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Abstract—In this paper, we present an efficient blind algorithm for estimating the code timing of a desired user in an asynchronous direct-sequence code-division multiple-access (DS/CDMA) system over frequency-nonselective-fading channels. The proposed algorithm acquires the code timing explicitly and results in a near–far resistant minimum mean-squared error (MMSE) demodulation without requiring the knowledge of the timing information, amplitudes, and transmitted symbols of all transmissions. The only required knowledge is the information of the signature sequence used by the desired transmission. Several computer simulations are done for additive white Gaussian channels, Rayleigh fading channels, and two-ray Rayleigh fading multipath channels, respectively. Numerical results show that the new algorithm is near–far resistant to the multiple-access interference (MAI) in the DS/CDMA system.

Index Terms—Acquisition, code-division multiple access, MMSE demodulation, multiple-access interference.

I. INTRODUCTION

In an asynchronous direct-sequence code-division multiple-access (DS/CDMA) communication system, each user transmits the antipodal symbol modulated upon a unique spreading sequence [1]. The conventional matched correlator demodulates the transmitted symbol of the desired user by correlating the received signal with a synchronized replica of the spreading signal of interest. Since the signal vectors of all users are not completely orthogonal, the matched correlator is vulnerable to the so-called near–far problem, and thus the system capacity is limited by the multiple-access interference (MAI). The minimum mean-squared error (MMSE) linear detector [2]–[4] has been proposed to suppress the multiuser interference and overcome the near–far problem by utilizing the cyclostationarity of the highly structured MAI. This MMSE linear detector can be implemented using the training-sequence-based adaptation algorithms [3] or the blind adaptation algorithms [5]–[8].

Demodulation of a DS/CDMA signal must be preceded by timing acquisition, which achieves the initial coarse alignment of the local symbol clock within one chip of the incoming symbol clock [9]. In this paper, we develop an efficient algorithm for estimating the code timing of a specific desired user without requiring a training sequence. The proposed algorithm [called projection degree measurement (PDM) algorithm] quantizes the timing delay uncertainty of interest into a finite set of hypotheses, measures the projection degree of a nominal desired signal vector in the signal subspace of the correlation matrix for each hypothesis, and picks the best hypotheses to estimate the time delay. After the code timing is estimated explicitly, the MMSE demodulator is obtained via the Wiener–Hopf equation. The new algorithm is shown to be robust to the near–far problem, and its performance compares favorably to other recently proposed near–far resistant code timing estimations schemes [10]–[15].

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

In a DS/CDMA communication environment with \( K \) active users, the received signal from the \( k \)th user is given by

\[
U_k(t) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} A_k b_k[j] s_k(t - jT_b - \tau_k) \cos(\omega_c t + \theta_k)
\]

where \( \omega_c \) and \( T_b \) are the carrier frequency and the symbol interval common to all users, respectively. The \( j \)th antipodal symbol \( b_k[j] \in \{+1, -1\} \) of the \( k \)th user is transmitted with amplitude \( A_k \). The time delay \( \tau_k \) and the carrier phase \( \theta_k \) relative to the receiver are randomly distributed over \([0, T_b]\) and \([0, 2\pi]\), respectively. To share the same frequency spectrum simultaneously, each user is assigned with a unique spreading waveform given by

\[
s_k(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_k[n] \Pi(t - nT_c)
\]

where \( a_k[n] \in \{+1, -1\} \) is the \( n \)th element of the signature sequence for the \( k \)th user and the chip waveform \( \Pi(t) \) is a rectangular waveform of unit amplitude and duration \( T_c \). Without loss of generality, \( T_c \) is set equal to \( T_b/N \) and \( N \) is the processing gain.

Assuming a frequency-nonselective-fading channel, the received signal is of the form

\[
r(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_k(t) U_k(t) + x(t).
\]

In the above expression, \( f_k(t) \) is a complex fading factor expressing the amplitude and phase of the fading process for the
The  $k$th user, while $x(t)$ is the complex additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with a spectral density of $N_0$. In this paper, it is assumed that the reverse channel (i.e., mobile to base station) of a CDMA network is considered, in which the fading processes for all active users are independent and uncorrelated. Furthermore, it is assumed that the fading process for each user varies at a slow rate so that the amplitude and phase are taken to be constant over a bit interval [4]. Throughout this paper, the first user is taken to be the desired user.

