

PERIODIC DE BRUIJN TRIANGLES: EXACT AND ASYMPTOTIC RESULTS

B. SHAPIRO[‡], M. SHAPIRO* AND A. VAINSHTEIN[†]

[‡] Department of Mathematics, University of Stockholm
S-10691, Sweden, shapiro@matematik.su.se

* Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48824, USA, mshapiro@math.msu.edu

[†] Dept. of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Haifa
Mount Carmel, 31905 Haifa, Israel, alek@mathcs11.haifa.ac.il

ABSTRACT. We study the distribution of the number of permutations with a given periodic up-down sequence w.r.t. the last entry, find exponential generating functions and prove asymptotic formulas for this distribution.

§1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Let $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ be a permutation of length n . We associate with σ its *up-down sequence* (sometimes called the *shape* of σ , or the *signature* of σ) $\mathcal{P}(\sigma) = (p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})$, which is a binary vector of length $n - 1$ such that $p_i = 1$ if $\sigma_i < \sigma_{i+1}$ and $p_i = 0$ otherwise. During the last 120 years, many authors have studied the number $\sharp_n^{\mathcal{P}}$ of all permutations of length n with a given up-down sequence \mathcal{P} . Apparently, for the first time this problem was investigated by D. André [An1, An2], who considered the so-called alternating (or up-down) permutations corresponding to the sequence $\mathcal{P} = (1, 0, 1, 0, \dots) = (10)^*$ and proved that the exponential generating function for the number of such permutations is equal to $\tan x + \sec x$. In [An3] he proved that this number grows asymptotically as $2n!(2/\pi)^{n+1}$.

A general approach to this problem was suggested by MacMahon (see [MM]). This approach leads to determinantal formulas for $\sharp_n^{\mathcal{P}}$, rediscovered later by Niven [Ni] from very basic combinatorial considerations. For the relations of this approach to the representation theory of the symmetric group, and for its generalizations, see [Fo, St1, BW].

Another, purely combinatorial approach to the same problem was suggested by Carlitz [Ca1]. His general recursive formula for $\sharp_n^{\mathcal{P}}$ is rather difficult to use. However, he managed to obtain explicit expressions for the corresponding exponential generating functions for certain *periodic* cases, that is for up-down sequences of the form $\mathcal{P} = (p)^*$, where p is a binary vector of a fixed length called the *period* of \mathcal{P} . In [Ca1, Ca2] he considered the case $\mathcal{P} = (1^k 0)^*$ and expressed the corresponding generating function via the *Olivier functions of the k th order*

$$\varphi_{k,i}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{jk+i}}{(jk+i)!}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

Another case, $\mathcal{P} = (1^2 0^2)^*$, was considered in [CS1, CS2] and solved via Olivier functions of the fourth order. It follows that asymptotically $\sharp_n^{\mathcal{P}}$ in this case grows as $4n!(2/\gamma)^{n+1}$, where $\gamma = 3.7502\dots$ is the smallest positive solution of the equation $\cos t \cosh t + 1 = 0$.

The general periodic problem was solved completely in [CGJN]. As in the two particular cases mentioned above, the answer is expressed via Olivier functions. The techniques used involves matrix Riccati equations, and is rather complicated. For a different solution based on Möbius functions see [St2, Ch. 3.16, and Ex. 3.80].

along the rows of the triangle is governed by the sequence \mathcal{P} . We call this triangle the *de Bruijn triangle* corresponding to the up-down sequence \mathcal{P} . A de Bruijn triangle is said to be *periodic* if the corresponding up-down sequence is periodic.

Let \mathcal{P} be a periodic up-down sequence with period p of length $m > 1$, and let $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$ be the locations of zeros in p . Without loss of generality we assume that $i_r = m$ (otherwise we consider instead of \mathcal{P} the up-down sequence $\bar{\mathcal{P}} = (\bar{p}_i)^*$, where $\bar{p}_i = 1 - p_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$; evidently, the de Bruijn triangle for $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is obtained from that for \mathcal{P} by the reflection in the vertical axis).

