



## Soya lecithin and season affect the productive performance, nutrient digestibility and blood constituents of growing rabbits

Y.A. Attia<sup>1,2</sup>, A.E. Abd El-Hamid<sup>2</sup>, M.C. de Oliveira<sup>3,5</sup>, K.I. Kamel<sup>4</sup>, E.M. Qota<sup>4</sup>,  
M.A. Al-Harhi<sup>1</sup> and T.A. Sadaka<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> King Abdulaziz University, Faculty of Meteorology, Environment and Arid Land Agriculture, Arid Land Agriculture Department, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup> Damanhour University, Faculty of Agriculture, Animal and Poultry Production Department, Damanhour 22516, Egypt

<sup>3</sup> University of Rio Verde, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Rio Verde, 75.909-429, Brazil

<sup>4</sup> Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Alexandria 21917, Egypt

**KEY WORDS:** feed supplements, nutrition physiology, rabbit finishing, soyabean by-products

Received: 23 April 2017

Revised: 6 August 2017

Accepted: 15 January 2018

**ABSTRACT.** This study was performed to determine the effect of different levels of soya lecithin (SL) added to the diets for growing V-line rabbits in the winter and summer seasons. One hundred sixty 35-day-old rabbits were used in two experiments, one was conducted in the winter and the other in the summer (each for 49 days). Animals were randomly assigned to 4 experimental groups and fed diet supplemented with 0, 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5% of SL. The addition of SL to the diet improved weight gain, feed intake and feed conversion ratio in both seasons. Body weight gain and carcass traits were improved by the inclusion of SL in the summer ( $P < 0.05$ ). Dietary SL increased ( $P < 0.05$ ) ether extract apparent faecal digestibility, levels of triglycerides, total lipids, phospholipids, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), and the enzymatic activity of acid phosphatase, whereas decreased ( $P < 0.05$ ) the levels of total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), and the activities of aspartate amino transferase and alanine amino transferase in the blood. In the winter, lower nutrient digestibility coefficients and lower relative weights of the heart and spleen were found. In the summer season the elevated ( $P < 0.05$ ) blood levels of glucose, HDL and LDL were observed in comparison to the winter season. Supplementation of feed with 1% or 1.5% SL improved rabbit growth performance, fat digestibility and HDL blood level in both seasons. So, the soya lecithin can be a useful feed additive also in the heat stress conditions.

<sup>5</sup> Corresponding author:  
e-mail: mcorv@yahoo.com.br

### Introduction

In the summer in Egypt and in many other countries, the rabbit production declines pronouncedly causing a drop in productive and economic benefits. Animal performance may be influenced by the heat stress and consequently the depression of feed intake (Marai et al., 2005).

It is known that the higher temperature, the smaller number of solid meals eaten and the more water drunk (Gidenne et al., 2010). In the study of Zeferino et al. (2011) on straightbred and crossbred Botucatu rabbits maintained at 30 °C from 5 to 10 weeks of age, the feed intake decreased by 21% and growth rate by 18% in comparison to the animals kept at 18 °C. Moreover, high temperature

in the summer season is the most important factor that influences the post-weaning mortality. According to Habeeb et al. (1997) and Shehata et al. (1998), mortality rate may be even 18% during the summer.

The mean temperature in Egypt is about 34 and 22 °C in the summer and winter, respectively. In the summer, the temperature much higher than the comfort temperature for rabbits (18–21 °C) causes a heat stress condition. In order to improve the productive and physiological performance of rabbits during the summer season in Egypt, several feed supplements have been studied (Attia et al., 2011). Among them is soya lecithin (SL) – a mixture of natural phospholipids, phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylethanolamine and phosphatidylinositol. On average PC, phosphatidylethanolamine and inositol phosphatides in SL constitute 19–21%, 8–20% and 20–21%, respectively (Scholfield, 1981), and phosphatidylserine 0.2–6.3% (Liu and Ma, 2011).

SL improves the productive and reproductive performance of laying hens and rabbit bucks and is a good source of unsaturated fatty acids, antioxidants and energy (Attia et al., 2009; Attia and Kamel, 2012). PC from biliary sources increased lymphatic triglyceride absorption in Wistar rats which might be connected with the packaging and secretion of chylomicrons (Nishimukai et al., 2003).

In Egypt rabbit breeding season normally begins in October and ends in April with the oncoming summer season. Heat stress occurs in these animals when they are not able to regulate their heat homeostasis passively, and the reduction in white and red blood cells counts and the increase in blood cholesterol content may be observed (Ondruska et al., 2011).

Feed additive supplements, such as SL, can improve nutrient utilization, blood parameters and productive performance during the summer season due to its antioxidant properties. Thus, the aim of the study was to determine the effect of different levels of SL in the diet during the winter and summer season on growth performance, ability to digest nutrients and blood parameters of V-line growing rabbits raised from 35 to 84 days of age.

## Material and methods

The present study was carried out at El-Sabahia Poultry Research Station, Alexandria Governorate, Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Centre (Egypt). The experiment design was approved by the Animal Production Research Institute Scientific and Ethics Committee (Protocol No. 04-05-03-37).

## Experimental design and diets

In total, 160 35-day-old V-line growing rabbits with the average initial body weight of  $748 \pm 148$  g were used in two experiments, with 40 males and 40 females in each. The experiments were run from January to February (winter) and from July to August (summer), each for 49 days. The rabbits were randomly assigned to 4 experimental groups with 20 animals per group, in each season. Animals were housed separately in galvanized wire cages (dimensions 50×45×40 cm) and fed 4 different diets: control (without SL addition) and experimental with 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5% SL inclusion levels to pelleted feed. Animals had *ad libitum* access to pelleted feed and water throughout the experiment (from 35 to 84 days of age).

