

# PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

## **Positive Screening for Autism in Ex-preterm Infants: Prevalence and Risk Factors**

Catherine Limperopoulos, Haim Bassan, Nancy R. Sullivan, Janet S. Soul, Richard L. Robertson, Jr, Marianne Moore, Steven A. Ringer, Joseph J. Volpe and Adré J. du Plessis

*Pediatrics* 2008;121;758-765

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2007-2158

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/121/4/758>

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2008 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



# Positive Screening for Autism in Ex-preterm Infants: Prevalence and Risk Factors

Catherine Limperopoulos, PhD<sup>a,b</sup>, Haim Bassan, MD<sup>b</sup>, Nancy R. Sullivan, PhD<sup>c</sup>, Janet S. Soul, MD<sup>b</sup>, Richard L. Robertson, Jr, MD<sup>d</sup>, Marianne Moore, BA, RN<sup>b</sup>, Steven A. Ringer, MD, PhD<sup>e</sup>, Joseph J. Volpe, MD<sup>b</sup>, Adré J. du Plessis, MBChB, MPH<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, School of Physical and Occupational Therapy, and Department of Pediatrics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada;

<sup>b</sup>Fetal-Neonatal Neurology Research Program, Department of Neurology, <sup>c</sup>Developmental Medicine Center, and <sup>d</sup>Department of Radiology, Children's Hospital Boston and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; <sup>e</sup>Department of Neonatology, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

## What's Known on This Subject

Previous reports identified prematurity or low birth weight, history of neonatal intensive care, and history of moderate to severe neonatal encephalopathy as important perinatal risk factors for subsequent autism spectrum disorders. Others described the association between autism and severe medical conditions such as epilepsy, cerebral palsy, fragile X syndrome, tuberous sclerosis, Down syndrome, congenital rubella, and significant hearing and visual deficits.

## What This Study Adds

We describe for the first time a high prevalence of a positive initial screening for autism spectrum behaviors in survivors of extreme prematurity. We identify several factors that increase the risk for a positive screening, including lower birth weight and gestational age, male gender, prenatal infection, greater illness acuity, and abnormal MRI studies.

## ABSTRACT

**OBJECTIVE.** The survival of very low birth weight infants has increased markedly in recent years. Unfortunately, the prevalence of significant and lifelong motor, cognitive, and behavioral dysfunction has remained a major problem confronting these children. The objective of this study was to perform screening tests for early autistic features in children with a history of very low birth weight and to identify risk factors associated with a positive screening result.

**METHODS.** We studied 91 ex-preterm infants  $\leq 1500$  g at birth. Infants underwent conventional MRI studies at preterm and/or term-adjusted age. We collected pertinent demographic, prenatal, intrapartum, acute postnatal, and short-term outcome data for all infants. Follow-up assessments were performed at a mean age of  $21.9 \pm 4.7$  months, using the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers, the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale, and the Child Behavior Checklist.

**RESULTS.** Twenty-six percent of ex-preterm infants had a positive result on the autism screening tool. Abnormal scores correlated highly with internalizing behavioral problems on the Child Behavior Checklist and socialization and communication deficits on the Vineland Scales. Lower birth weight, gestational age, male gender, chorioamnionitis, acute intrapartum hemorrhage, illness severity on admission, and abnormal MRI studies were significantly associated with an abnormal autism screening score.

**CONCLUSIONS.** Early autistic behaviors seem to be an underrecognized feature of very low birth weight infants. The results from this study suggest that early screening for signs of autism may be warranted in this high-risk population followed by definitive autism testing in those with positive screening results.

[www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2007-2158](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2007-2158)

doi:10.1542/peds.2007-2158

### Key Words

autism, prematurity, MRI, risk factors, outcome

### Abbreviations

VLBW—very low birth weight  
M-CHAT—Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers  
CBCL—Child Behavior Checklist  
VABS—Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale  
SNAP-II—Score of Neonatal Acute Physiology II  
PVL—periventricular leukomalacia  
PVHI—periventricular hemorrhagic infarction

Accepted for publication Aug 23, 2007

Address correspondence to Catherine Limperopoulos, PhD, Division of Pediatric Neurology, Montreal Children's Hospital, A-334, 2300 Tupper St, Montreal, PQ, Canada. E-mail: [catherine.limperopoulos@childrens.harvard.edu](mailto:catherine.limperopoulos@childrens.harvard.edu)

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275). Copyright © 2008 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

**A**DVANCES IN NEONATAL intensive care have dramatically increased survival in preterm infants, most strikingly among the sickest and most preterm.<sup>1</sup> Unfortunately, this decrease in mortality has not been matched by a comparable decrease in long-term neurodevelopmental morbidity.<sup>1,2</sup> These trends underlie the increasing population of very low birth weight (VLBW) children with significant and costly disabilities.<sup>3,4</sup> Recently, a growing body of data has pointed to an astonishing prevalence of higher order neurodevelopmental impairment by the time these children reach school age. In some studies, up to 50% of ex-preterm infants experience difficulties in executive functioning, as well as in the areas of attention and behavior, often requiring special academic support.<sup>5-13</sup>

Despite recent progress, our understanding of the behavioral and psychosocial health of ex-preterm infants remains limited. Low birth weight and gestational age have been identified in several studies as important perinatal risk factors for disturbances in social interaction, communication, and behavior<sup>14,15</sup> as well as later psychoaffective disorders in adulthood.<sup>16-18</sup> During childhood and adolescence, VLBW children are reported to exhibit greater

internalizing and externalizing behavior problems than their peers, as well as attentional difficulties and hyperactivity.<sup>19–23</sup> Difficulties with social integration including excessive shyness, withdrawn behavior, and poor social skills are also described.<sup>24–26</sup> Despite these reports of atypical psychosocial development in VLBW children, the prevalence of autism spectrum disorders has not been systematically explored in this population. Our anecdotal experience in the clinical follow-up of ex-preterm infants has suggested that a subgroup of these infants exhibit distinctly atypical behavioral features, many of which are similar to those typically seen in children with autism spectrum disorders.