The *signed de Bruijn triangle* is obtained from the ordinary de Bruijn triangle by multiplying its i th row by

$$(1.3) \quad \varepsilon_i = (-1)^{\bar{p}_1 + \bar{p}_2 + \dots + \bar{p}_{i-1}}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

The corresponding exponential generating function is defined by

$$(1.4) \quad F^{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^i \varepsilon_i \#_{ij}^{\mathcal{P}} \frac{x^{i-j} y^{j-1}}{(i-j)!(j-1)!}.$$

Theorem 1. *The exponential generating function of the signed periodic de Bruijn triangle corresponding to the up-down sequence \mathcal{P} is given by $F^{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = e^y f^{\mathcal{P}}(x + y)$, where*

$$f^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \frac{\det \bar{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(t)}{\det M^{\mathcal{P}}(t)}$$

and $M^{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ and $\bar{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ are $r \times r$ matrices

$$M^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{m,0} & \varphi_{m,m-i_1} & \varphi_{m,m-i_2} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,m-i_{r-1}} \\ \varphi_{m,i_1} & \varphi_{m,0} & \varphi_{m,m+i_1-i_2} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,m+i_1-i_{r-1}} \\ \varphi_{m,i_2} & \varphi_{m,i_2-i_1} & \varphi_{m,0} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,m+i_2-i_{r-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}} & \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}-i_1} & \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}-i_2} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,0} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\bar{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \varphi_{m,i_1} & \varphi_{m,0} & \varphi_{m,m+i_1-i_2} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,m+i_1-i_{r-1}} \\ \varphi_{m,i_2} & \varphi_{m,i_2-i_1} & \varphi_{m,0} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,m+i_2-i_{r-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}} & \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}-i_1} & \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}-i_2} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,0} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\varphi_{m,j} = \varphi_{m,j}(t)$.

In particular, for signed Entringer numbers one has $m = 2$, $r = 1$, and hence $f^{\{10\}^*}(t) = \varphi_{2,0}^{-1}(t) = 1/\cosh t$, thus recovering the Arnold formula for $A(x, y)$. Moreover, the same techniques allows to obtain generating functions for other Seidel triangles with periodic boundary conditions, such as the triangle for Genocchi numbers (see [DV]). It can be also extended to pairs of Seidel triangles with periodic boundary conditions, such as Arnold triangles $L(\beta)$ and $R(\beta)$ for Springer numbers (see [Ar2, Du2]), thus recovering several combinatorial results obtained in [Sp, Ar2]; see §2 for details.

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 1 we get the above mentioned results of [CGJN] and [St2] concerning the generating functions for the number of permutations with a given up-down sequence.

Corollary 1. *Let r be even, then the exponential generating function for the numbers $\#_n^{\mathcal{P}}$ is equal*

$$1 + \frac{\det \widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(t)}{\det M^{\mathcal{P}}(t)},$$

where $\widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ is an $r \times r$ matrix

$$\widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{m,0} & \psi_{m,1} & \psi_{m,2} & \cdots & \psi_{m,r-1} \\ \varphi_{m,i_1} & \varphi_{m,0} & \varphi_{m,m+i_1-i_2} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,m+i_1-i_{r-1}} \\ \varphi_{m,i_2} & \varphi_{m,i_2-i_1} & \varphi_{m,0} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,m+i_2-i_{r-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}} & \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}-i_1} & \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}-i_2} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,0} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\psi_{m,j} = \varepsilon_{i_j+1} + \sum_{i \neq i_j} \varepsilon_{i+1} \varphi_{m,m+i-i_j}$$

and $\varphi_{m,j} = \varphi_{m,j}(t)$.

To get a similar result for odd r , it suffices to consider \mathcal{P} as a periodic sequence with a period of length $2m$.

Let us now consider the asymptotic behavior of the numbers $\sharp_{i,j}^{\mathcal{P}}$. It was observed without a proof in [Ar2, p. 18] that the even rows of the ordinary Entringer triangle approximate, after an appropriate normalization, the function $\sin x$ on the interval $[0, \pi/2]$, while the odd rows approximate $\cos x$. Exact statements with the first two correction terms can be found in [SY].

We generalize this result to arbitrary periodic de Bruijn triangles.