Assuming that the receiver has knowledge of the carrier phase of the desired signal, $\theta_1$ can be set to zero without loss of generality. The receiver front-end consists of a mixer to convert the received signal to complex baseband followed by a filter matched to the chip pulse shape (i.e., an integrate-and-dump filter with integration time $T_c$, since a rectangular chip waveform is used here). The output of this matched filter is then sampled at the chip rate. For capturing the complete information of one desired data symbol, the minimum length of the observation interval is chosen to be $2T_c$. Therefore, the samples within the $j$th observation interval $[jT_c, (j+2)T_c)$ can be expressed as a vector

$$
\mathbf{r}(j) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} b_k[j-1]f_k(j-1)\mathbf{v}^k + b_k[j]f_k(j)\mathbf{v}^0_k \\
+ b_k[j+1]f_k(j+1)\mathbf{v}^{+1}_k + \mathbf{x}(j)
$$

(4)

where

$$
\mathbf{a}_k = [a_k[0], \ldots, a_k[N-1]], \quad \mathbf{1}_{T_c}^T
$$

$$
\mathbf{v}^{-1}_k = A_k\{(1 - \delta_k)T_c^{-N-m_k} \mathbf{a}_k + \delta_k T_c^{-N-m_k+1} \mathbf{a}_k\}
$$

$$
\mathbf{v}^0_k = A_k\{(1 - \delta_k)\mathbf{1}_{T_c}^T \mathbf{a}_k + \delta_k \mathbf{1}_{T_c}^{+1} \mathbf{a}_k\}
$$

$$
\mathbf{v}^{+1}_k = A_k\{(1 - \delta_k)T_c^{+N} \mathbf{a}_k + \delta_k T_c^{+N+1} \mathbf{a}_k\}.
$$

(5)

In the above expressions, since $\gamma_k \in [0, T_c]$, $0 \leq m_k \leq N-1$, and $\delta_k \in [0, 1]$ are the integral and decimal parts of the delay $\gamma_k$, respectively, that is, the delay for the $k$th user relative to the receiver can be written as $\gamma_k = (\gamma_k + \delta_k)T_c$. In addition, $f_k(j)$ is the fading process for the $k$th user during the $j$th bit interval, $\mathbf{1}_N$ is a vector of $N$ zeros, and $T_c^+$ and $T_c^-$ are the acyclic $n$-shift operators, which acyclically shift the elements of a vector to left and right, respectively. For the sake of simplicity, the $\cos(\theta_k)$ terms are absorbed into $A_k$.

In order to suppress the MAI, the MMSE linear detector [2]–[4] demodulates the transmitted symbol of the desired transmission as $\tilde{b}_k(j) = \text{sgn}(\text{Re}(\mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{r}(j)))$, where $\mathbf{H}$ denotes the complex conjugate and the FIR filter $\mathbf{w}$ minimizes the mean-squared error between the desired symbol and the decision statistic

$$
J = E\{[b_k[j] - \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{r}(j)]^2\}.
$$

(6)

The unique optimum tap-weight vector is given by $\mathbf{w}_k = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{P}$, where $\mathbf{R} = E\{\mathbf{r}(j)\mathbf{r}^H(j)\}$ and $\mathbf{P} = E\{b_k[j]\mathbf{f}(j)\}$ are the correlation matrix of the received signal vector and the correlation between the desired signal vector and the received signal vector, respectively.

### III. Projection Degree Measurement Algorithm

It is convenient to describe the asynchronous DS/CDMA channel by an equivalent synchronous model, in which the received signal vector can be expressed by

$$
\mathbf{r}(j) = b_1[j]f_1(j)\mathbf{u}_1 + \sum_{l=2}^{L} b_l[j]f_l(j)\mathbf{u}_l + \mathbf{x}(j).
$$

(7)

In the above expression, $b_1[j]$ is the desired symbol modulated with the desired signal vector $\mathbf{u}_1 \in R^{2N \times 1}$, for $2 \leq l \leq L$, are interfering symbols due to intersymbol interference and MAI, and $\mathbf{u}_l \in R^{2N \times 1}$ are the interference vectors modulating these symbols. $f_l(j)$ is the fading process for the $l$th user at the $j$th observation interval. Apparently, the number of virtual users is given by $L = 3K$.

