



<http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3841.2.6>

<http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:750B7776-4BFD-4EF2-AE1A-2671658A0985>

An integrative approach to the taxonomy of the crown-of-thorns starfish species group (Asteroidea: *Acanthaster*): A review of names and comparison to recent molecular data

GERHARD HASZPRUNAR^{1,2} & MARTIN SPIES¹

¹SNSB - Zoologische Staatssammlung München, Münchhausenstr. 21, D-81247 München, Germany. E-mail: haszprunar@snsb.de

²Dept. Biology II and GeoBio-Center of LMU Munich, Germany

Abstract

The scientific names published for species and subspecies in the genus *Acanthaster* Gervais (Asteroidea: Valvatida: Acanthasteridae) are reviewed, with particular attention to the *A. planci* species group (crown-of-thorn starfish, COTS). Several problems with earlier nomenclatural and bibliographic data are resolved. The available name for the type species of *Acanthaster* in the original combination is *Asterias echinites* Ellis & Solander in Watt, 1786; the often-cited "*Asterias echinus*" and "*Acanthaster echinus*" are incorrect subsequent spellings, therefore unavailable. The scientific names and taxonomic concepts for species and subspecies in *Acanthaster* are compared to recently published, robust COI-barcoding clades. Two of four clades in the *A. planci* group can be named unequivocally, a third requires a neotype designation to decide which of two available names will be valid, and the fourth clade necessitates a new species description and name. The References section includes annotations explaining bibliographical data important to the nomenclatural evaluations. Many hyperlinks interspersed with the paper's texts offer quick access to digital versions of the respective references.

Key words: nomenclature, sibling species, barcoding, linked references

Introduction

The “crown-of-thorns starfish” (COTS), *Acanthaster planci* (Linnaeus, 1758), with their corallivorous lifestyle arguably are a serious threat to coral reefs throughout the Indo-Pacific Ocean region. Episodic “mass outbreaks”, during which tens of thousands of starfish devour most if not all corals on a reef, are one of the major causes of coral mortality in many countries. Especially on the Great Barrier Reef, COTS outbreaks have significantly contributed to coral reef decline in the last decades (e.g. De’ath *et al.* 2012). Consequently, COTS are among the most studied and abundantly cited marine organisms (e.g. Antonius 1971, Moran 1988, Baird *et al.* 2013). Moreover, the spines and pedicellaria of these large starfish can be quite harmful also to humans (e.g. Lee *et al.* 2013a, 2013b).

Since the 18th century, authors perceiving morphological differences among specimens or published descriptions have proposed and named a number of taxa, then variously united or divided them. In recent times at least one species other than *Acanthaster planci* has been accepted as valid, *A. brevispinus* Fisher, 1917, which does not feed on corals or threaten reefs. The two species may interbreed (Lucas & Jones 1976), but their separation is supported by molecular data (Yasuda 2006, Vogler *et al.* 2008).

During the last 25 years several authors have increasingly suspected that *Acanthaster planci* itself may warrant division in several (sub-)species (e.g. Nishida & Lucas 1990; Benzie 1999, 2000; Gérard *et al.* 2008; Yasuda *et al.* 2009). A recent molecular and biogeographic investigation that used samples covering the entire Indo-Pacific range of *A. planci* from the Red Sea to the eastern Pacific Ocean showed four deeply divided clades (Vogler *et al.* 2008). Indeed, the observed divergence (8.8–10.6 %) between clades compared to <0.7% within each clade in the “barcoding fragment” of the mitochondrial COI-gene strongly suggests that *A. planci* in the traditional, broad sense consists of four different species. These clades/species show distinct geographical distribution patterns across the

Indo-Pacific, with one species restricted to the Red Sea, one each occurring in the northern and southern Indian Ocean, and the fourth showing pan-Pacific distribution (Vogler *et al.* 2008, 2012, 2013). Live animals representing corresponding populations and color variation have been photographed by Vogler (2010: p. 93).

Prior to the present study, none of the four molecular clades was correlated unequivocally with any scientific taxon name in the Linnean system. Possibly due to this absence of links to morphological data, which are possible but have not yet been analyzed, most recent authors have ignored the results by Vogler *et al.* (2008, 2012, 2013) and continued to refer to "*Acanthaster planci*" (e.g. Bahrom *et al.* 2012, Leray *et al.* 2012, Mills 2012, Rivera-Posada *et al.* 2012, Messmer *et al.* 2013). This situation is problematic, as data from an unpublished doctoral thesis suggest distinct differences between the clades concerning biological and ecological, but also pharmacological and medical aspects (Vogler 2010).

Concerning nomenclatural matters, the latest detailed presentation (Birkeland & Lucas 1990: 13–19) clearly necessitated substantial additions and corrections. Therefore, as a basic step towards establishing a robust taxonomy of the *A. planci* species group, the present work reviews and evaluates the scientific taxon names and accessory data from the respective original and otherwise relevant references. The search for names to be checked was started using the World Register of Marine Species ("WoRMS"; Mah 2014).

In zoological nomenclature, some names at the species level constitute the typological foundation for names at the genus level, some of which then typify family-level names. Consequently, the following review sections A and B address the relevant species names, while the names at successively higher ranks are treated in review section C.

In the subsequent Discussion, we compare the recognized species names to the molecular data by Vogler *et al.* (2008, 2012, 2013) in order to determine whether clades may be assigned to valid species names and to identify any remaining obstacles to such solutions. Some bibliographic data important to the nomenclatural evaluations are given in annotations to the References section.

Readers are cautioned to note that the present review is not intended to preempt the necessary further work, and should not be mistaken as implementing any of the discussed possible consequences in taxonomy or nomenclature.

Review of names and taxa—Part A: The *Acanthaster planci* species complex

This part treats seven names on the species level in chronological order. The numbered section headings give the respective species epithet in combination with the genus name *Acanthaster*, where applicable.

(1) *Acanthaster planci* (Linnaeus, 1758)

Original combination. *Asterias planci*

Original source. Linnaeus (1758): p. 823, with references to "(p. 662. n. 8–9)" and "Column. phytob. app. t. 38. f. A."

<https://archive.org/stream/carolilinnisys00linn#page/823/mode/1up>

Nomenclatural status. Available name.

Type material. Holotype—the only specimen referred to by Linnaeus (1758), the one figured in Plancus (1744), plate XXXVIII (38), fig. A; see remark (2) below.

Type locality. Goa, West coast of (then Portuguese) India.

Remarks. (1) Linnaeus' (1758: Appendix p. 823) first reference under *A. planci* is to page 662 in the main part of his work, where he treated *Asterias laevigata* and *A. ophiura* as species numbers 8 and 9 under "VERMES, MOLLUSCA. Asterias." This reference is interpreted as indicating nothing more than a relative position in the numbered species sequence assigned by Linnaeus at the time of writing that Appendix. In fact, in the next major edition of "Systema naturae" (the twelfth, i.e. the last one he wrote himself) Linné (1767) followed hardly any of the position suggestions he had made for all species in the 1758 Appendix, and did not even mention *Asterias planci* anywhere. Instead he introduced a new species, *Asterias papposa*, as number 2 in the sequence (op. cit.: p. 1098), including a line "Column. phyt. t. 38 f. A?" (p. 1099) referring to the same figure in Plancus (1744) as given under *A. planci* in Linnaeus (1758). Regardless of Linnaeus' reasons for this change, the "?" in the reference from

1767 makes the implied synonymy between *A. planci* and *A. papposa* a subjective and tentative one, thus does not affect separate availability for the two species names under the ICZN (1999) Code of nomenclature.