The determination of the SL fatty acid profile in the diets (Table 1) was performed with the use of Shimadzu Gas Chromatograph GC-4CM (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) with a field-effect mobility (pFE) connected to a glass column (3 m × 3.1 mm ID; cat no. 221-14368-31, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) packed with 5% diethylene glycol succinate (DEGS) and equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) according to Radwan (1978). Fat was extracted prior to GC analysis according to Folch et al. (1957).

## Measurements

Rabbits were weighed at day 35 (initial body weight) and 84 of age (final body weight) and the body weight gain (BWG) was calculated. The amount of consumed feed was also monitored to determine the feed intake (FI) and the feed conversion ratio (FCR). Survival rate was also determined.

At day 65 of age, five male rabbits from each treatment were moved to metabolic cages to estimate the apparent digestibility. The animals were housed individually for 5 days of adaptation and 5 days of collection period. During the collection period, daily faeces were collected and the amount of consumed feed was measured. The collected faeces were collected, and faecal samples were dried in the oven at 60 °C for 72 h, finely ground, and kept under room temperature until further chemical analysis.

Samples of commercial pelleted feed and faeces were analysed for dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), crude fibre (CF) and ash content according to the AOAC (2007) methods. Organic matter (OM) and nitrogen-free extract (NFE) were also determined. The nutrients digestibility coefficient was calculated using balance method.

**Table 1.** Ingredients and chemical composition of diets fed to V-line rabbits

Indices	Dietary soyabean lecithin, g · kg <sup>-1</sup>			
	0	5	10	15
<b>Ingredients</b>				
clover hay	400	400	400	400
yellow maize	100	87	70	55
barley	130	140	140	140
wheat bran	150	163	180	200
soyabean meal	175	170	170	165
soyabean lecithin	0	5	10	15
molasses	30	20	15	10
dicalcium phosphate	8	8	8	8
sodium chloride	3	3	3	3
vitamin and mineral premix <sup>1</sup>	3	3	3	3
DL-methionine	1	1	1	1
<b>Analysed and calculated composition, g · kg<sup>-1</sup></b>				
dry matter <sup>2</sup>	897	898	897	898
crude protein <sup>2</sup>	172	172	174	175
crude fibre <sup>3</sup>	143	144	145	147
ether extract <sup>2</sup>	34	39	44	49
nitrogen free extract <sup>3</sup>	560	553	544	545
digestible energy <sup>3</sup> , MJ · kg <sup>-1</sup>	11.69	11.62	11.53	11.42
Ca <sup>3</sup>	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0
available P <sup>3</sup>	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
total methionine <sup>3</sup>	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
total sulphur amino acid <sup>3</sup>	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8
total lysine <sup>3</sup>	9.3	9.3	9.3	9.3
<b>Analysed fatty acid composition, g · kg<sup>-1</sup> of total fatty acids</b>				
capric acid (C10:0) <sup>4</sup>	62.7	36.1	70.7	46.2
lauric acid (C12:0) <sup>4</sup>	61.0	51.0	3.60	4.70
myristic acid (C14:0) <sup>4</sup>	47.8	10.4	47.1	56.6
palmitic acid (C16:0) <sup>4</sup>	224.8	191.8	130.6	95.9
palmitoleic acid (C16:1n-7) <sup>4</sup>	0.00	25.9	30.2	34.2
stearic acid (C18:0) <sup>4</sup>	81.7	42.5	35.2	28.9
oleic acid (C18:1n-9) <sup>4</sup>	300.1	291.2	263.5	246.5
linoleic acid (C18:2n-6) <sup>4</sup>	180.1	283.2	388.7	458.2
arachidic acid (C20:0) <sup>4</sup>	41.8	67.9	30.4	28.9
saturated fatty acid (SFA)	519.9	399.7	317.6	260.8
unsaturated fatty acid (UFA)	480.1	600.3	682.4	738.9
polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA)	180.1	283.2	388.7	458.2
monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA)	300.1	317.1	293.7	280.7
SFA:UFA	1.08	0.67	4.70	3.50
MUFA:UFA	0.62	0.53	0.43	0.38
MUFA:PUFA	1.67	1.12	0.76	0.61
PUFA:UFA	0.38	0.47	0.57	0.62

<sup>1</sup> per kg: IU: vit A 6000, vit D<sub>3</sub> 450; mg: vit E 40, vit K<sub>3</sub> 1, vit B<sub>1</sub> 1, vit B<sub>2</sub> 3, vit B<sub>3</sub> 180, vit B<sub>6</sub> 39, vit B<sub>12</sub> 2.5, pantothenic acid 10; biotin 10, folic acid 2.5, choline chloride 1200, Mg 15, Zn 35, Fe 38, Cu 5, Co 0.1, I 0.2 and Se 0.05; <sup>2</sup> analysed values according to AOAC International (2007) methods (dry matter – 925.09; crude protein – 999.04D; ether extract – 55-1976; ash – 923.03); <sup>3</sup> calculated values according to Sauvant et al. (2004), Gaafar et al. (2010) and Khalel et al. (2014); <sup>4</sup> analysed values according to Radwan et al. (1978)

Before slaughter on the last day of experiment (84 day of rabbit age) blood samples (5 ml) were collected from 6 rabbits (randomly chosen 3 females and

3 males) per treatment per season. Blood was taken from the marginal ear vein under vacuum into the heparinized tubes. Plasma samples were obtained by centrifugation of the blood at 1750 g for 20 min, and stored at –20 °C until analysis of the biochemical parameters.

