Plasmonic Hot Electron Induced Photocurrent Response at MoS$_2$–Metal Junctions
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ABSTRACT We investigate the wavelength- and polarization-dependence of photocurrent signals generated at few-layer MoS$_2$–metal junctions through spatially resolved photocurrent measurements. When incident photon energy is above the direct bandgap of few-layer MoS$_2$, the maximum photocurrent response occurs for the light polarization direction parallel to the metal electrode edge, which can be attributed to photovoltaic effects. In contrast, if incident photon energy is below the direct bandgap of MoS$_2$, the photocurrent response is maximized when the incident light is polarized in the direction perpendicular to the electrode edge, indicating different photocurrent generation mechanisms. Further studies show that this polarized photocurrent response can be interpreted in terms of the polarized absorption of light by the plasmonic metal electrode, its conversion into hot electron–hole pairs, and subsequent injection into MoS$_2$. These fundamental studies shed light on the knowledge of photocurrent generation mechanisms in metal–semiconductor junctions, opening the door for engineering future two-dimensional materials based optoelectronics through surface plasmon resonances.
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The development of two-dimensional (2D) materials, such as graphene and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs), has opened up new horizons in the realm of physics and engineering that could lead to the revolution of future nanoelectronics, optoelectronics, and energy harvesting.¹–⁵ One of the most promising applications of 2D materials is for photodetectors.⁶–¹¹ Various hybrid structures have been developed to enhance the photoresponse in 2D materials.⁶–¹⁴ Among them the simplest configuration is the metal–2D material–metal device, in which the photocurrent can be generated at metal–2D material junctions. Metal–molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$)–metal devices have attracted much interest for photodetector applications due to their potential for achieving ultrahigh sensitivity.⁶ Intensive research efforts have focused on elucidating the physical mechanisms that give rise to photoconductivity in metal–MoS$_2$–metal devices.⁶,¹⁵–¹⁸ Two major mechanisms have been proposed to explain the photocurrent response at MoS$_2$–metal junctions: photovoltaic effect (PVE) and photothermoelectric effect (PTE).⁶,¹⁵,¹⁶

Recently, plasmon excitations in metallic nanostructures have been demonstrated to modulate the structural and optical properties of MoS$_2$ and other 2D materials. For example, hot carrier injection can change the doping of graphene¹⁹ and induce structural phase transitions in MoS$_2$.²⁰,²¹ The efficiency of the hydrogen evolution reaction can also be enhanced by depositing Au-coated Ag nanorattles on MoS$_2$ to induce its localized phase transition under plasmon resonance excitation.²² Moreover, the photoluminescence of MoS$_2$ can be influenced by surface plasmons of Au nanoantennas, where the photoluminescence intensity is significantly enhanced and strongly dependent on the incident polarization when the wavelength is close to resonance with the surface plasmons of nanoantennas.²³ The surface plasmon resonances induced by metals may also affect the photocurrent response at MoS$_2$–metal junctions.
junctions. It is, therefore, desirable to study the relative contributions of PVE, PTE, and surface plasmons to the overall photoresponse at MoS$_2$—metal junctions. Understanding the photon—electron conversion mechanisms at MoS$_2$—metals will offer a new approach for engineering future 2D material based optoelectronics.