(2) The second reference under *A. planci* (Linnaeus 1758: 823) points to an illustration in Plancus (1744) that the latter had added to his re-edition of a 16th century work by F. Columna (= Colonna). The illustrated specimen was treated in more detail (not mentioned by Linnaeus) in corresponding text (Plancus 1744: second unpaginated page behind p. 134), as well as in the letters ("epistolae") by Plancus & Gualtierus (1743), who gave more descriptive data and a more comprehensive illustration than Plancus (1744).

(3) Concerning this holotype specimen Rowe & Gates (1995: 23) wrote "whereabouts undetermined", i.e. did not disclose whether they had tried to locate it anywhere. Searches on our behalf have not found any trace of it in relevant Italian collections in Pisa, Siena, Florence or Bologna (M. Dellacasa, G. Manganelli, G. Innocenti, B. Sabelli, pers. comms 2014). We have not received a reply from Rimini, but our Italian correspondents consider as highly unlikely that parts of the specimen have been preserved anywhere.

(4) The earliest published description of *A. planci* appears to be the one labeled "Stella Marina Quindecim Radiorum" in Rumphius (1705: book I, p. 39). Linnaeus (1758) referred to Rumphius (1705) under several of his other species names in *Asterias*, but not under *A. planci*.

(5) The morphological features of *A. planci* evident from the plate in Plancus & Gualtierus (1743; see also Birkeland & Lucas 1990: fig. 4) and the plate in Plancus (1744) suffice to rule out species identity with *Acanthaster brevispinus* Fisher (see below) on account of the long aboral spines, but they are insufficient to assign the illustrated specimen to any of the clades derived from molecular data (see Discussion below). The original diagnosis of *A. planci* by Linnaeus (1758), "*Asterias stellata lobis quindecim echinatis*" [A star-like *Asterias* with 15 spiny arms] is not helpful here either.

(6) All specimens of the "electric blue" color variety (Vogler 2010: p. 93) which were checked by COI-barcoding belong to this species.

(2) *Acanthaster echinites* (Ellis & Solander in Watt, 1786)

Original combination. *Asterias echinites*

Original source. Watt (1786): p. 206, pls. 60–62.

<http://archive.org/stream/naturalhistoryof00elli#page/206/mode/2up> (text)

<http://archive.org/stream/naturalhistoryof00elli#page/n341/mode/2up> (plates)

Nomenclatural status. Available name. Fixed as the type species of *Acanthaster*; see Review Part C(1) below.

Type material. Holotype—the only specimen mentioned by Ellis & Solander in Watt (1786); see remark (1) below.

Type locality. Batavia (now Jakarta), Indonesia.

Remarks. (1) The text by Ellis and Solander states that the holotype specimen "was brought from Batavia by Captain W. Webber, and is in the possession of Dr. Fothergill" (Watt 1786: 206). Like Ellis and Solander, however, Fothergill had died before the work was published (op. cit.: vi). It remains to be determined whether any part of his collection has been preserved.

(2) The original description mentions very few characters and does not provide sufficient information about live color, details of spines or pedicellaria.

(3) Various subsequent works have misrepresented the name *Asterias echinites* by spelling it incorrectly and/or crediting its nomenclatural authorship to someone other than Ellis & Solander. These errors have caused considerable confusion; see under "*A. echinus*" (species section 4), and under *A. solaris* (species section 3, remark (4)).

(3) *Acanthaster solaris* (Schreber, 1793)

Original combination. *Asterias solaris*

Original source. Schreber (1793): p. 1–6, pls. I–II (1–2).

www.ub.uni-bielefeld.de/cgi-bin/neubutton.cgi?pfad=/diglib/aufkl/naturforscher/118931&seite=00000006.TIF (text)

www.ub.uni-bielefeld.de/cgi-bin/navtif.cgi?pfad=/diglib/aufkl/naturforscher/118931&seite=00000183.TIF&scale=8 (plates)

Nomenclatural status. Available name.

Type material. Holotype—the single specimen reported on by Schmidel (1781) and Schreber (1793); see remarks (2) and (3) below.

Type locality. Unknown; see remark (2) below.

Remarks. (1) The figures in Schreber (1793: plates I and II) clearly show a species of the *Acanthaster planci* species group.

(2) According to Schmidel (1781: 7) and Schreber (1793: 1, 5), Schmidel had bought two different starfish at a shop in Paris the owner of which had reported the source of both specimens as “die Magellanische Meerenge” (the Magellanic strait). Madsen (1955: 180) and others have argued that the material cannot have come from the Strait of Magellan in Tierra del Fuego, as members of *Acanthaster* occur in tropical waters only, and the second of Schmidel's specimens belonged to *Culcita schmideliana* (Retzius, 1805) [= *Asterias placenta* Schreber, 1793: 6, a junior primary homonym of *A. placenta* Pennant, 1777], which does not occur in South America. Therefore, the type locality of *Acanthaster solaris* (Schreber) has been assumed to be “one of the Philippine localities to which the name of Magellan is attached” (Madsen 1955). However, we have not found evidence of any sea strait in the Philippines ever having been named after Magellan (though there is a widely known “Magellan’s Cross” on a shore of Cebu island). Accordingly, we do not follow such unsupported assumptions here, and find it reasonable to doubt the dealer's locality information quoted by Schmidel (1781).

(3) The holotype has not been found in the two natural-history collections known to have acquired substantial amounts of Schreber's material after his death, namely at the University Erlangen-Nürnberg (U. Andraschke, pers. comm. 2014), and at the Zoologische Staatssammlung in Munich (ZSM).

(4) Müller & Troschel (1842: 25) treated a species under the name “*Echinaster solaris* Nobis”, even though their synonymic listing referred to several earlier works that had used the same or a different species name, among them “Soland. et Ellis tab. 60–62” (i.e. *Asterias echinites* Ellis & Solander in Watt, 1786) and “*Asterias solaris*. Naturforscher Stück 27, tab. 1. 2.” (i.e. *Asterias solaris* Schreber, 1793). Later, Müller & Troschel (1844: 180) proposed a new genus to be called *Echinites* and to receive *A. solaris* as the only species mentioned, but both proposals are invalid; see section C(2) below.

(5) The species epithet was misspelled as “*solans*” by Ludwig & Hamann (1899: 711). This incorrect subsequent spelling does not constitute an available name (ICZN 1999: Art. 33.3).

(4) “*Asterias echinus*” and “*Acanthaster echinus*”

Original combination. Not applicable.

Sources. See remarks.

Nomenclatural status. Unavailable names (incorrect subsequent spellings); see remarks.

Type material and type locality. Not applicable.

Remarks. (1) Schreber (1793: 5) compared his “*Asterias solaris*” to the starfish description by Ellis and Solander (in Watt 1786) but unfortunately misquoted several data from that earlier work. The most significant of these errors was the introduction of an incorrect subsequent spelling of the species name *Asterias echinites* Ellis & Solander (see species section (2) above), which Schreber gave as “*Asterias Echinus*”.

(2) Lamarck (1816: 559) spelled *Asterias echinites* correctly, and referred to “Soland. et Ell. tab 60 à 62.” (i.e. to the plates in Watt 1786) as well as to “Encycl. pl. 107. A. B. C.” (i.e. to the plates in Bruguière 1797).

(3) Gray (1840: 281) cited “*Asterias Echinites*, Lam.” but right next to it also “*Asterias Echinus*, Solander and Ellis, t. 60, 61, 62”, as if he had not read Lamarck (1816). Gervais (1841: 474) repeated this double listing, except for changing the latter combination to *Acanthaster* “*echinus*, Ellis et Soland.”. Although the correct combination, *Acanthaster echinites*, was recognized at least as early as by Lütken (1871: 292), some more recent authors have gone farther in the wrong direction with “*Acanthaster echinus* Gervais” (e.g. Birkeland & Lucas 1990: 17, Rowe & Gates 1995: 23).

