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Abstract

This paper presents a comprehensive overview of Ultra-Wideband (UWB) measurements of all the empirical data available on various fading properties of the indoor radiowave communication channel. Our focus is on the essential propagation channel parameters which is used in the physics-based models. This overview is based on more than 50 measurement campaigns from 24 working groups in different countries including North-American, European and Asian, and more than 100 references (in total) mostly books and journals articles on the UWB propagation channels. The measurement campaigns utilize different multipath propagation measurement techniques including Direct-Pulse (DP), spread spectrum Sliding Correlator (SD) and Frequency Sweeping (FSW) in various environments office, laboratory, corridor, open areas (classroom, reading room, auditorium) and residential building to measure metrics: 1) Power-loss characteristics including Path-Loss (PL), large-scale fading and small-scale fading. 2) Temporal characteristics including multipath arrival rate, multipath delay spread (Power Delay Profile (PDP) and Root-Mean-Squared (RMS) delay spread) and temporal correlation. 3) Spatial characteristics including multipath Angle-of-Arrival (AOA) and spatial correlation across the receiver’s spatial aperture. 4) Frequency characteristics including Frequency-Selectivity (FSE) and Pulse-Distortion (PD). This tutorial overview is supported by an integrated summary on measurement results on each category. These analytic summaries lead to insights on UWB fading channel characterization and modeling.
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I. Introduction

The most recent increase in demand within the wireless user community for short-range, very high rate data and video transmission devices has motivated the growth of a new generation of Fourth Generation (4G) devices,
i.e. Ultra-Wideband (UWB) radio [1]-[4]. The term 4G is used broadly to include several types of broadband wireless access communication systems, not only cellular telephone systems. One of the terms used to describe 4G is MAGIC-Mobile multimedia, Anytime anywhere, Global mobility support, Integrated wireless solution, and Customized personal service. 4G mobile communication networks will include heterogeneous technologies which can be categorized at different levels according to their access coverage [5]. Hence, short range radio UWB will play a critical role in the local/home (pico cell) level of 4G networks due to its unprecedented, broad bandwidth. It must be used for its strength: through-material propagation, extreme high resolution for ranging and imaging capability, little fading, rich multipath to exploit, stealth, etc. To understand the fundamental limits and potential applications of UWB technology in the context of 4G, we give a tutorial review of UWB communications channel models. Measurements based empirical models are focused on although some physics-based model are also given to show the special characteristics.

UWB technology has been employed for several decades in military and commercial communications applications like high-speed mobile Local Area Networks (LAN), imaging and surveillance systems, ground penetration radars, automotive sensors, medical monitors and recently Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPAN) [6]. Spread-spectrum communication systems using ultra-short impulses have seen a renewed interest because of its fine resolution in delay to the order of a tenth of nanosecond though at the cost of a ultra wide frequency band. Low transmission power and large bandwidth together render the power spectral density of the transmitted signal extremely low, which allows the frequency-overlay of a UWB system with other existing radio systems.

Indoor wireless systems operate in the areas where usually there is no Line-of-Sight (LOS) radio path between the terminals, the transmitter, and the receiver, and where due to obstructions (furniture, partitions, walls, etc.), multi-diffraction, multi-reflections, and multi-scattering effects occur. These lead to not only additional losses (with regarding those obtained in LOS), but also multipath fading of the signal strength observed at the receiver.

There exist very good fundamental investigations on the UWB propagation channel characterization and modeling in the literature [7]-[12]. More particularly, the references [10] and [12] give an excellent overview of the UWB channels and the authors in [11] present a very comprehensive tutorial on the UWB channel modeling.

In this paper, we will investigate the empirical measurements on the UWB propagations channels. Our focus in this integrated survey lies on the indoor environments, including office, laboratory, commercial and residential buildings.
Moreover, we consider some special applications of the UWB systems which have an indoor-like areas, e.g., inside a Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) system, underground mine and so on. A large number of references, more than 100 and mostly recently published, are used in this investigation. The basic channel characterization parameters are extracted and discussed. We review all the channel characterization procedures in this regard.

To characterize a UWB propagation indoor channel, a common method is applying a Radio-Frequency (RF) signal to the channel and making an empirical evaluation of the received signal. Through this type of channel characterization, essential metrics are drawn which are: Path-Loss (PL), large-scale fading, small-scale fading, multipath arrival rate, Power-Delay-Profile (PDP), Root-Mean-Squared (RMS) delay spread, temporal correlation, Angle-of-Arrival (AOA), spatial correlation across the receiver’s spatial aperture, Frequency-Selectivity (FSE) and Pulse-Distortion (PD).

Basically, one of the most important aspects of any radio channel-modeling activity is the investigation of the distribution functions of channel parameters. Typically, these distributions are obtained from measurements or simulations based on almost exact or simplified descriptions of the environment. However, such methods often only yield insights into the statistical behavior of the channel and are not able to give a physical explanation of observed channel characteristics. Due to the extremely broad bandwidth, the channel is highly dispersive, even for an individual path. Physics-based models [2] are usually required to understand the multipath pulses waveforms that are necessary for optimal reception. This work aims to cover this issue and give a comprehensive summary on the UWB fading channel statistics.

The rest of this paper organized as follows: in Section I, a general formulation of the UWB Channel Impulse Response (CIR) is presented. Section II provides the employed channel characterization procedures and measurement settings. In section III, we review the channel fading’s power-Loss characteristics. A survey on the channel fading’s temporal characterizations is presented in Section IV. We then investigate on the channel fading’s frequency-dependent characteristics in Section V. Finally, Section VI concludes the paper.

II. MULTIPATH CHANNEL IMPULSE RESPONSE (CIR) AND BASIC DEFINITIONS

A common and convenient model for characterization of the multipath channel is the discrete-time impulse response model. In this model, the multipath delay axis $\tau$ is discretized into equal time delay segments called bins.
Each bin has time a delay width equal to \( \Delta \tau = \tau_{i+1} - \tau_i \). Any number of multipath signals received within the \( i \)th bin are represented by a single resolvable multipath component having delay \( \tau_i \). A reasonable bin size is the specific measurement’s time resolution since two paths arriving within a bin cannot be resolved as distinct path. The relative delay of the \( i \)th multipath component as compared to the first arriving component is called excess delay and if the total number of possible multipath components is \( N \), the maximum excess delay of the propagation channel is given by \( N \Delta \tau \).