Theorem 2. *For any l , $0 \leq l \leq m-1$, one has*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{j}{m+n+1} \rightarrow t} \frac{\sharp_{mn+l,j}^{\mathcal{P}} \lambda^{mn+l}}{(mn+l-1)!} = c_{m,l} u_l^{\mathcal{P}}(t),$$

where $c_{m,l}$ is a constant depending only on m and l , and $u_l^{\mathcal{P}}$ is the normalized first eigenfunction of the two-point spectral problem

$$u^{(m)} = (-1)^r \lambda^m u$$

with m homogeneous boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(i)}(0) &= 0 & \text{if } p_{m+l-i-1} &= 1, \\ u^{(i)}(1) &= 0 & \text{if } p_{m+l-i-1} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where r is the number of zeros in the period p .

The first eigenvalue of the above spectral problem is the minimal absolute value among the solutions of the equation

$$\det M^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = 0,$$

and the eigenfunction $u_l^{\mathcal{P}}$ is normalized by the condition $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} u_l^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = 1$.

In particular, for the Entringer numbers $\sharp_{i,j}$ one gets the sine law of [SY]:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty, \frac{j}{2k+1} \rightarrow t} \frac{\sharp_{2k+1,j} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2k+1}}{(2k)!} &= c_{2,1} \cos \frac{\pi t}{2}, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty, \frac{j}{2k} \rightarrow t} \frac{\sharp_{2k,j} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2k}}{(2k-1)!} &= c_{2,0} \sin \frac{\pi t}{2}; \end{aligned}$$

it is shown in [SY] that $c_{2,0} = c_{2,1} = 2$.

The starting point of this research was the result by the first and the third author that the numbers $\sharp_{i,j}^{\mathcal{P}}$ for $\mathcal{P} = (1^2 0^2)^*$ arise naturally in counting real rational functions of a certain type, see [SV]. The authors are grateful to Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn for its hospitality in September 2000 and to the Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm for the financial support of the visit of A. V. to Stockholm in July-August 2001. Sincere thanks goes to R. Ehrenborg, A. Laptev, H. Shapiro, P. Yuditski and A. Volberg for useful discussions.

§2. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1. Define $\tilde{h}_{i,j}^{\mathcal{P}} = \varepsilon_i \tilde{h}_{i,j}^{\mathcal{P}}$. Since by (1.3), ε_i equals ε_{i-1} if $p_{i-1} = 1$ and $-\varepsilon_{i-1}$ otherwise, we immediately get that $\tilde{h}_{i,j}^{\mathcal{P}} = \tilde{h}_{i,j-1}^{\mathcal{P}} + \tilde{h}_{i-1,j-1}^{\mathcal{P}}$. In terms of the generating function $F^{\mathcal{P}}$ defined by (1.4) this relation translates to $F^{\mathcal{P}} = \partial F^{\mathcal{P}} / \partial y - \partial F^{\mathcal{P}} / \partial x$. The general solution of this equation is given by $F^{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = e^y f^{\mathcal{P}}(x + y)$, where $f^{\mathcal{P}}$ is a function of one variable to be defined from the boundary conditions. Let $F_L^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $F_R^{\mathcal{P}}$ be the restrictions of $F^{\mathcal{P}}$ to the left and the right side of the signed de Bruijn triangle. It follows from the above discussion that $F_L^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = f^{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ and $F_R^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = e^t f^{\mathcal{P}}(t)$. Evidently, there exist unique representations

$$f^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} t^i f_i^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m), \quad e^t f^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} t^i g_i^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m);$$

besides, $e^t = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varphi_{m,i}(t)$. Combining these expressions together we get