The plasma levels of glucose, total protein, albumin, urea, creatinine, total lipids, phospholipids, triglycerides, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), and the activities of the acid phosphatase (ACP), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) were determined using commercial kits produced by Diamond Diagnostics Company (Giza, Egypt). Globulin was calculated by determining the difference between total protein and albumin.

At the end of experiment rabbits were fasted with free water supply for 18 h before slaughter by Islamic method (sharp knife is used to cut the windpipe (throat), food-tract (oesophagus) and the two jugular veins) (Attia et al., 2016). The dressing percentages of carcass with head and proportions of the organs were determined according to Blasco and Ouhayoun (1993).

## Statistical analyses

The data were analysed by a two-way ANOVA using the software SISVAR<sup>®</sup> (Ferreira, 2011) that considered the main effects of SL and season, and their interactions. Tukey's test was used to detect significant differences among the groups means.

## Results

### Climate conditions

The range from minimal to maximal and average environmental temperature and relative humidity were 16.6–29.6 °C, 22.3 °C, 39.6–78.8% and 57.4% in the winter and 25.3–38.4 °C, 32.0 °C, 31.3–78.9% and 54% in the summer. Since the thermoneutral zone for rabbits is from 18 to 21 °C (Habeeb et al., 1998) the average temperatures in the summer and winter indicated that pronounced heat stress conditions were more often observed in the summer (average temperature 11 °C higher than neutral one for rabbits).

### Nutrient utilization

In animals fed diets with 1.0 and 1.5% addition of SL the EE apparent digestibility increased ( $P < 0.05$ ) progressively without significant effect on the other nutrients. The coefficients of apparent

**Table 2.** Effect of soya lecithin (SL) supplementation and season on nutrient apparent digestibility coefficients and ash retention in V-line rabbits between 65 and 70 day of age

Treatments	DM	OM	CP	EE	CF	Ash	NFE
Effect of SL supplementation, %							
0.0	79	60	63	56 <sup>c</sup>	58	56	60
0.5	79	60	64	61 <sup>bc</sup>	59	56	60
1.0	79	60	64	66 <sup>ab</sup>	58	56	61
1.5	79	61	64	68 <sup>a</sup>	59	56	61
Effect of the season							
winter	79	57 <sup>b</sup>	60 <sup>b</sup>	50 <sup>b</sup>	54 <sup>b</sup>	52 <sup>b</sup>	58 <sup>b</sup>
summer	79	63 <sup>a</sup>	67 <sup>a</sup>	66 <sup>a</sup>	63 <sup>a</sup>	60 <sup>a</sup>	62 <sup>a</sup>
SEM	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
<i>P</i> -values							
SL	0.907	0.983	0.851	0.001	0.852	0.985	0.926
season	0.585	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
SL × season	0.768	0.917	0.924	0.922	0.974	0.991	0.929

n = 5 per treatment per season; DM – dry matter; OM – organic matter; CP – crude protein; EE – ether extract; CF – crude fibre; NFE – nitrogen-free extract; <sup>abc</sup> – means with different superscripts within each column are significantly different at *P* < 0.05

digestibility of CP, EE, CF, NFE, OM, and ash were lower during the winter than in the summer season. There was no effect (*P* > 0.05) of the SL supplementation × season interactions on nutrient digestibility (Table 2).

### Growth performance

Survival rate was not affected (*P* > 0.05) by the treatments. The SL × season interaction affected (*P* < 0.05) FBW, BWG, FI and FCR. The FI of rabbits fed control diet was the same in both seasons,

but the FCR was lower and FBW and BWG were higher in the winter. When SL was added to the diets, FBW and BWG were improved and FI was reduced and FCR was improved in both seasons, however, the values of FBW, BWG and FI were higher and FCR was better during the winter (Table 3).

### Carcass traits and inner organs

In winter in rabbits fed diets supplemented with 1.5% of SL the relative heart weight was reduced, whereas in control rabbits relative spleen weight

**Table 3.** Effect of soya lecithin (SL) supplementation and season on productive performance<sup>1</sup> and carcass traits<sup>2</sup> (%) of V-line rabbits at 84 day of age (the end of experiment)