Autism spectrum disorders are increasingly recognized as a public health problem of major importance.<sup>27,28</sup> According to a recent report from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the prevalence of autism spectrum disorders is now 1 in 150.<sup>27</sup> The contribution from increasing survivors of extreme prematurity to this growing population of children with autism spectrum disorders is not well studied. Recently, major advances have been made in the field of early detection of signs of autism in infants, and validated screening tools now exist to facilitate the early and accurate screening of infants so as to prompt appropriate referrals for specialized autism diagnostic testing.<sup>29,30</sup> To begin addressing these questions, we decided to screen for early autistic features in a cohort of children with a history of VLBW. In addition, we sought to identify clinical predictors of positive autism screening results.

## METHODS

The cohort of infants undergoing autism screening tests in this study were part of a previously reported prospective study of cerebrovascular function in a consecutive series of preterm infants <1500 g.<sup>31,32</sup> We excluded infants with known or suspected cerebral dysgenesis, obvious dysmorphic syndromes, or a known chromosomal disorder. We obtained written informed consent for these tests in all cases. The institutional review boards of the Brigham and Women's Hospital and Children's Hospital of Boston approved the study. We enrolled 103 children who met our inclusion criteria; 8 of these died in the early postnatal period, and 4 families could not be reached as part of our follow-up testing. The remaining 91 (96%) infants were successfully scheduled for follow-up.

### Follow-up Studies

We performed standardized developmental outcome testing in 91 ex-preterm infants between the ages of 18 and 24 months adjusted for prematurity. Testers were blinded to past medical history and to the MRI findings.

The Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (M-CHAT) is a 23-item (yes/no) parent-report checklist developed to screen children aged 16 to 30 months for early signs of autistic features.<sup>33</sup> The M-CHAT assesses sensory responsiveness (eg, overreaction to sound or touch), early language and communication (eg, responds when his or her name is called), social relatedness (eg, whether the child imitates the parents), and early joint attention (eg,

whether the child follows a point to an object across the room). Psychometric data from the M-CHAT demonstrate high sensitivity and specificity.<sup>33,34</sup> A child fails the checklist when  $\geq 2$  critical items or any 3 items are failed. The critical items were identified by discriminant factor analysis of children with and without a disorder on the autism spectrum<sup>33</sup> and include items concerning joint attention (eg, pro-declarative pointing, bringing to show, following a point), interest in other children, responding to name, and imitation.

The Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) for ages 1.5 to 5 years is a well-validated caregiver questionnaire<sup>35,36</sup> that includes 100 items on the frequency of behavioral and emotional problems in young children. Externalizing and internalizing problem behavior scores are derived. Internalizing behaviors include withdrawn, somatic complaints, anxious and depressed, and emotionally reactive syndromes scales. Externalizing behaviors include attention problems and aggressive behavior syndrome scales.<sup>36</sup> The abnormal range is defined as T scores  $\geq 64$ , the borderline range as T scores from 60 to 63, and the normal range as T scores  $<60$ . For purposes of analysis, we dichotomized our outcomes into normal (T scores  $<60$ ) and abnormal (T scores  $\geq 60$ ).

The Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale (VABS) is a discriminative norm-referenced measure of functional status in a wide range of adaptive skills, including communication (receptive, expressive, and written), daily living (personal, domestic, and community), socialization (interpersonal relationships, play and leisure time, and coping skills), and motor (gross and fine) skills in children 0 to 18 years of age. Standard scores were generated using a mean of 100 and an SD of 15. A score  $<2$  SDs of the normative mean was defined as abnormal.<sup>37</sup> Finally, we determined whether the children had received a diagnosis of a significant visual or hearing impairment.

### Clinical Data Collection

To characterize our cohort, we performed medical chart reviews and collected demographic, prenatal, intrapartum, acute postnatal, and short-term outcome data on all infants. Demographic data included gestational age at birth, birth weight, and gender. Maternal data included maternal age, single versus multiple gestation, pregnancy-induced hypertension, prenatal infection (ie, intrapartum maternal temperature  $>100.4^{\circ}\text{F}$ ), intra- or antepartum hemorrhage, previous preterm labor during this pregnancy, and use of antenatal steroids. Intrapartum factors included fetal heart rate abnormalities (sustained fetal bradycardia with  $<100$  beats per minute, decreased variability, and late decelerations), vaginal versus cesarean birth, Apgar score at 5 minutes, and need for respiratory and cardiovascular resuscitation (with or without medications). We also completed the Score of Neonatal Acute Physiology II (SNAP-II)<sup>38</sup> on admission and collected data from placental pathology reports for the presence of chorioamnionitis, placental abruption, or infarction.