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} g_0^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \\ t g_1^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \\ t^2 g_2^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \\ \vdots \\ t^{m-1} g_{m-1}^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{m,0} & \varphi_{m,m-1} & \varphi_{m,m-2} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,1} \\ \varphi_{m,1} & \varphi_{m,0} & \varphi_{m,m-1} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,2} \\ \varphi_{m,2} & \varphi_{m,1} & \varphi_{m,0} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{m,m-1} & \varphi_{m,m-2} & \varphi_{m,m-3} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \\ t f_1^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \\ t^2 f_2^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \\ \vdots \\ t^{m-1} f_{m-1}^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\varphi_{m,i} = \varphi_{m,i}(t)$. Besides, relations $p_i = 0$ for $i = i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}$ imply $\tilde{h}_{km+i+1, km+i+1}^{\mathcal{P}} = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and hence $g_i^{\mathcal{P}} = 0$ for $i = i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}$. Similarly, $p_m = 0$ together with $\tilde{h}_{1,1}^{\mathcal{P}} = 1$ imply $g_0^{\mathcal{P}} = 1$, and $p_i = 1$ for $i \neq i_1, \dots, i_r$ imply $\tilde{h}_{km+i+1,1}^{\mathcal{P}} = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and hence $f_i^{\mathcal{P}} = 0$ for $i \neq 0, i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}$. Therefore (2.1) is reduced to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{m,0} & \varphi_{m,m-i_1} & \varphi_{m,m-i_2} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,m-i_{r-1}} \\ \varphi_{m,i_1} & \varphi_{m,0} & \varphi_{m,m+i_1-i_2} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,m+i_1-i_{r-1}} \\ \varphi_{m,i_2} & \varphi_{m,i_2-i_1} & \varphi_{m,0} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,m+i_2-i_{r-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}} & \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}-i_1} & \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}-i_2} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \\ t^{i_1} f_{i_1}^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \\ t^{i_2} f_{i_2}^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \\ \vdots \\ t^{i_{r-1}} f_{i_{r-1}}^{\mathcal{P}}(t^m) \end{pmatrix},$$

and the result follows. \square

The Seidel triangle $\{\gamma_{i,j}\}$ for signed Genocchi numbers presented in [DV] satisfies periodic boundary conditions $\gamma_{2k,2k} = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $\gamma_{2,2} = 1$ and $\gamma_{2k+1,1} + \gamma_{2k+1,2k+1} = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$. Thus we arrive to equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} -f_0(t^2) \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{2,0}(t) & \varphi_{2,1}(t) \\ \varphi_{2,1}(t) & \varphi_{2,0}(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_0(t^2) \\ t f_1(t^2) \end{pmatrix},$$

which mean that the generating function for this triangle is $2(x+y)e^y/(e^{x+y}+1)$.

In a slightly different way one can treat signed versions of Arnold's pairs of triangles $\{L(\beta), R(\beta)\}$, $\{L(b), R(b)\}$, and $\{L(d), R(d)\}$ involving Euler and Springer numbers (see [Ar2, Du2]). The first pair consists of triangles $\{\beta_{i,j}^L\}$ and $\{\beta_{i,j}^R\}$ satisfying periodic boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{2k+1,1}^L &= 0, & k &= 1, 2, \dots, & \beta_{1,1}^L &= 1, \\ \beta_{k,k}^L &= \beta_{k,1}^R, & k &= 0, 1, \dots, \\ \beta_{2k,2k}^R &= 0, & k &= 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Their signed versions obtained by multiplying the i th row by $(-1)^{(i-1)(i-2)/2}$ are Seidel triangles.

Similarly, the second pair consists of triangles $\{b_{i,j}^L\}$ and $\{b_{i,j}^R\}$ satisfying periodic boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2k+1,1}^L &= 0, & k &= 0, 1, \dots, \\ b_{k,k}^L &= b_{k,1}^R, & k &= 2, 3, \dots, & b_{1,1}^R &= 1, \\ b_{2k,2k}^R &= 0, & k &= 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

To get a pair of Seidel triangles one has to multiply the i th row by $(-1)^{i(i-1)/2}$.

Finally, the third pair consists of triangles $\{d_{i,j}^L\}$ and $\{d_{i,j}^R\}$ satisfying periodic boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2k,1}^L &= 0, & k &= 1, 2, \dots, \\ d_{k,k}^L &= d_{k,1}^R, & k &= 2, 3, \dots, \\ d_{2k+1,2k+1}^R &= 0, & k &= 1, 2, \dots, & d_{1,1}^R &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

To get a pair of Seidel triangles one has to multiply the i th row by $(-1)^{(i-1)(i-2)/2}$.

