if the codewords of given Hamming weight hold a  $t$ -design.

**Lemma 5.1** *If  $C$  is  $t$ -homogeneous and of minimum Hamming distance  $> t$  then for all  $T$  of size  $t$  we get*

$$J_{C,T} = A_4^t W_C.$$

## 5.2 Three variables

If  $P$  is a polynomial in 6 variables  $a, b, c, x, y, z$  of total degree  $n$  define a differential operator called the normalized polarization operator  $A_6$  by the formula

$$A_6(P) := (aP'_x + bP'_y + cP'_z)/n.$$

Define the specialization operator  $S$  by

$$S(P)(a, b, c, x, y, z) := P(a, b, b, x, y, y).$$

Define a ternary code to be colorwise  $t$ -homogeneous if the codewords of given composition hold a tricolore  $t$ -design. The analogue of Lemma (5.1) is then

**Lemma 5.2** *If the ternary code  $C$  is colorwise  $t$ -homogeneous and if  $C$  has minimum Hamming distance  $> t$  then for all  $T$  of size  $t$  we get*

$$scwe_{C,T} = A_6^t cwe_{C,T}.$$

## 6 Length 12

We now give a basis of the space of interest .

**Lemma 6.1** *A basis of  $\mathcal{M}_{3,9}$  is obtained by applying  $R(f, G_3 \oplus G_3)$  with  $f$  running over the monomials*

$$a^3 x^9, a^3 x^3 y^6, a^3 x^3 y^3 z^3, a^2 b x^4 y^5.$$

It is important to observe that specialization is one-to-one on those spaces.

**Lemma 6.2** *For  $l = 1, 2, 3$  we have*

$$\dim S(\mathcal{M}_{l,12-l}) = \dim(\mathcal{M}_{l,12-l}).$$

**Proof.** This is checked by taking the image by  $S$  of the preceding bases.

□

Such good fortune cannot happen in length 24, since the bimolien series for the group  $G''_3$  of order 144 generated by  $M_1, M_2, M_3$  corresponding respectively to MacWilliams transform, and some congruence conditions.

$$M_1 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $M_2 = \zeta I_2$  with  $\zeta := \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}/6)$ , and  $M_3 = \text{diag}(1, j)$  with  $j := \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3)$ , is

$$1 + \dots + 3u^{24} + 4vu^{23} + 6v^2u^{21} + 8v^3u^{21} + \dots$$

while the bimolien series for  $G_3$  reads

$$1 + \dots + 4u^{24} + 6vu^{23} + 10v^2u^{21} + 15v^3u^{21} + \dots$$

Note that the homogeneous part of degree 12 of both series begins with

$$1 + \dots + 2u^{12} + 2vu^{11} + 3v^2u^{10} + 4v^3u^9 + \dots$$

Observe that the preceding Lemma together with the bivariate Molien series entails Lemma (6.1).

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 6.3** *The codewords of fixed composition in the ternary Golay hold tricolore 3-designs.*

**Proof.** We need to show that  $scwe_{C,T}$  does not depend on  $T$  for  $|T| = 3$ . Since it lives in  $\mathcal{M}_{3,9}$  we can expand it with indeterminate coefficients on the basis given in Lemma (6.1). Recall that the ternary Golay is 5-homogeneous [14], hence 3-homogeneous. By specialization and Lemmas (5.1) and (6.2) we determine these completely by solving a 4 by 4 linear system. □

Note that this result is best possible since the ternary Golay cannot be colorwise 4-homogeneous as applying 4 times the operator  $A_6$  to its cwe results in fractionnary coefficients, thus contradicting Lemma (5.2).

**Corollary 6.4** *The cwe of the ( $i$  times shortened) Golay code  $G$  is the coefficient of  $a^i b^0 c^0$  in  $A_6^i cwe_G$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .*

When  $i = 1$  this is  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}_{11}}$  in [15, p.661].

## 7 Length 24

The approach is the same as in the preceding section. The matter is complicated, however, by the larger size of the coefficients of the bimolien series as previously mentioned.