Early postnatal data were collected in the first 5 days of life and included blood gases (pH,  $\text{Pco}_2$ ,  $\text{Po}_2$ ); need for cardiopulmonary support (volume expanders and/or

**TABLE 1 Clinical Characteristics of Pregnancy, Labor, Delivery, and Placental Findings in the Preterm Cohort (n = 91)**

Clinical Characteristic	Value
Pregnancy, n (%)	
Maternal age >35 y	24 (26)
Pregnancy-induced hypertension	12 (13)
Acute intrapartum or antepartum hemorrhage	19 (21)
Previous preterm labor	63 (69)
Antenatal steroids	79 (87)
Maternal fever (>100.4°F)	17 (18)
Labor and delivery	
Gestational age, median (range), wk	26 (23–30)
Birth weight, median (range), g	890 (460–1490)
Male gender, n (%)	55 (60)
Singleton, n (%)	62 (68)
Abnormal fetal heart rate, n = 88, n (%)	34 (37)
Cesarean delivery, n (%)	59 (65)
Apgar score at 5 min, median (range)	7 (0–9)
Respiratory resuscitation in delivery room, n (%)	84 (88)
Circulatory resuscitation in delivery room, n (%)	6 (6)
SNAP-II, mean ± SD	23.7 ± 11.9
Placental findings, n = 80, n (%)	
Chorioamnionitis	25 (31)
Abruption	18 (23)
Placental infarction	7 (9)

pressor-inotropic agents); and presence of a patent ductus arteriosus, pulmonary hemorrhage, and infection (confirmed by positive bacterial cultures or sufficient clinical suspicion to result in a full course of antibiotic treatment). Short-term outcome data included duration of mechanical ventilation and supplemental oxygen requirement, presence of necrotizing enterocolitis, and radiologic evidence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia.

### MRI Studies

Infants underwent conventional, spin-echo T1-weighted and fast spin-echo T2-weighted MRI studies in the neonatal period once medically stable before discharge from the NICU. The MRI findings were categorized by a single experienced neuroradiologist who was blinded to the infants' perinatal history and outcome. Supratentorial parenchymal lesions included cystic or diffuse noncystic periventricular leukomalacia (PVL; the latter defined as diffuse, excessive, high signal intensity in the periventricular white matter on T2-weighted scans), periventricular hemorrhagic infarction (PVHI; defined as unilateral or asymmetric lesions of increased T2 signal in the periventricular white matter associated with ipsilateral germinal matrix-intraventricular hemorrhage), and ventriculomegaly. Parenchymal lesions of the cerebellum or brainstem were categorized as infratentorial. Combined lesions were diagnosed when both supratentorial and infratentorial parenchymal injury were present.

### Predictor Variables

The following maternal, intrapartum, and postnatal factors<sup>39–42</sup> were identified a priori and analyzed as possible predictor variables for a positive autism screen: maternal age, maternal temperature, acute intrapartum or antepartum hemorrhage, preterm labor, placental infection,

**TABLE 2 Acute and Subacute Postnatal Clinical Characteristics (n = 91)**

Parameter	Value
Acute factors (day of life 1–5)	
Minimum pH, mean (range)	7.2 (6.8–7.4)
Minimum Pco <sub>2</sub> , mean (range), mm Hg	31.9 (17.2–47.9)
Maximum Pco <sub>2</sub> , mean (range), mm Hg	61.6 (36.5–169.5)
Minimum Po <sub>2</sub> , mean (range), mm Hg	42.6 (23.3–63.5)
Cardiopulmonary support, n (%)	71 (78)
Patent ductus arteriosus, n (%)	17 (19)
Pulmonary hemorrhage, n (%)	9 (10)
Clinical sepsis, n (%)	24 (26)
Subacute factors	
Length of ventilation, mean ± SD, d	29.1 ± 30.4
Length of supplemental O <sub>2</sub> , mean ± SD, d	58.5 ± 34.4
Necrotizing enterocolitis, n (%)	12 (13)
Bronchopulmonary dysplasia, n (%)	36 (40)

gestational age, birth weight, gender, SNAP-II score, length of oxygen requirement, and abnormal MRI study.

### Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated on all measures to determine the characteristics of the sample, check normality assumptions, and ensure adequate variability. Univariate logistic regression analyses were performed to show unadjusted effects of all a priori identified risk factors. Multiple logistic regression analysis procedures were then applied to identify the final predictive model. Thus, in the initial multivariable model, all a priori identified risk factors were included. All factors that were not statistically significant at the .05 level were removed, whereas importance of the variables that remained in the model was assessed and confirmed by likelihood ratio test. In addition, Akaike information criterion and max-rescaled R<sup>2</sup> were used to compare goodness of fit of different models.

## RESULTS

### Clinical Characteristics

The gestational age at birth in the 91 study subjects ranged from 23 to 30 weeks, and birth weight ranged from 460 to 1490 g. Table 1 summarizes the pregnancy, labor, delivery, and placental findings characteristic of this cohort. Previous preterm labor (in 69%) and the use of antenatal steroids (in 87%) were common in our infants. Sixty-five percent were delivered by cesarean section, and 88% required ventilatory resuscitation at birth. Placental pathology studies showed evidence of chorioamnionitis in 31% of the infants. The severity of illness in this population is reflected in the mean SNAP-II score at admission of 23.7 ± 11.9. Table 2 summarizes the postnatal acute and subacute characteristics of our cohort.

### Social, Behavioral, and Functional Outcomes

Follow-up autism screening tests were performed at a mean age corrected for prematurity of 21.9 ± 4.7 months. Of the 91 children in the study, 23 (25%) had positive results on the M-CHAT screening test (ie, 2 critical items or

**TABLE 3 Scores on the M-CHAT, the VABS, and the CBCL (n = 91)**

Scale	Score, Median (Range) or Mean ± SD	Abnormal, n (%)
M-CHAT	2 (0–9)	23 (25)
VABS		
Communication	83.5 ± 11.2	21 (23)
Daily living	89.1 ± 5.6	17 (19)
Socialization	81.2 ± 10.2	26 (29)
Motor	80.9 ± 8.3	26 (29)
CBCL		
Internalizing	58.4 ± 4.1	26 (29)
Externalizing	48.3 ± 3.2	12 (13)

any 3). Of those who had positive M-CHAT scores, 16 (70%) reached positivity on the basis of critical items (ie, items concerning joint attention, interest in other children, responding to name, and imitation). Although the M-CHAT is not designed to test motor abilities, it is interesting that only 1 child (18 months of age at testing) who was not climbing on objects or stairs (question 3 on the M-CHAT) or walking (question 16) scored positive on the M-CHAT.