Let $f^\beta(t) = f_0^\beta(t^2) + t f_1^\beta(t^2)$ and $g^\beta(t) = g_0^\beta(t^2) + t g_1^\beta(t^2)$ be the restrictions of the generating functions for the signed versions of $L(\beta)$ and $R(\beta)$ to the left and right sides, respectively. Then we get the following equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_0^\beta(t^2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{2,0}(t) & \varphi_{2,1}(t) \\ \varphi_{2,1}(t) & \varphi_{2,0}(t) \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ t f_1^\beta(t^2) \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence the generating functions for the triangles $L(\beta)$ and $R(\beta)$ are

$$F_L^\beta(x, y) = \frac{e^{-2x-y}}{\cosh 2(x+y)}, \quad F_R^\beta(x, y) = \frac{e^{-x}}{\cosh 2(x+y)}.$$

For similar restrictions $f^b(t)$ and $g^b(t)$ one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_0^b(t^2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{2,0}(t) & \varphi_{2,1}(t) \\ \varphi_{2,1}(t) & \varphi_{2,0}(t) \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ t f_1^b(t^2) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t \\ t^{-1} \sinh t \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence the generating functions for the for the signed versions of triangles $L(b)$ and $R(b)$ are

$$F_L^b(x, y) = -\frac{e^y \sinh(x+y)}{\cosh 2(x+y)}, \quad F_R^b(x, y) = \frac{e^{-x} \cosh(x+y)}{\cosh 2(x+y)}.$$

Finally, for $f^d(t)$ and $g^d(t)$ one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ t g_1^d(t^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{2,0}(t) & \varphi_{2,1}(t) \\ \varphi_{2,1}(t) & \varphi_{2,0}(t) \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} f_0^d(t^2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t \\ \sinh t \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence the generating functions for the for the signed versions of triangles $L(d)$ and $R(d)$ are

$$F_L^d(x, y) = \frac{e^y(1 - \cosh(x+y))}{\cosh 2(x+y)}, \quad F_R^d(x, y) = \frac{e^{x+2y}(1 - \cosh(x+y))}{\cosh 2(x+y)}.$$

Proof of Corollary 1. It is enough to observe that for r even, the exponential generating function for $\sharp_n^{\mathcal{P}}$ is equal to $1 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \varepsilon_{i+1} t^i (f_i^{\mathcal{P}} + g_i^{\mathcal{P}})$, and to evaluate this sum according to the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider the space $PC[0, 1]$ of piecewise continuous functions on the segment $[0, 1]$ with the norm $\|f\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t)|$. Define two operators, S^0 and S^1 , taking $PC[0, 1]$ to itself:

$$S^0 f(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt, \quad S^1 f(x) = \int_x^1 f(t) dt.$$

It is easy to see that both S^0 and S^1 can be written as integral operators

$$S^i f(x) = \int_0^1 K^i(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

with the kernels

$$K^0(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \geq y, \\ 0, & x < y, \end{cases}$$

and $K^1(x, y) = 1 - K^0(x, y)$. Besides, consider two families of integral operators S_n^i , $i = 0, 1$, with the kernels $K_n^i(x, y)$ given by

$$K_n^0(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \lfloor (n+1)x \rfloor \geq \lfloor ny \rfloor, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $K_n^1(x, y) = 1 - K_n^0(x, y)$. It is easy to see that

$$(2.2) \quad \|S^i - S_n^i\| \leq \frac{c}{n}$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

Consider the operator $S^{\mathcal{P}} = S^{\bar{p}_m} S^{\bar{p}_{m-1}} \dots S^{\bar{p}_1}$. Evidently, $S^{\mathcal{P}}$ is compact, as a product of compact operators. To study the spectral properties of $S^{\mathcal{P}}$, we make use of the infinite-dimensional Perron-Frobenius theory, as presented in [KR].

Lemma 1. *For any $f \in PC[0, 1]$,*

$$(2.3) \quad S^{\mathcal{P}} f = \mu \psi(f) u^{\mathcal{P}} + Af,$$

where $\mu > 0$, ψ is a strictly positive functional, $S^{\mathcal{P}} u^{\mathcal{P}} = \mu u^{\mathcal{P}}$, $\|u^{\mathcal{P}}\| = 1$, $Au^{\mathcal{P}} = 0$, $\psi(Af) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n\|^{1/n} < \mu$.

Proof. Recall that we have assumed without loss of generality that $p_m = 0$. Let s be the largest index satisfying relations $p_{m+1-s'} = 0$ for $1 \leq s' \leq s$. Define a linear operator $R: PC[0, 1] \rightarrow PC[0, 1]$ by $Rf(x) = (1-x)^s f(x)$, and let \mathcal{R} be the image of $PC[0, 1]$ under R . We consider \mathcal{R} as a Banach space in the induced norm, that is, $\|f\|_{\mathcal{R}} = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \frac{f(x)}{(1-x)^s}$.