(4) Incorrect subsequent spellings like “*Asterias echinus*” and “*Acanthaster echinus*” in the works cited above do not constitute separately available names (ICZN 1999: Art. 33.3). One important consequence of this fact concerns the type species of *Acanthaster* Gervais; see Review Part C, section (1).

(5) *Acanthaster ellisii* (Gray, 1840) [often misspelled *ellisii*]

Original combination. *Echinaster ellisii*

Original source. Gray (1840): p. 281.

<http://archive.org/stream/annalsmagazineof06londonuoft#page/281/mode/2up>

Nomenclatural status. Available name; see remark (2) below.

Type material. Unknown number of specimens from the collection of "H. Cuming, Esq." (Gray 1840: 281); see remark (3) below.

Type locality. "South America" (Gray 1840: 281); further details unknown.

Remarks. (1) Gray's (1840: 281) proposal of the new name reads "Echinaster Ellisii, *Gray*. Asterias Echinus, *Solander and Ellis*, t. 60, 61, 62. Asterias Echinites, *Lam.*". Apparently Gray thought that the South American material from the Cuming collection belonged to the same taxonomic species as the Indonesian specimen described and named by Ellis and Solander in Watt (1786), and cited by Lamarck (1816). Nevertheless Gray proposed a new name, *Echinaster ellisii*, and used it as valid in place of *Asterias echinites* Ellis & Solander. This substitution was treated as unjustified by Gervais (1841), who used *Acanthaster echinites* (Ellis & Solander) as the valid name, with *Echinaster ellisii* as a junior synonym.

(2) According to the Code of nomenclature in effect today, the "unnecessary substitute name" *Echinaster ellisii* was invalid originally (ICZN 1999: Art. 10.6), but has been available from Gray (1840) nonetheless (ICZN 1999: Art. 12). It is not unavailable under Code Art. 11.6, as Gray published it in senior rather than junior synonymy with *Asterias echinites* Ellis & Solander. Consequently, the available name *Acanthaster ellisii* (Gray) may be used as a valid name—at species or subspecies rank—by anyone not considering it as a junior synonym of any other available name.

(3) Gray (1840: 178) wrote that "specimens discovered by Mr. Cuming" were "in the collection ... of the Zoological Society" of London. According to information on wikipedia.org, "In 1866 after Cuming's death, the Natural History Museum of London purchased 82,992 of his specimens" (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hugh_Cuming, visited 21 February 2014). However, Madsen (1955: 188) reported that two earlier attempts to locate Gray's material of *Echinaster ellisii* had failed and thus concluded that "it has been lost". Caso (1962) did not refer to original type material of *Acanthaster ellisii* when she described a subspecies, *A. ellisii pseudoplanci* (see species section (7) below). In summary, barring any rediscovery at the NHM or in other collections, the original type material of *Echinaster ellisii* Gray, 1840 appears to be lost.

(4) Madsen (1955) referred to several earlier works in considering *Acanthaster ellisii* (Gray) as a valid species, and gave morphological characters as well as a differential diagnosis.

(6) *Acanthaster mauritiensis* de Loriol, 1885

Original source. Loriol (1885): p. 6–10, pl. XII (12), figs. 1, 1a–e, 2, 2a, 3, 3a–i.

<http://archive.org/stream/mmoiresdelasocit29soci#page/n323/mode/2up> (text)

<http://archive.org/stream/mmoiresdelasocit29soci#page/n411/mode/2up> (plate)

Nomenclatural status. Available name.

Type material. An unknown number of syntypes from collections by V. de Robillard; see remark (1) below.

Type localities. Various unspecified collecting sites of Robillard's around Mauritius Island.

Remarks. (1) According to Loriol (1885: 9) "Mr de Robillard ... sent this species quite frequently"; thus, the original description was based on an unknown number of syntypes. At least two of these appear to have been preserved at the Department of Invertebrates of the Muséum d'histoire naturelle de la Ville de Genève (Switzerland) (collection codes MHNG-INVE-70565, -70566; J. Mariaux, pers. comm. 2014).

(2) Loriol (1885) described and illustrated many details of his species.

(7) *Acanthaster ellisii pseudoplanci* Caso, 1962

Original source. Caso (1962): p. 322–330, text-figs. 3–4, pl. 3: figs. 1–29, pl. 5: figs. 3–9, 17.

Nomenclatural status. Available name.

Type material. 16 syntypes (Caso 1962: 327); see remark (1) below.

Type localities. "al Sureste y Oeste de la bahía Rafael Castelán Orta y al Oeste de la bahía Vargas Lozano" (Caso 1962: 327), Socorro Island, East Pacific off Mexico; see remark (2) below.

Remarks. (1) At least eleven syntypes have been preserved in the Colección Nacional de Equinodermos "Dra. Ma. E. Caso Muñoz" in Mexico City (F.A. Solís-Marín, pers. comm. 2014); collection codes: ICML-UNAM 2.73.0 (6 dry specimens), ICML-UNAM 2.73.1 (5 specimens in alcohol).

(2) Caso (1962) based her subspecies distinction on a detailed morphological comparison of the hard parts of specimens of "*A. ellisii pseudoplanci*" from an Universidad Nacional expedition (op. cit.: p. 327) with others she had received under the name "*A. ellisii* (Gray)" from F.C. Ziesenhene of the Allan Hancock Foundation (p. 322) at the University of Southern California in Los Angeles. Parts of the latter material had been collected near the southern tip of Socorro Island. Although Caso reported the Socorro bays involved in both sample sets with different names, the latter appear to reflect nothing but contemporary U.S. (English) versus Mexican (Spanish) usage. In fact, some of the Socorro localities for Caso's (1962) "*A. ellisii* (Gray)" appear to be practically identical to the type localities of *A. ellisii pseudoplanci*, or at least so close that geographic separation of the respective populations is highly unlikely.

Review of names and taxa—Part B: *Acanthaster brevispinus*

Acanthaster brevispinus Fisher, 1917

Original source. Fisher (1917): p. 92.

<http://archive.org/stream/proceedingsofbio30biol#page/92/mode/1up>

Nomenclatural status. Available name.

Type material. Holotype (United States National Museum; nr. 37,027).

Type locality. Sirun Island near Siasi, Sulu Archipelago, southwestern Philippines.

Remarks. (1) A detailed morphological redescription was provided by Fisher (1919: 442–443, pls. 117, 118, 131). The holotype has been figured by Birkeland & Lucas (1990: 15, fig. 5C,D).

(2) Morphology, molecular data (Yasuda *et al.* 2006; Vogler *et al.* 2008, 2012), and distinct autecology (these starfish are not a coral pest) clearly support separation of *A. brevispinus* from the *A. planci* group, although members of the two species groups may interbreed (Lucas & Jones 1976).

Acanthaster brevispinus seychellensis Jangoux & Aziz, 1984

Original source. Jangoux & Aziz (1984): p. 868–869, pl. 4: figs. C–D.

Nomenclatural status. Available name.

Type material. Holotype (Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris; nr. EcAs 2968).

Type locality. "Coriolis" campaign station C 26 (08.ix.1980), 4°57.5' S, 55°10.6' E, 63 m, Seychelles, western Indian Ocean.

Remarks. Jangoux & Aziz (1984) based their diagnosis on several morphological characteristics. However, subspecies status remains to be tested with molecular data, as no specimen from the type locality has been sequenced.

Review of names and taxa—Part C: Genus and family levels

Acanthaster Gervais, 1841

Original source. Gervais (1841): page 474.

<https://play.google.com/books/reader?id=xJQ5AAAACAAJ&printsec=frontcover&output=reader&authuser=0&hl=de&pg=GBS.PA474>

Nomenclatural status. Available name. Replacement name for *Echinaster* Gray, 1840 [December]: 281, which is permanently unavailable due to junior primary homonymy with *Echinaster* Müller & Troschel in Müller, 1840 [May]: 102.