**Lemma 7.1** *A basis of  $\mathcal{M}_{3,21}$  is obtained by taking  $R(f, G_3 \oplus G_3)$  with  $f$  running over the monomials*

$$\begin{aligned} & a^3 x^9 y^3 z^9, a^3 x^{21}, a^3 x^{12} y^6 z^3, a^3 x^9 y^{12}, \\ & a^3 x^9 y^6 z^6, a^3 x^6 y^{12} z^3, a^3 x^3 y^{15} z^3, a^3 y^{15} z^6, \\ & a^2 b x^{13} y^5 z^3, a^2 b x^{13} y^2 z^6, a^2 b x^{10} y^{11}, \\ & a^2 b x^{10} y^2 z^9, a^2 b x^7 y^{11} z^3, a^2 b x^7 y^8 z^6, a^2 c x y^{15} z^5. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider an extremal code of length 24 containing the all-one codeword. Let  $J_6$  denote an arbitrary Jacobi polynomial attached to that code and to some set of coordinate places  $T$  of size 3. We expand it on a basis say  $e_i$  of  $\mathcal{M}_{3,21}$ .

$$J_6 := \sum_{i=1}^{15} m_i e_i.$$

Define the *restitution* operator  $R_6$  as the operator acting on  $\mathbf{C}[a, b, c, x, y, z]$  by the substitution  $a = x, b = y, c = z$ . We know that  $A_6$  and  $R_6$  are inverse of each other.

Since  $\dim(\mathcal{M}_{0,12}) = 4$ , restitution gives us four independent relations between the  $m_i$ 's.

Let  $J_4 := A_4^2 W_C$ . We know that such a code is 3-homogeneous. Therefore by specialization

$$S J_6 = J_4.$$

This gives 5 relations amongst the  $m_i$ 's. We obtain 4 further relations by writing that the sum of the exponents of  $b, c, x, y$  is at least 9, and one more relation by writing that, as inspection of the cwe shows, there is no codeword of shape  $0^{15}1^9$ . We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 7.2** *The codewords of fixed composition in an extremal ternary self-dual code of length 24 containing the all-one vector hold tricolore 3-designs.*

**Proof.** We solve for the  $m_i, i = 1, \dots, 15$ , a linear system in  $4+5+5+1=15$  equations.  $\square$  Again, this is the best possible result, as applying  $A_6$  four times yields non-integral coefficients.

**Corollary 7.3** *The cwe of the ( $i$  times shortened) Symmetry code  $S$  of length 24 is the coefficient of  $a^i b^0 c^0$  in  $A_6^i$  cwe $_S$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .*

Case  $i = 1$  of that corollary appears in [15, p. 662].

## 8 Conjecture

**Conjecture 1** *The codewords of given composition in an extremal Type III code containing the all-one codeword hold tricolore 3-designs.*

## 9 Design parameters

### 9.1 Length 12

**Corollary 9.1** *there exist simple 3-colored 3-designs with the following parameters: parameters:*

*Three designs with parameters  $3-(12, (n_0, n_1, n_2), 220)$  where  $(n_0, n_1, n_2)$  is equal to  $(6, 3, 3)$  or any one of its three permutations.*

*Three designs with parameters  $3-(12, (n_0, n_1, n_2), 22)$  where  $(n_0, n_1, n_2)$  is equal to  $(6, 6, 0)$  or any one of its three permutations.*

By bleaching colors in various ways we obtain the designs (in the ordinary sense) with the following parameters  $3-(12, 6, 20)$ ,  $3-(12, 6, 2)$ ,  $3-(12, 9, 84)$ .

### 9.2 Length 24

**Corollary 9.2** *there exist simple 3-colored 3-designs with the following parameters:*

*Six designs with parameters  $3-(24, (n_0, n_1, n_2), 2024)$  where  $(n_0, n_1, n_2)$  is equal to  $(15, 6, 3)$  or any one of its six permutations.*

*Three designs with parameters  $3-(24, (n_0, n_1, n_2), 46)$  where  $(n_0, n_1, n_2)$  is equal to  $(12, 12, 0)$  or any one of its three permutations.*

*Six designs with parameters  $3-(24, (n_0, n_1, n_2), 10120)$  where  $(n_0, n_1, n_2)$  is equal to  $(12, 9, 3)$  or any one of its six permutations.*

*Three designs with parameters  $3-(24, (n_0, n_1, n_2), 41492)$  where  $(n_0, n_1, n_2)$  is equal to  $(12, 6, 6)$  or any one of its three permutations.*

*Three designs with parameters  $3-(24, (n_0, n_1, n_2), 111320)$  where  $(n_0, n_1, n_2)$  is equal to  $(9, 9, 6)$  or any one of its three permutations.*

**Proof.** The block sizes are computed fro the cwe. □

Here again by bleaching colors in various ways, we obtain the following designs in the ordinary sense.