Let K be the cone of nonnegative functions in \mathcal{R} , that is, $f \in \mathcal{R}$ belongs to K if $f(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. Evidently, the closure of the linear span of K coincides with \mathcal{R} . We say that a linear operator $A: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is *strongly positive* if it preserves K and takes any boundary point of K to an inner point. It is easy to see that $f \in K$ is an inner point of K if $\liminf_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x)/(1-x)^s > 0$.

Consider the restriction of $S^{\mathcal{P}}$ to \mathcal{R} , which we denote by $S_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{P}}$. Evidently, $S_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is compact and strongly positive. Hence, by Theorem 6.3 of [KR], the maximal eigenvalue μ of $S_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is positive, the corresponding eigenspace is one-dimensional, and all the other eigenvalues lie strictly inside the circle of radius μ . Since $S^{\mathcal{P}}$ takes the whole $PC[0, 1]$ to \mathcal{R} , the same μ is the maximal eigenvalue of $S^{\mathcal{P}}$, and all the above properties of $S_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{P}}$ remain valid for $S^{\mathcal{P}}$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 6.3 in [KR] that (2.3) holds. \square

By differentiating equation $S^{\mathcal{P}} u^{\mathcal{P}} = \mu u^{\mathcal{P}}$ m times we see that $u^{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfies equation $\mu u^{(m)} = (-1)^r u$ with the boundary conditions $u^{(i)}(0) = 0$ if $p_{m-i} = 0$ and $u^{(i)}(1) = 0$ if $p_{m-i} = 1$. Put

$\lambda = \mu^{-1/m}$; then λ is the minimal positive solution of the equation $\det \widehat{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\xi t) = 0$ with $\xi^m = (-1)^r$ and

$$\widehat{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{m,0} & \varphi_{m,m-i_{r-1}} & \varphi_{m,m-i_{r-2}} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,m-i_1} \\ \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}} & \varphi_{m,0} & \varphi_{m,m+i_{r-1}-i_{r-2}} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,i_{r-1}-i_1} \\ \varphi_{m,i_{r-2}} & \varphi_{m,m+i_{r-2}-i_{r-1}} & \varphi_{m,0} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,i_{r-2}-i_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{m,i_1} & \varphi_{m,m+i_1-i_{r-1}} & \varphi_{m,m+i_1-i_{r-2}} & \cdots & \varphi_{m,0} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\varphi_{m,j} = \varphi_{m,j}(t)$. It is easy to see that $M^{\mathcal{P}}$ can be obtained from $\widehat{M}^{\mathcal{P}}$ by the transformation $(k, l) \mapsto (r+2-k, r+2-l)$, and hence λ is the minimum absolute value of the solutions of the equation $\det M^{\mathcal{P}}(t) = 0$.

Observe that the condition on the norms of $\|A^n\|$ in (2.3) means that there exists k such that $\|A^k\| < \mu^k$. Denote $\alpha = \frac{1}{\mu^k} \|A^k\| < 1$ and $T = (\frac{1}{\mu} S^{\mathcal{P}})^k$.

We are approximating the operator $S^{\mathcal{P}}$ with the help of operators $S_n^{\mathcal{P}}$ defined by

$$S_{n+1}^{\mathcal{P}} = S_{(n+1)m}^{\bar{p}_m} S_{(n+1)m-1}^{\bar{p}_{m-1}} \cdots S_{nm+1}^{\bar{p}_1}.$$

It follows immediately from (2.2) that

$$(2.4) \quad \|S^{\mathcal{P}} - S_n^{\mathcal{P}}\| \leq \frac{c'}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

Finally, to approximate T define operators $T_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\mu^k} S_{(n+1)k}^{\mathcal{P}} S_{(n+1)k-1}^{\mathcal{P}} \cdots S_{kn+1}^{\mathcal{P}}$; it follows from the above inequality that

$$\|T_n - T\| \leq \frac{c''}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

For any function f define a sequence $\{f_n\}$ by $f_n = T_n f_{n-1}$ with $f_0 = f$.

