ABSTRACT

Herein, we consider the problem of detecting primary users’ signals in the presence of noise correlation, which may arise due to imperfections in filtering and oversampling operations in a Cognitive Radio (CR) receiver. In this context, we study a Maximum Eigenvalue (ME) detection technique using recent results from Random Matrix Theory (RMT) for characterizing the distribution of the maximum eigenvalue of a class of sample covariance matrices. Subsequently, we derive a theoretical expression for a sensing threshold as a function of the probability of false alarm and evaluate the sensing performance in terms of probability of correct decision. It is shown that the proposed approach significantly improves the sensing performance of the ME detector in correlated noise scenarios.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Cognitive Radio (CR) communications is considered a promising solution in order to address the spectrum scarcity problem caused by the remarkable growth of wireless broadband devices and services competing for the limited usable spectrum [1, 2]. One of the key functions of a CR is to adjust its system parameters based on the spectral usage knowledge of its surrounding environment so that the normal operation of licensed primary systems is not affected. For this purpose, Spectrum Sensing (SS) is an important mechanism required by a CR in order to detect spectral holes in different dimensions such as frequency, space, area, polarization and angular domains [3, 4, 5]. In this context, several SS techniques such as Energy Detection (ED), matched filter based detection, cyclostationary feature based detection, covariance based detection, eigenvalue based detection have been proposed in the literature for sensing the presence of a Primary User (PU) [3]. Furthermore, in order to enhance the SS efficiency in wireless fading channels, a multidimensional CR receiver has been studied considering multiple receive dimensions at the CR receiver in the form of multiple antennas, oversampled branches and cooperative nodes [6, 7, 8, 10]. These methods are mostly based on the statistics of the eigenvalues of the received signal’s covariance matrix and use recent results from Random Matrix Theory (RMT). The main advantage of the eigenvalue based approach in practical scenarios is that it does not require any prior information about the PU’s signal and the channel.

1) Related Work: RMT has received considerable attention in the SS literature for studying different eigenvalue based algorithms [11, 7]. The contributions related to the eigenvalue based sensing exploiting RMT methods include [6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The existing techniques can be categorized into Maximum Eigenvalue (ME) based [14], Signal Condition Number (SCN) based [6, 7, 10, 12] and Scaled Largest Eigenvalue (SLE) based [15, 16]. The ME based detection has been proposed in [14], which utilizes the maximum eigenvalue of the received signal’s covariance matrix in order to detect the presence of the PU signal. The authors in [11] use the Marcenko-Pastur (MP) law to test a binary hypothesis assuming the presence of the white noise. In [6], semi-asymptotic Maximum to Minimum Eigenvalue (MME) and Energy with Minimum Eigenvalue (EME) algorithms for SS have been proposed using the combination of the MP and Tracy-Widom (TW) distributions and a ratio based technique has been proposed in [12] using the Tracy-Widom Curtiss (TWC) distribution. The contribution in [17] provides a general framework for the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) of the SCN of different classes of Wishart matrices. Furthermore, in [18], analytic expressions for the Probability Density Function (PDF) and CDF of the ratio of the maximum eigenvalue to the trace of complex Wishart matrices with arbitrary dimensions have been derived. Moreover, the recent contribution in [9] studies an information-plus-noise transmission model to perform statistical eigen-inference under the unknown noise correlation pattern.

In our previous work [19], the asymptotic analysis of different eigenvalue-based blind sensing techniques such as SLE, SCN, John’s detection and Spherical Test (ST) based detection has been carried out and it has been shown that noise correlation significantly degrades the sensing performance of these techniques. Considering the presence of noise correlation, the contribution in [10] proposes a new sensing threshold for enhancing sensing performance based on a theoretical analysis under a noise only hypothesis. Subsequently, a Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) estimation technique has been proposed in order to estimate the PU SNR in the presence of correlated noise based on the analysis under signal plus noise hypothesis. Furthermore, the contribution in [21] studies an SNR estimation technique for a multidimensional CR receiver under correlated channel/noise based on the maximum eigenvalue of the received signal’s sample covariance matrix.