Type species. "*Acanthaster echinus* Ellis et. Soland." (Gervais 1841: 474) [= *Asterias echinites* (Ellis & Solander in Watt, 1786)], by subsequent designation of Fisher (1919: 441); see remarks (1)–(2) below.

Remarks. (1) Gervais (1841: 474) listed the species he included in *Acanthaster*, as follows: "*A. echinus*, Ellis et Soland., pl. 60–62; *A. echinites*, Lamk.; *Echinast. Ellisii*, Gray, *loc. cit.* (Amérique du Sud.)—*A. solaris*, Naturforcher[sic!], xxviii[sic!], pl. , f. 2." In this, Gervais treated as valid only the two names "*A. echinus*" and *A. solaris*, the former of which was listed with three 'synonyms'. The general arrangement and some of the erroneous data in it followed those in Gray (1840)—see Review Part A, species (4), remark (3)—but with the justified correction that "*A. Echinus*" (= *A. echinites*) enjoyed nomenclatural priority over *Echinaster ellisii*.

(2) To the present authors' knowledge, the earliest published statement concerning the type species of *Acanthaster* Gervais is by Fisher (1919: 441), who wrote "Type, *A. echinus* (= *A. planci* Linnaeus.)", and in his synonymic listing under *A. planci*, "*Acanthaster echinus* Gervais, Dict. sci. nat., suppl., vol. 1, 1841, p. 474." These statements of Fisher's were made at a time when guidelines for zoological nomenclature were not nearly as settled and widely observed as they became some decades later. Examined today, *A. echinus* as mentioned in Gervais (1841) does not qualify as a separately available name, and the use of such names, e.g. in type-species designations, can lead to serious complications. Fortunately, the current Code of nomenclature (ICZN 1999) allows the essence of Fisher's (1919) statements to be accepted as a valid fixation of the type species. The involvement of the incorrect subsequent spelling *Acanthaster echinus* is ruled immaterial by Code Art. 67.6, other misquoted data (e.g., authorship credit to Gervais) are permissible under Art. 67.7.

Consequently, the available name for the type species of *Acanthaster* is *A. echinites* (Ellis & Solander). The valid name to be used for this taxonomic species can depend on synonymy, if a senior synonym is determined either objectively (e.g. if two species names are based on one and the same name-bearing type specimen), or subjectively by any author arguing taxonomically for *A. echinites* being the junior synonym of an earlier available name.

While Fisher (1919) may be pardoned for any lack of 'nomenclatural awareness' reflected in his type-species designation, the same cannot be said of recent authors repeating those errors and even adding new ones. For example, Birkeland & Lucas (1990: 13) failed to understand the data explained in remark (1) above, and mistakenly claimed that in Gray (1840) and Gervais (1841) "there were already five species names, *plancki*, *echinus*, *echinites*, *solaris*, and *ellisii*". Rowe & Gates (1995) made yet another unfortunate addition by claiming that the type-species of *Acanthaster* had been established "by monotypy". If only to avoid potential threats to the stability of nomenclature arising from the perpetuation of such flawed data, the corresponding entries on the WoRMS website (<http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=205212>), so far misleading, should be corrected as soon as possible in light of our findings.

Many papers mentioning starfish now placed in *Acanthaster* were published prior to the 20th century already, some in places so remote that we have not been able to access them yet. Therefore, it is conceivable that a valid type-species designation could still surface which predates that of Fisher's (1919). However, any such discovery could have more than minor consequences to nomenclature only if it fixed as the type species of *Acanthaster* the other one of Gervais' (1841) originally included species, *A. solaris* (Ellis & Solander). Judging from the body of literature examined in the present study, we consider as negligible both, the likelihood of that happening and the effect it might have.

Echinites Müller & Troschel, 1844

Original source. Müller & Troschel (1844): page 180.

<https://archive.org/stream/archivfrnaturg1001berl#page/180/mode/1up>

Nomenclatural status. Unavailable name; see remark (1) below.

Type species. Not applicable.

Remarks. (1) The name is permanently unavailable due to junior primary homonymy with *Echinites* Gesner, 1758 (p. 35: Echinoidea) and with *Echinites* Leske, 1778 (p. xviii (18) and 157: Echinoidea).

(2) The incorrect subsequent spelling “*Echinetes*” by Ludwig & Hamann (1899: 710) does not constitute a separately available name (ICZN 1999: Art. 33.3).

Acanthasteridae Sladen, 1889

Original source. Sladen (1889): page 536 (as subfamily Acanthasterinae in family Echinasteridae).

<http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/43777#page/590/mode/1up>

Nomenclatural status. Available name.

Type genus: *Acanthaster* Gervais, 1841.

Discussion

Comparison with COI-barcoding clades. As presented in the Review section Parts A and B above, a number of names have been published for taxa in the genus *Acanthaster*. The four COI-barcoding clades distinguished by Vogler *et al.* (2008, 2012) in the *A. planci* species group show significant genetic divergence and quite distinct geographical patterns (Fig. 1), thus can be compared to the species and subspecies concepts and names, as follows.

(1) *Acanthaster planci* (Linnaeus, 1758) with the type locality Goa, West India, corresponds to the Northern Indian Ocean (NIO) clade.

(2) “*Acanthaster echinus*”, as explained above, is an unavailable name that must not be used.

(3) The name *Acanthaster echinites* (Ellis & Solander in Watt, 1786) cannot be referred to a barcoding clade with sufficient confidence, as its type locality off Jakarta (Indonesia) is currently inhabited by members of the two clades called *A. planci* and *A. solaris* here. Animals assigned to the latter two species have been found even at the same location, “Pulau Seribu”, Seribu Island, about 40 km NW of Jakarta (Benzie 1999, fig. 1; Vogler *et al.* 2008, supplement T1; Vogler 2010, p. 119, 123). A neotype designation—see the Prospect section below—in accordance with ICZN regulations should result in one of two alternative solutions, fixing *A. echinites* (Ellis & Solander, 1786) either as a junior synonym of *A. planci* (Linnaeus, 1758) or as a senior synonym of *A. solaris* (Schreber, 1793).



FIGURE 1. Geographic distribution of COI-barcoding clades and of type localities of names (modified from Vogler *et al.* 2008): red—Red Sea (RS) clade; blue—South Indian Ocean (SIO) clade; yellow—North Indian Ocean (NIO) clade; green—Pacific Ocean (PO) clade.

Location of type localities of nominal species: asterisk—*A. planci*; cross—*A. echinites*; triangle—*A. solaris* (with doubts, see text), square—*A. mauritiensis*; circle—*A. ellisii pseudoplanci*; “?”—the type locality of *A. ellisii* was not specified: in South American waters of the East Pacific.

(4) *Acanthaster solaris* (Schreber, 1793) corresponds to the Pacific Ocean (PO) clade of Vogler *et al.* (2008, 2012), specifically to the West Central Pacific haplotype of Vogler *et al.* (2013). The mitochondrial genome of specimens from Fiji was characterized by Yasuda *et al.* (2006), who also described microsatellites from various Pacific populations and found them to be distinctly different from those in *A. mauritiensis* (see below).

Caso (1970, 1974) described and depicted in detail the morphology of specimens assigned to this clade from Hawaii. A detailed SEM study of hard parts from Australian material was provided by Walbran (1987). The growth of spines on specimens from the Great Barrier Reef was described by Stump & Lucas (1990). Photographs of live animals belonging to this clade can be found in Vogler (2010: 93).