Lemma 2. *Let $\{\|f_n\|\}$ be bounded, then the sequence $f_n = f_n / \|f_n\|$ converges to $u^{\mathcal{P}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. By (2.3), each of f_i can be uniquely represented as $f_i = f_i^u + f_i^A$, where f_i^u is a multiple of $u^{\mathcal{P}}$ and f_i^A belongs to the maximal subspace invariant under A and not containing $u^{\mathcal{P}}$. Therefore,

$$f_n = T_n f_{n-1} = (T + (T_n - T))(f_{n-1}^u + f_{n-1}^A) = f_{n-1}^u + A^k f_{n-1}^A + (T_n - T)(f_{n-1}^u + f_{n-1}^A),$$

which together with $\|u^{\mathcal{P}}\| = 1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n^u\| &\geq \|f_{n-1}^u\| - \|(T_n - T)f_{n-1}\|, \\ \|f_n^A\| &\leq \|A^k f_{n-1}^A\| + \|f_{n-1}^u\| \cdot \|(T_n - T)u^{\mathcal{P}}\| + \|(T_n - T)f_{n-1}^A\|. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\{\|f_n\|\}$ is bounded, and hence

$$\|f_n^u\| \geq \|f_{n-1}^u\| - \left(\frac{c'''}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right) \geq \beta \|f_{n-1}^u\|,$$

where $\beta < 1$ can be chosen arbitrary close to 1 for n big enough. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n = \frac{\|f_n^A\|}{\|f_n^u\|} &\leq \frac{\|f_{n-1}^u\|}{\beta \|f_{n-1}^u\|} \left(\frac{c''}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right) + \frac{\|f_{n-1}^A\|}{\beta \|f_{n-1}^u\|} \left(\alpha + \frac{c''}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right) \leq \\ &\frac{c''}{\beta n} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{c''}{\beta n}\right) \delta_{n-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, either $\delta_{n-1} \leq n^{-1/2}$, or $\delta_{n-1} > n^{-1/2}$ and

$$\delta_n \leq \left(\frac{c''}{\beta \sqrt{n}} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{c''}{\beta n}\right) \delta_{n-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \leq \alpha' \delta_{n-1}$$

for some constant $\alpha' < 1$. In any case $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and hence the sequence $\{f_n/\|f_n^u\|\}$ converges to a multiple of $u^{\mathcal{P}}$, which implies the convergence of $\{f_n/\|f_n\|\}$ to $u^{\mathcal{P}}$. \square

For an arbitrary finite sequence $a = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ define a piecewise constant function $\hat{a} \in PC[0, 1]$ whose value equals a_i on the interval $[\frac{i-1}{k}, \frac{i}{k}]$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and a_k on $[\frac{k-1}{k}, 1]$. Let $\#_k = \{\#_{k,1}, \dots, \#_{k,k}\}$. It is easy to see that $\hat{\#}_{k+1} = kS_k^{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\#}_k$, which means that

$$(2.5) \quad S_{n+1}^{\mathcal{P}}\hat{\#}_{mn+1} = \frac{(mn)!}{(m(n+1))!}\hat{\#}_{m(n+1)+1}.$$

Observe that the sequence of functions $g_n = \frac{\hat{\#}_{mn+1}\lambda^{mn+1}}{(mn)!}$ is bounded. Indeed, $\|\hat{\#}_{mn+1}\| = \#_{mn+1,1}$. The exponential generating function for the numbers $\#_{mn+1,1}$ is calculated in Corollary 1. Since the numerator of the corresponding expression is a polynomial in Olivier functions, which converge in the whole plain, the numbers $\frac{\hat{\#}_{mn+1,1}}{(mn)!}$ grow asymptotically as $\frac{\gamma}{\lambda^{m+1}}$, hence for n big enough one has $\frac{\hat{\#}_{mn+1,1}\lambda^{mn+1}}{(mn)!} < \gamma'$, and therefore the sequence $\{g_n\}$ is bounded. Moreover, (2.5) can be rewritten as $\lambda^m S_{n+1}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{mn+1} = g_{m(n+1)+1}$. Therefore, Lemma 2 applies, and $g_{nm+1} \rightarrow u^{\mathcal{P}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Combining the above results we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{j}{m+1} \rightarrow t} \frac{\hat{\#}_{mn+1,j}^{\mathcal{P}}\lambda^{mn+1}}{(mn)!} = c_{m,1}u_1^{\mathcal{P}}(t),$$

with $c_{m,1} = \gamma'$, and hence Theorem 2 is proved for $l = 1$.