2) Contributions: Most of the eigenvalue based techniques in the literature assume the presence of the white noise at the CR receiver. However, in practice, the noise may be correlated due to imperfections in filtering interference or oversampling operations [6, 21]. Since the value of decision statistics in the presence of noise correlation deviates from its value in uncorrelated scenarios, a sensing threshold proposed for the uncorrelated scenarios may not be suitable for sensing in the presence of noise correlation [10]. While applying the sensing threshold designed for the uncorrelated scenario in correlated scenarios, the value of the probability of a false alarm ($P_f$) deviates from the target $P_f$ value used for calculating the sensing threshold, resulting in degraded sensing performance. To address this issue, we consider the problem of detecting the PU’s signal in the presence of noise correlation at the CR receiver. We analyze the considered correlated scenario using a tilted semicircular distri-
tribution for modeling the noise covariance matrix as in [22], which resembles the exponential covariance model considered in various previous works [10, 13, 24, 23]. Furthermore, we use recent RMT results on the universality of the maximum eigenvalue of a class of sample covariance matrices from [27] in order to derive the expression for the sensing threshold, which is the main contribution of this paper. Subsequently, the proposed threshold is used for sensing the presence of a PU signal in practical scenarios where noise correlation is always present. Moreover, the performance of the proposed approach is evaluated in terms of the false alarm deviation and probability of correct decision. We define the false alarm deviation as the absolute difference between the observed $P_f$ and the target $P_f$ used for determining the sensing threshold. Furthermore, we define the probability of correct decision as the number of correct decisions out of the total considered cases under both hypotheses as in [10, 13].

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides the signal and channel model. Section 3 presents the analysis for the correlated noise case considering a tilted semicircular distribution and derives the expressions for the mean and variance. Section 4 proposes a sensing threshold for the ME detection in the presence of noise correlation. Section 5 studies the sensing performance of the proposed approach with the help of numerical results. Section 6 concludes the paper.

3) Notation Throughout this paper, boldface upper and lower case letters are used to denote matrices and vectors respectively, e.g., $\mathbf{E}[\cdot]$ denotes the expectation, $(\cdot)^T$ denotes the transpose matrix, $(\cdot)^H$ denotes the conjugate transpose matrix, $(\cdot)^*$ represents the complex conjugate, $\mathbb{C}$ denotes complex numbers, $\cdot | \cdot$ denotes the absolute operation, $\mathbf{R}_X$ represents the covariance matrix of $X$, and $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\cdot)$ denotes the maximum eigenvalue of a matrix.

2. SIGNAL MODEL

Let us consider a single cognitive user and a single PU for simplicity of analysis. Let $N$ be the number of samples analyzed by the cognitive user for the decision process and $\tau$ the sensing duration. Let $M$ be the number of receive dimensions in a CR receiver. From a system-model point of view, this factor can be considered as the number of antennas in a multiantenna-based CR receiver and/or the number of oversampled branches in an oversampling-based sensing model as considered in [6, 7, 10].

We assume that the transmitted symbols are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) complex circularly symmetric (c.c.s.) symbols, the noise samples in each receive dimension are independent and c.c.s. Gaussian but are correlated across receive dimensions. Depending on the nature of wireless channels and the relation between sensing duration $\tau$ and symbol duration $T_s$, different signal models can be considered [13]. In this work, we assume that $\tau > T_s$ and the channel remains constant during the period of sensing. The $M \times N$ received signal model in this case can be written as:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{h}s + \mathbf{Z}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{h} = [h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_M]^T$ is an $M \times 1$ vector with $h_m$ representing a channel coefficient between the $m$th receive branch and the PU, $s$ is an $1 \times N$ PU transmitted signal i.e., $s = [s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_N]$, with $s_i$ being a transmitted symbol with power $p_i = \mathbb{E}[s_i^2]$, and $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \mathbf{R}_\mathbf{Z}(N))$ is the colored noise. Let us denote the hypotheses of the presence and absence of the PU signal by $H_0$ and $H_1$, respectively. The binary hypothesis testing problem for deciding the presence of a PU signal can be written as:

$$H_0 : \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Z}, \quad H_1 : \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{h}s + \mathbf{Z}. \quad (2)$$

Let us define the sample covariance matrices of the received signal and the noise as: $\mathbf{R}_Y(N) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{Y}^H$ and $\mathbf{R}_\mathbf{Z}(N) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Z}^H$. Under the $H_0$ hypothesis, the sample covariance matrix of the received signal becomes equal to the sample covariance matrix of the noise i.e., $\mathbf{R}_Y(N) = \mathbf{R}_\mathbf{Z}(N)$. Since our detection method depends only on statistics of $\mathbf{R}_\mathbf{Z}(N)$, all the signal types can be considered under this framework.

1) Noise Correlation Modeling: The causes of noise correlation for a multi-dimensional CR in practical scenarios have been discussed in [21]. In this study, we consider noise correlation across the receive dimensions and not across the temporal dimension as mentioned before. To model this scenario, we consider the one-sided noise correlation model as in [10]. The correlated noise $\hat{Z}$ is modeled as: $\hat{Z} = \Theta^{1/2}Z$, where $Z$ is an $M \times N$ matrix with i.i.d. complex Gaussian entries having zero mean and variance $\sigma_z^2$, representing the white noise and $\Theta^{1/2}\Theta^{1/2} = \Theta = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^H)$. It can be noted that since $Z \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_z^2\mathbf{I})$, $\mathbf{Z}_N^H$ follows an uncorrelated Wishart distribution i.e., $\mathbf{Z}_N^H \sim \mathcal{W}_N(\mathbf{R}_\mathbf{Z}, N)$. We consider the normalization $(1/M)\text{trace}(\Theta) = 1$ in order to ensure that $\Theta$ does not affect the noise power. The components of $\Theta$ using an exponential covariance model can be defined as [24]:

$$\theta_{ij} \sim \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\rho^{(i,j)} & i \leq j \\
\rho^{(i-j)} & i > j
\end{array} \right. \quad \Theta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3)$$

where $\theta_{ij}$ is the $(i, j)$th element of $\Theta$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ is the correlation coefficient with $|\rho| \leq 1$.