Treatments	FBW, g	BWG, g · d <sup>-1</sup>	FI	FCR, g feed · g <sup>-1</sup> BWG	SR, %	Carcass	Liver	Heart	Kidney	Spleen
SL supplementation, %										
0.0	1588 <sup>c</sup>	18.69 <sup>b</sup>	98.84 <sup>a</sup>	5.28 <sup>a</sup>	93.75	48.87 <sup>b</sup>	3.44 <sup>a</sup>	0.32 <sup>a</sup>	0.71	0.06 <sup>c</sup>
0.5	1659 <sup>b</sup>	19.61 <sup>b</sup>	89.45 <sup>b</sup>	4.56 <sup>b</sup>	96.25	51.81 <sup>a</sup>	3.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.65	0.07 <sup>ab</sup>
1.0	1820 <sup>a</sup>	21.73 <sup>a</sup>	89.67 <sup>b</sup>	4.12 <sup>c</sup>	97.50	53.64 <sup>a</sup>	3.43 <sup>a</sup>	0.31 <sup>a</sup>	0.66	0.08 <sup>a</sup>
1.5	1792 <sup>a</sup>	21.33 <sup>a</sup>	90.22 <sup>b</sup>	4.23 <sup>c</sup>	100.00	51.87 <sup>a</sup>	3.41 <sup>a</sup>	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.67	0.07 <sup>ab</sup>
Season										
winter	1720	24.90 <sup>a</sup>	95.20 <sup>a</sup>	3.83 <sup>b</sup>	98.12	50.60	3.86 <sup>a</sup>	0.28 <sup>b</sup>	0.68	0.05 <sup>b</sup>
summer	1704	18.06 <sup>b</sup>	90.47 <sup>b</sup>	5.00 <sup>a</sup>	95.62	52.02	3.09 <sup>b</sup>	0.32 <sup>a</sup>	0.67	0.08 <sup>a</sup>
Effect of the SL supplementation (%) × season interaction										
0.0 × winter	1639 <sup>c</sup>	22.76 <sup>c</sup>	99.16 <sup>a</sup>	4.36 <sup>c</sup>	95.00	45.30 <sup>c</sup>	3.63 <sup>bc</sup>	0.33	0.68 <sup>ab</sup>	0.04
0.5 × winter	1638 <sup>c</sup>	25.35 <sup>b</sup>	94.14 <sup>b</sup>	3.71 <sup>d</sup>	97.50	53.43 <sup>b</sup>	3.25 <sup>c</sup>	0.29	0.67 <sup>ab</sup>	0.05
1.0 × winter	1814 <sup>ab</sup>	26.10 <sup>a</sup>	92.86 <sup>b</sup>	3.55 <sup>d</sup>	100.00	51.01 <sup>b</sup>	4.50 <sup>a</sup>	0.26	0.75 <sup>a</sup>	0.06
1.5 × winter	1789 <sup>b</sup>	25.43 <sup>ab</sup>	94.67 <sup>b</sup>	3.72 <sup>d</sup>	100.00	52.67 <sup>b</sup>	4.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.25	0.65 <sup>b</sup>	0.05
0.0 × summer	1486 <sup>d</sup>	16.65 <sup>d</sup>	98.65 <sup>a</sup>	5.92 <sup>a</sup>	92.50	50.65 <sup>b</sup>	3.34 <sup>c</sup>	0.31	0.73 <sup>a</sup>	0.07
0.5 × summer	1698 <sup>b</sup>	16.76 <sup>d</sup>	87.10 <sup>c</sup>	5.19 <sup>b</sup>	95.00	50.99 <sup>b</sup>	3.03 <sup>cd</sup>	0.34	0.65 <sup>b</sup>	0.08
1.0 × summer	1909 <sup>a</sup>	19.57 <sup>c</sup>	88.08 <sup>c</sup>	4.50 <sup>c</sup>	95.00	54.96 <sup>a</sup>	2.90 <sup>d</sup>	0.34	0.62 <sup>b</sup>	0.08
1.5 × summer	1805 <sup>a</sup>	19.29 <sup>c</sup>	88.00 <sup>c</sup>	4.56 <sup>c</sup>	100.00	51.46 <sup>b</sup>	3.09 <sup>cd</sup>	0.28	0.68 <sup>ab</sup>	0.07
SEM	15.35	0.01	0.86	0.09	2.33	0.77	0.14	0.02	0.02	0.004
<i>P</i> -values										
SL	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.317	0.001	0.037	0.028	0.072	0.001
season	0.314	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.295	0.081	0.001	0.013	0.317	0.001
SL × season	0.001	0.008	0.045	0.015	0.901	0.002	0.001	0.099	0.001	0.127

<sup>1</sup> n = 20 per treatment per season; <sup>2</sup> n = 6 per treatment per season; FBW – final body weight; BWG – body weight gain; FI – feed intake; FCR – feed conversion ratio; SR – survival rate; <sup>a-d</sup> – means with different superscripts within each column are significantly different at *P* < 0.05

was lower. In the summer higher relative weights of the heart and spleen were observed (Table 3).

SL supplementation  $\times$  season interaction influenced ( $P < 0.05$ ) the carcass yield, and liver and kidney relative weights. In the winter, SL supplementation increased the carcass yield regardless of dose and liver relative weight but only when 1 and 1.5% of SL was added. The relative weight of kidney was higher in group supplemented with 1.5% SL in comparison to group with 1% SL but both these groups did not differ from control group. In the summer, SL at 1% increased the carcass yield, but reduced the liver and kidney relative weights in comparison to the control diet (Table 3).

### Blood parameters

SL inclusion at 1 and/or 1.5% resulted in higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) levels of triglycerides, total lipids, phospholipids, and HDL and decreased ( $P < 0.05$ ) levels of total cholesterol and LDL, and the LDL:HDL ratio in the blood. Higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) levels of glucose, HDL:LDL, and LDL:HDL ratios were found in the summer than in the winter (Table 4). The SL supplementation also reduced ( $P < 0.05$ ) the blood urea level by 7.8% when added at 1.5%. The enzymatic activities of AST and ALT were lower ( $P < 0.05$ ) in all supplemented groups and the activities of ACP and LDH were increased ( $P < 0.05$ ) when SL was added in the amount of 1 and 1.5% (Table 5).