Assuming that the data symbols $b_l[j] \in \{+1, -1\}$ are independent and uncorrelated. The correlation matrix $\mathbf{R}$ of the received signal vectors can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{Q}^{1/2} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}
$$

(8)

where $\mathbf{U} = [\rho_1 \mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \rho_{2N} \mathbf{u}_{2N}]$ is the signal matrix with $\rho_l^2 = E\{|f_l(j)|^2\}$ and $\sigma^2$ is the noise variance. The correlation matrix can be further expressed in terms of its eigendecomposition as

$$
\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{Q}^T
$$

(9)

where the columns $\mathbf{q}_n$, $n = 1, \ldots, 2N$, of $\mathbf{Q} \in R^{2N \times 2N}$ are the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{D} \in R^{2N \times 2N}$ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_n$. If the number of virtual users is less than the dimension of the received signal vector (i.e., $L < 2N$), we have $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{2N}$ and

$$
\lambda_n = \begin{cases} 
\gamma_n + \sigma^2, & \text{if } n \leq L \\
\sigma^2, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

(10)

where $\gamma_n, n = 1, \ldots, L$ and $\gamma_n \geq \gamma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_L$ denote the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{UU}^T$.

The matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ can be partitioned as $\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{Q}_s, \mathbf{Q}_n]$, in which the columns of $\mathbf{Q}_s = [\mathbf{q}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_L]$ are associated with the $L$ largest eigenvalues and form a basis for the signal subspace, and the columns of $\mathbf{Q}_n = [\mathbf{q}_{L+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_{2N}]$ are associated with the remaining eigenvalues and span the noise subspace. Due to the orthogonal property between the eigenvectors, the signal subspace is the orthogonal complement of the noise subspace. All the eigenvectors associated with the $(2N - L)$ smallest eigenvalues of $\mathbf{R}$ must satisfy the following:

$$
(\mathbf{R} - \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{q}_p = \mathbf{0}, \quad p = L + 1, \ldots, 2N.
$$

(11)

If the signal matrix $\mathbf{U}$ is assumed to be of full column rank $L$, it follows from the above equation that

$$
\mathbf{u}_l^T \mathbf{q}_p = 0, \quad p = L + 1, \ldots, 2N.
$$

(12)

Consequently, the signal vectors, $\mathbf{u}_l$, $l = 1, \ldots, L$, are orthogonal to the noise subspace. According to the properties of eigendecomposition, we obtain the following lemma, which is proved in Appendix A.
Lemma: Define a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{2N \times 2N}$ as $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^H + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$, where $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{u}_L]$ is the signal matrix, $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{2N \times 1}$ and $L = 1, \cdots, L$, are the signal vectors, and $\sigma^2$ is the noise variance. Let $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{2N \times 1}$ be a vector, which does not lie completely in the signal subspace of $\mathbf{R}$. If $L < 2N$ and $\sigma^2$ is small enough, the following relation holds:

$$
\frac{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{c}}{\sigma^2} \geq \frac{\mathbf{u}_j^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_j}{\left| \mathbf{u}_j \right|^2},
$$

(13)

The lemma states that a large value of $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{c}/\sigma^2$ is produced if $\mathbf{c}$ has a significant projection component in the noise subspace of $\mathbf{R}$. By utilizing this lemma, we quantize coarsely the delay of interest into a number of hypotheses and obtain the corresponding nominal desired signal vectors

$$
\mathbf{H}_2(i-1) : \quad \mathbf{u}_{2(i-1)} = (i-1.0)T_c 
\quad \mathbf{u}_{2i} = \frac{T_{c}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_1}{\left| T_{c}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_1 \right|},
$$

$$
\mathbf{H}_2(i) : \quad \mathbf{u}_{2i} = (i-0.5)T_c 
\quad \mathbf{u}_{2i+1} = \frac{T_{c}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_1 + T_{c}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_2}{\left| T_{c}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_1 + T_{c}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_2 \right|},
$$

$$
\tilde{\tau}_i = 1, \cdots, N.
$$

(14)

Then, the projection degree of the nominal desired signal vector under each hypothesis is measured and the best delay hypotheses are chosen for estimating the delay.