Functional performance on the VABS identified 26 (29%) infants with functional delays in motor abilities and 17 (19%) with delayed daily living skills. In addition, 21 (23%) had communication deficits and 26 (29%) experienced socialization difficulties. Behavioral outcomes measured by the CBCL demonstrated that 26 (29%) children experienced internalizing behavioral problems and 12 (13%) experienced externalizing behavioral problems (Table 3). Two of the 3 children with significant visual impairments had abnormal scores on the M-CHAT and CBCL. None of the cohort had significant hearing impairments.

Three (13%) infants with functional motor disabilities and delayed daily living skills on the VABS also had an abnormal M-CHAT score. Six (26%) infants with communication difficulties and 7 (30%) with socialization problems on the VABS also reached positivity on the M-CHAT. Internalizing behavioral problems on the CBCL were also present in 6 infants with abnormal M-CHAT scores.

Abnormal M-CHAT scores correlated highly with internalizing behavioral problems on the CBCL ( $P < .01$ ), particularly in the withdrawn and emotionally reactive subscales. Conversely, M-CHAT scores were not associated with externalizing behavioral difficulties. Difficulties in socialization ( $P < .01$ ) and communication abilities ( $P = .03$ ) on the VABS were significantly associated with a positive M-CHAT screen; however, delays in daily living skills and functional mobility showed no significant association with performance on the M-CHAT. When communication problems on the VABS and age at follow-up testing were controlled, M-CHAT abnormalities remained significant.

#### MRI Abnormalities

MRI studies were performed at a mean age of  $39.2 \pm 3.9$  weeks in 85 (93%) of the 91 infants who underwent follow-up neurodevelopmental testing. Among the 85

**TABLE 4 Distribution of Clinical Risk Factors With Normal/Abnormal M-CHAT Score**

Variable	Normal (n = 68)	Abnormal (n = 23)
MRI, n (%)		
Normal	50 (79)	7 (32)
Abnormal	13 (19)	15 (68)
Gender, n (%)		
Male	37 (54)	18 (78)
Female	31 (46)	5 (22)
Chorioamnionitis, n (%)		
Yes	13 (22)	16 (76)
No	46 (78)	5 (24)
Maternal temperature $\leq 100.4^\circ\text{F}$ , n (%)		
Yes	10 (15)	7 (30)
No	58 (85)	16 (70)
Acute intrapartum or antepartum hemorrhage, n (%)		
Yes	10 (15)	9 (39)
No	58 (85)	14 (61)
Preterm labor, n (%)		
Yes	45 (66)	18 (78)
No	23 (34)	5 (22)
Gestational age, mean ± SD	27.1 ± 1.6	26.2 ± 2.0
Birth weight, mean ± SD	959.6 ± 235.9	789.1 ± 192.0
SNAP-II, mean ± SD	18.1 ± 7.5	31.0 ± 6.6
Maternal age, mean ± SD	30.7 ± 6.8	31.5 ± 6.4
Length of oxygen requirement, mean ± SD	53.2 ± 34.3	73.4 ± 30.3

infants who underwent MRI studies, 57 (67%) had normal results and 28 (33%) had abnormal results. MRI abnormalities included diffuse PVL (13), PVHI (5), cerebellar hemorrhagic injury (5), and ventriculomegaly (2). In addition, 3 had combined diffuse PVL and cerebellar hemorrhagic injury, and 1 infant had combined PVHI and cerebellar hemorrhagic injury.

#### Risk Factors for a Positive M-CHAT Screen

We examined a number of clinical (maternal, pregnancy, intrapartum, and postnatal), neuroimaging, and developmental risk factors associated with a positive M-CHAT screen. The distribution of clinical risk factors with normal/abnormal M-CHAT is summarized in Table 4. On univariate analysis, lower birth weight and gestational age, male gender, greater acuity of illness on admission (higher SNAP-II score), chorioamnionitis, and acute intrapartum hemorrhage were associated with a positive M-CHAT screen. Furthermore, long-term complications, including prolonged supplemental oxygen requirements, and abnormal MRI findings were significantly associated with abnormal M-CHAT scores (Table 5). It is interesting that when we examined the association between type of MRI abnormalities and M-CHAT scores, ex-preterm infants with cerebellar hemorrhagic injury and combined supratentorial and infratentorial parenchymal lesions were significantly more likely to have a positive M-CHAT screen compared with those with isolated supratentorial injury (ie, PVL or PVHI;  $P < .001$ ). Multivariate analyses demonstrated that gestational age, birth weight, chorioamnionitis, gender, and acuity of illness severity on admission (SNAP-II) were

**TABLE 5 Univariate Predictors of Abnormal M-CHAT Screening Scores (n = 91)**

Variable	OR	95% CI	P
MRI	0.133	0.047–0.382	.0002
Gender	3.016	1.004–9.059	.0491
Chorioamnionitis	9.669	3.302–28.310	<.0001
Maternal temperature ≤ 100.4°F	2.538	0.834–7.724	.1011
Acute intrapartum or antepartum hemorrhage	3.729	1.275–10.904	.0162
Preterm labor	1.840	0.606–5.588	.2820
Gestational age	0.731	0.541–0.987	.0406
Birth weight	0.996	0.994–0.999	.0044
SNAP-II	1.276	1.145–1.422	<.0001
Maternal age	1.017	0.947–1.093	.6356
Length of oxygen requirement	1.018	1.003–1.033	.0174

OR indicates odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

independent predictors of abnormal M-CHAT scores (Table 6).