**Table 4.** Effect of soya lecithin (SL) supplementation and season on blood biochemical profile of V-Line rabbits at 84 day of age

Treatments	Glucose mg · dl <sup>-1</sup>	TG	TP g · dl <sup>-1</sup>	Alb	Glb	TL mg · dl <sup>-1</sup>	PL	TCH	HDL	LDL	LDL : HDL
SL supplementation, %											
0.0	111	39.59 <sup>c</sup>	6.68	3.25	3.42	457 <sup>c</sup>	185 <sup>b</sup>	128 <sup>a</sup>	43.91 <sup>c</sup>	62.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.229 <sup>a</sup>
0.5	111	46.09 <sup>b</sup>	6.55	3.22	3.33	496 <sup>b</sup>	200 <sup>ab</sup>	121 <sup>b</sup>	50.33 <sup>b</sup>	57.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.200 <sup>b</sup>
1.0	109	49.20 <sup>a</sup>	6.65	3.26	3.38	512 <sup>b</sup>	214 <sup>ab</sup>	116 <sup>c</sup>	57.83 <sup>a</sup>	53.29 <sup>c</sup>	0.167 <sup>b</sup>
1.5	112	50.87 <sup>a</sup>	6.70	3.27	3.43	537 <sup>a</sup>	228 <sup>a</sup>	117 <sup>c</sup>	57.12 <sup>a</sup>	53.16 <sup>c</sup>	0.154 <sup>b</sup>
Season											
winter	109 <sup>b</sup>	46.17	6.61	3.27	3.33	500	208	120	50.70 <sup>b</sup>	54.66 <sup>b</sup>	0.178 <sup>b</sup>
summer	113 <sup>a</sup>	46.70	6.69	3.23	3.45	501	206	121	53.89 <sup>a</sup>	58.47 <sup>a</sup>	0.197 <sup>a</sup>
SEM	3	1.25	0.08	0.05	0.11	11	12	2	1.91	1.56	0.01
P-values											
SL	0.749	0.001	0.331	0.829	0.802	0.001	0.008	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
season	0.027	0.547	0.199	0.263	0.136	0.931	0.821	0.211	0.002	0.001	0.027
SL $\times$ season	0.069	0.963	0.613	0.671	0.855	0.768	0.899	0.795	0.618	0.926	0.930

n = 6 per treatment per season; TG – triglycerides; TP – total proteins; Alb – albumin; Glb – globulin; TL – total lipids; PL – phospholipids; TCH – cholesterol; HDL – high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL – low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; <sup>abc</sup> – means with different superscripts within each column are significantly different at  $P < 0.05$

**Table 5.** Effect of soya lecithin (SL) supplementation and season on renal and hepatic functions in V-Line rabbits at 84 day of age

Treatments	Urea mg · dl <sup>-1</sup>	Creatinine	Urea : Creatinine	ALP U · l <sup>-1</sup>	ACP	AST	ALT	AST : ALT	LDH U · l <sup>-1</sup>
SL supplementation, %									
0.0	45.91 <sup>a</sup>	1.32	34.75	176	48.20 <sup>c</sup>	53.75 <sup>a</sup>	29.75 <sup>a</sup>	1.81	279 <sup>b</sup>
0.5	44.17 <sup>ab</sup>	1.29	34.21	170	51.25 <sup>b</sup>	48.00 <sup>b</sup>	26.41 <sup>b</sup>	1.84	290 <sup>b</sup>
1.0	44.37 <sup>ab</sup>	1.30	33.98	169	53.83 <sup>ab</sup>	46.53 <sup>b</sup>	23.91 <sup>c</sup>	1.95	320 <sup>a</sup>
1.5	42.33 <sup>b</sup>	1.29	32.67	175	55.08 <sup>a</sup>	44.75 <sup>b</sup>	22.58 <sup>c</sup>	1.99	349 <sup>a</sup>
Season									
winter	44.56	1.31	33.91	172	52.10	48.20	25.33	1.92	313
summer	43.83	1.29	33.90	173	52.08	48.33	26.00	1.88	306
SEM	1.07	0.02	1.04	4	1.32	1.68	1.11	0.11	14
P-values									
SL	0.018	0.497	0.250	0.175	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.274	0.001
season	0.342	0.236	0.993	0.741	0.982	0.917	0.402	0.568	0.517
SL $\times$ season	0.585	0.802	0.438	0.368	0.888	0.916	0.920	0.973	0.977

n = 6 per treatment per season; ALP – alkaline phosphatase; ACP – acid phosphatase; AST – aspartate aminotransferase; ALT – alanine aminotransferase; LDH – lactate dehydrogenase; <sup>abc</sup> – means with different superscripts within each column are significantly different at  $P < 0.05$

## Discussion

SL as an emulsifier may promote the incorporation of fatty acids in micelles, which increases fat absorption in the intestine and could explain the better EE digestibility. In animals fed diet with 1.5% SL PUFA content was increased up to 154% in comparison to the control group, and so confirms the fact that digestibility of dietary fats is influenced by the fatty acid profile with a positive relationship between degree of unsaturation of fats and their digestibility (Maertens et al., 1986). The higher digestibility coefficients of most nutrients during the summer season resulted from the high ambient temperature that causes lower feed intake and decreased digesta passage rate (Arca et al., 1999), and so extend the time of nutrient digestion and absorption. In addition, heat stress may lead to an increase in the activity of the amylase (Routman et al., 2003) and lipase (Hao et al., 2012), which could increase NFE and EE digestibility. However, the values of nutrient digestibility observed herein are lower than those reported by Ondruška et al. (2010), Strychalski et al. (2014) and Zwoliński et al. (2017) and could be attributed to rabbit age and breed, season of the year, environmental conditions and analytical procedure. Strychalski et al. (2014) also mentioned that nutrient digestibility may differ by more than 10% and is related to the animal age, health, diet and genetic line. Our results, however, are close to the values obtained by Al-Dobaib (2010) in V-line rabbits.