The PDM algorithm is summarized by the following steps.

Step 1) Compute the estimated correlation matrix

$$
\hat{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \mathbf{r}(j) \mathbf{r}(j)^H.
$$

(15)

Step 2) Evaluate the projection degree measurement for each nominal desired signal vector

$$
\xi_n = \mathbf{u}_{2n}^T \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_{2n}, \quad n = 1, \cdots, 2N.
$$

(16)

Step 3) Find the best hypotheses

$$
\tilde{n}_{\text{min}} = \min \{ \xi_n \}
$$

(17)

and

$$
\tilde{n}_{\text{next}} = \begin{cases} 
2, & \tilde{n}_{\text{min}} = 1, \\
2N - 1, & \tilde{n}_{\text{min}} = 2N, \\
\min \{ \xi_{\text{min}+1}, \cdots, \xi_{\text{min}+1} \}, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
$$

(18)

Step 4) Determine $\tilde{\tau}_n$ by solving a quadratic function, as described in Appendix B.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We consider a symbol- and chip-asynchronous DS/CDMA communication system in different channels. Each user is modulated upon a unique Gold code with length $N = 15$. The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is defined as $\text{SNR} = E_b/\sigma^2$. The numerator $A^2_{\text{SNR}}$ is merely the bit energy $E_b$, and $\sigma^2 = N_0/2$.

1 A recursive least squares implementation might also be used in order to track time variations.
error probability, the MUSIC estimator and the MOE estimator without constraints are slightly worse.

In the next experiment, the performance of the estimators, as shown in Figs. 3 and 4, is investigated versus the number of active users for AWGN channels. The number of observation vectors is $M = 100$ and $K$ is varied from 5 to 15. All other parameters are as before. The MUSIC estimator cannot function when $K > 8$. At the same acquisition error probability level (i.e., acquisition error probability of 0.1), the PDM estimator and the MOE estimator without constraints can provide a load of 11 users, while the LSML estimator and the MOE estimator with constraints for 9 and 10 users, respectively. Again, the normalized RMSE values of the PDM estimator and the MOE estimator without constraints are smaller in the range of 9–13 users. Although the lemma is imposed by the constraint $3K < 2N$, the PDM estimator still functions when $K > 10$ in this case.

In the third experiment, the performance of the estimators as a function of $E_b/N_0$ in AWGN channels is compared in Figs. 5 and 6. The number of observation vectors is $M = 100$ and five interfering users with NFR = 20 dB are considered. The
LSML estimator performs better than the other estimators because the LSML estimator is train-sequence aided and more robust to AWGN. The performance of the PDM estimator is comparable to the MOE estimator, while the MUSIC estimator is susceptible to noise in terms of acquisition error probability.

In this case, the performance of the estimators is investigated for Rayleigh fading on all received signal with a maximum Doppler frequency (same for all mobiles). In practice the mobile users will move at different velocity and experience different fade rates. This worst case situation is assumed for emphasizing the tracking capability of the estimators. The numbers of observation vectors and active users are $M = 100$ and $K = 6$, respectively. NFR and SNR are taken to be 20 dB and $\alpha$ is 0.0001. When the maximum Doppler frequency is below 50 Hz, the LSML estimator, as shown in Figs. 7 and 8, outperforms the other estimators in terms of acquisition error probability. However, the acquisition performance of the LSML estimator is more susceptible to larger maximum Doppler frequency. On the other hand, the PDM estimator together with the MUSIC and MOE estimators are robust to the variations of fade rates.
The multipath effect is considered in this case. All active users are assumed to move at the same velocity 100 km/h, and the transmitted signal of each user propagates through a unique two-ray Rayleigh fading channel, in which the channel responses of the main and secondary paths are independent and uncorrelated Rayleigh fading processes. The power ratio between the main and secondary paths is denoted as $\Gamma$ (dB). The simulation parameters are $M = 100$, $K = 6$, $\text{NFR} = 20$ dB, and $\text{SNR} = 20$ dB. The value $\alpha$ is as before. The results are obtained from 1000 independent simulation runs and shown in Figs. 9 and 10. An acquisition error happens if the difference between the timing estimate and the timing delay of the main path is in excess of $\pm 0.5T_c$. The MUSIC estimator fails to acquire the code timing in the multipath channel; even the multipath level is low (i.e., 10 dB). For the other three timing estimators, their acquisition performance is not acceptable when the multipath interference is strong (i.e., $\Gamma > 4$ dB). As $\Gamma > 4$ dB, the PDM estimator and the MOE estimator without constraints can provide more than 70% correct acquisition probability. However, the LSML estimator
and the MOE estimator with constraints have less confidence in exact acquisition.