Here, we investigate the polarization- and wavelength-dependence of photocurrent signals generated at few-layer MoS$_2$—metal junctions through spatially resolved photocurrent measurements. When incident photon energy is above the direct bandgap of few-layer MoS$_2$, the maximum photocurrent response occurs for the light polarization direction parallel to the metal electrode edge. This anisotropic photocurrent response may result from the light-generated anisotropic distribution of carriers in momentum space, which has been demonstrated in the photoresponse at graphene—metal junctions.$^{24}$ Interestingly, we find that if incident photon energy is below the direct bandgap of MoS$_2$, the photocurrent intensity is reduced by 2 orders of magnitude and mainly attributed to the polarized absorption of the plasmonic Au electrodes. When the wavelength is close to resonance with the surface plasmons of the Au electrodes, the photocurrent intensity is strongly dependent on the incident polarization with about 8 times larger for laser polarization perpendicular to the metal electrode than for parallel polarization. Plasmonically engineered photocurrent response in metal—semiconductor junctions may provide a new way to design future 2D photodetectors, in particular, in the near-infrared and infrared regimes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Few-layer MoS$_2$ crystals (6 nm —10 nm) were produced by repeated splitting of bulk crystals using a mechanical cleavage method, and subsequently transferred to a degenerately doped Si substrate with 290 nm SiO$_2$. To minimize charged impurities and charge traps on the substrate surface, the Si/SiO$_2$ substrates were covered with crystalline self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of octadecyltrimethoxy-silane (OTMS) prior to the transfer of mechanically exfoliated MoS$_2$ flakes.$^{25,26}$ Optical microscopy was used to identify thin MoS$_2$ crystals, which were further characterized by Park-System’s XE-70 noncontact atomic force microscopy (AFM). MoS$_2$ field effect transistors (FETs) were subsequently fabricated using standard electron beam lithography and electron beam deposition of 5 nm Ti and 40 nm Au, where the Si substrate is used as the back gate. An AFM image of a typical MoS$_2$ device is shown in the inset of Figure 1a, where the length of metal electrodes is 10 μm and the widths of the wide and narrow electrodes are 2 μm and 200 nm, respectively. Electrical properties of the devices were measured by a Keithley 4200 semiconductor parameter analyzer in a Lakeshore cryogenic probe station under high vacuum ($1 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr). Figure 1 shows the electrical characteristics of a 9 nm thick MoS$_2$ FET (as determined by AFM). The $I_{ds}$—$V_{ds}$ curves exhibit ohmic characteristic for gate voltages stepping from 0 to 60 V, indicating a negligible Schottky barrier between the Au electrodes and MoS$_2$ (Figure 1a), in agreement with a previous report ($\sim$50 meV).$^{27}$ The device displays a predominately n-type behavior, with the estimated room-temperature field-effect mobility $\mu \sim 50$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ as extracted from the gate dependence of field-effect conductivity $\sigma$ (Figure 1b).$^{28}$ As the temperature decreases, the mobility increases following a $\mu \sim T^{-2.0}$ dependence, consistent with the recent results on high quality MoS$_2$ encapsulated by boron nitride (Figure 1b inset).$^{29}$

To investigate the local photoresponse at MoS$_2$—metal junctions, we performed spatially resolved scanning...
photocurrent measurements in an Olympus microscope setup (Figure 2a). A linearly polarized continuous wave laser source was expanded and altered by a nanometer-resolution scan mirror. The laser beam was then focused by a 40× objective (N.A. = 0.6) into an 8 μm spot on the samples. The polarization direction of the laser beam was changed by a half-wave plate followed by a polarizer. All experiments were performed in high vacuum (1 × 10⁻⁶ Torr).

Figure 2b shows a scanning photocurrent image of a MoS₂ device at zero bias, whose corresponding reflection image was recorded simultaneously (Figure 2c). The outer two electrodes of the MoS₂ FET were used as source and drain while the middle two electrodes were floating during the measurement. Figure 2d shows the photocurrent signals ΔIPC = IPITHUB – IDPDARK under laser illumination of three different wavelengths (532, 785, and 1550 nm), where the source-drain bias is 10 mV. With 532 nm (2.33 eV) illumination, a significant photocurrent response is mainly attributed to the increment of electrons that are efficiently excited through a direct bandgap close to 1.9 eV at K (−K) points.