**Animal performance.** The reduction in FI due to the SL supplementation is caused by the lower gastric emptying rate – phosphatidylcholine enhances the lymphatic output of chylomicron, which are important stimuli of cholecystokinin (CCK) secretion by dietary lipids, and CCK suppresses gastric emptying (Nishimukai et al., 2003). Reduced BWG during summer season in comparison to the winter is connected with declination of energy intake during heat conditions. FBW and BWG were higher in rabbits fed diets with 1 or 1.5% than in control group and in rabbits raised during the winter season (+37.9%). Since BWG values were higher in rabbits raised during the winter, FCR was also better in comparison to the summer, mainly due to SL supplementation. Survival rate was not influenced by the treatments and varied from 92.50 to 100%.

**Carcass traits.** PUFA present in SL may exert a protective effect on the heart by improving the energy efficiency in this muscle (Abdukeyum et al., 2008). Diets enriched with PUFA increase antioxidant enzyme expression and decrease mito-

chondrial reactive oxygen species (Anderson et al., 2014) which may protect the spleen and increase its proportion. Higher energy availability for protein deposition and so better carcass yield may be due to SL supplementation improving lipid metabolism in the body. In the diets with 1 or 1.5% addition of SL, the higher PUFA content than SFA content leads to higher metabolizable energy (Celebi and Utlu, 2004). In addition, under heat stress conditions, metabolizable energy is inadequate to sustain the processes of protein synthesis and diverts energy and protein away from growth (Attia et al., 2003). Also, the liver relative weight can be improved by SL inclusion to the diet since lipogenesis occurs primarily in the liver, and this effect may be correlated with enhanced lipid metabolism in this organ. In addition, PUFA present in the diets containing SL can protect hepatocytes by inhibiting liver cell peroxidation as shown by Qiu et al. (2012). In the summer, lower liver relative weight was noted since during the hot season the FI was lower and so the capillary blood flow decreased in the internal organs.

**Blood parameters.** Higher levels of triglycerides, total lipids and phospholipids in the blood were found in animals fed diets with SL addition which as an emulsifier increases fat absorption in the gut (Attia et al., 2009; Attia and Kamel, 2012). In addition, triglyceride levels were also dependent on the level of hepatic lipogenesis. Huang et al. (2008) noted that SL affected the expression of hepatic genes involved in lipid metabolism, particularly those genes regulating lipogenesis, which also explains the higher triglycerides in the blood. Also, dietary lecithin could modify the cholesterol homeostasis in the liver; lecithin reduces excess LDL and promotes the synthesis of great amount of HDL in the liver (Lin et al., 2004). Le Blanc et al. (2003) reported that the effect of SL was associated with cholesterol that was rapidly directed for elimination in the bile or converted to bile acids. The high content of PUFA in the experimental diets might also reduce plasma cholesterol, since PUFA can increase the activity of the LDL receptor, decrease LDL apoB pool size and increase LDL fractional catabolic rate (Ramprasath et al., 2012).

During the summer blood glucose levels were higher because of the lower energy metabolism during heat stress associated with lower plasma levels of insulin and thyroxin (Habeeb et al., 1996). The hot season also increased the levels of HDL and LDL cholesterol and the LDL:HDL ratio. This might be due to an increased activity of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase, which is

the limiting enzyme in cholesterol synthesis (Endo, 1992). In the study of Ondruska et al. (2011) conducted on rabbits raised at 18 or 36 °C the higher blood cholesterol levels were stated in heat-stressed rabbits. Higher levels of HDL and LDL cholesterol could be attributed to higher cholesterol synthesis, as HDL and LDL cholesterol are involved in the transportation of cholesterol in the body, as reported by Kalmath et al. (2013).

**Enzyme activity.** PUFA can regulate the expression of enzymes involved in carbohydrate and lipid metabolism. According to Cavaliere et al. (2016), n-3 PUFA decreases mitochondrial efficiency, particularly in the skeletal muscles, which may result in higher lactate production and consequently higher conversion of lactate to pyruvate by LDH.

ALT and AST are important liver markers, and the results of the presented study suggest a protective effect of SL on liver cells. As SL increases the activity of the antioxidant enzymes, it may protect cells against the oxidative stress and from the presence of elevated levels of AST and ALT in the serum. Dewi (2016) also reported a reduction in AST and ALT in rats fed diets with lecithin and CCl<sub>4</sub>, indicating a protective effect of SL against liver injury. This effect was attributed to the antioxidant properties of SL.

## Conclusions

Supplementing V-line rabbit diets with soya lecithin at the amount of 1 and 1.5% improves growth performance, fat digestibility and increases the level of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol in the blood during both summer and winter seasons. So, the soya lecithin can be a useful feed additive also in the heat stress conditions.

## Acknowledgements

The article was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Therefore, the authors would like to thank DSR for technical and financial support.