(5) *Acanthaster ellisii* (Gray, 1840) also corresponds to the PO clade, specifically to the East Pacific haplotype of Vogler *et al.* (2013). According to these data *A. ellisii* is a junior synonym of *A. solaris* (and possibly of *A. echinites*, see above). However, the divergence of haplotypes observed within this clade (op. cit.) and the separation in western and eastern Pacific populations may indicate that subspecies should be distinguished, one of which might then be called *A. solaris ellisii* (or *A. echinites ellisii*). More sampling in East Pacific waters is necessary to clarify the matter, including possible morphological differences. For example, Schreber (1793: pl. II) depicted spines in *A. solaris* as granulated, Studer (1884: 27) described smooth spines for *A. ellisii*, whereas the detailed morphological description by Caso (1962) did not reproduce that difference. Photographs of live animals considered as *A. ellisii* (because of their locality) are shown at

<http://www.desertmuseumdigitallibrary.org/public/results.php?sc=Acanthaster%20ellisii> and

<http://www.ryanphotographic.com/asteroidea.htm>

(6) *Acanthaster mauritiensis* de Loriol, 1885, was considered as a local variety of *A. echinites* (with *A. ellisii* as another synonym) by Döderlein (1888: 822–824), as he saw no discrete morphological differences among specimens from Mauritius and two other localities in the western or northern Indian Ocean, and from three localities in the western Pacific. However, microsatellites from various Indo-Pacific populations showed distinct differences between *A. mauritiensis* and “*A. ellisii*” (i.e. the Pacific clade) (Yasuda *et al.* 2009). According to the barcoding data, *A. mauritiensis* is a distinct species and corresponds to the South Indian Ocean (SIO) clade of Vogler *et al.* (2008, 2012). Photographs of live animals assigned to this clade (based on COI-sequences) are given in Vogler (2010: 93).

(7) *A. ellisii pseudoplanci* Caso, 1962 also corresponds to the Pacific Ocean (PO) clade, and specimens from the type locality even show the same (East Pacific) haplotype as *A. ellisii* (Vogler *et al.* 2013).

(8) The Red Sea (RS) clade of Vogler *et al.* (2008, 2012) cannot be assigned an available name and needs to be formally described. For photographs of live animals, see Vogler (2010: 93; based on COI-sequence) and (based on the locality in the Red Sea) <http://www.fotosearch.com/photos-images/acanthaster-planci.html>.

(9) *Acanthaster brevispinus* Fisher, 1917 is clearly separated from all species of the *Acanthaster plancki* species complex in both, morphological and molecular features. Additional work is needed to decide whether or not the subspecies division in *A. brevispinus brevispinus* and *A. b. seychellensis* should be upheld.

Prospect. As mentioned in the individual nomenclature sections above, attempts to locate original type material for the species names in question are continuing. Fresh collecting at the respective type localities to allow designations of fully informative neotypes is in progress by the present senior author and collaborators, as is the formal description of the species represented by the RS-clade.

Acknowledgements

We want to thank two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on an earlier draft of the manuscript.

References and annotations

General remarks. A number of the following bibliographical citations are accompanied by commentary or supplementary information, usually set between angular brackets.

In addition, aside from DOI links one or more hyperlinks to digitizations of the respective title are offered, wherever applicable, to facilitate access via online viewing or downloading. Unless stated otherwise these internet resources are available free of charge, but some of them require user registration. Note that the present authors do not endorse any product offered on or associated with any website referred to, nor do we guarantee that all corresponding data presented there are correct.

- Antonius, A. (1971) Das *Acanthaster* Problem im Pazifik (Echinodermata). *Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie*, 56 (2), 283–319.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/iroh.19710560209>
- Bahrom, N.A., Sirajudeen, K.N.S., Yip, G.W., Latiff, A.A. & Ghazali, F.C. (2012) Sulfated glycosaminoglycans from crown-of-thorns *Acanthaster planci*—extraction and quantification analysis. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 1 (1), 83–89.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.10>
- Baird, A.H., Pratchett, M.S., Hoey, A.S., Herdiana, Y. & Campbell, S.J. (2013) *Acanthaster planci* is a major cause of coral mortality in Indonesia. *Coral Reefs*, 32 (3), 803–812.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-013-1025-1>
- Benzie, J.A.H. (1999) Major genetic differences between crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci*) populations in the Indian and Pacific Oceans. *Evolution*, 53 (6), 1782–1795.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2640440>
- Benzie, J.A.H. (2000) The detection of spatial variation in widespread marine species: methods and bias in the analysis of population structure in the crown of thorns starfish (Echinodermata: Asteroidea). *Hydrobiologia*, 420, 1–14.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1003943011631>
- Bianchi, G.—see Plancus, J. (1744)
- Birkeland, C. & Lucas, J.S. (1990) *Acanthaster planci: Major Management Problem of Coral Reefs*. CRC Press, United States, 267 pp. Available from: http://books.google.de/books?id=Z57rozbkLTAC&printsec=frontcover&hl=de&source=gbs_ge_summary_r&cad=0#v=onepage&q&f=false (accessed 4 July 2014) [Many pages are not shown, but the taxonomic part on pages 13–19 is available]
- Blake, D.J. (1979) The affinities and origins of the crown-of-thorns sea star *Acanthaster* Gervais. *Journal of Natural History*, 13 (3), 303–314.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222937900770241>
- Bory de Saint-Vincent, J.B.G.M. (1827) *Tableau Encyclopédique et Méthodique des Trois Règnes de la Nature. Vers, Coquilles, Mollusques et Polypiers. Tome premier*. Paris, Agasse, viii (8) + 180 pp., pls. 1–95. [This is vol. 1 in a 3-volume re-edition (with modified title and content) of an earlier series edited by Bruguière (3 parts) and later Lamarck (2 parts) from 1791 to 1798. Page "(140)" —the brackets are critical—in Bory (1827) has the earliest known captions to "Tableau" plates 107A–107C on *Asterias echinites* (see Bruguière 1797). These plates were reproduced in vol. 2 ("Tome second") of Bory (1827).]
- Bruguière, J.-G. (1797) *Tableau Encyclopédique et Méthodique des Trois Règnes de la Nature. Dix-neuvième Partie. Vers Testacées, a Coquilles Bivalves*. Paris, Agasse, [2] pp., pls. 93–286. [According to Evenhuis & Petit (2003: 3), plates 96–289[sic!] were published in 1792 in another part of the same "Tableau" series (see the remarks on Bory 1827 above). We have seen copies of the relevant plates ("107A", "107B" and "107C") only behind title pages dated 1797, but cannot rule out errors in the digital versions or accompanying data. However, as the plates do not carry taxon names, the precise year of their publication is irrelevant to nomenclature. Plates "107A" to "107C" in Bruguière (1797) are reproductions of plates 61, 60 (in this order!) and 62 in Watt (1786), with new text added above and below the figures. For captions corresponding to the plates in Bruguière (1797), see Bory (1827). See also Lamarck (1816).]
- Caso, M.E. (1962) Estudios sobre Astéridos de México. Observaciones sobre especies pacíficas del género *Acanthaster* y descripción de una subespecie nueva, *Acanthaster ellisii pseudoplanci*. *Anales del Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México*, 32 (1–2), 313–331. ["1961"]
- Caso, M.E. (1970) Morfología externa de *Acanthaster planci* (Linnaeus). *Anales del Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Serie Ciencias del Mar y Limnología*, 41 (1), 63–78.
- Caso, M.E. (1972) El género *Acanthaster*. Su biología, ecología y su efecto destructor de los arrecifes. *Revista de la Sociedad Mexicana de Historia Natural*, 33, 51–83.
- Caso M.E. (1974) Morfología externa de *Acanthaster planci* (Linnaeus). Symposium on Indian Ocean and Adjacent Seas. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of India*, 16 (1), 83–93.
- Clark, A.M. (1993) An index of names of recent Asteroidea—Part 2: Valvatida. In: Jangoux, M. & Lawrence, J.M. (Eds.), *Echinoderm Studies. Vol. 4*. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 187–366.
- Columna, F.—see Plancus, J. (1744)
- De'ath, G., Fabricius, K.E., Sweatman, H. & Puotinen, M. (2012) The 27-year decline of coral cover on the Great Barrier Reef and its causes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the U.S.A.*, 109 (44), 17995–17999.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208909109>
- Döderlein, L. (1888) Echinodermen von Ceylon. Bericht über die von den Herren D^{res} SARASIN gesammelten Asteroidea, Ophiuroidea und Echinoidea. *Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abtheilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Thiere*, 3, 821–846, pls. 31–33. Available from: <https://archive.org/stream/zoologischejahrb03jena#page/820/mode/2up> (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Ellis, J. & Solander, D.—see Watt (1786)
- Evenhuis, N.L. & Petit, R.E. (2003) Corrections and additions to the dating of the "Histoire Naturelle des Vers" and the Tableau Encyclopédie[sic!] (Vers, coquilles, mollusques et polypiers) portions of the Encyclopédie Méthodique. *Zootaxa*, 207, 1–4.
- Fisher, W.K. (1917) New starfishes from the Philippines and Celebes. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington*, 30, 89–93. Available from: <https://archive.org/stream/proceedingsofbio30biol#page/88/mode/2up> (accessed 4 July 2014)