In a multipath propagation channel, since the received signal consists of a series of attenuated, time delay, phase shifted replicas of the transmitted signal, the impulse response of multipath channel can be expressed as \( h(\tau, t) \) [14].

\[
h(\tau, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N(t)-1} a_i(\tau, t) e^{j\varphi_i(\tau, t)} \delta(\tau - \tau_i(t)) \quad (1)
\]

where \( a_i(\tau, t) \), \( \varphi_i(\tau, t) \) and \( \tau_i(t) \) are the real amplitude, the phase shift and excess delay, respectively, of \( i \)th multipath component at time \( t \). Generally, the parameters \( a_i \), \( \varphi_i \) and \( \tau_i \) are random time-variant functions because of the motion of people and equipment in and around of buildings. However, since the rate of their variations is very slow as compared with the measurement time interval, these parameters can be treated as time-invariant random variables within one snapshot (bin) of measurement. Moreover these parameters are frequency-dependent since they are related to radio signal characteristics such as transmission and reflections.

The time-invariant CIR (2), assuming a stationary environment, was first suggested in [15] to describe multipath-fading channels. This model has been used successfully in mobile radio applications [13] and can be applied to the UWB indoor propagation channels.

\[
h(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i(\tau) e^{j\varphi_i(\tau)} \delta(\tau - \tau_i) \quad (2)
\]

A discrete space-time separable CIR (3), which is originally proposed by [16] and developed by [17], is employed in [18] to represent the UWB channel’s impulse response. In this model, the impulse response for the multipath delay \( \tau \), so-called Time-of-Arrival (TOA), and AOA \( \theta \) is given by

\[
h(\tau, \theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{kl} e^{j\phi_{kl}} \delta(\tau - T_l - \tau_{kl}) \delta(\theta - \Theta_l - \omega_{kl}) \quad (3)
\]
where $\beta_{kl}$, $\phi_{kl}$, $\tau_{kl}$, and $\omega_{kl}$ are respectively the amplitude, the phase shift, the arrival time and the azimuth AOA of the $k$th arrival of the $l$th cluster. $T_l$ and $\Theta_l$ represent the $l$th cluster’s first arrival time and the azimuth AOA respectively. In other words, for a particular cluster $l$ the inner sum reveals the rays corresponding to the same cluster, i.e. intra-cluster representation. Accordingly, the intra-cluster rays are said to be from different $l$s.

### III. Measurement Settings

#### A. Measurement Environments

UWB channel fading depends on detailed aspects of the indoor setting— including not only describing the architectural floor plan but details of the interior door. The abbreviation required by the present work’s survey character on the indoor setting could lead to apparent wide variability in empirical results for nominally comparable setting. However, as more measurements are carried out, new categories may be introduced which may provide a better classification in terms of the variability of the signal statistics.

Table I represents the proposed categories based on the reviewed literature UWB channel-fading measurements.

#### B. Multipath Propagation Measurements Techniques

Due to the importance of the multipath structure in determining the small-scale fading effects, a number of wideband channel sounding techniques have been developed. Wideband measurement techniques as described in [14] are

- **Direct Pulse (DP):** In this measurement system, a repetitive pulse is transmitted and a receiver with wide bandpass filter is utilized to receive the pulses. This gives an immediate measurement of the square of CIR convolved with the probing pulse. In this measurement, the minimum resolvable delay between multipath component equals the probing pulse width. To measure impulse response (2), the probing pulse $p(t)$ approximates the delta function. If $p(t)$ has a time duration much smaller than the impulse response of multipath channel $p(t)$ does not need to be deconvolved from the received signal in order to determine impulse response $h(t)$ in (2) [14].

- **Spread Spectrum Sliding Correlator (SC):** In a spread spectrum channel sounder, a carrier signal by mixing with a binary Pseudo-Noise (PN) sequence becomes spread over a large bandwidth and and then is transmitted.
The spread spectrum signal is then received, filtered and despread using a PN sequence generator. In this measurement system, the chip rate of the PN sequence generator determines the time resolution. The advantage of a spread spectrum system is that, while the probing signal may be wideband, it is possible to detect the transmitted signal using a narrowband receiver preceded by a wideband mixer, thus improving the dynamic range of the system as compared to the direct pulse system.

- **Frequency Sweeping (FS):** In this measurement, a Vector Network Analyzer (VNA) controls a synthesized frequency sweeper. The sweeper scans a particular frequency band by stepping through discrete frequencies. Obviously, the number and spacings of these frequency steps impact the time resolution. This frequency domain representation is then converted to the time domain using Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform (IDFT) processing, giving a band-limited version of the impulse response.

Table I shows what type of measurement technique is employed for the reviewed literature of the UWB channel-fading campaigns.

### C. Space-Time Resolution

All above utilized measurement approaches use a band-limited probing waveform and thus have limited time resolution. Even with the sub-nanosecond resolution, used in the measurements, the received signal pulse may still contain several multipath components and thus may fade in a small local area. The time resolution can directly affect time of arrival measurements. Increasing time-domain resolution of channel response to resolve the direct LOS path improves the performance of location finding systems employing TOA estimation techniques. Various measurement campaign’s temporal resolutions are summarized in Table I. In these measurements, the different spatial grids in size and spacing are utilized to assess the spatial variation (Table I). The associated grids are located horizontally where the measurements are made at the center of each grid cell. Although the essential spatial fading statistics have been drawn based on the measurements made inside the grid, some campaigns like in [39] move the grid to obtain the extra parameter statistics like multipath cluster phenomenon.
TABLE I
UWB CHANNEL-FADING MEASUREMENT SETTINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Measur. Tech.</th>
<th>Time Res. (ns)</th>
<th>Measurement Grid</th>
<th>Frequency-Bandwidth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grid Size</td>
<td>Spacing (cm)</td>
<td>Absolute (GHz)</td>
<td>Relative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18]-[24]</td>
<td>Office and Laboratory</td>
<td>DP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7 x 7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25]-[26]</td>
<td>Office building and Corridor</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>3 x 3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>Ship compartments</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[28]-[33]</td>
<td>Residential House</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>5 x 5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[34]-[35]</td>
<td>Residential and Commercial Building</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>266.6</td>
<td>5 x 5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>Office and Laboratory</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>266.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>Residential House</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 x 20</td>
<td>1.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>10 x 10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>Office and Corridor</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30 x 30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30 x 30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[41]</td>
<td>Auditorium and Office</td>
<td>DPSC/FC</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42]-[44]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[45]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>Office, Laboratory and Reading room</td>
<td>DP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 x 61</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[47]-[48]</td>
<td>Laboratory and Classroom</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[49]-[50]</td>
<td>Office and Classroom</td>
<td>DP</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3 x 3</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[51]-[52]</td>
<td>Office and Classroom</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>3 x 3</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[53]-[54]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1 x 90 (Circle)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[55]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 x 5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[56]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>DP</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1 x 23</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[57]-[59]</td>
<td>Office and Laboratory</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>25 x 25</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[60]</td>
<td>Office and Laboratory</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5 x 5</td>
<td>2.8, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[61]</td>
<td>Office and Laboratory</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>5 x 5</td>
<td>2.8, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[62]</td>
<td>Residential Apartment</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>229.6</td>
<td>5 x 5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[63]</td>
<td>Office, Laboratory, and Classroom</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>3 x 3</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[64]</td>
<td>Office, Laboratory, Factory and Residential</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[65]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4 x 4</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[66]</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>DP</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1 x 8 (Circle)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[67]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>21 x 21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[68]-[70]</td>
<td>Underground Mine</td>
<td>FS</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>8 x 5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[71]</td>
<td>Underground Mine</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>7 x 7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[72]</td>
<td>Office, residential, Chamber</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>533.3</td>
<td>1 x 9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[73]</td>
<td>Chamber</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[74]</td>
<td>Office and Chamber</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>213.3</td>
<td>1 x 12 (Circle)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Frequency Range and Bandwidth