## References

- Abdukeyum G.G., Owen A.J., McLennan P.L., 2008. Dietary (n-3) long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids inhibit ischemia and reperfusion arrhythmias and infarction in rat heart not enhanced by ischemic preconditioning. *J. Nutr.* 138, 1902–1909
- Al-Dobaib S.N., 2010. Effect of diets on growth, digestibility, carcass and meat quality characteristics of four rabbit breeds. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 17, 83–93, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2009.12.012>
- Anderson E.J., Thayne K.A., Harris M., Shaikh S.R., Darden T.M., Lark D.S., Williams J.M., Chitwood W.R., Kypson A.P., Rodriguez E., 2014. Do fish oil omega-3 fatty acids enhance antioxidant capacity and mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation in human atrial myocardium via PPAR $\gamma$  activation? *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 21, 1156–1163, <https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2014.5888>
- AOAC International, 2007. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 18<sup>th</sup> Edition. 2<sup>nd</sup> Revision. Gaithersburg, MD (USA)
- Arca F.D., Alba L.M.P., Hernández M.P., 1999. Digestibility and energy retention by young rabbits fed different levels of intake. *Ann. Zootech.* 48, 289–295, <https://doi.org/10.1051/anim-res:19990405>
- Attia A.I., Hassan I.I., El-Zaiat A.A., Abd El-Maksoud A.A., 2003. Effect of dietary oil and ascorbic acid on the performance of broiler chicks under Egyptian summer conditions. *Egypt. J. Nutr. Feed.* 6, 3–4
- Attia Y.A., Al-Hanoun A., Bovera F., 2011. Effect of different levels of bee pollen on performance and blood profile of New Zealand White bucks and growth performance of their offspring during summer and winter months. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 95, 17–26, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0396.2009.00967.x>
- Attia Y.A., Bovera F., Abd El-Hamid A.E., Tag El-Din A.E., Al-Harthi M.A., El-Shafy A.S., 2016. Effect of zinc bacitracin and phytase on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, carcass and meat traits of broilers. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 100, 485–491, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12397>
- Attia Y.A., Hussein A.S., Tag El-Din A.E., Qota E.M., Abed El-Ghany A.I., El-Sudany A.M., 2009. Improving productive and reproductive performance of dual-purpose crossbred hens in the tropics by lecithin supplementation. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 41, 461–475, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-008-9209-3>
- Attia Y.A., Kamel K.I., 2012. Semen quality, testosterone, seminal plasma biochemical and antioxidant profiles of rabbit bucks fed diets supplemented with different concentrations of soybean lecithin. *Animal* 6, 824–833, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731111002229>
- Blasco A., Ouhayoun J., 1993. Harmonization of criteria and terminology in rabbit meat research. Revised proposal. *World Rabbit Sci.* 4, 93–99
- Cavaliere G., Trinchese G., Bergamo P. et al., 2016. Polyunsaturated fatty acids attenuate diet induced obesity and insulin resistance, modulating mitochondrial respiratory uncoupling in rat skeletal muscle. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0149033, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149033>
- Celebi S., Utlu N., 2004. Laying performance, serum lipoproteins, cholesterol and triglyceride of hens as influenced by dietary fat sources. *J. Appl. Anim. Res.* 25, 121–124, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2004.9706488>
- Dewi L., 2016. The effect of lecithin on liver function of white rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) induced carbon tetrachloride. *BioMed. Eng.* 2, 5–10
- Endo A., 1992. The discovery and development of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. *J. Lipid Res.* 33, 1569–1582
- Ferreira D.F., 2011. Sisvar: a computer statistical analysis system. *Cienc. Agrotec.* 35, 1039–1042, <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-70542011000600001>
- Folch J., Lees M., Sloane Stanley G.H., 1957. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. *J. Biol. Chem.* 226, 497–509
- Gaafar H.M.A., Abd El-Lateif A.I.A., Abd El-Hady S.B., 2010. Effect of partial replacement of berseem hay by ensiled and dried sugar beet tops on performance of growing rabbits. *Researcher* 2(9), 10–15