- Fisher, W.K. (1919) Starfishes of the Philippine seas and adjacent waters. *Bulletin of the United States National Museum*, 100 (3), xii (12) + 712 pp., 156 pls. Available from: <http://archive.org/stream/bulletinunitedst10031919unit#page/n5/mode/2up> (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Gervais, P. (1841) Astérie. In: "*Plusieurs professeurs du Jardin du Roi*" (Eds.), (1840–1841) *Dictionnaire des sciences naturelles dans lequel on traite méthodiquement des différents êtres de la nature, ...; suivi d'une biographie des plus célèbres naturalistes. Supplément. Tome I*. Ch. Pitoit, Paris, pp. 461–481. Available from: <https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=xJQ5AAAACAAJ&rdid=book-xJQ5AAAACAAJ&rdot=1> (Accessed 22 Jul. 2014) [This work is not part of the 61-volume dictionary series (1816–1845) with practically identical title. Instead, it represents the only volume ever published of a separate series intended to supplement the former. "Supplément Tome I" was published in two separately issued "livraisons". The first of these instalments covered dictionary terms starting with the letters A-ANT; the earliest known date of its existence as a publication is 24 October 1840; see Bibliographie de la France 29, Journal Général 43: 579. For the second part, covering letters APH-AYE, the earliest known date is 22 May 1841; see Bibliographie de la France 30, Feuilleton du Journal de la Librairie 21: 3.] [There are reports of the name *Acanthaster* from "Année" 8 (1841) of the serial "L'Écho du Monde Savant", but we have been unable to see this volume. However, as the journal reviewed references to scientific works published elsewhere, any mention in it of *Acanthaster* Gervais is expected to have followed rather than preceded Gervais' 1841 "Astérie" article in the dictionary supplement.]
- Gesner, J. [also cited as Gessner] (1758) *Tractatus physicus de petrificatis in duas partes distinctus, quarum prior agit de petrificatorum differentiis & eorum varia origine; altera vero de petrificatorum variis originibus, praecipuarumque telluris mutationum testibus*. Lugduni Batavorum, T. Haak, 136 pp. Available from: http://books.google.de/books/about/Tractatus_physicus_de_petrificatis.html?id=3vYTAQAQAQ&redir_esc=y (accessed 4 July 2014) [Requires registration with 'Google books']
- Gray, J.E. (1840) A synopsis of the genera and species of the class Hypostoma (*Asterias* Linn.). *The Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, 6 (36), 175–184 & 6 (37), 275–290. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03745484009443296>
[As stated in a footer line on the respective first page of each journal issue ("number"), the first part of Gray's paper was published in number XXXVI (36; beginning on journal p. 161) on 1 November 1840, the second part, which includes the treatment of *Echinaster* Gray, in number XXXVII (37; beginning on p. 241) on 1 December 1840.]
- Harnack, A. (1900) *Geschichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Erster Band—Zweite Hälfte. Vom Tode Friedrichs des Großen bis zur Gegenwart*. Berlin, Reichsdruckerei, pp. 493–1091. Available from: <http://bibliothek.bbaw.de/bbaw/bibliothek-digital/digitalequellen/schriften/anzeige?band=ak-gesch/harn-1-2> (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Houk, P. & Raubani, J. (2011) *Acanthaster planci* outbreaks in Vanuatu coincide with ocean productivity, furthering trends throughout the Pacific Ocean. *Journal of Oceanography*, 66 (3), 435–438. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10872-010-0038-4>
- International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature. (1999) *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, Fourth Edition*. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London, 306 pp. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.50608>
- Jangoux, M. & Aziz, A. (1984) Les astérides (Échinodermes) du centre-ouest de l'océan Indien (Seychelles, Maldives et îles Mineures). *Bulletin du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle (Paris), 4^e série. Section A, Zoologie, biologie, et écologie animales*, 6 (4), 857–884. Available from: <http://bionames.org/bionames-archive/issn/0181-0626/6/857.pdf> (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Lamarck, J.B.P.A. (1816) *Histoire Naturelle des Animaux sans Vertèbres, Présentant les Caractères Généraux et Particuliers de ce[sic!] Animaux, Tome second*. Paris, Verdière, 568 pp. [On pp. 559: Redescription of *Asterias echinites* with references to "Soland et Ell. tab. 60 à 62." and to "Encycl. pl. 107. A. B. C." See the remarks on Bory (1827) and Bruguière (1797) above.]
- Lane, D.J.W. (2012) *Acanthaster planci* impact on coral communities at permanent transect sites on Bruneian reefs, with a regional overview and a critique on outbreak causes. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 92 (Special Issue 04), 803–809. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0025315411000890>
- Lee, C.-C., Tsai, W.-S., Hsieh, H.-J. & Hwang, D.-F. (2013a) Cytotoxicity of venom from crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci*) spine. *Molecular and Cellular Toxicology*, 9 (2), 1771–1784. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13273-013-0022-3>.
- Lee, C.-C., Tsai, W.-S., Hsieh, H.-J., & Hwang, D.-F. (2013b) Hemolytic activity of venom from crown-of-thorns starfish *Acanthaster planci* spines. *Journal of Venomous Animals & Taxons including Tropical Diseases*, 19 (22), 8 pp.
- Leray, M., Béraud, M., Anker, A., Chancerelle, Y. & Mills, S.C. (2012) *Acanthaster planci* outbreak: Decline in coral health, coral size structure modification and consequences for obligate decapod assemblages. *PLoS ONE*, 7 (4), e35456. [10 pp.] <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035456>
- Leske, N.G. (1778) *Iacobi Theodori Klein naturalis dispositio echinodermatum*. Accesserunt lucubratiuncula de aculeis echinorum marinorum et spicilegium de belemnitis. Gleditsch, Lipsiae, 4 + xx (20) + 278 + 3 pp., pls. I–LIV (1–54).
- Linnaeus, C. (1758) *Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Tomus I. Editio decima, reformata*. L. Salvius, Stockholm, [iv] + 824 pp.
- Linné, C. von (1767) *Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species cum characteribus,*