## DISCUSSION

In this study, we describe a high prevalence of autism spectrum features among survivors of extremely preterm birth as detected by a positive score on a widely used screening instrument, the M-CHAT. In addition, we describe a strong correlation between positive M-CHAT scores in this population and the detection of internalizing behavioral problems and socialization and communication deficits using other widely used instruments, the CBCL and the VABS, respectively. Together, these findings provide evidence for a high prevalence of positive initial screenings for autism spectrum behaviors in survivors of extreme prematurity. To our knowledge, this is the first description of this phenomenon in ex-preterm infants. In addition, we have identified several factors that seem to increase the risk for positive M-CHAT scores in these children, including lower birth weight and gestational age, male gender, prenatal infection, greater illness acuity based on SNAP-II scores, and abnormal MRI studies.

No previous reports of autism screening testing in ex-preterm infants are available for comparison with our findings. Recent population-based studies identified prematurity or low birth weight,<sup>29,43,44</sup> as well as a history of neonatal intensive care,<sup>39,40,45,46</sup> as important perinatal risk factors for subsequent autism spectrum disorders. Badawi et al<sup>40</sup> found a sixfold increased risk for autism spectrum disorders among children with a history of moderate to severe neonatal encephalopathy. Others described the association between autism and severe

**TABLE 6 Independent Risk Factors of Abnormal M-CHAT Scores**

Covariates	Adjusted OR	95% CI	P
Gender	6.040	1.097–33.250	.039
Chorioamnionitis	16.240	2.798–94.270	.002
Gestational age	2.053	1.083–3.891	.027
Birth weight	0.993	0.987–0.998	.011
SNAP-II	1.287	1.121–1.478	.000

medical conditions such as epilepsy, cerebral palsy, fragile X syndrome, tuberous sclerosis, Down syndrome, congenital rubella, and significant hearing and visual impairments.<sup>41</sup> As an explanation for these associations, it has been speculated that pregnancy, delivery, and/or neonatal complications act through independent etiologic pathways to increase the risk for autism or interact with a genetic predisposition by interfering in the developmental process at critical times.<sup>39,42</sup>

In this population, we identified several independent risk factors that were associated with a greater likelihood for abnormal M-CHAT scores. These included lower birth weight and gestational age, male gender, chorioamnionitis, and significantly greater illness severity (by the SNAP-II scores). Our finding of a high rate of chorioamnionitis by placental pathology in infants with positive M-CHAT scores is consistent with previous reports of recurrent maternal infections during pregnancy in children with autism.<sup>44</sup> The presence of a higher acuity of illness suggests that exposure to factors that are associated with preterm birth and the hazards of prematurity itself are associated with a greater risk for a positive autism screening. Finally, our finding of a higher prevalence of positive autism screenings among boys corroborates previous studies that reported a significant association between autism spectrum disorders and male gender.<sup>38,43,44</sup>

The children in our study were tested at a mean age of 21 months' adjusted age. To date, no studies have addressed the presence of neuroimaging abnormalities in children with autism spectrum disorders at such a young age, because autism is not typically recognized before 2 years of age<sup>47</sup>; however, a broad spectrum of neuroanatomic abnormalities have been reported in children with autism.<sup>47–52</sup> Recent studies suggest a role for disturbed connectivity between specific brain regions in autism spectrum disorders.<sup>53,54</sup> Cerebellar lesions, particularly in the vermis, have been a common finding in autopsy and neuroimaging studies of children with autism.<sup>47,55–58</sup> In our study, the presence of neonatal MRI abnormalities was a significant univariate predictor of positive scores on the M-CHAT test at follow-up. Although the number of infants with cerebellar hemorrhage (either isolated or combined with supratentorial parenchymal injury) was relatively small, this subgroup was significantly more likely to have positive M-CHAT scores than infants without cerebellar injury on MRI. These findings corroborate our results from a previous study<sup>59</sup> in which more than one third of ex-preterm infants with isolated cerebellar hemorrhagic injury had positive autism screening tests at follow-up; however, in the current study, this specific relationship could not be tested in a multivariate model given the small size of the subgroup with cerebellar injury. Consequently, cerebellar injury was incorporated into an overall abnormal MRI category, which failed to reach statistical significance in its relationship with positive M-CHAT scores. Larger studies will be needed to address the potential importance of this relationship. Furthermore, it is important to note that in this study, a subset of ex-preterm infants with normal conventional MRI studies had positive screening scores for autism

spectrum disorders. It is possible that these children have brain injury below the resolution of current conventional MRI techniques, and more sophisticated quantitative MRI techniques may be needed to address this issue. Using such techniques, we previously showed a significant impairment of cerebellar growth in preterm infants as early as term corrected age, even in the absence of obvious cerebellar injury by conventional MRI studies.<sup>60</sup>

Children with global developmental delay or specific language impairments and those with autism spectrum disorders may share a number of common features, particularly at a young age.<sup>61–63</sup> Although we have not yet tested our population with diagnostic instruments for autism, when controlling for the potential confounding effect of language delays and age at testing, we found no significant effect of these factors on positive M-CHAT scores. Furthermore, there was no significant association between functional motor delays on the VABS and an abnormal M-CHAT. Although we acknowledge that the presence of developmental delay in our cohort may have contributed to the high prevalence of positive M-CHAT scores, our findings suggest that any such contribution was modest at best.