- Gidenne T., Lebas F., Fortun-Lamothe L., 2010. Feeding behaviour in rabbits. In: C. de Blas, J. Wiseman. Nutrition of the Rabbit. CAB International Press. Wallingford (UK), pp. 233–252, <https://doi.org/10.1079/9781845936693.0233>
- Habeeb A.A.M., El-Maghawry A.M., Marai I.F.M., Gad A.E., 1998. Physiological thermoregulation mechanism in rabbits drinking saline water under hot summer conditions. In Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> Conference on Animal Production and Health in Semi Arid Areas. El-Arish (Egypt), pp. 443–456
- Habeeb A.A.M., El-Masry K.A., Aboulnaga A.I., Kamal T.H., 1996. The effect of hot summer climate and level of milk yield on blood biochemistry and circulating thyroid and progesterone hormones in Friesian cows. Arab J. Nucl. Sci. Appl. 29, 161–173
- Habeeb A.A.M., Marai A.F.M., El-Maghawry A.M., Gad A.E., 1997. Growing rabbits as affected by salinity in drinking water under winter and hot summer conditions of Egypt. Egypt. J Rabbit Sci. 7, 81–94
- Hao Y., Gu X.H., Wang X.L., 2012. Overexpression of heat shock protein 70 and its relationship to intestine under acute heat stress in broilers: 1. Intestinal structure and digestive function. Poult. Sci. 91, 781–789, <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2011-01627>
- Huang J., Yang D., Gao S., Wang T., 2008. Effects of soy-lecithin on lipid metabolism and hepatic expression of lipogenic genes in broiler chickens. Livest. Sci. 118, 53–60, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2008.01.014>
- Kalmath G.P., Swamy M.N., Yathiraj S., 2013. Effect of summer stress and supplementation of vitamin E and selenium on serum lipid profile in Hallikar cattle. Int. J. Sci. Res. 4, 95–97
- Khalel M.S., Shwerab A.M., Hassan A.A., Yacout M.H., El-Badawi A.Y., Zaki M.S., 2014. Nutritional evaluation of *Moringa oleifera* fodder in comparison with *Trifolium alexandrinum* (berseem) and impact of feeding on lactation performance of cows. Life Sci. J. 11, 1040–1054, <https://doi.org/10.7537/marslsj111014.158>
- Le Blanc M.J., Brunet S., Bouchard G., Lamireau T., Yousef I.M., Gavino V., Lévy E., Tuchweber B., 2003. Effects of dietary soybean lecithin on plasma lipid transport and hepatic cholesterol metabolism in rats. J. Nutr. Biochem. 14, 40–48, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-2863\(02\)00253-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-2863(02)00253-X)
- Lin Y., Meijer G.W., Vermeer M.A., Trautwein E.A., 2004. Soy protein enhances the cholesterol-lowering effect of plant sterol esters in cholesterol-fed hamsters. J. Nutr. 134, 143–148
- Liu D., Ma F., 2011. Soybean phospholipids. In: D. Krezhova (Editor). Recent Trends for Enhancing the Diversity and Quality of Soybean Products. InTech. Rijeka (Croatia), <https://doi.org/10.5772/20986>
- Maertens L., Huyghebaert G., de Groote G., 1986. Digestibility and digestible energy content of various fats for growing rabbits. Cuni-Sci. 3, 7–14
- Marai I.F.M., Habeeb A.A.M., Gad A.E., 2005. Tolerance of imported rabbits grown as meat animals to hot climate and saline drinking water in subtropical environment of Egypt. Anim. Sci. 81, 115–123, <https://doi.org/10.1079/ASC41710115000>
- Nishimukai M., Hara H., Aoyama Y., 2003. Enteral administration of soybean lecithin enhanced lymphatic absorption of triacylglycerol in rats. Br. J. Nutr. 90, 565–571, <https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN2003946>
- Ondruška L., Chrastinová L., Chrenek P., Rafay J., Parkányi V., 2010. Digestibility of nutrients by transgenic and non-transgenic rabbits. Slovak J. Anim. Sci. 43, 210–214
- Ondruska L., Rafay J., Okab A.B. et al., 2011. Influence of elevated ambient temperature upon some physiological measurements of New Zealand White rabbits. Vet. Med. 56, 180–186
- Qiu Y.-D., Wang S., Yang Y., Yan X.-P., 2012. Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids promote liver regeneration after 90% hepatectomy in rats. World J. Gastroenterol. 18, 3288–3295, <https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v18.i25.3288>
- Radwan S.S., 1978. Coupling of two-dimensional thin-layer chromatography with gas chromatography for the quantitative analysis of lipid classes and their constituent fatty acids. J. Chromatogr. Sci. 16, 538–542, <https://doi.org/10.1093/chromsci/16.11.538>
- Ramprasad V.R., Jones P.J.H., Buckley D.D., Woollett L.A., Heubi J.E., 2012. Decreased plasma cholesterol concentrations after PUFA-rich diets are not due to reduced cholesterol absorption/synthesis. Lipids 47, 1063–1071, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11745-012-3708-8>
- Routman K.S., Yoshida L., Frizzas de Lima A.C., Macari M., Pizaurro J.M. Jr., 2003. Intestinal and pancreas enzyme activity of broilers exposed to thermal stress. Rev. Bras. Cienc. Avic. 5, 23–27, <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-635X2003000100003>
- Sauvant D., Peres J.-M., Tran G. (Editors), 2004. Tables of Composition and Nutritional Value of Feed Materials – Pigs, Poultry, Cattle, Sheep, Goats, Rabbits, Horses and Fish. Wageningen Academic Publishers. Wageningen (the Netherlands), <https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-668-7>
- Scholfield C.R., 1981. Composition of soybean lecithin. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 58, 889–892
- Shehata A.S., Sarhan M.A., El-Gendy K.M., 1998. Digestibility, thyroid function and growth performance of New Zealand White rabbits as affected by season of the year and age. Egypt. J. Rabbit Sci. 8, 141–156
- Strychalski J., Juśkiewicz J., Gugolek A., Wyczling P., Daszkiewicz T., Zwoliński C., 2014. Usability of rapeseed cake and wheat-dried distillers' grains with solubles in the feeding of growing Californian rabbits. Arch. Anim. Nutr. 68, 227–244, <https://doi.org/10.1080/1745039X.2014.921482>
- Zeferino C.P., Moura A.S.A.M.T., Fernandes S., Kanayama J.S., Scapinello C., Sartori J.R., 2011. Genetic group × ambient temperature interaction effects on physiological responses and growth performance of rabbits. Livest. Sci. 140, 177–183, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2011.03.027>
- Zwoliński C., Gugolek A., Strychalski J., Kowalska D., Chwastowska-Siwiecka I., Konstantynowicz M., 2017. The effect of substitution of soybean meal with a mixture of rapeseed meal, white lupin grain, and pea grain on performance indicators, nutrient digestibility, and nitrogen retention in Popielno White rabbits. J. Appl. Anim. Res. 45, 570–576, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2016.1233107>