A UWB signal defined by the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) is a signal with greater than 25% relative (coherent) bandwidth\(^1\), it is also true that UWB signals tend to have large absolute bandwidths \([75]\) which are not less than \(500 MHz\).

\(^1\)Sometimes termed “fractional bandwidth”.
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The relative bandwidth definition of UWB is stated as follows:

\[
B_{rel} = 2 \cdot \frac{f_h - f_l}{f_h + f_l} = \frac{W}{f_c}
\] (4)

where \( f_h \) and \( f_l \) denote frequencies at the upper and lower band edges, respectively. \( W \) is the absolute-bandwidth, and \( f_c \) is the center frequency. Table I shows the absolute- and relative-bandwidth utilized by each reference.

IV. CHANNEL FADING’S POWER-LOSS CHARACTERISTICS

A. Path-Loss

Generally speaking, PL arises from the propagating wavefront’s increasing surface area as the wavefront radiates outward from the transmitting antenna and the obtrusive effects of objects distributed between transmitter and receiver antennas such as free space loss, refraction, reflection, diffraction, clutter, aperture-medium coupling loss, and absorption.

Both non-empirical and empirical propagation models illustrate that average path-loss increases logarithmically as a function of Transmitter-Receiver (TR) separation distance in indoor radio channels [14]:

\[
\overline{PL}(d) = PL_0 + 10 \cdot n \cdot \log_{10} \left( \frac{d}{d_0} \right) + FA
\] (5)

where \( n, PL_0, d \) and \( FA \) are respectively the path-loss exponent which shows the rate at which the path-loss increases with distance, the intercept point which is the path-loss at \( d_0 \) (a reference distance), the transmitter-receiver separation distance, and the Floor Attenuation Factor (FAF). The bars in (5) denote the average values for the same floor measurement and over all transmitter-receiver antennas locations, while maintaining the same transmitter-receiver separation distance. The variations about the average path-loss value (5) are called shadow fading and are discussed later. The path-loss exponent \( n \) depends on the propagation environment. In free space, \( n = 2 \); with obstructions, \( n > 2 \) [14].

Measurements [22], [23], [25]-[28], [30], [31], [34], [35], [42]-[44], [46]-[59], [61], [64], [66], [69], [71], [72] and [74] show that (5) is applicable for both Line-of-Sight (LOS) and Non-Line-of-Sight (NLOS), i.e. when there is no LOS path between the transmitter and receiver, UWB channels with the calibrated PL parameters in Table II. Depending on the UWB receiver architecture, the PL parameters can be obtained by different methods. Basically,
the UWB indoor path-loss is calculated by the total received power integrating the power delay profiles (defined in V-B.1) over all delay bins [22], [23], and [42]-[44]. However, if the receiver uses a threshold detection strategy which tracks the peak of the received signal, the calculated PL is based on the peak CIR power metric [42]-[44]. Moreover, some of the receiver structures only detect the first path; thus, the first path power is only employed for the PL calculation [64].

The UWB indoor path-loss exponent $n$ measured in different environments behaves as a random variable [25], [26], [28], [30] and [31]. In [28], [30], [31], [34] and [35], it is also shown that $n$ follows a normal distribution (see Table II). From the measurement results:

1) Table II shows $1.4 < n < 4.1$ for a regular indoor environment except for the hard-NLOS situation [23], [61] and [64], and a very short-distance path-loss measurements [56] and [66]. A hard-NLOS scenario is basically defined for when there is no direct or reflected path between transmitter and receiver e.g. two different rooms [25]. However, in [61] this definition corresponds to the situation in which not only the transmitter and receiver are located in different rooms but also the blockage effect of the other obstacles are considered. On the other hand, a soft-NLOS scenario mostly happens when there are reflected paths between the transmitter and the receiver e.g. in a room. In [23] and [61], the high value path-loss exponent $n = 7.4$ is reported for a multi-wall scenario. Moreover, $n = 4.9$ is reported in [64] for a multi-floor measurement. In [56] and [66], it is shown that for a short distance NLOS scenario the path-loss exponent $n$ is less than 1. This result, however, can be justified using a small scale fading. The path-loss exponent for a

2) It is shown in [23] and [64] that the path-loss exponent $n$ can be dependent on the TR distance. To present this dependence, a dual-slope model of the normalized mean PL (5) is proposed in [23] and [64] for different distance regions

$$PL_D(d) = \begin{cases} 
10 \ n_1 \log_{10} \left( \frac{d}{d_0} \right) & d \leq D \\
PL_D + 10 \ n_2 \log_{10} \left( \frac{d}{d_0} \right) & d > D 
\end{cases}$$