We acknowledge several important limitations to our study. First, it is important to recognize that the M-CHAT is specifically designed as a screening instrument to identify toddlers at risk and is by no means diagnostic for autism spectrum disorders. More comprehensive and standardized diagnostic tests for autism (eg, Autism Diagnostic Interview,<sup>64</sup> Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule<sup>65</sup>) are available and are specifically designed to be applied to children who have positive results on screening tests such as the M-CHAT. Because the M-CHAT is designed to screen toddlers at approximately 18 months of age, it is possible that the sociobehavioral deficits identified in this study are transient or, conversely, may emerge or increase over time. Furthermore, although we correlated autism with behavioral and adaptive measures, we did not use standardized cognitive assessment. For these reasons, we are performing standardized diagnostic tests for autism and cognitive testing in this study population. Another limitation of our study is the lack of socioeconomic data on our cohort, which may have influenced both child development and maternal reporting. Finally, our study cohort represents a selected high-risk population of preterm infants<sup>31</sup> and therefore may not be generalizable to healthier preterm populations.

## CONCLUSIONS

We have described a high prevalence of positive screening tests for autism in a cohort of ex-preterm infants. These findings require corroboration in larger prospective studies and validation by more sensitive diagnostic testing; however, these data suggest that the unusual social and behavioral profile observed by many clinicians in the clinical follow-up of high-risk preterm infants may represent the early signs of an autism spectrum disorder. Current screening for early intervention services in this high-risk population tends to focus largely on neuromo-

tor and cognitive modalities. Our data support comprehensive screening for social and behavioral dysfunction in ex-preterm infants throughout the period of risk for autism spectrum disorders,<sup>66</sup> with diagnostic testing and close follow-up of children with positive screening tests. Such an approach is further supported by recent studies demonstrating the benefit of earlier, more intensive interventions in high-risk populations.<sup>67,68</sup>

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant P01NS38475, the LifeBridge Fund, the Caroline Levine Foundation, the Trust Family Foundation, and Canada Research Chairs Program (Dr Limperopoulos).

We thank Shaye Moore for assistance with manuscript preparation, Nick Hall for data entry, Gevorg Chilingaryan for statistical analyses, and the children and families for participation in this study.

## REFERENCES

1. Msall ME. The limits of viability and the uncertainty of neuroprotection: challenges in optimizing outcomes in extreme prematurity. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(1):158–160
2. Tommiska V, Heinonen K, Lehtonen L, et al. No improvement in outcome of nationwide extremely low birth weight infant populations between 1996–1997 and 1999–2000. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(1):29–36
3. Ment LR, Allan WC, Makuch RW, Vohr B. Grade 3 to 4 intraventricular hemorrhage and Bayley scores predict outcome. *Pediatrics*. 2005;116(6):1597–1598; author reply 1598
4. Rushing S, Ment LR. Preterm birth: a cost benefit analysis. *Semin Perinatol*. 2004;28(6):444–450
5. Saigal S. Follow-up of very low birthweight babies to adolescence. *Semin Neonatol*. 2000;5(2):107–118
6. Hack M, Flannery DJ, Schluchter M, Cartar L, Borawski E, Klein N. Outcomes in young adulthood for very-low-birth-weight infants. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346(3):149–157
7. Dahl LB, Kaarsen PI, Tunby J, Handegard BH, Kvernmo S, Ronning JA. Emotional, behavioral, social, and academic outcomes in adolescents born with very low birth weight. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118(2). Available at: [www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/118/2/e449](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/118/2/e449)
8. Pharoah PO, Stevenson CJ, West CR. General Certificate of Secondary Education performance in very low birthweight infants. *Arch Dis Child*. 2003;88(4):295–298
9. Anderson PJ, Doyle LW. Executive functioning in school-aged children who were born very preterm or with extremely low birth weight in the 1990s. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(1):50–57
10. Bhutta AT, Cleves MA, Casey PH, Cradock MM, Anand KJ. Cognitive and behavioral outcomes of school-aged children who were born preterm: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2002;288(6):728–737
11. Harvey JM, O'Callaghan MJ, Mohay H. Executive function of children with extremely low birthweight: a case control study. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 1999;41(5):292–297
12. Msall ME, Tremont MR. Measuring functional outcomes after prematurity: developmental impact of very low birth weight and extremely low birth weight status on childhood disability. *Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev*. 2002;8(4):258–272
13. Msall ME. Supporting vulnerable preschool children: connecting the dots before kindergarten. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(4):1086
14. Sigurdsson E, Van Os J, Fombonne E. Are impaired childhood motor skills a risk factor for adolescent anxiety? Results from