As a result, the direct bandgap transition and PTE will be responsible for the photocurrent response. The photocurrent signals induced by 785 nm (1.58 eV) excitation may be related to the indirect bandgap optical transition between Brillouin zone Γ point and K point in few-layer MoS₂ (1.2 eV). This requires a phonon to change the momentum, leading to a relatively low quantum efficiency and a significant reduction of PVE-induced photocurrent response. Moreover, there is a non-negligible photocurrent response upon 1550 nm (0.8 eV) illumination, whose photon energy is not enough to excite electrons from the valence band of MoS₂. Here, the PVE induced by the indirect bandgap transition does not contribute to the photocurrent response. Therefore, PTE or other new mechanisms are required to explain the photocurrent generation when the photon energy is below the direct bandgap of MoS₂.

To clarify the photocurrent generation mechanisms in MoS₂—metal junctions, we look into the spatially resolved scanning photocurrent images of the MoS₂ FET illuminated by 532, 785, and 1550 nm laser, respectively (Figure 3a–c). The black dashed lines are
the edges of the metal electrodes, and the blue dashed lines show the edges of the MoS$_2$. A line profile of photocurrent intensities in each image is extracted along the dashed green line in the vertical direction and presented in Figure 3d. The photocurrent intensities were normalized and displaced for clarity, and the solid curves are Gaussian fittings of the photocurrent values. The strongest photocurrent responses are observed at the MoS$_2$—metal junction for illumination with different photon energies. As shown in Figure 3e, potential barriers are formed at MoS$_2$—metal junctions due to Fermi level alignment, leading to a built-in electric field that separates the photoexcited charge carriers when photon energies are greater than the bandgap of MoS$_2$ (PVE). However, when the photon energy is below the bandgap, the PVE induced photocurrent response is significantly reduced, owing to the absence of interband transitions. Moreover, by comparing the photocurrent profiles and the Gaussian fittings, we notice strong photocurrent “tails” in the metal region for all laser wavelengths as pointed by the black arrows in Figure 3d, indicating that PTE (Figure 3f) also contributes to the photocurrent generation at MoS$_2$—metal junctions. A temperature difference ($\Delta T$) arises upon laser absorption due to the difference in Seebeck coefficients ($S$) between MoS$_2$ and metal electrodes. This temperature gradient leads to a photothermal voltage ($V_{\text{PT}}$) across the junction,

$$V_{\text{PT}} = (S_{\text{MoS}_2} - S_{\text{metal}}) \Delta T$$

From the Mott relation,\cite{16,32} we can obtain the Seebeck coefficient as

$$S = -\frac{\pi^2 k_B^2 T}{3e} \left| \frac{1}{G} \frac{dG}{dE} \right|_{E = E_F}$$

where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $e$ is the electron charge, $G$ is conductance, and $E_F$ is Fermi energy. We estimated the Seebeck coefficient of our MoS$_2$ device at different Fermi levels from eq 2 and obtained $S \approx 40 \mu$V/K at $V_g = 0$ V. This value increases to its maximum ($\sim 2 \times 10^3 \mu$V/K) when $V_g$ approaches toward $-40$ V, which is comparable to the reported bulk value of MoS$_2$\cite{33} and about one to 2 orders of magnitude smaller than that observed in monolayer MoS$_2$.\cite{17}

To further explore the relative contributions of different photocurrent generation mechanisms to the overall photocurrent response, we performed polarization-dependent photocurrent measurements, where $0^\circ$ denotes the polarization direction along the metal-MoS$_2$ contact edge (Figure 2c). The photocurrent response at a MoS$_2$—metal junction was systematically investigated when the junction was illuminated by lasers from 500 nm (2.48 eV) to 1050 nm (1.18 eV) in 50 nm steps. As shown in Figure 4, the maximum photocurrent response occurs at $90^\circ$ light polarization for lasers with photon energies below the direct bandgap of MoS$_2$ (with wavelength of 750 nm or longer), whereas the maximum photocurrent is generated by photons polarized at around $0^\circ$ when the excitation photon energy is above the direct bandgap of MoS$_2$ (with laser wavelength of 650 nm or shorter). Since PTE should be isotropic and independent of the incoming light polarization, the generation of thermally induced charge carriers could not explain our observation. If the photon energy is high enough to excite carriers through the direct bandgap at K (−K) points in MoS$_2$ Brillouin zone, the built-in electric field at MoS$_2$—metal junctions can separate the photoexcited charge carriers to generate photocurrent (PVE). The polarization dependence measurements suggest that the interband transition at K (−K) points is maximized when photons are polarized parallel to the electrode edges. This result indicates that the valence electrons in MoS$_2$ prefer to absorb photons with the polarization direction perpendicular to the momentum of electrons. A similar phenomenon has been demonstrated in the photosresponse at graphene-metal junctions, in which it was shown that when the polarization angle of the incident light is perpendicular to the momentum of electrons, the absorption of light for valence electrons in graphene is maximum, leading to anisotropic photocurrent signals.\cite{24}