**Corollary 9.3** *There exist 3-designs with possibly repeated blocks and the following param-*



eters:

<i>Blocks in the cwe</i> $(n_0, n_1, n_2)$ up to permutation	<i>Number of Blocks</i>	<i>Size of blocks</i> <i>after Bleaching</i>	$\lambda$
(15, 3, 6)	2024	3	1
		6	20
		15	455
		9	84
		18	816
		21	1330
(12, 12, 0)	46	12	5
(12, 9, 3)	10120	9	420
		12	1100
		15	2275
		21	6650
(12, 6, 6)	41492	6	410
		12	4510
		18	16728
(9, 9, 6)	111320	6	1100
		9	4620
		15	25025
		18	44880

## 10 Appendix: Weight Enumerators

The cwe of the ternary Golay is given in [15] by

$$cwe_G := x^{12} + y^{12} + z^{12} + 220x^3y^3z^3(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) + 22x^6y^6 + 22x^6z^6 + 22y^6z^6.$$

The cwe of the symmetry code of length 24 is computed in Magma [8, 9] to be:

$$\begin{aligned} cwe_S := & x^{24} + 2024x^{15}y^6z^3 + 2024x^{15}y^3z^6 + 46x^{12}y^{12} + \\ & 10120x^{12}y^9z^3 + 41492x^{12}y^6z^6 + 10120x^{12}y^3z^9 + \\ & 46x^{12}z^{12} + 10120x^9y^{12}z^3 + 111320x^9y^9z^6 + \\ & 111320x^9y^6z^9 + 10120x^9y^3z^{12} + 2024x^6y^{15}z^3 + \\ & 41492x^6y^{12}z^6 + 111320x^6y^9z^9 + 41492x^6y^6z^{12} + \\ & 2024x^6y^3z^{15} + 2024x^3y^{15}z^6 + 10120x^3y^{12}z^9 + \\ & 10120x^3y^9z^{12} + 2024x^3y^6z^{15} + y^{24} + 46y^{12}z^{12} + z^{24}. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] Assmus, E. F., Jr., J-M. Goethals, and Mattson, H. F., Jr., Generalized t-designs and majority-logic decoding of linear codes, *Inf. and Control* 32 (1976) 43-60.
- [2] Assmus, E. F., Jr. and Mattson, H. F., Jr., New 5-designs, vol. 6, 1969, 122–151.
- [3] E. Bannai, M. Ozeki, Construction of Jacobi forms from certain combinatorial polynomials, *Proceedings of the Japan Academy* vol. 72, Ser. A, 1 (1996) 12-15.
- [4] E. Bannai, S. Minashima, M. Ozeki, On Jacobi forms of weight 4, *Kyushu J. of Math* (1996) 335-370.
- [5] A. Bonnetcaze, B. Mourrain, P. Solé, Jacobi Polynomials, Type II codes, and Designs, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* (1998), to appear.
- [6] A. Bonnetcaze, E. Rains, P. Solé, 3-colored 5-designs and  $\mathbf{Z}_4$ -codes, submitted to *J. of Statistical Planning and Inference* (1998).
- [7] A. Bonnetcaze, P. Solé, P. Udaya, Strong 4-colored 5-designs, submitted to *J. of Comb. Designs* (1998).
- [8] Bosma, W., Cannon, J., Playoust, C. (1997). The Magma algebra system I: The user language. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, to appear.
- [9] Cannon, J., Playoust, C. (1996). Magma: A new computer algebra system. *Euromath Bulletin*, 2(1):113-144.
- [10] C.J. Colbourn, J.H. Dinitz, *The CRC handbook of combinatorial designs*, CRC Press (1995).
- [11] Duursma, I., et. al., 3-Designs from Preparata and Kerdock codes, preprint
- [12] T.A. Gulliver, M. Harada, Extremal double circulant type II codes over  $\mathbf{Z}_4$  and 5-(24,10,36) designs, *Discrete Math* (1998) to appear.
- [13] M. Harada, New 5-designs constructed from the lifted Golay code over  $\mathbf{Z}_4$ , *J. of Combin. Designs* (1998) to appear.
- [14] F.J. MacWilliams, N.J.A. Sloane, *The theory of error-correcting codes*, North-Holland (1977).
- [15] C. Mallows, V. Pless, N.J.A. Sloane, Self-dual codes over  $\text{GF}(3)$ , *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* vol. 31, No 4 (1976) 649-666.

- [16] M. Ozeki, On the notion of Jacobi polynomials for codes, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. (1997).
- [17] A. Rosa, C.J. Colbourn, Colorings of Block Designs, chapter 10 of *Contemporary Design Theory* J.H. Dinitz, D.R. Stinson eds, Wiley (1992).
- [18] I. Schur, Vorlesungen ueber Invariantentheorie (1968) Springer.
- [19] R. Stanley, Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics, Bull. of the AMS (New Series) 1 (1979) 457-511.