- the 1958 U.K. *birth cohort and the National Child Development Study*. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2002;159(6):1044–1046
15. Pine D, Shaffer D, Schonfeld IS. Persistent emotional disorder in children with neurological soft signs. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1993;32(6):1229–1236
  16. Buka SL, Fan AP. Association of prenatal and perinatal complications with subsequent bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res*. 1999;39(2):113–119; discussion 160–161
  17. Wahlbeck K, Osmond C, Forsen T, Barker DJ, Eriksson JG. Associations between childhood living circumstances and schizophrenia: a population-based cohort study. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2001;104(5):356–360
  18. Jones PB, Rantakallio P, Hartikainen AL, Isohanni M, Sipila P. Schizophrenia as a long-term outcome of pregnancy, delivery, and perinatal complications: a 28-year follow-up of the 1966 north Finland general population birth cohort. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1998;155(3):355–364
  19. Botting N, Powlis A, Cooke RW, Marlow N. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorders and other psychiatric outcomes in very low birthweight children at 12 years. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 1997;38(8):931–941
  20. Whitaker AH, Van Rossem R, Feldman JF, et al. Psychiatric outcomes in low-birth-weight children at age 6 years: relation to neonatal cranial ultrasound abnormalities. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1997;54(9):847–856
  21. Saigal S, Pinelli J, Hoult L, Kim MM, Boyle M. Psychopathology and social competencies of adolescents who were extremely low birth weight. *Pediatrics*. 2003;111(5 pt 1):969–975
  22. McCormick MC, Brooks-Gunn J, Workman-Daniels K, Turner J, Peckham GJ. The health and developmental status of very low-birth-weight children at school age. *JAMA*. 1992;267(16):2204–2208
  23. Breslau N, Johnson EO, Lucia VC. Academic achievement of low birthweight children at age 11: the role of cognitive abilities at school entry. *J Abnorm Child Psychol*. 2001;29(4):273–279
  24. Nadeau L, Boivin M, Tessier R, Lefebvre F, Robaey P. Mediators of behavioral problems in 7-year-old children born after 24 to 28 weeks of gestation. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*. 2001;22(1):1–10
  25. Weisglas-Kuperus N, Koot HM, Baerts W, Fetter WP, Sauer PJ. Behaviour problems of very low-birthweight children. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 1993;35(5):406–416
  26. Hoy EA, Sykes DH, Bill JM, Halliday HL, McClure BG, Reid MM. The social competence of very-low-birthweight children: teacher, peer, and self-perceptions. *J Abnorm Child Psychol*. 1992;20(2):123–150
  27. Kuehn BM. CDC: autism spectrum disorders common. *JAMA*. 2007;297(9):940
  28. Leslie DL, Martin A. Health care expenditures associated with autism spectrum disorders. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2007;161(4):350–355
  29. Fombonne E. Autism and newborn encephalopathy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2006;48(2):84
  30. Robins DL, Dumont-Mathieu TM. Early screening for autism spectrum disorders: update on the modified checklist for autism in toddlers and other measures. *Dev Behav Pediatr*. 2006;27(2 Suppl):S111–S119
  31. Soul JS, Hammer PE, Tsuji M, et al. Fluctuating pressure-passivity is common in the cerebral circulation of sick premature infants. *Pediatr Res*. 2007;61(4):467–473
  32. Limperopoulos C, Bassan H, Kalish L, et al. Current definitions of hypotension do not predict abnormal cranial ultrasound in preterm infants. *Pediatrics*. 2007;120(5):966–977
  33. Robins DL, Fein D, Barton ML, Green JA. The Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers: an initial study investigating the early detection of autism and pervasive developmental disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2001;31(2):131–144
  34. Wong V, Hui LH, Lee WC, et al. A modified screening tool for autism (Checklist for Autism in Toddlers [CHAT-23]) for Chinese children. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(2). Available at: [www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/114/2/e166](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/114/2/e166)
  35. Rescorla LA. Assessment of young children using the Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment (ASEBA). *Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev*. 2005;11(3):226–237
  36. Achenbach TM, Rescorla L. *Manual for the Child Behavior Checklist. Preschool Forms and Profiles*. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont Department of Psychiatry; 2000
  37. Sparrow S, Balla D, Cicchetti D. *Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales (Interview Edition) Survey Form Manual: A Revision of the Vineland Social Maturity Scale*. Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Service; 1984
  38. Richardson DK, Corcoran JD, Escobar GJ, Lee SK. SNAP-II and SNAPPE-II: simplified newborn illness severity and mortality risk scores. *J Pediatr*. 2001;138(1):92–100
  39. Hultman CM, Sparen P, Cnattingius S. Perinatal risk factors for infantile autism. *Epidemiology*. 2002;13(4):417–423
  40. Badawi N, Dixon G, Felix JF, et al. Autism following a history of newborn encephalopathy: more than a coincidence? *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2006;48(2):85–89
  41. Fombonne E. The epidemiology of autism: a review. *Psychol Med*. 1999;29(4):769–786
  42. Bolton PF, Murphy M, Macdonald H, Whitlock B, Pickles A, Rutter M. Obstetric complications in autism: consequences or causes of the condition? *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1997;36(2):272–281
  43. Maimburg RD, Vaeth M. Perinatal risk factors and infantile autism. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2006;114(4):257–264
  44. Brimacombe M, Ming X, Lamendola M. Prenatal and birth complications in autism. *Matern Child Health J*. 2007;11(1):73–79
  45. Matsuishi T, Yamashita Y, Ohtani Y, et al. Brief report: incidence of and risk factors for autistic disorder in neonatal intensive care unit survivors. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 1999;29(2):161–166
  46. Juul-Dam N, Townsend J, Courchesne E. Prenatal, perinatal, and neonatal factors in autism, pervasive developmental disorder-not otherwise specified, and the general population. *Pediatrics*. 2001;107(4). Available at: [www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/107/4/e63](http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/107/4/e63)
  47. Courchesne E, Karns CM, Davis HR, et al. Unusual brain growth patterns in early life in patients with autistic disorder: an MRI study. *Neurology*. 2001;57(2):245–254
  48. Sparks BF, Friedman SD, Shaw DW, et al. Brain structural abnormalities in young children with autism spectrum disorder. *Neurology*. 2002;59(2):184–192
  49. Levitt JG, Blanton RE, Smalley S, et al. Cortical sulcal maps in autism. *Cereb Cortex*. 2003;13(7):728–735
  50. Carper RA, Moses P, Tigue ZD, Courchesne E. Cerebral lobes in autism: early hyperplasia and abnormal age effects. *Neuroimage*. 2002;16(4):1038–1051
  51. Kates WR, Burnette CP, Eliez S, et al. Neuroanatomic variation in monozygotic twin pairs discordant for the narrow phenotype for autism. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004;161(3):539–546
  52. Herbert MR, Ziegler DA, Deutsch CK, et al. Dissociations of cerebral cortex, subcortical and cerebral white matter volumes in autistic boys. *Brain*. 2003;126(Pt 5):1182–1192
  53. Rippon G, Brock J, Brown C, Boucher J. Disordered connectivity in the autistic brain: challenges for the “new psychophysiology.” *Int J Psychophysiol*. 2007;63(2):164–172
  54. Courchesne E, Redcay E, Morgan JT, Kennedy DP. Autism at the beginning: microstructural and growth abnormalities underlying the cognitive and behavioral phenotype of autism. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2005;17(3):577–597
  55. Courchesne E. Brainstem, cerebellar and limbic neuroanatomy-