2) ME based Detection: The decision statistics for this detection method is the ratio of the maximum eigenvalue to the noise variance, also called Roy’s Largest Root Test (RLRT) in the literature [25]. The performance analysis of this detector has been carried out in [25, 26] under white noise scenarios and it has been shown that this detector outperforms the ED technique for Gaussian signals. Let $\gamma_c$ be the sensing threshold used for the decision process in the considered correlated scenario. The binary hypothesis based on the ME method can be expressed as:

$$\text{decision} = \begin{cases} 
H_0, & \frac{\lambda_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{R}_\mathbf{Z}(N))}{\sigma_z^2} \leq \gamma_c \\
H_1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

3. ANALYSIS UNDER $H_0$ HYPOTHESIS

Let $\mathbf{R}_\mathbf{Z}(N) = \frac{N}{\sigma_z^2} \mathbf{R}_\mathbf{Z}(N)$ denote the normalized sample covariance matrix under the white noise scenario. Under the assumption $N, M \to \infty$ with $N/M \to \beta$ and under white noise (complex) scenarios, the random variable $\frac{\lambda_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{R}_\mathbf{Z}(N)) - \nu}{\sigma_z^2}$ with $\sigma = \sqrt{M + \sqrt{N}}(1/\sqrt{M + 1/\sqrt{N}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\nu = (\sqrt{M} + \sqrt{N})^2$ converges to the Tracy-Widom distribution of order 2 (TW$_2$) [6, 12, 29], which can be stated as:

$$\frac{\lambda_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{R}_\mathbf{Z}(N)) - \nu}{\sigma} \Rightarrow \text{TW}_2. \quad (5)$$

The TW$_2$ distribution can be defined as [30]:

$$F_2 = \exp \left( - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x - s)^2 q(s) dx \right), \quad (6)$$

where $q(s)$ is the solution of the Painlevé II differential equation $q''(s) = s q(s) + 2 q^3(s)$ satisfying the condition $q(s) \sim -A t(s)$ (the Airy function) for $s \to +\infty$. Due to involvement of a nonlinear differential equation, it is generally complex to evaluate the TW distribution. However, due to its important application in RMT, this
distribution has been widely studied and tables for the functions are available in the literature [29].

The contributions in [6, 14] have exploited the above result in order to derive the expression for sensing threshold in terms of $P_f$. The eigenvalue-based detection technique has been analyzed in the presence of noise correlation in [10] using the SCN based asymptotic approach. However, the asymptotic threshold used in [10] does not depend on the value of $P_f$. In practical scenarios, we may need to vary the decision threshold based on the acceptable value of $P_f$. In this context, we are interested in finding out a theoretical expression for the sensing threshold as a function of $P_f$ in the presence of noise correlation for the case of the ME detector using recent RMT results obtained in [27].

Under the $H_0$ hypothesis, the sample covariance matrix $R_Y(N)$ in the presence of noise correlation can be written as:

$$R_Y(N) = R_z(N) = \frac{1}{N} \Theta^{1/2} Z Z^H \Theta^{1/2}. \quad (7)$$

To model the distribution of the noise covariance matrix $\Theta$, we use tilted semicircular distribution as in [10, 22]. The tilted semicircular distribution can be written as [22]:

$$f_\Theta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi \lambda^2} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sigma_1} - 1 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\sigma_2} \right), \quad (8)$$

with $\sigma_1 \leq \lambda \leq \sigma_2$ and $\mu = \frac{(\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2)}{2\sigma_1\sigma_2}$. Let us define the normalized sample covariance matrix under the correlated noise scenario as: $R^*_z(N) = \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} R_z(N)$. From [Theorem 1.5, [27]], the normalized sample covariance matrix $R^*_z(N)^1$ follows the TW distribution in the following way:

$$N^{2/3} \left( \frac{\lambda_{\max}(R^*_z(N)) - \mu c}{\sigma_c} \right) \Rightarrow TW_2, \quad (9)$$

where $\sigma_c = \frac{\lambda_c}{2\pi \mu \lambda^2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\sigma_1} - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\sigma_2} \right) - \beta = 0. \quad (11)$