When the excitation laser energy is below the direct bandgap (1.9 eV) of MoS$_2$ (especially below the indirect bandgap of 1.2 eV), a new mechanism is needed to explain the photocurrent generation due to the absence of optical transitions through the bandgap. It is well-known that photoexcited hot electrons in metal electrodes can cross over the Schottky barrier and be injected into the conduction band of semiconductors (Figure 5a). The Schottky barrier between Au electrodes and few-layer MoS$_2$ is very small as demonstrated in our electrical transport measurements (Figure 1a) and previous studies ($\sim 50$ meV),\cite{27} which is well below the excitation photon energies in our experiments. The injection yield of hot electrons $Y$ follows the Fowler equation

$$Y \sim \frac{1}{8E_F} \left( \frac{\hbar \omega - \phi_B}{\hbar \omega} \right)^2$$

where $\hbar$ is the reduced plank constant, $\omega$ is the incident light frequency, $\phi_B$ is the Schottky barrier, and $E_F$ is Fermi energy. The injection yield depends on the wavelength of the excitation light instead of its polarization, which cannot explain the polarization dependence of photocurrent signals. In fact, the photocurrent response depends on not only the injection yield of hot electrons but also the metal absorption. As shown in Figure 5b, when the illumination wavelength is close to 850 nm, the anisotropic ratio of the photocurrent response ($I_{90^\circ}/I_{0^\circ}$) achieves its maximum ($\sim 8$), which is significantly reduced when the width of the
metal electrode increase from 200 nm to 2 μm. The metal absorption $I_{ab}$ is proportional to the energy flux, which is related to its respective electric field through the time-averaged Poynting vector $S$:

$$I_{pc} \sim I_{ab} \sim \langle S \rangle_{\text{time}} \sim |E|^2$$

(4)

The $|E|^2$ ratio between two polarizations is calculated using finite difference time domain (FDTD) simulations (Figure 5c). The geometries are chosen according to device dimensions measured by AFM. The calculated $|E_{90/L}|^2/|E_{0/L}|^2$ shows a resonance peak at 850 nm, in good agreement with the resonance peak observed in photocurrent measurements. Moreover, the resonance intensity of $|E_{90/L}|^2/|E_{0/L}|^2$ is reduced by increasing the width of electrode from 200 nm to 2 μm. The relatively low anisotropic ratio of photocurrent in our devices may result from shape imperfections and the strong absorption of the Ti adhesion layer in the near-infrared regions, which can lead to a strong damping of the surface plasmon resonance. The consistence of the resonance between the calculated $|E_{90/L}|^2/|E_{0/L}|^2$ of metal electrodes and photocurrent measurements $(I_{pc,90/L}/I_{pc,0/L})$ confirm that the polarized photocurrent response can be primarily attributed to the surface plasmon resonance in metal electrodes. We also performed power dependence measurements of the photocurrent

Figure 4. Normalized photocurrent intensities at a MoS$_2$-metal junction (200 nm-wide metal electrode) as a function of incident light polarization with illumination wavelength from 500 to 1050 nm. Gate voltage and source-drain bias were 0 V during the measurements.