(6)

where $D$, $PL_D$, $n_1$ and $n_2$ are respectively the break point distance in the model, the intercept point, the path-loss exponent for the first slope, i.e. $d \leq D$, and the path-loss exponent for the second slope, i.e. $d > D$. All these values are calculated through the curve-fitting process on the measured data.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>$d_0$ (m)</th>
<th>$P_{L,0}$ (dB)</th>
<th>$n^*$</th>
<th>$\sigma_x$ (dB)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory</td>
<td>LOS/LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>$\mu = 7.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory</td>
<td>LOS/LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$d \leq 10m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>39.82</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>$d &gt; 11m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[26]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 2.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[28], [30]</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 1.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 0.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 1.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 0.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory</td>
<td>LOS/LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Peak Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[47]</td>
<td>Laboratory/Classroom</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>$\eta = 1.98$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[48]</td>
<td>Laboratory/Classroom</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>$\eta = 1.19$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[49]</td>
<td>Office/Classroom</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 1.91$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[50]</td>
<td>Office/Classroom</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 3.26$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[51]</td>
<td>Office/Classroom</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 2.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[52]</td>
<td>Office/Classroom</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 2.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[53]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 1.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[54]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 5.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[55]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 1.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[56]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 1.06$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[57]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory</td>
<td>LOS/LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.54</td>
<td>1.916</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 1.42$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[58]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67.15</td>
<td>3.663</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 2.18$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[59]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory</td>
<td>LOS/LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 2.57$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[60]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 2.57$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[61]</td>
<td>Hard-NLOS</td>
<td>4.037</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 7.33$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[62]</td>
<td>Hard-NLOS</td>
<td>4.037</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Multi-wall effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[63]</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 5.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[64]</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 3.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[65]</td>
<td>Factory</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 1.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[66]</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>LOS/LOS</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 0.435$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[68], [70]</td>
<td>Under Mine</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 1.47$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[69]</td>
<td>Under Mine</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 2.45$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[70]</td>
<td>Under Mine</td>
<td>LOS/LOS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 1.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[71]</td>
<td>Under Mine</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 2.97$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[72]</td>
<td>Chamber</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 5.29$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[73]</td>
<td>Office and Chamber</td>
<td>LOS/LOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>$\sigma_x = 2.6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The same-floor path-loss exponent (see note for some exceptions).† These intercept values are calculated based on a normalized path-loss.
3) There is no significant difference between the measured values of $n$ for UWB channels and narrowband indoor channels which are reported in [14].

4) The path-loss in a ship compartment area follows in-building LOS (within one room) cases.

5) The path-loss exponent $n$ slightly increases if directional antennas are employed for the receiver and transmitter [47]-[52] because it reduces some of the obstructive effects of objects distributed between transmitter and receiver like diffraction, reflection and absorption. In other words, the directive antenna does not use the considerable multipath energy while an omni-directional antenna does.

6) The standard deviation of the path-loss exponents for different measurement locations/environments, like rooms and buildings but in the same category like residential [28], [30] and [31], is higher for NLOS cases than for LOS cases.

7) Different types of indoor environment (e.g. office, laboratory, residences) lie in different subranges of $n \in [1.4, 4.1]$. Instead of a deterministic $n$, it has been modeled as a Gaussian random variable with empirically determined mean and variance, for residential houses in [28], [30] and [31], and commercial areas in [34] and [35].

8) To the best of the authors’ knowledge, there is only one published paper on the $F_A$ measurement [64]. It is shown in [64] that there is no significant difference in the path loss model between a single and multi-floor measurement. However, the results in [64] show a considerable difference between the aforementioned scenarios when the measurements are performed at the entrance/back of the building.

**B. Large-Scale Fading**

(5) overlooks shadowing loss ($\chi$), which augments (5) to:

$$PL(d) = PL(d) + \chi$$ (7)

UWB measurements [22], [23], [25], [28], [30], [31], [34], [42], [44], [46]-[54], [57]-[59], [61], [64] and [69] indicate a zero-mean log-normally distribution for $\chi$ with its standard deviation $\sigma_\chi$ dependent on the particular propagation environment (see Table II). From large-scale fading measurement results:

1) Shadowing loss is generally greater for residences than for offices, environments.
2) LOS cases have a less shadowing loss than NLOS cases.

3) For LOS scenarios, shadowing loss decreases if directional antennas are employed for the receiver or transmitter. Indeed, the spatial filtering using a directive antenna results in a more stable average PL.

4) To the authors’ knowledge, there are no published paper investigating the relationship between $\chi$ and the transmitter-receiver separation distance, there exists only one paper published on the inter-floor shadowing loss [64] which reports an inter-floor shadowing loss less than the same-floor shadowing loss for a residential environment (see Table II). The same result is also observed for an office/laboratory environment (the inter-floor shadowing loss $\sigma_{\chi} = 1.8$ and the same-floor shadowing loss $\sigma_{\chi} = 3.4$) [64]. However, in such an environment when we move from the inter-floor scenario to the multi-floor one the inter-floor shadowing loss increases even more than a same-floor case (the inter-floor shadowing loss $\sigma_{\chi} = 1.8$ and the multi-floor shadowing loss $\sigma_{\chi} = 5.1$) [64].

5) As many wireless devices are wearable, the human-antenna interaction is insignificant in dense scatterer environments (like offices) but could be significant in open areas. A UWB channel measurement for Body Area Networks (BAN) is presented in [72]. Significant echoes from the body, e.g. from the arms, and deterministic echoes from the floor are observed in human-body effect measurement [72]. In [74], the performance of the UWB impulse radio for BAN employing a monopole antenna. The results in [74] show that the shadowing loss in a WBAN channel does not follow the log-normal distribution. Obayashi and Zander [77] model the body-shadowing deterministically with the existing ray-determination methods for narrow-band channels, but no corresponding study has been done for UWB with UWB’s distinctive demands on ray-tracing methods.