Furthermore, the ratio $\sigma_2/\sigma_1$ in (8) can be written as [22]:

$$\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} = \frac{(1 + \rho^2)}{(1 - \rho^2)}. \quad (12)$$

Using the above relation and $\sigma_2 = \sigma_1$, the values of $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ can be written as: $\sigma_1 = \frac{1 + \rho^2}{2\pi \mu}$, $\sigma_2 = \frac{1 + \rho^2}{2\pi \mu}$. Substituting the values of $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ in (11) and taking integration limits from $\sigma_1$ to $\sigma_2$, (11) can be written as:

$$c^2 = 2\pi \mu \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_2} \frac{1}{(1 - \rho^2)^2} \sqrt{-\lambda^2 - 1 + 2\lambda \mu_1} d\lambda - \beta = 0. \quad (12)$$

This normalization is used by considering the fact that the sample covariance matrix of the form (7) with variance $1/N$ follows Theorem 1.5 in [27].

where $\mu_1 = (1 + \rho^2)/(1 - \rho^2)$. Similarly, the expressions for $\nu_c$ and $\sigma_c^2$ can be written as:

$$\nu_c = \frac{c}{\sigma_c} \left( 1 + \frac{2\pi \mu \beta}{\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_2} \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda \rho)^2} \sqrt{-\lambda^2 - 1 + 2\lambda \mu_1} d\lambda \right). \quad (13)$$

$$\sigma_c^2 = \frac{c^2}{\sigma_c^2} \left( 1 + \frac{2\pi \mu \beta}{\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_2} \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda \rho)^2} \sqrt{-\lambda^2 - 1 + 2\lambda \mu_1} d\lambda \right). \quad (14)$$

4. DERIVATION OF SENSING THRESHOLD

In this section, we derive an analytical expression for the sensing threshold for the considered ME detection following the approach used in [6, 14]. In practice, a CR receiver should be able to detect the presence of PU signals with higher probability of detection ($P_d$) and low $P_f$. However, there exists a trade-off between these two parameters and the sensing threshold should be selected to meet the required value of $P_f$. Since it’s not practical to set a threshold based on the value of $P_d$ due to absence of the knowledge about the PU signal, the threshold is usually determined based on the predefined value of $P_f$. The contribution in [14] proposes a sensing threshold for the ME detection for the white noise case, which can be written as [12, 14]:

$$\gamma = \frac{(\sqrt{N} + \sqrt{M})}{N} \left( 1 + \frac{(\sqrt{N} + \sqrt{M})^{-2/3}}{(N M)^{1/6}} F_{2}^{-1}(1 - P_f) \right). \quad (15)$$

In correlated noise scenarios, the detection with the threshold in (15) may not provide perfect sensing performance. For this purpose, we propose a new threshold for the ME detector using the analysis presented in Section 3. The probability of false alarm of the ME based detection is given by

$$P_f = P(\lambda_{\max}(R^*_z) > \gamma_c \sigma^2) = P(\sigma_c^2 \lambda_{\max}(R^*_z) > \gamma_c \sigma^2), \quad (16)$$

Subsequently, the condition (9), (16) can be written as:

$$P_f = 1 - F_2 \left( N^{2/3} \frac{(\nu_c - \gamma_c \sigma^2)}{\sigma_c} \right). \quad (17)$$

Equivalently, the above expression can be written as:

$$N^{2/3} \left( \frac{\nu_c - \gamma_c \sigma^2}{\sigma_c} \right) = F_2^{-1}(1 - P_f). \quad (18)$$

Subsequently, the value of $\gamma_c$ can be calculated as:

$$\gamma_c = \frac{\sigma_c F_2^{-1}(1 - P_f) + N^{2/3} \nu_c}{N^{2/3}}. \quad (19)$$

5. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We analyze the performance of the proposed sensing approach in terms of false alarm deviation and the probability of correct decision. The false alarm deviation is defined as: $|P_{ft} - P_{ft}|$, where $P_{ft}$ is the target value of $P_f$, which is usually chosen based on the sensing requirements of a wireless standard and $P_{ft}$ is the simulated value of $P_f$ observed during the decision process. The probability of correct decision refers to the ratio of the number of correct decisions to the total number of considered cases under both hypotheses and is
In this paper, the maximum eigenvalue based detection was studied for sensing the PU signal under correlated noise scenarios. The theoretical analysis of the considered technique has been carried out under a noise only hypothesis using recent RMT results on the distribution of the maximum eigenvalue for a class of sample covariance matrices. Furthermore, an expression for the sensing threshold has been derived in terms of the probability of false alarm and system parameters. From the simulation results, it has been noted that the probability of false alarm for the considered correlated case increases with the increase in the correlation level and the proposed threshold compensates for this deviation. Hence, it can be concluded that the proposed sensing approach significantly improves the sensing performance in correlated noise scenarios.

6. CONCLUSION
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