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Abstract

Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$ denote the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$. The Laplacian Estrada index of $G$ is defined as $\text{LEE}(G) = e^{\mu_1} + \cdots + e^{\mu_n}$. We show that if $G$ has $c$ connected components and maximum degree $\Delta$, then $\text{LEE}(G) \geq c + e^{\Delta+1} + (n - c - 1)e^{(2m-\Delta-1)/(n-c-1)}$ with equality if and only if $G$ is either a star or the union of $c$ copies of a complete graph on $\Delta+1$ vertices. This improves a known lower bound.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper we consider simple graphs, that is finite and undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. If $G$ is a graph with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the adjacency matrix of $G$ is an $n \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$, where $a_{ij} = 1$ if there is an edge between the vertices $i$ and $j$, and 0 otherwise. The Laplacian matrix of $G$ is the matrix $L = D - A$ where $D$ is a diagonal matrix with $(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ on the main diagonal in which $d_i$ is the degree of the vertex $i$. Since $L$ is a real symmetric matrix, its eigenvalues $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$ are real numbers. These are referred to as the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$. In what follows we assume that $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n$. The Laplacian matrix is positive semi-definite matrix, so $\mu_i \geq 0$ and the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of $L$ is equal to the number of connected components of $G$. For details on Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs we refer the reader to [3, 15, 16].
The Estrada index of $G$ defined by E. Estrada [7, 8, 9] as

$$\text{EE}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda_i},$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of $G$. The Estrada index has already found a remarkable variety of applications. Initially it was used to quantify the degree of folding of long-chain molecules, especially proteins [7, 8, 9]; for this purpose the EE-values of pertinently constructed weighted graphs were employed. Another, fully unrelated, application of EE (of simple graphs) was proposed by Estrada and Rodríguez–Velázquez [11, 12]. They showed that EE provides a measure of the centrality of complex (communication, social, metabolic, etc.) networks. In addition to this, in [13] a connection between EE and the concept of extended atomic branching was considered. An application of the Estrada index in statistical thermodynamic has also been reported [10].

Mathematical properties of the Estrada index were studied in a number of recent works [5, 17, 22]; for review see [6].

Quite recently, in analogy to Estrada index, the Laplacian Estrada index of a graph $G$ was introduced in [14] as

$$\text{LEE}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\mu_i}. \quad (1)$$

Independently the authors [20] defined the Laplacian Estrada index as

$$\text{LEE}_{LSC} = \text{LEE}_{LSC}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\mu_i - 2m/n}$$

where the graph $G$ has $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Since

$$\text{LEE} = e^{2m/n} \cdot \text{LEE}_{LSC}$$

the two “Laplacian Estrada indices” are essentially equivalent. In what follows we use the definition (1) which looks simpler than $\text{LEE}_{LSC}$.

Various properties of LEE were established in [14, 24] and, of course, in [20]. See also [1, 19, 21, 23, 25] for more recent results.

In this paper we find a lower bound for the Laplacian Estrada index of a graph in terms of its number of vertices, the number of edges and maximum degree. Our bound improves a bound presented in [2].
2 Lower bound for Laplacian Estrada index

In this section we find a lower bound for Laplacian Estrada index of a graph in terms of its number of vertices, number of edges and maximum degree. We denote the complete graph on \( n \) vertices by \( K_n \), and the star graph on \( n \) vertices by \( S_n \). The nonzero Laplacian eigenvalues of \( K_n \) are \( n \) with multiplicity \( n - 1 \); and those of the star \( S_n \) is \( n \) with multiplicity 1 and 1 with multiplicity \( n - 2 \) (see [15, 16]).

In [2] the following was proved.

**Theorem 1.** ([2]) Let \( G \) be a graph with \( n \) vertices, \( m \) edges, and \( c \) connected components. Then

\[
\text{LEE}(G) \geq c + (n - c)e^{2m/(n-c)}.
\]  

(2)

Equality holds if and only if \( G \) is a union of copies of \( K_s \), for some fixed integers \( s \), with (possibly) some isolated vertices.

In Theorem 2, we improve this lower bound. Proposition 1 shows that our bound (3) is always better than (2).

**Lemma 1.** ([15]) If \( G \) is a connected graph with \( n \geq 2 \) vertices, then \( \mu_1 \geq \Delta + 1 \); equality holds if and only if \( \Delta = n - 1 \).

**Lemma 2.** ([4]) Let \( G \) be a connected graph on \( n \) vertices with two distinct Laplacian eigenvalues. Then \( G \) is a complete graph.