C. Small-Scale Fading

Basically, “small-scale fading” describes the received signal amplitude/energy’s fluctuations over a short duration or in the spatial neighborhood at the moving antenna’s nominal location [14]. This definition can be generalized to UWB communications as the constructive and destructive interferences of the multipath components due to a change in the moving antenna location in the order of the sub-spatial width of the transmitted pulse. In the UWB small-scale measurements, the moving antenna is mostly receiver antenna [18], [22], [23], [25], [26], [32], [36], [40], [46] and [58]; however, a moving transmitter antenna is used in [39] and [53]. In the UWB indoor applications,
TABLE III
SMALL-SCALE STATISTICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Small-Scale Type</th>
<th>distribution(s)**</th>
<th>distribution parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory LOS/NLOS Spatial</td>
<td>Rayleigh(σ)</td>
<td>σ = 0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22]-[23]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory LOS/NLOS Spatial</td>
<td>Nakagami(m)</td>
<td>m ∼ N [μ_m(τ_k), σ^2_m(τ_k)]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25] and [26]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory Corridor LOS Soft-NLOS Temporal</td>
<td>Weibull(μ, σ, λ)</td>
<td>μ = -306, σ = 311, λ = 45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>μ = -304, σ = 320, λ = 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>Residential LOS/NLOS Temporal</td>
<td>Gaussian(0, σ)</td>
<td>σ = 4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory LOS Temporal</td>
<td>Rician(k)</td>
<td>k ∝ {d^*, τ_k}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>Office/Corridor LOS/NLOS Temporal</td>
<td>Rician(k)</td>
<td>k ∝ -9dB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>Office NLOS space-time†</td>
<td>Gaussian(0, σ)× Potential(α)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory LOS/NLOS Spatial</td>
<td>Gaussian(0, σ)</td>
<td>σ_{d=5} = 1.13, σ_{d=7} = 1.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reading room LOS</td>
<td></td>
<td>σ_{d=10} = 1.16, σ_{d=14} = 1.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[53]</td>
<td>Office LOS/NLOS Spatial</td>
<td>Rician(k)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[58]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory LOS/NLOS Spatial</td>
<td>Nakagami(m)</td>
<td>Corresponding αΓamma=2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*d* is the transmitter/receiver separation distance.

** All distributions are on amplitude except Weibull(μ, σ, λ) which is for received power.

† The Gaussian distribution corresponds to the spatial small-scale amplitude and the Potential distribution corresponds to the temporal small-scale amplitude.

the transmitter an receiver and scatterer move slowly (if at all) relative to the information symbol duration. The UWB channel’s small-scale fading thus depends mostly on the multipath phenomena and the signal bandwidth.

Measurement campaigns [18], [19], [22], [23], [25], [26], [32], [36], [39], [40], [46], [53] and [58] present different results for the small-scale statistics of received signal amplitude/energy due to measuring time-delay interval, measuring data set (grid size and spacing), and environment type. Table III shows the proposed mathematical distributions, associated with the measured essential parameters (shown in the last column), for the small scale fading reported by different measurement campaigns. From small-scale fading measurement results:

1) The small-scale distribution’s parameters depend on the transmitter-receiver separation distance.

2) Most of the small-scale amplitude measurements show the clustering effect.

3) The more clustered office environment generally has higher standard deviations than open areas like reading rooms, due to the multipath phenomenon.

4) The small-scale distribution strongly depends on environment type (e.g. it is shown in [40] that the small-scale amplitude follows the Gaussian distribution whose parameters are fixed for an European office, and also results from [22] and [23] show that the small-scale amplitude follows the Nakagami distribution whose
parameters change with increasing excess delay for an American office).

5) As each temporal bin sums many multipath, the central limit theorem gives the Gaussian distribution for the small-scale magnitude statistics for large delays, but the Gaussian distribution is only approximate at small delays; hence, the Nakagami distribution [22] and [23] whose parameters change with increasing excess delay can fit well the small-scale amplitude while the Gaussian distribution is proposed in [8] for mathematical convenience.

V. CHANNEL FADING’S TEMPORAL CHARACTERIZATIONS

A. Multipath Arrival Rate

The arrival rate model in [17] is employed in [18], [53] and [58] to measure arrival rate statistics based on the multipath clustering phenomenon used in (3)

\[
p(T_i|T_{i-1}) = \Lambda e^{-\Lambda(T_i-T_{i-1})}
\]

(8)

\[
p(\tau_{k,l}|\tau_{(k-1),l}) = \lambda e^{-\lambda(\tau_{k,l}-\tau_{(k-1),l})}
\]

(9)

where \(\Lambda\) and \(\lambda\) are respectively the cluster arrival rate and the ray arrival rate. Results in [18], [53] and [58] show a smaller ray-arrival rate but a larger cluster-arrival rate for UWB than in [17] for narrowband (see Table IV). Due to UWB’s smaller ray-arrival rate but a larger cluster-arrival rate than narrowband, the reflection mechanism seems to be superior than other mechanisms like diffraction.

B. Multipath Delay Spread

1) Power Delay Profile: “power delay profile” is the small-scale averaged Instantaneous Power Delay Profile (IPDP) \(P(\tau) = |h(\tau)|^2\) [14] where \(h(\tau)\) is the multipath CIR defined in (2). The average IPDP is made over a local area (a neighborhood at the moving antenna’s nominal location) for spatial small-scale or over a short period of time (mostly a delay resolution \(bin\)) for temporal small-scale. As shown in [18], [22], [23], [25], [26], [29], [32], [38], [39], [53] and [58], the power delay profile is related to the excess delay as

\[
\overline{P(\tau)} = \overline{P} e^{-\overline{\gamma}}
\]

(10)
TABLE IV
NARROWBAND AND UWB PROPAGATION CHANNELS’ ARRIVAL RATES AND TIME CONSTANTS (NS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>(Building 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda)</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\lambda)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Gamma_C)</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>25.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma_r)</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>25.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \(a_0^2\) denotes the first multipath’s average power and \(\gamma\) symbolized power decay-rate. Moreover, the presented data in [18], [53] and [58] verify the double exponential decay law of [16]:

\[
P(T_l, \tau_{kl}) = a_0 e^{-\Gamma_C T_l} e^{-\gamma_r \tau_{kl}}
\]  

where \(\Gamma_C\) and \(\gamma_r\) determine the inter-cluster (i.e. the earliest arrival of each cluster) decay-rate and the intra-cluster (i.e. arrival rays inside the clusters) decay-rate, respectively. The parameters \(\Gamma_C\) and \(\gamma_r\) are measured in [18], [53] and [58] via a manually, so-called visually-inspection, cluster selecting approach. Table V summarizes the power delay profile empirical statistics presented in the open literature.

From the UWB’s power delay profile measurement results:

1) Referring to the double exponential model (11), UWB has smaller inter-cluster decay-rate comparing to narrowband (see Table IV). However, different results provided in [18], [53] and [58] do not show any trend comparing with the narrowband measurement [17]. In fact, these parameter highly depend on the particular propagation channel setting. For instance, the inter-cluster decay-rate depends primarily on the building and the floor-plan itself but the intra-cluster decay-rate depends primarily on furnishing.

2) Measurements always have decreasing power decay-rate mean and standard deviation with more obstruction.

3) The delay profile’s attenuation is inversely proportionate to the transmitter-receiver separation distance.