- cal abnormalities in autism. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 1997;7(2): 269–278
56. Courchesne E. Brain development in autism: early overgrowth followed by premature arrest of growth. *Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev.* 2004;10(2):106–111
  57. Hashimoto T, Tayama M, Murakawa K, et al. Development of the brainstem and cerebellum in autistic patients. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 1995;25(1):1–18
  58. Bauman ML, Kemper TL. Neuroanatomic observations of the brain in autism: a review and future directions. *Int J Dev Neurosci.* 2005;23(2–3):183–187
  59. Limperopoulos C, Bassan H, Gauvreau K, et al. Does cerebellar injury in premature infants contribute to the high prevalence of long-term cognitive, learning, and behavioral disability in survivors? *Pediatrics.* 2007;120(3):584–593
  60. Limperopoulos C, Soul JS, Gauvreau K, et al. Late gestation cerebellar growth is rapid and impeded by premature birth. *Pediatrics.* 2005;115(3):688–695
  61. Ventola P, Kleinman J, Pandey J, et al. Differentiating between autism spectrum disorders and other developmental disabilities in children who failed a screening instrument for ASD. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2007;37(3):425–436
  62. Trillingsgaard A, Ulsted Sorensen E, Nemeč G, Jorgensen M. What distinguishes autism spectrum disorders from other developmental disorders before the age of four years? *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2005;14(2):65–72
  63. Lord C. Follow-up of two-year-olds referred for possible autism. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 1995;36(8):1365–1382
  64. Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised: a revised version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 1994;24(5):659–685
  65. Lord C, Risi S, Lambrecht L, et al. The autism diagnostic observation schedule-generic: a standard measure of social and communication deficits associated with the spectrum of autism. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2000;30(3):205–223
  66. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic criteria for 299.00 autistic disorder. In: *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.* 4th ed, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR). American Psychiatric Association; 2000
  67. Committee on Educational Interventions for Children with Autism, National Research Council. *Educating Children With Autism.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2001
  68. Bryson SE, Rogers SJ, Fombonne E. Autism spectrum disorders: early detection, intervention, education, and psychopharmacological management. *Can J Psychiatry.* 2003;48(8): 506–516

---

“About 90 percent of NIH-funded research is carried out not by the NIH itself on its Bethesda campus but by other (mostly academic medical) organizations throughout the country. The NIH gets more than 43 000 applications a year. Through several stages of review, panels of experts in different fields evaluate the applications and choose which deserve to be funded. About 22 percent are approved for a period of three to five years. The typical grant recipient works at a university and does not draw a salary but is dependent on NIH funding for his or her livelihood. After the three-to-five-year grant expires, the researcher has to renew the funding. The pressure is typically even greater the second time around, as the university has gotten used to ‘absorbing’ up to 50 percent of the grant money to use for ‘overhead,’ and by now the scientist has a staff of paid postdocs and graduate students who depend on the funding, not to mention the fact that the continuation of the scientist’s faculty position is at stake. Inherent in the system is a mindset of conformity: one will tend to submit only proposals that are likely to be approved, which is to say, those that conform to the beliefs of most members on the committee of experts. Because of the intense competition for limited money, investigators are reluctant to submit novel or maverick proposals. Needless to say, this environment stifles the spirit of innovation. Taking risks, pioneering new paths, thwarting conventional wisdom—the very things one associates with the wild-eyed, wild-haired scientists of the past—don’t much enter into the picture nowadays. These realities of how science is practiced lead to a systemic problem of scientists working essentially with blinders on. Research is ‘targeted’ toward a specifically defined goal with a carefully laid-out plan of procedures and experiments. There is no real room for significant deviation.”

Meyers MA. *Happy Accidents*, page 302. Arcade Publishing Inc. 2007

Noted by JFL, MD

## Positive Screening for Autism in Ex-preterm Infants: Prevalence and Risk Factors

Catherine Limperopoulos, Haim Bassan, Nancy R. Sullivan, Janet S. Soul, Richard L. Robertson, Jr, Marianne Moore, Steven A. Ringer, Joseph J. Volpe and Adré J. du Plessis

*Pediatrics* 2008;121:758-765

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2007-2158

<b>Updated Information &amp; Services</b>	including high-resolution figures, can be found at: <a href="http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/121/4/758">http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/121/4/758</a>
<b>References</b>	This article cites 61 articles, 22 of which you can access for free at: <a href="http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/121/4/758#BIBL">http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/121/4/758#BIBL</a>
<b>Post-Publication Peer Reviews (P<sup>3</sup>Rs)</b>	2 P <sup>3</sup> Rs have been posted to this article: <a href="http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/eletters/121/4/758">http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/eletters/121/4/758</a>
<b>Subspecialty Collections</b>	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): <b>Office Practice</b> <a href="http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/collection/office_practice">http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/collection/office_practice</a>
<b>Permissions &amp; Licensing</b>	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: <a href="http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/Permissions.shtml">http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/Permissions.shtml</a>
<b>Reprints</b>	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: <a href="http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/reprints.shtml">http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/reprints.shtml</a>

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