**B. Error Probability Performance**

The bit error probability of the resultant demodulator derived by the PDM algorithm is now evaluated in comparison to that of the MOE algorithm for AWGN channels.\(^2\) In each simulation, the desired signal vector is determined for forming the demodulator via the Wiener–Hopf solution after the code timing

\(^2\)In [4], a modified MMSE detector was presented to avoid loss of phase lock during deep fades by incorporating a channel phase estimator to remove the phase variations from the received signal.
is estimated. The error probability is then evaluated analytically using the equation

\[ P_e(w) = E \left\{ Q \left( \frac{w^T \mathbf{u}_1 + \sum_{i=2}^L b_i[j] w^T \mathbf{u}_i}{\sigma |w|} \right) \right\} \]

where the expectation is taken over the interference bits \( b_i(j), i = 2, \ldots, L \), and \( Q(\cdot) \) is the complementary distribution of a standard Gaussian random variable.

The simulation parameters are \( N = 15, K = 6, \) SNR = 20 dB, and \( M = 300 \). For a given NFR, 100 independent simulations are completed and the median of the outcomes is shown in Fig. 11. The results show that the resultant demodulators can provide an acceptable BER performance for initial start up such that the receiver can be switched into the decision mode and adapted further for better BER performance. When the timing error is introduced in acquisition, the MOE algorithm with constraints provides a better BER performance because the constraints can avoid the problem of cancelling the desired signal and noise enhancement. This fact is confirmed by that the resultant demodulators derived by the MOE algorithm without constraints and the PDM algorithm have the similar performance of bit error probability.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have presented an efficient blind algorithm for estimating the code timing of a desired user in an asynchronous DS/CDMA system. After the code timing is estimated explicitly, the MMSE linear detector can be obtained. Numerical results show that the proposed algorithm is near–far resistant. Furthermore, the proposed algorithm is more robust to the multipath interference compared to other timing estimators.

APPENDIX A

Proof of Lemma: Let the unit-norm vector \( \mathbf{u}_l \) lie in the signal subspace spanned by the eigenvectors of \( \mathbf{R} \) with respect to the \( L \) largest eigenvalues, which can be expressed as

\[ \mathbf{u}_l = \sum_{n=1}^L \alpha_n \mathbf{q}_n \]

where \( \sum_{n=1}^L \alpha_n^2 = 1 \). After some manipulations, we have

\[ \mathbf{u}_l^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_l = \sum_{n=1}^L \frac{\alpha_n^2}{\gamma_n + \sigma^2} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_L + \sigma^2} \]

because of \( \gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_L \). Assuming that \( \mathbf{c} \) is any vector of length \( 2N \) with unit norm. It can be expressed in terms of linearly combining \( \mathbf{q}_n \) as follows:

\[ \mathbf{c} = \sum_{n=1}^{2N} \beta_n \mathbf{q}_n \]
where \(\sum_{n=1}^{2N} \beta_n^2 = 1\). Following the same way, we can obtain
\[
c^T R^{-1} c = \sum_{n=1}^{L} \frac{\beta_n^2}{\gamma_n^2 + \sigma^2} + \sum_{n=L+1}^{2N} \frac{\beta_n^2}{\sigma^2} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{L} \frac{\beta_n^2}{\gamma_n^2} + \sum_{n=L+1}^{2N} \frac{\beta_n^2}{\sigma^2} = z^2 \gamma_L (1 - z) + \sigma^2 (\gamma_L - z \gamma_L),
\]
(22)
where \(\sum_{n=1}^{L} \beta_n^2 = z\) and \(\sum_{n=L+1}^{2N} \beta_n^2 = 1 - z\). Subtracting \(1/(\gamma_L + \sigma^2)\) from \(z/(\gamma_L + \sigma^2) + (1 - z)/\sigma^2\), we have the numerator given by
\[
\text{Num} = \gamma_L (1 - z) + \sigma^2 (\gamma_L - z \gamma_L).
\]
(23)
When SNR is high enough (i.e., \(\sigma^2\) is sufficiently small), the second term can be negligible and the numerator is always positive. The proof is thus completed.