4) Reflection gives the strongest paths in power delay profile with a noticeable difference than other multipath mechanisms like diffraction; hence, other mechanisms such diffraction and diffuse scattering are minor and ignorable. Corridors, due to their LOS nature and unlike offices, have two clusters. The minor-cluster is a copy of the main-cluster, reflected off the opposite wall. Hence, the main-cluster’s delay is inversely proportional to the transmitter-receiver separation distance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>(\frac{\gamma}{A_0})</th>
<th>(\gamma) (ns)</th>
<th>(\tau_{RMS}) (ns)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>inter-cluster arrivals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22]-[23]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory</td>
<td>LOS/NLOS</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25]-[26]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory/Corridor</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>intra-cluster arrivals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>LSU/NLOS</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[29]</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>LOS/NLOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[43]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>Office/Laboratory</td>
<td>LOS/NLOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[47]-[48]</td>
<td>Laboratory/Reading Room</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[49]-[50]</td>
<td>Office/Classroom</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Biconical Antenna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[51]-[52]</td>
<td>Office/Classroom</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>TEM Horn Antenna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[53]-[54]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>TEM Horn Antenna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[56]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[62]</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[65]</td>
<td>office</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[66]</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>LOS/NLOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Empty barrel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[69]</td>
<td>Underground Mine</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[71]</td>
<td>Underground Mine</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[72]</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[73]</td>
<td>Chamber</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[74]</td>
<td>Office and Chamber</td>
<td>LOS/NLOS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>&lt;12.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* over all measurement
† \(d\) is the transmitter/receiver separation distance
2) Time Dispersion: Time dispersion phenomenon, mainly due to multipath in an indoor propagation environment, can highly affect the transmitted data rate and reduce the capacity in a multi-user UWB communication system. The time dispersion of the UWB signals is usually presented by the first central moment and the square root of the second central moment of PDP, i.e. the mean excess delay $\tau_m$ and the root mean square delay spread $\tau_{RMS}$, defined as follows

$$
\tau_{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i P(\tau_i)(\tau_i - \tau_m)^2}{\sum_i P(\tau_i)}}, \quad \tau_m = \frac{\sum_i P(\tau_i)\tau_i}{\sum_i P(\tau_i)}
$$

Strong echoes with long delays contribute disproportionately to $\tau_{RMS}$ which is provided to communications performance. Most of the measurement campaigns employs the delay spread $\tau_{RMS}$ to evaluate the time dispersion of the UWB pulses. However, the ratio $\tau_m/\tau_{RMS}$ is also suggested in [49], [50] and [59] as an effective criterion of the time dispersion. The delay spread $\tau_{RMS}$ is empirically found to depend on the environment structure such as the size and type of building and existence or absence of a clear LOS path (Table V). UWB measurements [28], [38], [42]-[44], [47], [48] and [66] show that $\tau_{RMS}$ increases with increasing the transmitter-receiver separation distance. A Normal distribution is suggested by [28], [29], [32] and [46] to approximately fit the $\tau_{RMS}$ variations. Since both path-loss and $\tau_{RMS}$ increase with transmitter-receiver separation, a correlation between them can be investigated. It is shown in [28], [42] and [66] that the path-loss increases linearly as $\tau_{RMS}$ goes up. Moreover, the delay spread $\tau_{RMS}$ is more correlated with path-loss than with the transmitter-receiver separation, for offices. To summarize:

1) $\tau_{RMS}$ is directly related to the transmitter-receiver separation.

2) $\tau_{RMS}$ has a higher mean and standard deviation for LOS than for NLOS.

3) $\tau_{RMS}$ is Gaussian for office, laboratory, reading room and residential areas where office/laboratory and reading room have the same standard deviation as residence NLOS and LOS cases respectively.

4) Antenna directivity decreases $\tau_{RMS}$.

5) $\tau_{RMS}$ is more correlated with path-loss than with the transmitter-receiver separation for offices.
C. Temporal Correlation

The temporal correlation coefficient is computed by spatially averaging the correlation between the power of the multipath components arriving to the same room at different excess delays.

\[
\rho_{i,i+l} = \frac{E\left\{ (P(\tau_i) - \overline{P(\tau_i)})(\overline{P(\tau_{i+l})} - P(\tau_{i+l})) \right\}}{\sqrt{E\left\{ (P(\tau_i) - \overline{P(\tau_i)})^2 \right\} E\left\{ (\overline{P(\tau_{i+l})} - P(\tau_{i+l}))^2 \right\}}
\]

where \( E \{ \cdot \} \) denotes the spatial averaging over the local area. The temporal correlation coefficient \( \rho_{i,i+l} \) is useful metric to reveal the resolvability of the CIR components in the impulse radio channels, i.e. UWB. It is enough to calculate the correlation coefficient between adjunct bins as this coefficient obviously decreases when the bins are in distance on the time axes.

Measurements [22], [23] and [46] show that the temporal correlation coefficient is below 0.2 and negligible for indoor UWB. This results in a resolvable fading for the UWB channels and benefits of using RAKE receivers for this kind of channels.

VI. CHANNEL FADEING’S SPATIAL CHARACTERISTICS

A. The Fading Multipath Angle of Arrival

Obstacles like walls, floor, furniture and human-body throughout a building, causes AOA to spread over a wide range and frequency-dependent due to frequency-dependent reflection, scattering and/or diffraction [87]. Welch et al. [41] present measurements that for open-areas (like auditorium) antenna-human inacts to create a very sharp null, but little effects for highly clustered environments (like office). Prettie et al. [37] show the signal’s AOA is frequency-independent for LOS, but frequency-dependent for NLOS case. [37] gives a smaller range of the signal’s AOA for residence than in [18] for offices (Table VI).

Cramer et al. [18] assume CIR (3) to be separable function of delay and azimuth: \( h(\tau, \theta) = h_1(\tau)h_2(\theta) \) where \( h_2(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k,i} \delta (\theta - \Theta_l - \omega_{k,i}) \) due to the angular deviation of the signal arrivals within a cluster from the cluster mean, over all AOA’s within the cluster, does not increase as a function of delay. In [18], \( \Theta_l \) is found using the above mathematical form to be approximately uniform over all angles and \( \omega_{k,i} \) is zero-mean Laplacian:

\[
p(\omega_{kl}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma}} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2\sigma} |\omega_{kl}|}{\sigma}}
\]
with a standard deviation (\(\sigma\)) of 38° which is larger than for narrowband channels (Table VI). Moreover, the received signal magnitude \(\beta_{k,l}\) is a Rayleigh-distributed random variable with a mean-square value which follows the double exponential (11) as \(\beta_{k,l}^2 = P(T_1, \tau_{kl})\) [18].