**Lemma 3.** ([4]) Let \( G \) be graph on \( n \) vertices with three distinct Laplacian eigenvalues \( \theta_1 > \theta_2 > 0 \) and let \( \theta_1, \theta_2 \) have multiplicities \( m_1, m_2 \), respectively. Then only two vertex degrees \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) can occur in \( G \). Suppose there are \( n_1 \) vertices of degree \( k_1 \) and \( n_2 \) vertices of degree \( k_2 \). Then

(i) \( \theta_1 + \theta_2 = k_1 + k_2 + 1 \)

(ii) \( m_1 \theta_1 + m_2 \theta_2 = n_1 k_1 + n_2 k_2 \).

**Lemma 4.** Let \( G \) a graph on \( n \) vertices with three distinct Laplacian eigenvalues \( \mu_1 > \mu_2 > 0 \). If \( \mu_1 = n = \Delta + 1 \) and \( \mu_1 \) has multiplicity 1, then \( G \) is the star \( K_{1,n-1} \).
Proof. We know $\mu_1 = n$ and $G$ has a vertex of degree $n - 1$. By Lemma 3, $G$ has only two vertex degrees $k_1 = n - 1$ and $k_2$. From Lemma 2(i), we have $\mu_2 = k_2$. Since the multiplicity of $\mu_2$ is $n - 2$, by Lemma 2(ii), we see $k_2 = 1$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices, $m$ edges, $c$ connected components and maximum degree $\Delta$. Then

$$\text{LEE}(G) \geq c + e^{\Delta+1} + (n - c - 1)e^{\frac{2m - \Delta - 1}{n - c - 1}}. \quad (3)$$

Equality holds if and only if $G$ is either a star or the union of $c$ copies of a complete graph on $\Delta + 1$ vertices.

Proof. Since $G$ has $c$ connected components, $\mu_n = \cdots = \mu_{n-c+1} = 0$. Therefore,

$$\text{LEE}(G) = c + \sum_{i=1}^{n-c} e^{\mu_i} \geq c + e^{\mu_1} + (n - c - 1)e^{\frac{\mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_{n-c}}{n - c - 1}} \quad (4)$$

$$= c + e^{\mu_1} + (n - c - 1)e^{\frac{2m - 1}{n - c - 1}}$$

where (4) is obtained by applying the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality and the last inequality by the fact that $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_{n-c} = 2m$. Now let

$$f(x) := e^x + (n - c - 1)e^{\frac{2m - x}{n - c - 1}}.$$ 

Then $f'(x) = e^x - e^{\frac{2m - x}{n - c - 1}}$. So $f$ is increasing for $x \geq \frac{2m}{n - c}$. We claim that $\Delta + 1 \geq \frac{2m}{n - c}$.

To prove this, assume that the connected components of $G$ have $n_1, \ldots, n_c$ vertices with maximum degrees $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_c$, respectively. Then

$$2m \leq n_1\Delta_1 + \cdots + n_c\Delta_c$$

$$\leq (n_1 - 1)\Delta_1 + (n_1 - 1) + \cdots + (n_c - 1)\Delta_c + (n_c - 1)$$

$$\leq (n - c)\Delta + (n - c)$$

proving the claim. Since $\mu_1 \geq \Delta + 1$ by Lemma 1, we conclude that

$$f(\mu_1) \geq f(\Delta + 1) \quad (5)$$

from which (3) follows.

Now we consider the case of equality. If the equality occurs in (3), then the equalities should occur in both (4) and (5). We may assume that $\Delta = \Delta_1$. Equality in (4) implies
\[ \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_{n-c} \] and equality in (5) implies \( \mu_1 = \Delta_1 + 1 = n_1 \) by Lemma 1. First suppose that \( \mu_2 = \mu_1 \), then each component of \( G \) has only two distinct Laplacian eigenvalues, and so by Lemma 2 it must be a complete graph. It turns out that \( G \) is a union of some copies of \( K_{n_1} \). Now suppose that \( \mu_2 < \mu_1 \). From Lemma 4, it follows that one of the components of \( G \) is a \( S_n \) and also \( \mu_2 = 1 \). So \( G \) cannot have more than one components, and so \( G \cong S_n \). □

Now we show that the bound (3) is better than (2).

**Proposition 1.** With the notations of Theorem 2, we have

\[
(n - c)e^{2m/n-c} \leq e^{\Delta+1} + (n - c - 1)e^{2m-\Delta-1}/n-c-1.
\]

**Proof.** We have

\[
e^{\Delta+1} + (n - c - 1)e^{2m-\Delta-1}/n-c-1 = e^{\Delta+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-c-1} e^{2m-\Delta-1}/n-c-1 \]

\[
\geq (n - c)e^{\Delta+1+\sum_{i=1}^{n-c-1}(n-c-1)2m-\Delta-1}/n-c \]

\[
= (n - c)e^{2m/n-c}.
\]

Note that second line is obtained by the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality. □
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