**Appendix B**

The details of interpolating the two best hypotheses and determining the delay of interest are supplied here. Suppose \(H_p\) and \(H_{p+1}\) are the two best hypotheses. The corresponding nominal desired signal vectors can be expressed as

when \(p\) is even \(n = \frac{p}{2}\):
\[
c_1 = \frac{T_{p}^{T}a_1}{||T_{p}^{T}a_1||},
\]
\[
c_2 = \frac{T_{p-1}^{T}a_1 + T_{p}^{T}a_1}{||T_{p-1}^{T}a_1 + T_{p}^{T}a_1||},
\]
when \(p\) is odd \(n = \frac{p-1}{2}\):
\[
c_1 = \frac{T_{p-1}^{T}a_1}{||T_{p-1}^{T}a_1||},
\]
\[
c_2 = \frac{T_{p-1}^{T}a_1 + T_{p}^{T}a_1}{||T_{p-1}^{T}a_1 + T_{p}^{T}a_1||}.
\]
(24)
The interpolated nominal can be given by
\[
c_{\text{interp}} = (1 - \mu)c_2 + \mu c_1 = Ce
\]
where \(C = [c_2 \ c_1]\) and \(e = [1 - \mu \mu^T]\), in which \(\mu \in [0, 1]\) is determined to minimize the projection degree measurement given by \(\xi(\mu) = (c^T \xi c_{\text{interp}})/(||c_{\text{interp}}||^2)\).

The numerator of \(\xi(\mu)\) can be expressed as \(N(\mu) = e^T Ge\), where \(G = c^T R^{-1} c\). Similarly, the denominator of \(\xi(\mu)\) can be expressed as \(D(\mu) = e^T He\), where \(H = c^T C\).

Since the numerator and denominator are quadratic in \(\mu\), any extreme point \(\mu\) must satisfy the following equation
\[
N(\mu) = N(\mu)D(\mu) - N(\mu)D'(\mu) = 0.
\]
Furthermore, \(N(\mu)\) and \(D(\mu)\) can be written as
\[
N(\mu) = [G_{11} + G_{22} - G_{12} - G_{21}] \mu^2
\]
\[
+ [G_{12} + G_{21} - 2G_{11}] \mu + G_{11}
\]
\[
= n_0 \mu^2 + n_1 \mu + n_0
\]
\[
D(\mu) = [H_{11} + H_{22} - H_{12} - H_{21}] \mu^2
\]
\[
+ [H_{12} + H_{21} - 2H_{11}] \mu + H_{11}
\]
\[
= d_2 \mu^2 + d_1 \mu + d_0.
\]
(26)
Therefore, we have
\[
S(\mu) = (n_2 d_1 - n_1 d_2) \mu^2 + 2(n_2 d_0 - n_0 d_2) \mu + n_1 d_0 - n_0 d_1 = s_2 \mu^2 + s_1 \mu + s_0.
\]
(27)

The roots to \(S(\mu)\) are
\[
\mu_1, \mu_2 = \frac{1}{2s_2} \left[ -s_1 \pm \sqrt{s_1^2 - 4s_2 s_0} \right].
\]
(28)
Therefore, we choose \(\mu_{\text{min}}\) to be the value which minimizes \(\xi(\mu)\) over \(\mu = 1, 0\) and either of \(\mu_1, \mu_2\) that fall in \([0, 1]\). After \(\mu_{\text{min}}\) is chosen, the estimated timing can be expressed as
\[
\hat{\tau}_1/T_c = n - \frac{a}{a + b}, \quad p \text{ is even}
\]
\[
\hat{\tau}_1/T_c = n + \frac{a}{a + b}, \quad p \text{ is odd}
\]
(29)
where \(a = (\mu_{\text{min}}/||c_1||) + ((1 - \mu_{\text{min}})/||c_2||)\) and \(b = (1 - \mu_{\text{min}})/||c_2||\).
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