To summarize:

1) The inter-cluster and intra-cluster azimuth AOA is uniform and Laplacian, respectively similar to narrowband [80]. However, UWB has a wider (\(\sigma = 38^\circ\)) Laplacian distribution for the intra-cluster azimuth AOA than narrowband.

2) AOA is frequency-independent for the LOS case but frequency-dependent for the NLOS case. Offices have wider (\(\sigma = 38^\circ\)) AOA spread than household (\(\sigma = 22.5^\circ\)).

3) The human-body has a little effect on AOA spread in dense environments but can create a very sharp nulls in open areas.

### B. Received Data’s Spatial Correlation Across the Receiver’s Spatial Aperture

The spatial dependence of the UWB channels is analytically demonstrated via a space-frequency correlation function between the received signals \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) [37]

\[
R(\xi, \omega) = E\{S_1S_2\} = J_0\left(\frac{\xi}{c}\right) + 4\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n\left(\frac{\xi}{c}\right) e^{j\omega\alpha_0} \sin\left(\frac{\beta_0^2}{2}\right)
\]

where \(J_n(\cdot)\), \(\xi\), \(c\), \(\omega\), \(\beta\) and \(\alpha_0\) represent respectively the \(n\)th-order Bessel function, the inter-antennas spacing distance, the speed of light, the wireless frequency, the angular range in which AOA is assumed to be uniformly distributed and the AOA mean. As (15) implies, the correlation length is less at higher frequency. To evaluate this result, Prettie et al. [37] have made a set of measurements along baselines of the antenna positions at several locations in a residential environment. Although the measurement results [37] for NLOS case obey the space-frequency correlation function (15), they contradicts (15) for LOS case. Another set of spatial correlation measurements has

---

**TABLE VI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>UWB [37]</th>
<th>UWB [18]</th>
<th>NB [17] (Building 1)</th>
<th>NB [17] (Building 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\sigma)</td>
<td>22.5°</td>
<td>38°</td>
<td>25.5°</td>
<td>21.5°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
been reported in [46]. Li and Wong [46] show that

1) The average spatial correlation coefficient to depend on the excess delay. This averaging is made over all antenna separations and over all antenna locations for each environment. The correlation reaches the highest values for $\tau = 0$, but then decreases for larger excess delay ($\tau = 10\text{ns}$).

2) For the same excess delay, the open areas like high ceiling reading room present a higher correlation coefficient than office/laboratory environments.

3) The correlation coefficients for $\tau = 0$ are insensitive to the transmitter-receiver separation in offices/laboratories.

VII. CHANNEL FADING’S FREQUENCY-DEPENDENT CHARACTERISTICS

Due to a large bandwidth in UWB systems, the frequency-dependent aspects of the channels should be taken into account when we characterize and model the channel. There exist many frequency-relative components of the UWB communication channel which affect the traveling signal like the antenna pattern, materials in the propagation environment etc. In such a channel, not only the frequency selectivity of the environment, which is mainly due to the propagation effects e.g. multipath phenomenon, disperses the transmitted signal but also the transmit/receive antenna does. Hence, in an impulse radio channel these aspects must be evaluated separately as are done in this section.

A. Frequency Selectivity

1) Transfer Function Characterization: Obstructions situated between the transmitter-receiver behave differently as different frequencies. To account for frequency-dependent electromagnetic behavior of scatterers, (1) is generalized in [9] to:

$$h(\tau, t, f_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{N(t, f_n) - 1} a_n(\tau, t, f_n) e^{i(\tau, t, f_n) \delta(\tau - \tau_n(t, f_n))}$$

where $f_n$ is the $n$th operating frequency. In this model, the total bandwidth is divided into several sub-bands. The center frequency of the sub-bands is called operating frequency. Moreover, a distinct wideband model, considering the bandwidth, for each sub-band in UWB is proposed in [9]. The above-mentioned frequency-dependency has been verified by the measurements in different ways. Measurements [25], [26], [37], [39], [44] and [79] show that the
TABLE VII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>$\delta$ (nS)</th>
<th>$E[\delta]^*$</th>
<th>$\sigma[\delta]^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard-NLOS</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft-NLOS</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* over all measurements in each case

power gain decreases with increasing frequency; as for free-space propagation, the received power is proportional to $f^{-2}$ [39], [43], [44], [79]. Alvarez et al. [25], [26] show that the mean level, averaged spatially on the assigned local area (see Table I), of channel transfer-function (in dB) is approximately:

$$10 \log_{10} |H(f)|^2 = k_p e^{-\delta f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $k_p$ and $\delta$ are respectively a constant and the frequency decaying factor which is highly dependent on the antenna specifications [25] and [26]. In [25] and [26], it is indicated that the obstruction leads to faster power-decay per unit frequency (see Table VII). Kunisch and Pamp [39] have also investigated the frequency-dependent power-decay in offices, for both LOS and NLOS, which includes inside office NLOS and through-wall, i.e. hard-NLOS [25], cases. This frequency dependency is first studied in 1990s by using a physics-based approach [88]-[90]. The NLOS case has a slightly steeper decay than LOS case for higher frequencies. The mean transfer-function magnitude, averaged spatially on the assigned local area (see Table I), decays with increasing frequency:

$$|H(f)| = k_a \left( \frac{f}{F} \right)^{-m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $|H(f)|$, $F = \sqrt{f_h f_l}$, $k_a$ and $m$, are respectively the transfer-function magnitude, the center frequency with bandwidth $BW = f_h - f_l$ (see Table I), the amplitude factor, and the power law exponent. For the LOS case $m \sim 2$ with little variance because of the strong paths’ coherent summation. However, moving from LOS to NLOS results in a a large decrease in $m$, i.e. a slower decay with $f$. For the NLOS and between-offices cases, $m$ has larger variance as the multipath become more obstructed, but has mean equal 1.2 for the between-offices cases 1 and 2, and 1.1 for NLOS case. For both LOS and NLOS cases, $\log_{10} k_a$ is almost linear dependent on $m$, i.e. one
can write \( m = \alpha \log_{10} k_a \) where \( \alpha \) is real positive value. Substituting this linear function into (17) yields

\[
|H(f)| = k_a \left( \frac{f}{F} \right)^{-\alpha \log_{10} k_a}
\] (18)

As seen \(|H(f)|\) is no longer a linear function of \( k_a \) and therefore deviates from the simple power law. Lao et al. [45] show how the transmission coefficients with amplitude and phase information change for different building materials. According to their investigation, the amplitude decreases slightly with increased frequency for chip-wood material whereas for other materials: plaster board, calcium-silicate board and tempered-glass, the amplitude changes randomly. Meanwhile, it is shown in [45] that the variations in the transmission coefficient amplitude for tempered-glass are significant in the measured band. Moreover, the frequency behavior of the channel based on both vertical and horizontal polarization is measured in [45]. For different polarizations, measurement results indicate that variation is not significant for plaster-board and Ca-Si board. For tempered glass, the variation is large than the other material in the most of the band. To summarize:

1) \(|H(f)|^2\) decays exponentially versus frequency. More clustered obstruction increases this decay rate.