To get the proof for the other values of l one has to consider, instead of $S^{\mathcal{P}}$, a different operator: $S^{\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{m+l-1}}S^{\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{m+l-2}} \dots S^{\bar{\mathcal{P}}_1}$. Its properties are identical to those of $S^{\mathcal{P}}$; to prove this one has to use operators R and L : $f(x) \mapsto x^s f(x)$, depending on the value of p_{m+l-1} . \square

REFERENCES

- [An1] D. André, *Développements de sec x et de tan x*, C.R. Heb. **88** (1879), 965–967.
- [An2] D. André, *Sur les permutations alternées*, J. Math. Pures Appl **7** (1881), 167–184.
- [An3] D. André, *Probabilité pour qu'une permutation donnée de n lettres soit une permutation alternée*, C.R. Heb. **97** (1883), 983–984.
- [Ar1] V. Arnold, *Bernoulli–Euler updown numbers associated with functions singularities, their combinatorics and arithmetics*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 537–555.
- [Ar2] V. Arnold, *The calculus of snakes and the combinatorics of Bernoulli, Euler and Springer numbers of Coxeter groups*, Russ. Math. Surv. **47** (1992), 1–51.
- [BW] A. Björner and M. Wachs, *Generalized quotients in Coxeter groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **308** (1988), 1–37.
- [Ca1] L. Carlitz, *Permutations with prescribed pattern*, Math. Nachr. **58** (1973), 31–53.
- [Ca2] L. Carlitz, *Generating functions for a special class of permutations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1975), 251–256.
- [CS1] L. Carlitz and R. Scoville, *Enumeration of rises and falls by position*, Discr. Math. **5** (1973), 45–59.
- [CS2] L. Carlitz and R. Scoville, *Generating functions for certain types of permutations*, J. Comb. Theory A **18** (1975), 262–275.
- [CGJN] C. Collins, I. Goulden, D. Jackson, and O. Nierstrasz, *A combinatorial application of matrix Riccati equations and their q-analogue*, Discr. Math. **36** (1981), 139–153.
- [dB] N. de Bruijn, *Permutations with given ups and downs*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. **18** (1970), 61–65.
- [Du1] D. Dumont, *Matrices d'Euler–Seidel*, Sem. Lothar. Comb. **5** (1981), B05c.
- [Du2] D. Dumont, *Further triangles of Seidel–Arnold type and continued fractions related to Euler and Springer numbers*, Adv. Appl. Math. **16** (1995), 275–296.
- [DV] D. Dumont and G. Viennot, *A combinatorial interpretation of the Seidel generation of Genocchi numbers*, Ann. Discr. Math. **6** (1980), 77–87.
- [En] R. Entinger, *A combinatorial interpretation of the Euler and Bernoulli numbers*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. **14** (1966), 241–246.
- [Fo] H. Foulkes, *Enumeration of permutations with prescribed up-down and inversion sequences*, Discr. Math. **15** (1976), 235–252.
- [KR] M. Krein and M. Rutman, *Linear operators leaving invariant a cone in a Banach space*, Uspehi Matem. Nauk **3** (1948), 3–95.

- [MM] P. MacMahon, *Second memoir on the compositions of numbers*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London (A) **207** (1908), 65–134.
- [Ni] I. Niven, *A combinatorial problem of finite sequences*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. **16** (1968), 116–123.
- [Se] L. Seidel, *Über eine einfache Entstehungsweise der Bernoullischen Zahlen und einiger verwandten Reihen*, Sitzungsber. Münch. Akad. **4** (1877), 157–187.
- [Sp] T. Springer, *Remarks on a combinatorial problem*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. **19** (1971), 30–36.
- [St1] R. Stanley, *Binomial posets, Möbius inversion, and permutation enumeration*, J. Comb. Theory A **20** (1976), 336–356.
- [St2] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. I.*, Wadsworths and Brooks, Monterey, CA, 1986.
- [SY] G. Simons and Y-C. Yao, *Asymptotic Sine laws arising from alternating random permutations and sequences*, Random Structures and Algorithms **8** (1996), 75–95.
- [SV] B. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein, *Counting real rational functions with all real critical values*, in preparation.
- [Vi] G. Viennot, *Permutations ayant une forme donnee*, Discrete Math **26** (1979), 279–284.