- differentiis, synonymis, locis. Tomus I. Pars 2. Editio duodecima, reformata.* L. Salvius, Stockholm, pp. 533–1327 + [36].
- Loriol, P. de (1885) Catalogue raisonné des Échinodermes recueillis par M. V. de Robillard à l'île Maurice. (II. Stellérides). *Memoires de la société de physique et d'histoire naturelle de Genève*, 29 (1^{re} Partie) (N^o 4), 84 pp., pls. VII–XXII (7–22). Available from: <https://archive.org/details/mmoiresdelasocit29soci> (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Lucas, J.S. & Jones, M.M. (1976) Hybrid crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci* X *A. brevispinus*) reared to maturity in the laboratory. *Nature*, 263 (5576), 409–412. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/263409a0>
- Lucas, J.S., Nash, W.J. & Nishida, M. (1985) Aspects of the evolution of *Acanthaster planci* (L.) (Echinodermata; Asteroidea). *Proceedings of the 5th International Coral Reef Congress*, 5, 327–332. Available from: http://www.reefbase.org/resource_center/publication/pub_17523.aspx (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Ludwig, H. & Hamann, O. (1899) Die Seesterne. In: *Bronn's Klassen und Ordnungen des Thier-Reichs in Wort und Bild*. 2. Band. 3. Abteilung: Echinodermen (Stachelhäuter), II. Buch, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, pp. 461–966, pls. I–XII (1–12). Available from: <https://archive.org/stream/drhgbronnsklasse020302bron#page/n5/mode/2up> (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Lütken, Chr. (1871) Fortsatte kritiske og beskrivende Bidrag til Kundskab om Søstjernene (Asteridene). *Videnskabelige Meddelelser fra den naturhistoriske Forening i Kjöbenhavn. Tredje Aarties tredje Aargang [the third decade's third year; = overall vol. 33]*, 227–304, pls. IV–V (4–5). Available from: <http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/110780#page/4/mode/1up> (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Madsen, F.J. (1955) A note on the seastar genus *Acanthaster*. *Videnskabelige Meddelelser fra Dansk naturhistorisk Forening i København*, 117, 179–192, pls. I–VI (1–6).
- Mah, C.L. (2014) World Asteroidea database. Available from: www.marinespecies.org/asteroidea (accessed 1 July 2014)
- Messmer, V., Pratchett, M.S. & Clark, T.D. (2013) Capacity for regeneration in crown of thorns starfish, *Acanthaster planci*. *Coral Reefs*, 32 (2), 461. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-013-1017-1>
- Mills, S.C. (2012) Density-dependent prophylaxis in the coral-eating crown-of-thorns sea star, *Acanthaster planci*. *Coral Reefs*, 31 (2), 603–612. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-012-0883-2>
- Moran, P.J. (1986) The *Acanthaster* phenomenon. *Oceanography and Marine Biology—an Annual Review*, 24, 379–480. [Reprinted in Moran (1988)] <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/iroh.19880730414>
- Moran, P.J. (1988) The *Acanthaster* phenomenon. *Australian Institute of Marine Science, Monograph Series*, 7, 178 pp. (ISBN 0-642-13250-X) Available from: <http://archive.org/stream/Acanthasterphen00Mora#page/n1/mode/2up> (accessed 4 July 2014) [A re-edition and bibliographic update to Moran (1986)]
- Moran, P.J. (1990) *Acanthaster planci*—biographical data. *Coral Reef*, 9 (3), 95–96. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00258218>
- Müller, J. (1840) ... über den Bau des *Pentacrinus Caput Medusae*. *Bericht über die zur Bekanntmachung geeigneten Verhandlungen der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 1840 (April), 88–106. Available from: <http://bibliothek.bbaw.de/bbaw/bibliothek-digital/digitalequellen/schriften/anzeige?band=08-verh/1840> (accessed 4 July 2014) [The header information in Müller & Troschel (1840: 318)—see the next reference below—identifies that work as an "excerpt from the monthly report of the Royal Academy of Sciences in Berlin. Month of April 1840." Indeed, the paper's contents are almost identical to those beginning at the bottom of page 99 in Müller (1840). Müller & Troschel (1842: pp. X, 5) treated Müller (1840) as having been published before Müller & Troschel (1840). In a comprehensive monograph on the Academy's history Harnack (1900: 770) wrote that the monthly report issues were to be issued "generally upon completion of each month", in order to be able to "publish more quickly than in the Abhandlungen" series of the same academy, and that this "instrument proved to be very practical and to actually achieve its purpose". We conclude that Müller (1840) was published in May of 1840, i.e. not only prior to Gray (1840) but also before Müller & Troschel (1840).]
- Müller, J. & Troschel, F.H. (1840) Ueber die Gattungen der Asterien. *Archiv für Naturgeschichte*, 6 (1), 318–326. Available from: <https://archive.org/stream/archivfnaturg0601berl#page/318/mode/2up> (accessed 4 July 2014) [Previous opinion dating this work from June of 1840 looks questionable, as immediately below the paper's final lines on page 326 the header of the following work states that part of the latter had been presented at a meeting on "21 July 1840". However, in light of our findings on Müller (1840)—see the next reference above—the precise publication date of Müller & Troschel (1840) is no longer critical to nomenclature.]
- Müller, J. & Troschel, F.H. (1842) *System der Asteriden*. F. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, xx (20) + 134 + [1] pp., 12 pls. Available from: <https://archive.org/stream/systemderasterid00ml> (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Müller, J. & Troschel, F.H. (1844) Beschreibung neuer Asteriden. *Archiv für Naturgeschichte*, 10 (1), 178–185. Available from: <https://archive.org/stream/archivfnaturg1001berl#page/178/mode/2up> (accessed 4 July)
- Nash, W.J., Goddard, M. & Lucas, J.S. (1983) Population genetic studies of the crown-of-thorns starfish, *Acanthaster planci* (L.), in the Great Barrier Reef region. *Coral Reefs*, 7 (1), 11–18. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00301976>
- Nishida, M. & Lucas, J.S. (1990) Genetic differences between geographic populations of the crown-of-thorns starfish