2) \(|H(f)|^2\) deviates from the power law, with \( m \) having a larger variance with more obstruction. \( \log_{10} k_\beta \) is approximately linearly related to \( m \).

3) As expected, there is a strong relationship between the frequency-dependent parameters of channel and the materials used in the propagation environments.

2) Building Materials and Polarization:

B. Pulse-Distortion

1) Physical Description: As shown in Section VII-A, the UWB channel is seen to be frequency-selective. The phenomenon can be apparently explained by the Geometric Theory of Diffraction (GTD) in the frequency domain. However, from the electromagnetics point of view this frequency dependence is not surprising in the high-frequency radio propagation. This frequency dependency accordingly causes the pulse distortion in the time domain.

Investigations in [81]-[89] show a true picture for the UWB radio propagation which says if a pulse propagates along multiple rays or paths, the received pulses will experience different pulse distortion for different paths. In other words, the pulse waveforms of these received pulses are different. These different pulse-distortions are basically
difficult to model by the state of the art statistical measurements. Hence, the physics-based deterministic behavior of the UWB pulse transmission needs to be considered to parameterize the pulse-distortion. In particular, recently the IEEE 802.15.4a channel model [90] adopted a special form of the channel model suggested in [87], [88] and [89]. It cited two papers [88] and [89] for first introducing the frequency dependence in the channel model.

Although the pulse-distortion is not so severe for indoor applications such as those targeted by IEEE 802.15.3a, it could cause serious problems for IEEE 802.15.4a systems. To address these problems, [91] and [92] give a tutorial review of physics-based ultra-wideband signals and their optimum and sub-optimum detection. Moreover, in [91] a physics-based deterministic model, which captures a lot of properties that are not available in the existing statistical models such as the IEEE 802.15.4a model, is proposed for urban environments consisting of high-rise buildings.

2) Physic-based Channel Model: As discussed earlier in Section II, the conventional multipath channel model 2 is used to characterize the UWB channels. One reason for this use is that the wireless communications community is so accustomed to Turin’s multipath model [15] which is designed for narrowband systems and where no pulse distortion is implicitly assumed for each individual path. To mathematically model the pulse-distortion phenomenon, a generalized version of the channel model (2) is proposed in [2]:

\[
h(\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i(\tau) h_n(\tau) \ast \delta(\tau - \tau_i)
\]

where \( h_n(\tau) \) represents an arbitrary function that has finite energy and \( \ast \) symbolizes the convolution. Although, the statistical parameterization of \( h_n(\tau) \) is a challenging task, it can be obtained through exact, experimental, numerical or/and asymptotic methods. For instance, \( h_n(\tau) \) is obtained in [91] and [92] by asymptotic solutions of Maxwell’s equations using GTD and Uniform Theory of Diffraction (UTD).

3) A Time-Reversal Based System Paradigm: A so-called generalized RAKE is proposed to compensate for pulse distortion in [81] and [82]. This approach however is complex to implement. A time-reversal based system paradigm that exploits the rich multipath and also mitigates pulse distortion is recently used [1], [81], [92]-[96]. The principle of time-reversal is based on the reciprocity of a time division duplexing (TDD) channel. The objective of the proposed research is to achieve (cost-effective and energy-efficient) time-reversal non-coherent reception as an alternative to coherent communications so that the rich multipath of a UWB channel can be fully exploited as a RAKE receiver does. The new system paradigm exploits the hostile, rich-multipath channel (time-reversed
CIR) to achieve simplicity. Combining time-reversal with Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) that is the most promising approach to use spectrum and transmission power will further take advantage of spatial-temporal focusing. As a result, time-reversal trades the extremely huge bandwidth of impulse radio and the high power efficiency of MIMO for range extension, while retaining the low-power and low-cost of noncoherent energy-detection [97]. This proposed new system paradigm will, through time-reversal, take advantage of the unique impulsive nature of the UWB signals, a new dimension of a communication channel. The new frontier of impulsive time-reversal adds more degrees of freedom in exploiting the spatio-temporal dimensions of signals.

4) Antenna Impact: Different from a narrowband system, a UWB system must include antennas as pulse shaping filters. In addition, antennas act as different pulse shaping filters for different angles. Due to unpredictable arriving angles of multi-path, antennas distort or shape the transmitted pulses differently for different paths, as experimentally observed. Thus, both antennas and propagation environments suggest channel models of (19). The antenna impact on the pulse deformation is studied in [92] and [99]. In particular, the antenna as the source of possible distortions on the matching and the radiation pattern is introduced in [99] and also a model for the input impedance and a model to have a representation of the radiation pattern is proposed in [99]. The result in [100] show both pulse distortion in the time domain and frequency filtering in the frequency domain. Moreover, a procedure is proposed in [100] how to design a UWB antenna with minimum pulse distortion. The frequency-dependent delay of UWB antennas is investigated in [101]. A strong agreement with the delay extracted via time-domain impulse response measurements is shown in [101].

VIII. Conclusions

In this paper, a comprehensive investigation on the UWB propagation channels measurements is presented. We have reviewed the essential parameters of the channel, like those used in physics-based models, based on a large number of measurement campaigns. These parameters include the important propagation effects in UWB communication channels: 1) Power-loss characteristics including Path-Loss (PL), large-scale fading and small-scale fading. 2) Temporal characteristics including multipath arrival rate, multipath delay spread (Power Delay Profile (PDP) and Root-Mean-Squared (RMS) delay spread) and temporal correlation. 3) Spatial characteristics including multipath Angle-of-Arrival (AOA) and spatial correlation across the receiver’s spatial aperture. 4) Frequency
characteristics including \textit{Frequency-Selectivity (FSE)} and \textit{Pulse-Distortion (PD)}. We have supported this tutorial overview by a integrated summary on measurement results giving insights on UWB fading channel characterization and modeling.
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