Figure 5. (a) Schematic illustration of hot electron injection from a metal electrode to MoS$_2$. $E_g$ represents the bandgap of MoS$_2$. (b) The wavelength dependence of measured photocurrent response $(I_{pc,90/L}/I_{pc,0/L})$ at MoS$_2$-metal junctions. (c) The calculated $|E_{90/L}|^2/|E_{0/L}|^2$ of metal electrodes by using FDTD as a function of wavelength. (d) Photocurrent power dependence with 650 nm (1.91 eV, black triangles) and 850 nm (1.46 eV, green squares) laser polarized in $0^\circ$ (solid) and $90^\circ$ (hollow).
response at a MoS$_2$-metal junction. Both PVE (650 nm) and surface plasmon (850 nm) induced photocurrent signals have a linear dependence with incident power (Figure 5d), while the PVE induced photocurrent response is 2 orders of magnitude greater than photocurrent signals generated through surface plasmons in metal electrodes.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we investigate the relative contributions of PVE, PTE, and surface plasmons to the overall photoresponse at MoS$_2$-metal junctions through polarization- and wavelength-dependent scanning photocurrent measurements. We demonstrate that when incident photon energy is above the direct bandgap of few-layer MoS$_2$, the photocurrent response is primarily attributed to PVE and maximized for the light polarization direction parallel to the metal electrode edge. When the incident photon energy is below the direct bandgap of MoS$_2$, the photocurrent signals mainly result from surface plasmons of Au electrodes, which are 2 orders of magnitude smaller than those induced by PVE. These fundamental studies may offer a new design rule for future 2D material based photodetectors, in particular, in the near-infrared and infrared regimes.

METHODS

**Device Fabrication and Electrical Characterization.** Degenerately p-doped silicon substrate with 290 nm of thermally grown SiO$_2$ was first treated by oxygen plasma for 10 min to enhance hydrophilicity. Subsequently, a 3 mM octadecytrimethoxysilane (OTSMS) (from Sigma — Aldrich) solution in trichloroethylene was drop-cast on the substrate and allowed to assemble for 10 s. The substrate was then spun at 3000 rpm for 10 s to uniformly cover the entire surface followed by immersion in ammonia (NH$_3$) vapor at room temperature overnight. Finally, the substrate was rinsed with deionized (DI) water and bath sonicated in toluene for about 5 min. Multilayer MoS$_2$ flakes were produced by mechanical exfoliation of MoS$_2$ crystals (from SPI) and subsequently transferred to the OTMS-SAM-modified SiO$_2$ substrates. Optical microscopy and Park-Systems XE-70 noncontact mode atomic microscopy (AFM) were used to identify and characterize thin MoS$_2$ flakes. Four-probe MoS$_2$ FET devices were fabricated using standard electron beam lithography and subsequent electron beam deposition of 5 nm of Ti covered by 40 nm of Au. Electrical properties of the devices were measured by a Keithley 4200 semiconductor parameter analyzer in a lakeshore Cryogenics probe station under high vacuum ($1 \times 10^{-8}$ Torr).

**Scanning Photocurrent Measurements.** Spatially resolved scanning photocurrent measurements were performed in an Olympus microscope setup. A linearly polarized continuous wave laser source was expanded and its position was changed by a nanometer-resolution scan mirror. A 40 x objective (N.A. = 0.6) was used to focus the laser beam into a diffraction-limited spot (~1 μm) on the samples. The polarization direction of the laser beam was changed by a half-wave plate followed by a polarizer. All experiments were performed in high vacuum ($1 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr).

**FDTD Simulations.** The $\|E\|^2$ ratio was calculated using three-dimensional finite-difference time-domain simulations (Numerical FDTD Solutions) with the following specifications. The geometries were chosen to match the device dimensions. The light source was defined as a plane wave. The mesh size was a uniform 4 nm and the entire simulation space was surrounded by a perfectly matched layer (PML) that absorbed any fields reaching the boundaries. Frequency domain field power monitors were used to record the optical field at the interface between electrodes and the underlying SiO$_2$. The width of the optical field monitors was set to be 2 μm wider than the electrodes such that the optical field width within 1 μm from the edges of electrodes would be collected.
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