- throughout the Pacific Ocean. *Marine Biology*, 98 (3), 359–368.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00391112>
- Petit, R.E. (2011) Reprint of Lamarck's 1816 "Liste des objets". *Conchologia ingrata*, 3, 1–18. Available from: http://conchologia.com/publication_pdf/3.pdf (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Plancus, J. (a pseudonym of Bianchi, G.) (1744) Appendix ad Φυτοβασανov. P. [136], pl. XXXVIII (38). In: Plancus, I. & Columna, F.† (Eds.), *Fabi Columnae Lyncei Φυτοβασανov cui accessit vita Fabi et Lynceorum notitia adnotationesque in Φυτοβασανov Iano Planco Ariminensi auctore et in Senensi academia anatomes publico professore. [The Lyncean Fab(i)us Columna's 'Phytobasanos', to which have been added a biography of Fab(i)us and an appraisal of the Lynceans, as well as notes on the 'Phytobasanos', by Ianus Plancus of Rimini, the author and a public professor at the anatomical academy of Siena.]*. I.P. Aeris, Florence; lii (52) + 134 + [2] pp., 38 pl. Available from: http://books.google.de/books?id=Le5v5sSQDiIC&printsec=frontcover&hl=de&source=gbs_ge_summary_r&cad=0#v=onepage&q&f=false (accessed 4 July 2014) [In this digital version, the bottom of the page with plate XXXVIII shows three lines of text. As evidenced by their references to names and pages in Linné (1767), these text lines are not parts of the printed original from 1744 but were entered later on the digitized copy.]
- Plancus, J. & Gualtierus, N. [= Bianchi, G. & Gualtieri, N.] (1743) *De stella marina echinata quindecim radiis instructa epistolae binæ quarum altera ad Carolum Antonium Iulianum a Iano Planco, altera vero ad ipsum Plancum a Nicolao Gualtiero, conscriptæ. [Two letters on a spiny starfish equipped with fifteen arms, one written to Carolus Antonius Julianus by Janus Plancus, the other to that same Plancus by Nicolaus Gualtierus.]* Preprinted separate, 12 pp., 1. pl. Florence. Available from: <https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=s1VQXBasaalC&rdid=book-s1VQXBasaalC&rdot=1> (1743, separate; plate incompletely visible; access requires registration with 'Google books') [As far as known, this work was published first in 1743 as a preprinted separate, then in 1744 in *Memorie sopra la Fisica e Istoria naturale di diversi Valentuomini*, 2, 283–288 + 289–294 (digitized pages 307–312, 313–318), 1 pl. (on p. XV of this journal volume, some corrections to the texts are proposed). The "1 January 1743" date given for the separate by Google books cannot be correct, as the letters by Gualtierus and Plancus are signed with "September" and "October" 1743, respectively.]
- Rivera-Posada, J., Owens, L., Caballes, C.F. & Pratchett, M.S. (2012) The role of protein extracts in the induction of disease in *Acanthaster planci*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 429, 1–6.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2012.06.008>
- Rivera-Posada, J.A., Pratchett, M., Cano-Gómez, A., Arango-Gómez, J.D. & Owens, L. (2011) Injection of *Acanthaster planci* with thiosulfate-citrate-bile-sucrose agar (TCBS). I. Disease induction. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 97 (2), 85–94.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/dao02401>
- Rowe, F.W.E. & Gates, J. (1995) Echinodermata. In: Wells, A. (Ed.), *Zoological Catalogue of Australia*, 33, xiii (13) + pp. 1–510 pp. [CSIRO Australia, Melbourne]
- Rumphius [= Rumph], G.E. (1705) *D'Amboinsche Rariteitkamer*. F. Halma, Amsterdam, 539 pp. Available from: <http://gdz.sub.uni-goettingen.de/dms/load/img/?PPN=PPN372428037&IDDOC=279913> (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Schmidel, K.C. (1781) Beschreibung eines Seesternes mit rosenförmigen Verzierungen. *Der Naturforscher (Halle a. d. Saale)*, 16, 1–7, pl. I (1). Available from: <http://www.ub.uni-bielefeld.de/cgi-bin/neubutton.cgi?pfad=/diglib/aufkl/naturforscher/118812&seite=00000006.TIF> (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Schreber, J.C.D. von (1793) Beschreibung der Seesonne, einer Art Seesterne, mit 21 Strahlen. *Der Naturforscher (Halle a. d. Saale)*, 27: 1–6, pls. I–II (1–2). Available from: <http://www.ub.uni-bielefeld.de/cgi-bin/neubutton.cgi?pfad=/diglib/aufkl/naturforscher/118931&seite=00000006.TIF> (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Sladen, W.P. (1889) Report on the Asteroidea (starfish) collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873–1876. *Report on the Scientific Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger 1873–76. Zoology*, Vol. XXX (30). Text, [6] + xlii (42) + 893 pp. Available from: <http://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/43777> (accessed 4 July 2014) [Illustrations for this work were issued separately in *Zoology* — Vol. XXX (30). Plates.]
- Studer, Th. (1885) Verzeichniss der während der Reise S.M.S. Gazelle um die Erde 1874–76 gesammelten Asteriden und Euryaliden. *Abhandlungen der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. Aus dem Jahre 1884. Abhandlungen nicht zur Akademie gehöriger Gelehrter. Physikalische Abhandlungen, Abh. II*, pp. 1–64, pls. I–V (1–5). Available from: http://bibliothek.bbaw.de/bbaw/bibliothek-digital/digitalequellen/schriften/anzeige/index_html?band=07-abh/1884&seite:int=602 (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Stump, R.J.W. & Lucas, J.S. (1990) Linear growth in spines from *Acanthaster planci* (L.) involving growth lines and periodic pigment bands. *Coral Reefs*, 9 (3), 149–154.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00258227>
- Vogler, C. (2010) *Phylogeography and evolution of the crown-of-thorns starfish Acanthaster planci*. PhD-Thesis, University of Munich (LMU), Faculty of Geo-Sciences, 138 pp.
- Vogler, C., Benzie, J.A.H., Barber, P.H., Erdmann, M.V., Ambariyanto, Sheppard, C., Tenggardjaja, K., Gérard, K. & Wörheide, G. (2012) Phylogeography of the Crown-of-Thorns starfish in the Indian Ocean. *PLoS ONE*, 7 (8), e43499. [10 pp.]
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043499>
- Vogler, C., Benzie, J.A.H., Lessios, H., Barber, P. & Wörheide, G. (2008) A threat to coral reefs multiplied? Four species of crown-of-thorns starfish. *Biology Letters*, 4 (6), 696–699.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2008.0454>

- Vogler, C., Benzie J.A.H., Tenggardjaja, K., Ambariyanto, Barber, P.H. & Wörheide, G. (2013) Phylogeography of the crown-of-thorns starfish: genetic structure within the Pacific species. *Coral Reefs*, 32 (2), 515–525.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-012-1003-z>
- Walbran, P.D. (1987) *Technical Memorandum: An atlas of the skeletal components of the crown-of-thorns starfish (Acanthaster planci (L.))*. Annual Report of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (GBRMPA-TM-11), Townsville, 42 pp, incl. 13 pls. (ISSN 0817-6094, ISBN 0-642-52641-9). Available from: http://www.gbrmpa.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0005/9752/gbrmpa-tm11.pdf (accessed 4 July 2014)
- Waters, J., O'Loughlin, P.M. & Roy, M.S. (2004) Cladogenesis in a starfish species complex from southern Australia: evidence for vicariant speciation? *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 32 (1), 236–245.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2003.11.014>
- Watt, M. (Ed.) (1786) *The natural history of many curious and uncommon zoophytes, collected from various parts of the globe by the late John Ellis, Esq. F. R. S. Soc. Reg. Upsal. Soc. author of the natural history of English Corallines, and other works. Systematically arranged and described by the late Daniel Solander, M. D. F. R. S. & c.. With sixty-two [sic] plates engraven by principal artists*. B. White and Son + P. Elmsly, London, xii (12) + 208 pp., 63 + [2] pls. Available from: <https://archive.org/details/naturalhistoryof00elli> (accessed 4 July 2014) [This work was published on the initiative of Sir Joseph Banks by Ellis' daughter years after the deaths of Ellis (†1776) and Solander (†1782); thus, for the purposes of nomenclature Ellis and Solander cannot be cited as the authors of the publication (ICZN 1999: Art. 50.1.1). However, contents of the work show clearly that Ellis had collected the material and closely overseen the production of the plates by various artists (Watt 1786, editor's introductory "Advertisement", p. vi), with the possible exception of the two unnumbered plates, which appear to be reproductions of older originals. The work's contents also show that Solander had "arranged and described" (op. cit.: title) the taxa treated in the text, including data "found in Mr. Ellis's papers" (op. cit.: p. 198). Consequently, we continue to credit Ellis & Solander with the nomenclatural authorship of all taxon names newly proposed in Watt (1786).]
- Yasuda, N., Hamaguchi, M., Sasaki, M., Nagai, S., Saba, M. & Nadaoka, K. (2006) Complete mitochondrial genome sequences for crown-of-thorns starfish *Acanthaster planci* and *Acanthaster brevispinus*. *BMC Genomics*, 7 (17), 10 pp.
- Yasuda, N., Nagai, S., Hamaguchi, M., Okaji, K., Gérard, K. & Nadaoka, K. (2009) Gene flow of *Acanthaster planci* (L.) in relation to ocean currents revealed by microsatellite analysis. *Molecular Ecology*, 18 (8), 1574–1590.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.2009.04133.x>